Feb. 23, 2014 (#1426)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Reports of My Death have been Greatly Exaggerated"

Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Feb. 23, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on February 23rd 2014. Sorry for the long hiatus but I've been very busy. This has been the worst winter, the worst winter I've experienced here in Canada. Some of the old-timers in the area say that it's the worst one they've seen since the 60s. It was fairly normal, this weather, lots and lots of snow, very low, subzero temperatures in the winter, and it's back again for a little while at least. But this year has been incredibly cold, way too much snow. If I was doing the shows every night in fact I couldn't have done it all because there was so much shoveling, daily shoveling; it's still going on by the way. Incredible amounts of snow and drifts and storms blowing snow, whiteouts, that kind of thing, and sometimes down to -35°, -40° Centigrade at night; -42° actually.

So this has been the kind of weather I've been putting up with and if I was doing the show at the same time there's no way I could have done it all. It's bad enough just clearing the road. This isn't just a pathway I have out to a main road; I've got a few hundred feet to shovel including over a railroad track so it's a lot of work and it's a full-time job. So rather than have a break this winter, as I hoped, I've been working harder than ever but it's been mainly manual labor and trying to keep warm in a system which is very, very cold.

So I've been doing a lot of thinking naturally. I've been working on different books. I've also been thinking about the format because I don't like to go along with mainstream. I even classify a lot of patriot radio as mainstream radio today, or TV; it's all the same thing, with the same formats, the same scrolling items beneath whoever's talking on the screen, etc. It's all copied straight from mainstream. Because you're conditioned to watch mainstream, that's why it works. But everyone's really repeating the news. I've always said that.

What I've done in the past is try to give you the news, tie it together with other articles to show you that things are really related. There's clusters of news items that all come from the same sources. Because information is the way that your mind is formed – it's IN-FORMING, it's IN-FORMATION. It forms your mind, your thoughts, your opinions. And of course propaganda has always gone after news, therefore, whatever

you give the public by a dominant minority is what becomes their reality. They all chat about it. They give them emotive topics to fight about and argue about. But it's really irrelevant, that kind of thing. The rest of it is often done to prepare you for big changes which the elite have already planned.

Now, it's been out in the open for well over a hundred years, there is an elite, for goodness sake. This democracy thing never really existed; it was a placebo. Democracy now, supposedly, is rights for special interest groups or people on the fringes. As opposed to the big changes that affect the whole world, and affect all of us individually as well, with the planned changes into austerity, expert management from birth to death, all of that kind of stuff, talked about a long, long time ago, before we were born, and even into the 1800s, published in various articles by big combinations, as they called them, or cartels, special interest foundations that were set up. I've gone through that in fairly good detail over the past years. And everything ties together.

I often refer to HG Wells who came out with The Open Conspiracy. In other words, there's always a conspiracy. History is full of conspiracies. That's what you got taught at school in Europe, about the Kings and Queens that plotted to take over other countries, even their cousins' countries, and that was the standard thing; and you would be ordered off to war and that was it. Very simple stuff. But plotting always went on, that's why they had the Gunpowder Plot, a conspiracy, things like this, and different factions fighting for power, either religious, political, often combined together type of thing. Because you cannot separate, unfortunately, in Western cultures especially, you can't separate the economic factors from the religious factors to an extent; they all go together.

One tells you to obey the system and concentrate on the spiritual, which makes sense as well because it's very, very true that even in very old times with dominant minorities, powerful, wealthy people, you always had the underdogs, which were often the many, as opposed to the minority. It's never really been any different. The camouflage of the system has often changed because it prevents big revolutions. This is what they learned in the Middle Ages, that they could waylay or divert or even stop rebellions from forming if you always pretended to give them a new system.

But even as a very young boy – it's interesting to see that others have even copied my bio in some senses. But when I was a wee boy I just tried to figure all this out. Because looking around me nothing made much sense, as to why folk accepted the situations, or the system they were living in, in poverty. And Britain back then was a fixed system. It was a fixed system where you had the same schooling system basically, but there was one private and one public. Again, even to mislead the general public across the world, they called the public schools in Britain public, but they were actually private. The other system is comprehensives basically for the general public. So two different systems and one would go into the managerial class for the system from the private schools and one went into basically the laboring classes, mining and all that kind of thing. But most people in Britain back then were taught to be employees. That was very rigorous through all the schools: employees, employees. It wasn't until you got into the private schools you found out they actually advocated the systems of private businesses and how to participate in them.

So when you go up higher and higher, and I realized that very young too, with a pretty well fixed income, with the experts once again who take, they used to call it, time and motion studies where they would go into factories and watch how people would work, move this from here to here, the time it took, that kind of thing. Now they have different names for it today but it's basically the same kind of thing, efficiency studies, etc. etc, where they try to match man with the machine, you see. The machines would be made to go faster and faster with technology but man was expected to keep up too, for big profits.

Things haven't really changed, to an extent. It's more competitive today even in the private sectors or in big businesses, or people who are employed in big businesses, there's always that competitiveness. There's less and less in bureaucracy and that's why so many folk from the middle classes, the wealthy middle classes go into it, often intergenerationally, because it's a great job. The servants of the public always get more than the public as a paycheck and more perks, etc. and it's almost impossible to get fired. There's no high stress and massive deadlines to meet as you would think of them. And they keep the system going on behalf of the dominant minority. There's always a dominant minority.

I laugh even watching this whole nonsense on the TransPacific Partnership deal that's going on right now. It takes a few days or a week for their photo-ops, the Three Amigos again, as they go to the next step from NAFTA into all the Trans partnerships of trading blocs. This goes back to the 1800s, this plan, with Lord Milner and the groups who set up the idea for a unified Europe, the Americas, and a Far-Eastern/Pacific-Rim region as well, and they have followed this plan ever since. That's so well documented it cannot be denied, especially when the same organizations which morphed from the Milner/Cecil Rhodes foundation, etc, and others, big banking fraternity, they merged together into the Royal Institute of International Affairs. So they have the big economic boys at the top who own the world's money supply and they also have the top politicians as members of the CFR in America, and it's the Royal Institute of International Affairs for Britain, and it's often CFR across the whole world for other countries as well. Once in a while they change their names when they're being talked about too much, and people forget what it is – one of the branches in Canada is CIGI, you know, for global governance basically.

They will deny, of course, it would be a conspiracy because you can find the data, if you look for it, therefore it's out there; so they are very legalistic in a sense. If you ever question what they're up to, etc. at the top, why are these things happening globally, why are these resource wars going on? They are not for nations, by the way. The nations will pay for the wars, etc. but the money tends to go to the private international corporations who then utilize it. They don't bring oil back to your countries; they're basically selling it across the whole world, and selling it to China even, so private business has always used nationhood and the nations for its own ends. Collectively we are the business, you understand. The big boys look at nations as a collective business. That's why you have gross domestic product, for instance; you are all factored into it, the gross domestic product.

And nations are very profitable sometimes to buy over. We see that with the top boys like Soros and so on. You have a few families at the top slushing around billions and billions of dollars every day on the stock market and they can sink countries or raise them up, at will. This is nothing new actually. You'll see the same thing down through history as the dominant financial elite move from country to country, raising up empires, using them, and then crashing them behind them as they all took the money out and left to the next Empire. Now you have the final Empire which is global, you see. It's always been their goal.

I've mentioned before how they use all the parties. They use all groups: working class, middle class, upper class. You get used along the way... thinking you're going to bring in some utopia and each one sees it from their own perspective. I've mentioned about the Club of Rome quite a few times and how they said themselves how the new system would turn out to be, a nondemocratic authoritarian system – and luckily many other hosts have picked that up and they're running with it now and pushing it and getting the word out there. Because they said themselves there are too many conflicting self-interested parties to have any agenda which could go forward with any particular plan, even for the good of any particular country, nation or region etc, too many, so therefore it's authoritarian.

Now getting back to the TransPacific Partnership. You have the Sherpas. I've mentioned Sherpas – if you go into the archives at cuttingthroughthematrix.com, you'll see that the Sherpas are sent out in advance by the involved countries. These are top-level ex-bureaucrats generally; some have never been, really they've worked with governments but never been part of government, think tanks, etc, they're specialists in their area. They are kind of like high-level diplomats who are unfettered by government restrictions and they go across the different nations that are going to come together and sign these pacts and treaties for integration, years in advance. Between every annual signatory to this thing, where they integrate us even further, starting with the Free Trade agreement for the American union, and NAFTA, and now it's into the TransPacific Partnership. They do all the legwork and go back and forth with all the papers, for drafting, for working out other details, then going back and forward again and again and again. So when the Three Amigos meet they simply go there for their photo-ops, for their little very superficial and often very misleading press releases, and then they sign it; it's a done deal. It was a done deal a year before they even come to sign it when the Sherpas are sent out.

So you're living in an agenda. It's interesting, too, to watch those at the top of the system, and the ones beneath them, the hard workers, the ones who run around getting paid awfully well, getting an awful lot of perks and privileges that other people can't get, but living on blind faith that those above them know what they're doing. It's kind of a religion you might say. But self-interest definitely comes into it. Everyone likes a

peer group and the very ambitious types want to get into the upper peer group. That's what Julian Huxley talked about many years ago when he was up at UNESCO. He said that many will think they deserve to come in and that they've got the right to come into this new order they're bringing in, this new system. And he was talking about a complete eugenical, scientifically led, or run, system. He said they will be in for a great disappointment, many of them. These are the ones who see themselves in the middle and maybe even upper middle level, and they're going to be denied entry into this, all the top favors, perks and so on.

So I always was fascinated by the dynamics of the group and how they are utilized, so perfectly understood by those who have been studying them for thousands of years. Because archives of power are never thrown away; you never throw away power. Dominant elites always collect the histories and techniques of power down through the ages, it's just not taught at the average university, or even the better ones for that matter; it's higher than that. So you have a terrific history of manipulation of dominant minorities using all possible methods of controlling the populaces, and getting them to work for them because... And Marx was right on this, and I'm certainly not a Marxist. But Marx was right on the fact, because many people knew it before him, all the economists knew of his day, that we live in a parasitical system and those who are the wealthiest live off the people beneath them. The feudal system in a sense is alive and well.

We're all serfs basically serving this system and we have no say in the matter. The serfs didn't have the cash so they lived on food and bartering. But the Lords who owned the land took a big chunk off them, generally 40%, in a time of non-intensive farming; they didn't have all the chemicals and so on, they had to leave fields fallow, etc. for a few years sometimes. You find that the greatest part of their produce was taken from them by the Lords who would give it off to the guys who lent money to the Lords who lived high on the hog. The middlemen who then owned the food supply would often export it abroad, even in the Middle Ages. So everyone lives off the guy who produces from the bottom level and that's just the way it is. It's always been the way that those who manage those beneath them, through law basically, and taxation, live in a far, far higher standard than those who do the actual production and the physical labor, etc.

In fact, it's frowned upon, physical labor. Even Kings and Queens, right down to some of the pharaohs, despised the scribes who did all their writing for them and sent their tablets off across to their empires and so on, because they were workers. Anyone who had to work had to learn things. That also gave rise to the literate class, who became dominant; they could keep the King in the dark. They could lie to the King and the King wouldn't know any better; he was arrogant and silly and you had to pamper him and he was quite happy with you. So that did happen as well. So you had the rise of the intelligentsia and brotherhoods forming, including the priesthoods of ancient Egypt; they were brotherhoods. So whenever you have a collective who have privileged positions, who live better than the peasantry that they are lording over, you always have brotherhoods and cartels, call them what you want.

Today we have foundations and NGOs (non-governmental organizations) even, working for what they think is the greater good, some of them. Other ones know darn well what it is. It's the Bernard Shaw type of socialism, which again Julian Huxley talked about too. You will have to go to them to tell them why they should keep you alive, in this big system. No dead weight as they call it. If you don't pull your weight and do the production for them you simply won't live. That was also the basis, really, of the communist system. In the communist system they always boasted to the West, there was no unemployment. Because if you weren't employed, you simply didn't eat; there was no welfare for you. You had to serve the system. It's amazing too, to some people that is, that when the Berlin Wall went down – it was all pre-planned long before that – that, oh, thousands and thousands of multimillionaires, some billionaires, flooded out of the Soviet Union, who were managing the whole system all along. So everything is a façade.

Academia of course is a big part of it, because you always must bring in a new working middle class who will work for the system, again, on blind faith and self-reward, and must believe to an extent in the nonsense that they're pushing. You'll find too, that psychopaths have an even better capacity to self-justify whatever they do. Even if they're caught in the act of something horrendous they will rationalize it in the most absurd way, not to make you believe them but they must protect their own ego, because they will never see themselves as a bad person. That's why horrors happen in the world, over and over. People like to feel good about themselves and it's much better when you belong to a system which is pushing and changing, which is going to cause incredible fallout, and you can rationalize it. Well, it's for the greater good, and the long-term good, the end justifies the means and so on, regardless of the casualties or even slaughters on the way.

That's what the wars are, of resource wars. It's not for the nations who pay for all these wars and pick up the tab and supply the cannon fodder. It's for the big corporations, the international corporations that get all the deals. Nothing new in it, there's nothing new at all. The British Empire built, the taxpayers built railroads all across India to unite India into a country, remember. The big boys, even the Rothschilds had relatives, if you look through the Lieutenant Governors of India and so on you'll find the Rothschilds were some of them. They were in charge of all the trade then, and the railroads, which were built for free, including the exports out of the country to these private businesses, which they ran. So everything works cozily for the dominant minority. Because no one has ever figured out a new system for finance.

A long time ago, when I was about 12 I think, I read a science fiction book where some people land on a planet. They crash there, they need some power thing to get off the planet again so they went looking for local people, natives, and they couldn't figure out what these natives were saying. Because if they asked them for help in any way at all the native would say, what obs are there? In other words, what obligations do I have to help you? That was their commercial system, it was based on obligations, you see. Someone helped you, you're obliged to help them. But if someone hadn't helped you, you had no reason to help them, etc. etc. Well, that is the financial system again too.

We live in a time of course for total control where experts are to run the world, from everything that you need just to basically survive. International corporations are buying up the world's freshwater supply. The energy supply for other big corporations, and power, all the rest of it. Food of course, a big cartel for food. And they've got you where they want you then. You're back into complete serfdom and even the produce that you make will be taken from you and they will make a profit off it and they will sell it back to you at an incredibly high price, if you want to live in the system. So that's control. Everything boils down to control.

Now, what is true, as the Club of Rome said about the conflicting parties – there's so many conflicting parties and competing parties today and self-interest groups, you couldn't have any particular democratic way of working through things and get anything at all done. They would do nothing but argue and demand as each group wants grants and benefits for themselves, always at the expense of someone else. So they said they'd have an authoritarian system. Now, to get an authoritarian system through, apart from owning all the things you need to live by and on, and resources, etc., they also want to train your children, which they've already done, not to participate and even be aware, or care, what's happening around them. Not just in the world, but in their own immediate environment. They are taught to be egocentric, which they are.

Again, I've gone through the history of the philosophers who said and were given charge of bringing in this new culture, in the 1960s and 50s and 40s. They were given charge of creating a new culture, separating initially one generation from the parents, which they did very successfully through the 60s, and then the state would give them their new moralities. Bertrand Russell said we'll make them egocentric and narcissistic. Well, we have all of that today. So in other words, they're not involved with what's happening around them, or really to others, as long as they are okay... I'm alright Jack, everything's fine.

This is the dilemma that we're in today, where we have the society taken down step by step in every particular area, End of the family unit, everything that was alternative to the family had to be promoted. They said that back in 1910; here we are. It's all been accomplished, all these things have been pretty well accomplished. The devaluation of life had to be done, according to Julian Huxley, too. That's been very successfully completed. Starting with supposedly emergency abortions, and then rape abortions, and now it's just commonplace; it's just a nuisance. Then they turn for the elderly, and oh, the cost of taking care of the elderly is too much.

In other words, we're completely looking at, we are being taught... WE are being taught, near the bottom level, to look at life in an economic fashion. Not in a human fashion, but purely on the basis of economics. Is it worthwhile keeping these people alive? What value do they have to the system? Because you see, today, and they've even trained the public into this term over the last 15 to 20 years, we're all human capital. We're capital, human capital. What's your earning potential? What's your taxable base going to be for the governments and so on. And even the corporations that get all the grants from the government, what value you are to them. That's what you are. You're an economic unit. Human capital. That's what human is now.

So we've gone through a lot of the big changes. We've got more to come. The crashes that they had in 2008 across the world were planned that way. They knew it was coming, years before that; it was all exposed even in the mainstream press over the last few years. The big boys lost nothing at all, whatsoever. But it's training us that we can't go on like this, you see. It's training us. That's what it's for too, to train us to get ready for managed changes where you've got to give up any last rights that you have, for the greater good, etc., into austerity, communal living, communitarianism, The Great Society as Cameron called it, all planned a long time ago.

This is the final merging of the more advanced form of where communism was supposed to go with capitalism, you see. You'll be run on a socialistic basis as I say, which is really communistic, collectivistic, for the greater good. They'll still have the dominant minority taking care of all the world's finances and the dishing out to countries, of basically rationing the goods, at very high prices. And you've got the scientific and academic group on board with them, because Julian Huxley went through the system in his talks. He said that he himself and his family lineage belonged to the scientific group. Not the high economic dominant minority, but the scientific dominant minority, that helps create the new society, through psychology, training, education, etc. etc. It's all been pretty well done.

And you don't have to simply get it all from academia. Academia works with the culture creators that give you your entertainment, which is always pretty well weaponized. Lots of articles are out about that over the years. One great one from, I think it was in Argentina or Brazil where they said that they were using soap operas to get cultural value changes, including abortion, things like that, through drama, you see. It works better than telling folk to do this or do that or don't do that. Drama is better. Plato said the same thing. So nothing is new at all. There's nothing new under the sun. These techniques have always been used, including music of course, because Plato talked about licensing musicians at the time, and actors, etc. because they could have such a revolutionary effect on the youth, in the wrong hands, in other words by those who had an agenda. Well of course, it's always been the same.

Anyway, that's a summary basically of what's been going on. There's no point panicking about it, you see. Remember too, that we're all studied, minutely, individually, from birth to death by the way. Even before the times that you thought they had computers we've been studied from school onwards. Now of course they have their rectifiers, I call them rectifiers, these advocates for children that the government appoints to every newborn in Scotland and England – different names for the same system – and other parts of Europe are bringing it in. Therefore they can start testing babies basically from the age of two months onwards, and that advocate, as that child grows up, will always go through their development. Anything that needs tweaked or cut out of their way of thinking, has to be attended to. Then they'll create their perfect society. In other words, total, complete scientific social engineering. And we call this the new freedom. So we know all this.

Remember too, that because we are studied minutely, and the crowd and the masses are studied minutely, you can't do anything in this without understanding behaviorism and crowd dynamics and individual dynamics and group dynamics. Then, like Cass Sunstein said, you know, even what seems to be the opposition, you can disarm, and actually you could use, you can use opposition, often willingly, and sometimes unwillingly. By giving them certain information, encouraging them, apart from putting plants in amongst them, also encouraging them to put out high-power fear mode information, rapid, knowing that the average person can only handle maybe one or two crises at the same time, simultaneously; any more than that they break down, they become neurotic and break down. So information overload, especially in diverse topics, especially topics where you have no control whatsoever, is weaponized. It's weaponized information.

I've always said the people must think for themselves. You've got to think for yourself. You have a mind to use. You have your own world experiences to use. You will definitely be contaminated – that's the term that's used even by the communist system. Yuri Bezmenov and other writers talked about the contamination of their indoctrination. You will believe, especially if you go through university and college, in all the social causes, and global warming, and anthropogenic global warming, it's man-made global warming, too many people, too many of the wrong kind of peopleas George Bernard Shaw said. And you will believe it all because it's presented with the omission of any other parts of any particular story. That's how you lie to people, from the top. Not by just basically lying, but by omission and then you will be led to a conclusion

that was preplanned by those who gave you that limited one-sided information. And yet they come out of college and university thinking they know all there is to know, taught by the best professors, who are part of the act of course. Because some of the top professors that teach all this stuff are members of the same organization, the CFR/Royal Institute of International Affairs and various other names for the umbrella group. So they know what they're doing. They, again, will say it's okay to deceive the people, and the students, for the greater good.

They often say that man is a political animal. Technically it's true. And if you are you can easily be used... easily be used. And Yuri Bezmenov said too, the contamination means that their indoctrination has been so perfected that it doesn't matter what you say to them, you're talking to a brick wall basically. And liberals, as they love to call them, and Khrushchev called them that too. He said, in America we don't call them communists, we call them liberals. But Bezmenov said, you could take these people over to the Soviet Union, when it was the Soviet Union, and if you took them to a prison camp and a gulag they'd refuse to even see it, even if they're standing in front of the wire. They wouldn't believe it until the boot hit them in the rear end and basically knocked them in. That's the perfection of indoctrination, through idealism, selected, very selective information, brainwashed into them until they believe it all, like a religion, a fanatical religion. Again, against all odds.

Because the Club of Rome, as I said, says there are too many conflicting parties you could never have harmony on anything. And that's why Margaret Thatcher also said the same thing when she was in. Actually when she retired from so-called politics she said, now I belong to basically a super elite of ex-heads of state who know each other and can work with the other ex's, and even previous generations' heads of state that are still alive, to continue this agenda, behind the scenes and not be responsible to the public, to get the big world system complete. That's how it's really done. That's how it's done.

You'll notice too that they're keeping politicians, top politicians, or the head ones you might say, out of the news as much as they can now. This is also part of the new technique of weaning the public away from even trying to follow the nonsense we're given and get them to accept, and lots have. There's a massive majority that already accept not to participate in any way possible, just leave it to the experts, go and play. And that's happened. That really has happened. They're constantly studying us individually and en masse and that's pretty well been done. That's why all their different statistics departments for governments are so vital to them, to keep their tabs on how things are working, what needs tweaked, what's gone overboard perhaps and they have to tune it back a little bit, things like that. That's how scientifically we are run. It's good to see others, too, talking about the scientific dictatorship that I came out with a long time ago, using Aldous Huxley's works again. So we're there. And Bertrand Russell's as well. Russell said... Remember that he was given the scenarios to bring in this new system and he said, if there is to be a dictatorship then I hope it will be a scientific one, scientifically run from birth to death, every individual, except those who are doing the manipulation, pulling the strings.

So we live through massive changes, preplanned changes, that's why it's so darned boring. It really is boring. Reading the news is boring because you know what's coming next and next and how they're going to... And you see how they're presenting it to the public. You know how things to come will be presented to the public before they happen too, because these are standard techniques that they're using. Sad, isn't it? Therefore you're left with what? I always tell people, don't follow, if you follow you're going to be used. And because people say the right things along what's happening, I mean, the right things but in a panic mode, and in big business, don't fall for that either. Don't become neurotic, cave-in and want to go and bury your head in the sand, you know. See, the elite have already thought of that too, as they hammer you with the bad news and expectant catastrophes to come. And they give you massive fantasies. There's never been an entertainment system throwing out and dishing out so many fantasies for you to escape into an unreality as today. All well discussed long ago. Well discussed, long, long ago.

Control has to do ongoing studies on massive scales to maintain control. And do you think any dominant minority is going to hand it over one day? because they get a change of heart or something? Once again, power never gives itself up, never gives itself up voluntarily. And if you want to overthrow them, which some folks do, the far left is still on the go, the really radical ones still haven't caught on down below. The guys who run them know, but those who follow don't. You just end up with a different tyranny. Tyranny is tyranny, it doesn't matter what brand they give themselves. And because of all this rationalization and

studying all of this kind of thing the elite who use academia have academia telling the students this, that basically the problem is within man, therefore man must be altered. Man must be altered. That's why they have these advocates appointed by government for every newborn child in countries like Scotland. That's the idea behind it.

And here it is, something they talked about a hundred years ago and everybody says, no darned way will that ever happen. Here it is. It's done. Because the cultural values, the family values, everything that made a reality back then, is all completely reversed now. That's how long it took to work on the public to get them to a stage where, oh well, you know, it's oh well, what can you do... I often think of the Rolling Stones song, the one that's Tribute To The Devil I think it was, and Time is on My Side, that was part of that; that was Satan of course. Basically that's the technique, Fabian, you see. Fabian style, like the other branch of the Royal Institute of International Affairs that run the left wing through the Fabian Society, after the General Fabian, as you know, who took long-term strategies to defeat an enemy, many different kinds, including undermining their confidence, creating fear amongst them, and that way often you didn't even have to have a battle; they'd capitulate long before anything happened, using psychology.

Others have gone too, that belong to the big think tanks, talking about the reason for the think tanks. The think tanks are intergenerational. They recruit, they use their employees, their employees retire, new ones come in, and therefore you can set the goals 100, 200 years in advance if you wish to, and those foundations continue to move towards all their resolute goals. And they can accomplish them regardless of the time factor. We think in a very short-term span, for instant rewards, close rewards, etc; women are better at long-term planning than men. But the men that came up with long-term planning through foundations had the right idea. The workers for these big foundations are paid incredibly great salaries, and they know they'll never see the end, up until about now I'd say, of a lot of the things they've worked towards. It's incremental, incrementalistic changes.

Now, the elite academia which pushes group involvement, and uses the groups of course, trains them, gets them ready for groups, especially in the left wing, green parties, all the different groups that they've put out there... Whatever you think you belong to mentally or socially they've got a group ready-made for you to join. Generally through whatever schools you go to, they'll direct you into that particular group and that becomes your way of thinking, for the rest of your life, therefore you're not a threat at all. You're working towards a goal you don't even understand, you think you do, and you'll be lied to, copiously. But the fact is, you're being used.

The idea, too, is to stop you thinking as an individual. I noticed especially in the left wing, and you'll get the same in the right wing with their particular spin on the agenda. The left wing with their networking brings in ALL disaffected fringe groups, people who can't fit in because their lifestyles are so bizarre or whatever to the majority. They bring them all in. And when you join it you must go along with all these almost Stalinist type quotes they'll give you to learn, these almost ritualistic formulas of what to say. You must be for each thing 100 percent, even if you don't participate in that thing that those folk are into, whatever it happens to be. What kind of choice is that? How come you have to swallow all the poison pills to get the good part of the benefit of that particular formula? How come? Why is that? Think about it.

You see, the idea is to get you working collectively and to eradicate the individualistic quality that you have. You will only grow through being an individual. You will only get wise or some wisdom by being an individual and pondering everything for yourself, not by anybody else's. And you don't have to go into the philosophers, that are quoted like gods, and that was the purpose of them, especially in the 1800s and so on. They were all put out there and funded by special interest groups, generally often just one at the time, to eradicate the old order of things where the old religions, as they call them, had run the world for a long time, and to replace them with the scientific elite, you see, those who are logical, rational and all the rest of it, etc. etc.

That's why we had the communist slaughter of about 80-odd million people, and even Trotsky boasted, we've wiped out an entire class; very proudly he said that. And then of course we had the same thing in Germany as they wrapped Darwinism together with the writings of Fichte and others, and Nietzsche, etc., to bring in existentialism and so on, for the super elite, that man could become Superman, etc. through science. And of course eugenics is all part of it today, it's alive and well, and it's never changed, you see.

So large groups are always used for someone else's purpose, to the detriment of those who could possibly still come along, and may not come along because of the damage done by these massive groups; so an individual can think for himself. And if you worship philosophers... and you worship them for what? For being an individual? Well, why don't you be one yourself? without quoting them or following what they said? and go along with their form of existentialism, where you come to your own conclusions by your own observations and what's happening to you, your own life experiences. Why don't you do that rather than have to quote them and quote them like they're some kind of gods?

Because after all, life is about yourself, isn't it? And if you understand some of that you can cooperate better with other people around you. You can also shun those who are detrimental to you. Any other animal species would do the same, for its own survival. But to swallow a whole bunch of stuff you might not want to, that actually makes you feel uncomfortable, to get the one purpose across, what crazy person are you? You've lost your brain. I always remember Lenin saying, we shall win by slogans. And slogans are also used in critical theory and so on in examination where you say something or you try to say something and they simply use one slogan to smear you, in a category that they've trained the public to hate, even though you don't belong to it. Everything is weaponized. Everything is weaponized. Everything.

Everyone knows if you want to get on well in academia and get your little gold stars and your little scroll, you just repeat back to them what they've told you. Even the professors will tell you eventually what to put down for your thesis; they'll guide you into it. That's why they all go to see the professor, and he's telling you what's the okay thing to go along with, guaranteeing you to get through. That's your quality approval stamp. They have now dumbed you down enough to work for them in their system. You're no threat.

I've mentioned before, and again, other hosts now have picked it up and run with it – they never mention where they got it, mind you. But the fact is, Charles Galton Darwin said the same thing. Sir Charles Galton Darwin in The Next Million Years he said, we must remain the wild men at the top, who are not conditioned, who can think for themselves, who are taught to use their own thought processes, to come to their own conclusions, because they will be steering planet earth. The rest of us, you see, will not. We'll be serving them. For the greater good, you understand.

Now I also know all of the academic arguments that they have to do with promoting collectivistic action, societies, etc., opposed to, say, the individualistic approach. I know all of their arguments there too. Part of it of course is naturally valid, you can't throw out everything, and that's why it's hard for the average person to figure things out. It's hard for the average person to see that the people who they often worship are multimillionaires, or even billionaires, or very successful actors and actresses... They didn't get there by being sweet and nice. It doesn't happen that way. Technically many of them could be put into the category of the individual which would destroy everything for their own existence and betterment. That's one of the arguments they use in academia to promote collectivistic thought for all of you, while those at the top can work in a form of individualistic fashion, BUT they also come from different societies, very old societies, some of them, that are collectivist in a sense, that they can work together as a people; that's the origins, you see.

They can work together very, very well collectively to make sure they collectively survive, but they have a balance too of becoming extremely wealthy through their associations, working together with their own kind of people, and they thrive that way too, to the detriment sometimes of others. History is full of this kind of thing going on. A lot of the aristocrats in Britain basically became aristocrats and nobility because when the Catholic Church was being destroyed, from Henry VIII onwards, and then back again, Queen Elizabeth the 1st's time, thousands of Catholics lost their property and they were plundered by these up-and-coming minor nobles or aristocrats who became awfully, awfully wealthy with massive estates from the churches and the church's lands that they were confiscating, you see. So collectively different folks can work together for their own betterment, to the detriment of others.

Again, once they come into power they give you a tradition. You always have founding mythologies, good Queen Bess and all the rest of it. And yet even the Stasi were taught the history of spying during Queen Elizabeth the 1st's reign. There was never such a spy network that existed before. It was set up during her time, as Catholic institutions were vying to get back into Britain, etc., and across different parts of Europe.

They set up the greatest spy agency, that's never gone away, and Britain was used as an example as they trained these people in power structures on how to manage nations, etc., and spy networks especially. It's quite amazing to see what goes on. I think it was Sir William Cecil, often pronounced Cècil, he was the guy who was first given charge of starting this whole spy network up. 30,000 Catholics were put in prison, a lot of them died. All quiet in the history books, the general books, but you get taught that in Oxford, etc, if you go into the older parts of libraries and so on. So nothing has really changed for dominant minorities who can work together collectively, for their own dominance and preservation of their dominance.

Now, I've been scattered across the place. I didn't intend to do this tonight. I meant to come on and tell you what I've been doing [Alan chuckles.] but there I go again, eh. I have to thank lots of people too that have been keeping in touch this past while. Some of them even asked if I was dead. I thought, well, I've always wanted to use that Mark Twain quote, the reports of my death have been greatly exaggerated. Mind you, it's been close to it with all the shoveling and sometimes you wondered if you'd ever get out at all when you open the back door and there's no sky, there's just a wall of snow there. That's how bad it's been.

But I hope to come back and, as I say, take a route where I can go into information which will help. I find that most things that are out there don't help, they destroy. They destroy by creating anger, animosity to other groups as well, and often it's done for self… well, tremendous profit for some of course. Remember too that marketing looks at every field where there's a gap but a big audience that's waiting to be filled, to be buying things and every technique is used, unfortunately. That's the economic system and we're all trained into it, because we're given no alternative. It's all money based and fear driven. If you don't have money, you die. Very simple. And it shouldn't be this way at all.

No one will tackle that. The guys who run the system will never tackle that because they use this system to dominate all of you. You see. They have no problem knowing and being taught in their higher courses, and I mean the higher courses that are outside universities, they do exist, that slavery is a normal feature. As Charles Galton Darwin said, there's always been slavery in one form or another and we're simply in the process of creating a new more efficient form of slavery. It's a form of slavery that most folk don't even know they are slaves. They're quite content; you can buy a lot of cheap junk, that will keep you in LaLa land forever entertainment wise, but you're disabled then, you see. You're out of the picture. You're no problem to anybody, and you'll never be a problem. And what you are getting downloaded with is weaponized, to again, bend your opinions to conform to those that your master wants you to have. And, fear, fear, fear, fear, fear, will collapse you. Collapse you.

Spies during the Cold War, for MI6 and MI5, literally had departments right up until the laptop computer types were running, or the desktop. They cut out newspaper articles from across the world, all the different papers, foreign papers, domestic, and they would find patterns to find out who was doing what and so on and the reasons behind things. Today of course it's all computerized. They have instant access to all your data, everyone's data, except of course the elite that are blocked from that; they have their own network, different, you've never even heard of it. So everyone is studied minutely. We're all studied minutely, categorized. People say, why don't they kill you? Well, you're really a curiosity to them; they're not worried about you. It would take more than one person to say something. You see. They're curious as to why you ended up like that, that's all. They study you minutely, more so in fact because you're a curiosity to them; that's all. That's all you are. It would be different of course if you were in one of their own divisions, as we found out from various whistleblowers that had untimely deaths in different countries during the Cold War up to the present, because they have something from the establishment to show the public, you see, and that's rather taboo.

The Soviets did disclose, and of course don't forget… You understand, if one side discloses how their technique was run, it means the other side was run on a duplicate fashion. It still is actually. So the most studied people in the Cold War were those who worked for the governmental system. That's why George Orwell had that in his 1984. They weren't studying the proletariat, the proles as he called them. As he said throughout the book, they don't count. It doesn't matter, because they're dumb, stupid, they're kept happy and singing little songs turned out by the machine of the culture industry. That's where they'll stay. They believe what they're told. We're at war with whoever they're told we're at war with and they go along with it. Because why would the media want to lie to you? Why would your favorite newscaster, who's almost falling off his chair he's so old, that's why they keep them there, you grow up with them like daddy or

granddad. You trust them. Everything is psychology. That's why they keep them there. Why change a formula when it will always work? Because they understand human nature so well. We are formulistic types of societies and peoples.

Anyway, this is just a little blurb to let you know that I'm still kicking. I've been wondering and pondering whether to just do the full-time thing; I've got offers to do full-time radio. But I'll tell you, if you're doing it yourself it will destroy you trying to keep up, as I say, as a substitute for old cutting out of newspapers; now you're doing it all on the Internet, searching the world for stories, to connect the things that are relevant, and to show you that these things that seem dispersed over the world are all guided from a common source. Once you know that why keep doing it? It's not going to change. And to go into deeper things because people are collapsing with fear these days. As I say, fear disables you. That's the purpose of creating fear. Fear is used as a strategy in psychological warfare. Overwhelm them with fear topics which they're completely helpless to stop, and that's that, they're goners. Very simple techniques.

So think for yourself. Don't be terrified, because it's going to happen anyway. Whatever happens that's planned will happen. Because these massive movements, as explained by professors down through history, cannot be stopped. They're all encompassing from a thousand or more sources, all working together, in tandem. Every facet of society you could possibly imagine is under one control, towards the common goal. And nothing could possibly change if you got the same con of money going on anyway, backed by nothing, run by privateers, and collectivist privateers at that, some of them working together across the planet. How can you possibly have any kind of equality? Not this gay rights or whatever they give you. These are all side, emotive topics to take you off what's going to happen to everybody, including them, at the mercy of the elite …to divert you from the integration of the planet under a government that none of the public are going to get to vote for, or even vote in. That's more important, isn't it?

And don't worry, once they advocate a social worker for every child born in America – which will happen, it will come; it's almost already there with a lot of single mums and so on – where the children, and some people have written to me, from the ex-dads or whatever, if they visit, their children are on first-name terms with, they call the social workers, their best pals. It's already there, implemented in many ways. Their job will be to guide that child into the correct kind of citizen as they grow up. It wouldn't matter what their inclinations this way or that are. In the future we'll all be one. Whatever they have decided for us all, that will be the way it will be.

So don't get lost in emotive topics that are meant to get you arguing and fighting and angry, you see. Even the churches are all compromised, unfortunately. Because that was at least one way out for some people, in all times, with the idea the world's always going to be pretty evil and therefore look after the spiritual side of things; that's all you've got left. And of course, in some churches they say that's all there is anyway. Technically they are right. Because I think the only time people had freedom was probably in the Stone Age. You'd find a cave for yourself, there was no taxman to come in there and measure it. You had a big club there and that was it, you know. You didn't know language as such so he couldn't talk you out of it and con you as to why you should pay him money. You know. Once language came in we languish in language, our thought processes are conned so easily by conology, the art of conology.

Anyway, this is to keep you up-to-date on the fact that I'm still alive and kicking. As I say, full-time, I'm thinking about it, but I'd have to take staff on if that was the case, because it kills you. From eight in the morning till 2 AM the next morning you're stuck at the computer and then you're running off to post offices or God knows what, or the mail, which is not next to the house, believe you me; I have to travel 2 or 3 miles to get to it. Things like that. And you're eating like a crazy person, stuffing it down, and then back to work. There's no breaks in it at all. It's deadly, very deadly. Then you're going by someone else's deadline all the time, not your own. So you either have more staff or else you do it, and maybe in a more effective way, by cutting through all "here's the updates on what's happening today." If you want the news for the day you've got a billion sites to find it on, including all the mainstream, where most of it is culled from anyway. Then you get the different interested parties that will give you their particular take or spin on it, which only reinforces the fact that everything is rather overwhelming, isn't it?

So understand the techniques, of course, that are used. And when you understand techniques you're not fooled by them anymore. It also means you might be isolated from other people because they can't

understand how you come to these conclusions, how you know this stuff. Because they're still so well-conditioned – it's called perfect conditioning – that the thought of being lied to by their favorite newscaster is absurd. It's like telling them their daddy is an evil person. That's perfect conditioning. And most folk have had it. We've all gone through the same process. A few were unscathed by it, very few. But yeah, you're isolated to an extent because most folk cannot communicate with you. And you can't get through to them either. So you learn to play the game with them and when they talk about whatever it is they're talking about, you smile and go along, and quip a little word in here and there, and then they accept you. But as soon as you open your mouth about something else that matters, the eyes glaze over. That's how the world is.

Everyone's caught up in their little bubble, as they term it at the top. They give you a bubble and inside that bubble you have your circle of influence. That's who you know, this is what you do, here's who you communicate to, here's your friends, what do your friends and you do? That's how you value your life and the purpose of life and you value those around you, in your little bubble. You're running, you're scurrying to get through work, a job you often hate as an employee because there's no meaning to it often, shuffling papers around or whatever. And then you either get drunk or party or get stoned, a lot of people get stoned. They're pushing stoning too because you're going into the Huxlian phase now of Soma, where big Pharma's already got a lot of folk drugged, and the rest comes as stress increases, through legalizing various kinds of drugs. Because they use both sides. I've always said, you understand, the same people run the illegal and legal sides of everything. It's the end that matters, you see.

So stay tuned to the website. I'll probably give a couple of talks at least this week, maybe more. And keep in touch. And don't get down and depressed like crazy, because you have to live, you have to survive. You mustn't mentally collapse with everything. And start thinking again for yourselves, because there's a pleasure in understanding things. That's one thing you have. It's one thing you actually have. You've got to understand something for yourself. Don't just parrot someone else's stuff or get caught up in the tactic or whatever they're pushing. Think for yourself. Because there's a bit of a lie in, the truth shall make you free. It can free your mind. It's a double story actually, it's got a truth and a lie involved there. It doesn't make you free from all this hell that we're pushed through at times, and economic depressions and wars and all the rest of it that we have nothing to do with generally. But it does free up your mind to understand it all; it's the one thing you're left with. That's the freedom that you have. That's what you're left with.

So I hope you're all doing pretty well out there and surviving. We know there are tough times to come, that's how it is. And you have to find something worth living for, of course. That's very, very important. And don't devalue yourself as an individual, whatever you do. Because that's what we are, we're individuals. We have certain things in common with each other but we all have specific aspects to our personalities and our thought processes that are different from other people too, and you can't let them take that away from you... and make us all conform into conformity.

And by the way, Hamish is doing okay, the doggie. Tough, though, he hasn't had the same kind of walks with this deep, deep snow. But who knows? It depends how the Masters that be, that manipulate everything including weather of course in this day and age with all the aerial chemical spraying that's going on. And it's no conspiracy theory. There's too much documentation out that's been declassified over the years, up to the present time by the way, on this. That's another thing to always consider, that nothing, nothing just happens today by chance. Nothing would destroy this system or change it except something outside their control altogether, that doesn't come from humanity. That's why they're always churning out these asteroid movies. Mind you, FEMA always comes in and saves the world, or they send Bruce Willis off to space to destroy it. This is the nonsense we're fed of course. Because the elite actually worry about these things, because they are control freaks. Things that they couldn't control terrify them.

So from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, where it's still awfully cold and snowy, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

March 2, 2014 (#1427)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

Poem Copyright Alan Watt March 2, 2014:
Hell is Repetition:
"End-Time Prophets Profit,
Hyping Fear,
Ends Come and Go
Year after Year,
Fretful Addicts Deny Own Condition,
Unaware Hell is Repetition"
© Alan Watt March 2, 2014

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - March 2, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on March the 2nd, 2014. I've been battling the weather, as I'm sure you all have in North America here, at least this part of it and further South too even. Because we're having incredibly cold temperatures, right into March; it's still going on. It really started in November, really deep, deep, low temperatures, sometimes 40 below up where I am here near Sudbury. Even on, I think it was Thursday night, it dropped down to about 40 below. That's pretty well on both scales, Fahrenheit and Centigrade, that's where they kind of meet up together. Last night it was about 20-odd below, 25 below, perhaps more, and it's to continue apparently with these new vortexes and winter blasts and all the rest of the nonsense they tell us, as they heavily manipulate the weather.

It's amazing, the world we live in today because outside of the standard news, which is meant to keep you in a box, where you parrot all the proper, authorized talks, etc., the reality, when you go deep within the scientific systems and communities, they've been working on the weather for an awful, awful long time. And I laugh at all these geoengineering conferences where they're always talking about, when they get the authority to start geoengineering, and then they go through all the possible side effects, the chronic bronchitis, pneumonias – because of irritation with all these chemicals they're putting in – they've been doing it all along. And that's how the world is really run.

You see, there's different levels of reality, all meant to keep you conned. They're always have been, really, but it's more perfected today. We live in a more sophisticated form of slavery, as Charles Galton Darwin said

in the 1950s. So we're definitely in it, you see. That's why they give you major publications in science and so on to make you believe that you're on the cutting edge of all the knowledge that's out there, there's nothing else to be had. And you've got all these magazines, Popular Science, etc., lots of them, which create that illusion of course. But nothing's further from the truth.

It's the same with politics and everything else too of course. Have you noticed that all the news you get today is generally over there somewhere? It's been like that for an awful long time. Over there... like the old song, eh. Again, from Hollywood, naturally. And you're kept focused across the world with the color revolutions; we all know what those things are too. Again, there's nothing new in color revolutions because if you go into the history of Britain, regular history books, you'll find that Britain was doing the same thing in, for instance, India in the early 1800s as they were getting tribes to fight each other so they could come in, to keep the peace you understand, stabilization they call it – they don't go to war, they always go to stabilize countries. Or today it's bringing them democracies. And it's all very wonderful isn't it? This is how they push it across to us. But meanwhile they foment the problem in the first place and they get peoples who haven't been fighting together for maybe a thousand years, to fight each other, and then they go in and get what they want. And they always want something. Generally it's pretty well everything, but they want something. And it's control over not just the resources but also it's control over the minds of the public, so they are as brainwashed as you are.

They want a world standard education system for everyone so that everyone gets the same brainwashing. So if you go to Tokyo or somewhere else you get the same pat replies to the reasons why things are happening. And then of course no one can then think outside the box. That's the idea. It's rather clever, really.

But most folk are trained, we're all trained actually, to believe what we're told. Because after all, why would anybody want to lie to you? This comes from the old idea, especially in Christianity, to be trusting... to be trusting. And of course, Christianity was used, especially, in the 20th century, big time, by the powers in the big foundations that planned to run the world and eventually take over from governments as we know them. And they've had their articles out in the papers saying it's time for them to step in, from websites such as the Council on Foreign Relations, it's time for the big Philanthropies to move in and take their rightful place, as co-rulers and planners in a system which is non-democratic in a sense. So we're being prepared for it.

We hear NGO leaders all the time on the news, and so-called charitable foundations are behind them funding it all. And of course the history is all out there with old John D Rockefeller and all the things that he got up to too. He also was a Darwinist as well, as you know, a social Darwinist who believed in social planning, where the elite, the intelligentsia would plan the lives for everyone else. And today you get The Big Society in Britain, The Great Society of Cameron, which is communitarianism. This very old plan is all coming to fruition as we live of course. The US is getting put into it gradually. And eventually they'll tax you off the road, or price you off the road with your vehicles. They don't like to ban you; they like to make it impossible for you to function anymore. That's their way of coercing you into something, so they can write their own history books, because they always do, and say, the people accepted this. Well, you have to accept it when you have no option, you see. So that's how history is written, we're coerced into things. They don't forbid you to do things, they simply make it impossible to function unless you join them and you do what you're told. That's as simple as that.

So I'm cutting through a lot of stuff in a short space of time because I don't like to go over all the stuff that you should all know anyway. The problem too, even with the patriot movement – as they want to call it, still, I don't know why, but they call it the patriot movement – is that they keep you focused, simply parroting what the mainstream news is telling you to look at. So everybody's looking at the color revolutions, which are going on of course over in the Ukraine and other places too, always, always ongoing, as they take down country after country, put in their puppets, which are all ready to be installed, and trained for their parts, and bring in this unified world of a planned society, you see. Which is awfully lucrative to those involved in it because for all their charitable works, etc., the big foundations believe in massive profits for their own high members. But they do believe in a world where you'll have big international corporations with all their chains of stores and chains of everything and chains of factories and chains of farms, and the super farm stuff that they have, these big Agri-food businesses. They'll run the world for you, you see; no small private business is to be allowed in the future. And they bring you into this gradually.

Now, the reason that the big movements, which came out of... they were visible even in the French revolution. Again, it's a long history, which is never told actually; some of it can't be told today. But there's a long history, as the forces behind these revolutions got into action and got underway to make all this happen. You even find in this overdone thing called Weishaupt, Adam Weishaupt and the Illuminati stuff; they were one small group by the way, all working –there's many of the same groups across the world. And Weishaupt was a bit big for his boots and couldn't keep his mouth shut. But the fact is, they're on the go still today. The idea was to bring in a planned society, again. It's always the same thing, a planned society, the intelligentsia rule. Those who prove they are intelligentsia, not just through academia but also from acquiring massive wealth and holding onto it for a few generations, is the proof, that's your entry for the children into the next generation of rulership. That's what it's all about.

And they have the scientists on board with them. They actually have their categories of those who run industry for the world, and those who are the scientific helpers. In fact, Julian Huxley went through that in one of his talks, that he and his family, his family lineage, were hereditary members of the scientific elite who helped to manipulate the psychological processes of the general public to go along with all of this too. So you're living through a planned agenda, which is awfully boring at times, of repetition. And they say, hell is repetition. But to keep parroting on and parroting on what they're doing today is a technique to destabilize your psyche and bring you down, crashing to the ground. When I was doing so many talks every week, going over the news, the purpose wasn't to terrify you, as you know. The purpose was to explain why these things were happening and filling in all the blank bits that the media conveniently left out. It's not difficult to understand once you see the process at play.

Now, the US was to be used as the big battering ram to bring the rest of the world into this global system, the planned economy, the planned society, from who should get born, who should not get born, and the social Darwinistic theory that they brought into it. Rockefeller of course was all on board with that, the social Darwinism idea, where he himself certainly had his ideas of how the people should be run, who should order their lives, how to order their lives, and eugenics of course is a big part of it too. The Rockefellers funded the Cold Spring Harbor experiments and so on in eugenics and started the award system, for the American Family of the Month awards way back in the 20s I think it was.

So it's interesting to see that every group, you see, that you belong to – if you belong to anything at all – whether it's a religious group or whatever, it was taken over an awful long time ago. In fact, the history of the US is fascinating when it comes to religion because you get the standard, authorized version – cleaned up of course; that's the authorized version – of all the untidy stuff they don't want you to know. There were a lot of groups that came into the US, many, many groups who were subversive. They weren't just getting persecuted because of religion or something. It was because they were subversive and revolutionary in their thinking. Many of them came in with Marxist ideas in fact in the late 1800s and were very, very powerful, very vocal, in the early 1900s, 1920s, especially in places like New York. Mass meetings in the streets, lots of old newspapers out at the time with their speeches.

They weren't the only group. Even before that you had communities coming in that joined, and created, certain Christian sects, or a guise of Christian sects in fact. It was all for social change, particular types of change. When you look at them all it's always the same kind of change they're after. Once again, social Darwinistic management of the public from birth to death, who should live, who should die, would they need you down the road to work in their system. Just like George Bernard Shaw said. He said, you'll have to come to us eventually to see if we should let you live or die; why should we keep you alive? In other words, what use are you to us. That was the purpose of it all. You had to have purpose in order to be fed and kept alive, or even to be born.

We're getting to that stage now, the completion of it all. Because you see, it's intergenerational brainwashing of acceptance by pushing the envelope, always pushing the envelope a little bit more, until not just... When it comes to the sexual thing – that's just one part of it. Not just... The parents will sit with the child now and let certain things go in comedy shows that perhaps they shouldn't, because the parents themselves have been contaminated in their turn, and their parents before them as well. So you can have three or four generations sitting on the same couch watching television and they've all been contaminated till everything on television is pretty gross, and the same repetitive type of grossness as well, which also dehumanizes the

public into another animal. You laugh at that because you're laughing at yourselves, as animals, you see. And with animals you can do what you want.

Then you have all the economic specialists telling you about, oh this great science of economics, which no one apparently understands because we keep getting plundered twice a century by the big bankers, you see. And they tell you how they've got to cut back on everything, including treatment for healthcare, etc., which is well underway in Britain. That's the flagship for the US because the US actually copied the British system, according to the RAND Corporation which was employed to do the surveys on the best system for them to adopt, according to what they wanted to do, and they said, the British system is the one they'd adopt. So everything works together.

Democracy doesn't ever come into the picture on anything actually, because the public never have a say in all the big important events that are planned for their lifetimes. And when you understand and you read so many of the big boys involved in pushing all of this stuff, you find you're just living through a script. And it's awfully boring, terribly boring. So why should you get all hot and bothered when they fulfill a certain part of it? After all, if you're expecting it, and they told you about it years ago, expect it to happen, because that's what you're living through. It's a planned agenda, with time tables for certain implementations of different parts of it, you see.

Now I'm sure all of you have friends out there who'll parrot the stuff from television. They'll come up with certain topics, or even arguments, which they've adopted from 'the experts'. You know, that class of experts that they give you to believe in. They'll say, well I guess they have to ration health care, and it's so expensive, and we're going into austerity... But they never complain about the cost of wars. I mean, wars go on all the time for the big boys. The Army, in fact the whole country is just their private business, really. We're all part of their private enterprise. But they use your money to pay for all the wars, which they use for personal gain naturally, since they have to be in control of all resources across the world. It's personal gain for them. And they're awfully good at propaganda, they're doing it all to save you from disaster. Once they have the resources in those countries, it's not to...

You see, how it used to work, the people would say, well we need the oil, you know. So in other words, you are starting to rationalize plunder. But of course it's not going to you. The oil is then sold to other countries like China; that's where the big boys arranged to sell it to when they took over Iraq. The big boys are in there, the Shell and BP and all the rest of them, because Tony Blair, it came out afterwards that he'd had meetings with all these companies before the Iraqi war where they agreed to divvy it up amongst those boys. The taxpayer would fund all the operations for war, pay for the cleanup, and even build them new refineries. That's awfully nice of us isn't it? Well, that's democracy, you see.

So try not to allow yourself to be panicked by the constant hype and repetition of things that they're telling you to be outraged about. After all, there's nothing that you can do about it. There's nothing. What are you going to do? ...Please stop putting missiles around Russia and scaring the public with retaliation. ... Please stop putting color revolutions into Ukraine, or the next country after that, or the whole bunch of countries you're doing it to at the same time. Please stop it, thank you very much. So what are you going to do, get ulcers griping over it? You see, we don't have democracy, as I say.

You'll never hear the politicians bring up NAFTA, the TransPacific Partnership agreements, all these free trade deals, which is not free trade at all. It's selected trade for big corporations and the elimination of any competition; that's what it is. And we have to pay those third world countries, the emerging nations – we're still paying China by the way – to rise up to first world status; we pay them to do that, we build their hospitals. Once we sign these agreements we give them all this cash. It's a one-way street. Because it's a big, big plan and it's nothing to do with benefiting you.

I can remember when they pushed the EU for complete integration and they gave the folk the choice to vote yes or no. They said to every country the same hype; massive marketing campaigns with the top marketing companies, advertising companies to brainwash the public and terrify them. Oh, if you don't join you'll be left behind... If you do join there'll be jobs, jobs, jobs and utopia. Well, bingo, where are they now in Europe? You see, you're always getting lied to, because there's always a different agenda behind it all. Very, very clever agenda, with lots of groups all working in this pyramid structure and taking command from the

guy at the top. That's how it works. But meanwhile you get one or two targets to pick and yell at and what does it do? Nothing at all. If it wasn't that guy they'd use someone else to do it. So these guys are just front men in a sense, who know their role, just like a politician. Or, top politicians know their role and their role is to be unpopular and to have tomatoes thrown at them. Then they give you another target after that. It's a very simple technique, very old too, isn't it? Very, very old, boring, boring technique.

So you're living through an agenda. Don't get outraged over things because it doesn't... Outrage doesn't win anything. It never has anything changed. Oh, I'm outraged, stop that, thank you. It doesn't work. So you have to get other methods of doing it. Again too, you've got to say to yourself, why do those who have the power and the following who are pushing outrage, not get something organized to really combat something, in a sphere with enough political clout to do something, to actually where they can't ignore you? It doesn't happen. Have you ever asked yourself why it doesn't happen? It's up to you to figure that out.

Again too, remember what Edward Bernays said. He said in his own writings, if you want to take something over – even monopolize something, whatever it happens to be, and you're starting from scratch – he said, don't try to start things literally from scratch, look for existing organizations. If you don't have one you create them, but you pretend you're not associated with them. And then the people will follow them because they'll become the leaders, you see. But existing organizations you would use to the maximum, including all the churches; they're fantastic for that. He said, you have to go and approach the leaders of these people. It doesn't matter about the followers, or as George Orwell called them the proles, the proles don't count, he said. They are just trained seals who are the yea-yea crowd, rah-rah-rah for their leaders. So that's how they manage the general population.

That's why they have all these NGOs, non-governmental organizations out there, all paid for, very handsomely for their leaders by the way, not for the followers but the leaders, from the big foundations. And the foundations have an incredible history, very well organized, coordinated on a massive scale, often thought to be communist in fact according to the Reece Commission from the 1950s because their goals and their social Darwinism are the same. They are the same. Because they want a planned society, birth to death monitoring by government, at every stage of your life, every day of your life in fact, and school-to-work of course where they simply test you for a few abilities when you're very young and they'll say, he'll be a good plumber, a good electrician or whatever, and that's all that you'll be trained in. You don't need geography, history or anything else. That's the plan for the future. And it's already being implemented too. And as I say, you have no say in any of it at all.

Because what you're fighting is a complete system with literally maybe thousands of think tanks, thousands of them, full-time, very intelligently selected guys in it, think tanks, lots of them who have nothing to do but work on each simple problem of their big agenda on how to get the public, and to coerce and manipulate and nudge the public along with the big plan, in every possible aspect of life. So each one is specialized in a specific part of it and many think tanks are working on the second, or third, or fourth, or fifth stage after the present, as the present ones are still working on the present, if you understand what I'm saying. That's what you're up against.

How can you possibly combat that, by being outraged with things that are happening this very moment? which will be gone tomorrow or the next week or whatever, as they turn your attention onto the next crisis, real or possible or fake, whatever. I've often said the main thing you have to do is to, yeah, educate yourself, by yourself. All the information is out there if you're guided towards it. Something will guide you towards it, or drive you – I call it driving you – towards something. If it's so important to you you'll be driven, sometimes in a crazy way in fact until it will take over your life, as you're driven to find out the whys. And once you understand the whys then you understand what's coming. When you understand what's coming you don't panic. You don't panic. It's expected to happen.

So don't let others manipulate you into the fear mode, which actually disables you. Unfortunately there's a lot of people who exploit you as well and sell you all kinds of things for the sky is falling scenarios, because they make an awful lot of money at it. There's a lot of people in this world, and we live in this materialistic system, that can be so easily exploited by the unscrupulous, or even psychopathic types as well. Because the psychopath smells which way the wind is going for opportunities and he'll be there. He'll be there where there's an opportunity for him to parrot everyone else's stuff too. Once he gets the mantras right, the

people will listen, and then they exploit you, you see. There's lots of groups out there doing that kind of thing too. The same thing happens with the NGOs, only they are taught what they're doing. They know what they're doing at the top in the big think tanks, they know how to manipulate you. Create the crisis, create the fear, or the impression or the techniques of fear. I call them techniques of fear. You don't need a crisis fear state to come upon you.

And here's a little secret here too for those who don't know. Many moons ago the behaviorists got into studying fear, fear itself as a method of total control, for compliance amongst the public. Every country was involved. In fact, during the Cold War all the top scientists, by the way, shared everything to their so-called enemies; it was all nonsense. They said, how can we create fear? For instance, here's an example, if you're faced with a tiger in the jungle you're going to have sudden fear, with all the responses that fear brings, you see. The fight or flight syndrome, the parasympathetic nervous system kicks in all of that; you might empty your bladder or even worse in some people. Then you vamoose as fast as you can. Or you become frozen and you get eaten. You see, there's different responses of different people as to how they'll react to it. But they noted all the different sensations which come with the state of total fear, where you're faced with imminent death, for instance.

It was interesting, from those who ran Russia... I always say those who ran it because a lot of them didn't come from Russia. But the fact is, those who ran Russia, they did a lot of experiments which they couldn't... well at least they couldn't openly so much do in the West... Because when you're killing your subjects, like humans, it's not too popular. Again, you might get that outrage thing that goes nowhere. They found that if they could simulate, simulate the symptoms, which generally comes secondarily to the actual instigator, the motive, the object that terrifies you, you had all these symptoms that kick in where your pupils will dilate, more light comes in for fight and flight, blood pressure changes, your heart goes up, adrenaline gets shot in and so on. If they could make these things happen through other techniques, even electronically, then you would go into the same state of fear as though you were facing a tiger, without a tiger being present. This is a well understood science.

I could yap on for an awful long time, days and weeks maybe, about all the studies that were done. However, it's used on you today, through television, through the computer, through, I'm certain, as Brzezinski was talking about, about this technique where they could literally go across the whole continent with electromagnetic waves etc., HAARP technologies you might call them, Wi-Fi's included in that, and create these same side effects which would make the whole nation anxious, or very depressed, or even make you so relaxed when you shouldn't be relaxed perhaps, and manipulate the public. These are warfare techniques.

We live in an age of advanced science. Even during the Cold War the front guys would tell you, those who win this war will be the leaders in very advanced sciences. Well, it hasn't stopped, folks. And all those experiments they used during the Cold War, they're all being used on you today. You see, from the simple techniques of just hype... I could hit you with a thousand stories a day until you're quivering under your kitchen table in total breakdown and panic, but I don't because that disables you. That's a warfare technique. I keep saying it over and over, the average person, the average... well, even a person who has got more stamina, could perhaps handle two major crises at one time, maybe a third a little bit, without cracking, as long as they're not prolonged. But more than that you crack up. So if I were to tell you to worry about this, this, this, this, this, this, this today, just for today's news, you're going to go into a panic mode, fear mode. You'll sound like a loony to your friends, you'll look like a loony to your friends, and you get nothing done. In fact, you won't even get your basic essential daily living done; you'll start to fall and break down. So don't go there. It's a weaponized system.

As I say too, with Wi-Fi, etc., it's still ongoing. So much money, even the top universities are still experimenting with these Wi-Fi waves and electromagnetic energy pulses, etc. The unified field as they want to call it too; Persinger is into that at Laurentian University in Canada here. He thinks it's wonderful, when we'll all feel the pain of someone in Africa who is starving. Well, who gives him the right to make us all feel a pain of somebody in Africa? And why Africa? You understand? When they have the ability to change your mood, emotion and so on, without your consent either – I mean, who's going to consent to being manipulated by someone else? – the game's over. It's over, folks. You won't know whose thoughts you have, who gave them to you, reinforced again by the propaganda media, by an invisible energy that's all

around you, where again an elite are saying, we'll make them feel this, feel that and so on, and they'll couple that with the news that you're hearing. You see. This is their goal for a peaceful planet.

I always think of the fake communist system. And I say fake because a lot of real communists beneath... Followers are always real, generally, you see; they're fanatics. But the leaders always know what they're doing. And how they kept going over this, this future utopia – that never arrived in the Soviet Union; it wasn't meant to arrive in the Soviet Union. The Soviet Union was just one generation really, a 70-odd year generation as Lenin said, it would merge with the West, and the system would become not quite capitalist and not quite communist. They now call it socialist, but it's a social Darwinism run by the elite. Because the foundations were behind all of these movements, for centuries by the way.

Now, a foundation can set a goal. Supposing you have a smaller foundation with only a few billion to throw around every year – that's a small one – and that's got one task, to change, supposing it was to change all of us into women or something, all men into women, just as a topic here, you know. They could say, well this might take three generations. So they can employ, hire, retire, and employ, hire, retire, and fire and all the rest of it, but keep on the same agenda for generations, as they change society's mores, morals and everything else, and viewpoints, until they get what they want, so that one thing can be achieved. It doesn't change. It's there regardless of political parties, regardless of wars, or anything else you think might interfere, but they don't interfere, and they get the job done. Then you have the big, big foundations with trillions to slush around on all these pressure groups, all fighting for whatever that's going to destroy the old to bring in the new. And then many of these foundations fund hundreds and hundreds of other foundations; they just put the money out there, you see, tax-exempt too of course.

So we're going through this, as I say, and people still think they can go and vote and somehow it's going to change something. Your politician is not going to mention the NAFTA morphing into the TransPacific Partnership when it's election time. Your local one won't do it either. So what's the point? They'll talk about jobs, unemployment, because everybody's worried about surviving, education. Of course now they're bringing in different rights for different minority groups and so on, or they'll create new minority groups that didn't know they were one. That's how they do things. They keep you focused on other things. But they never ever talk about the big, big plans they have for you down the road. They're often not even implemented by them so to speak. They're implemented by bureaucracies that are functioning as almost separate governments today; they create policies, not laws but policies, and then it's implemented and that's that.

You're also prompted to change your opinions on things, by very careful psychologically prepared documentaries and very repetitive ones all similar to it, to change the way that you see something. And the way to do it is simply by the omission of another side of any story. That's how you bring someone to the desired conclusions. Then when your peer group starts parroting it... They've even done all the studies, naturally, as to what classes adopt it quickest and they found that the ones who have the most education are quicker to adopt new policies and new ways of being, behaving, condemning or whatever it happens to be. We are the most studies species on the planet. It's incredible. Incredible! And the public are kept so busy or worrying and scurrying that they don't even know that most of what they think is their mind is not theirs at all. Their opinions are not theirs. Their behavior isn't even theirs. Even their hobbies often aren't theirs either; they're approved and pushed.

Stuff that was known thousands of years ago comes from a small elite who understand these sciences. Because sciences like this, to do with manipulation of the human being, are never lost. They are so valuable. They are more precious than anything else on the planet. Once you have that under wraps you can get all the people working for you like slaves, and you can take most of their income from them and live higher than they will ever, ever imagine. That's what it's about.

Now, those at the top, as Albert Pike said, make no apologies for nature. By that they mean social Darwinism to an extent, where they are clever, cunning, and in the laws of nature, the jungle, they end up at the top because they are ruthless and cunning and intelligent. They know how to manipulate people, sway the crowds, get them to follow, even into wars and all the rest of it. Actually, I shouldn't say follow because these big boys who plan it all never go to war themselves; they just reap in the benefits.

In fact, you know I've mentioned all the different quotes from people like Kissinger and so on who said that, American soldiers are dumb stupid animals who are used for foreign policy. Now I'll tell you something, though, I don't disagree with what he said actually. Because they're certainly dumb and stupid, because they get the most basic propaganda as to why they should go off and kill people they don't know. Very basic stuff. They never know the full agenda. Unfortunately they don't even care about what the real agenda is. Because they're very young guys. One soldier told me that it seemed to him, he was brought up in Britain but he said, it was like one day he was running around the trees with his pals playing cowboys and Indians and before you know it there they are with the real thing, and the weapons and all that, being more macho, like the movie stars – all, again, emulating fiction, you see. That's why they pick young guys.

During World War I at one point when they had the big lines of trenches across the country in Europe there was a stalemate. So many had died; they were just throwing in hoards of people, wave after wave, on both sides until they were getting mowed down with machine guns—that had come online basically just in time for that war by Mister Vickers; he had been made Lord Vickers for that contribution to slaughter. And his counterpart too, he had a counterpart who helped, I think he lived in Switzerland and they made them in Switzerland, and sold them to both sides, Henry Maxim. So they sold a version for the British and the French and another version for the Germans. I could go on with all these stories too but I won't bother. But the fact is, they found that it came to a stalemate in the trenches for about a year or so.

Now, they did their surveys. In came the early behaviorists and they did their surveys to find out why weren't they still jumping into their trenches and doing heroics and slaughtering people, and getting killed. They found that the guys who were mainly left in the trenches, after regiment after regiment were getting slaughtered, were a bit older, a few years older. In other words, they were a bit wiser, and their propaganda and their childhood mentality was disappearing. They realized that bullets, unlike even the early movies and the black and white silents, didn't miss the good-looking guys and didn't miss guys who were nice. No, they killed anybody at all, so the hero didn't matter. There were no heroes with a bullet heading towards you. It doesn't happen that way in reality. Young guys were still full of, again, their heroes and all the novels and stage plays that they'd seen, and if you were brave and all that and very gallant, bullets always miss you. So what they did, they spent about six months training new recruits in Canada and they fed them the most horrific stories about the Germans bayoneting babies and all that stuff, which they always rehash every so often. They tried that with the Iraqis too, later on too with Kuwait. Anyway, sure enough, they trained them and these young guys were given tremendous brainwashing and stories about heroism. They came in and sure enough they put them in the trenches and they ran off by the herds to get slaughtered, again too, and get the whole killing thing going again. That's how perfect this system is. That's how perfect it is.

If you're looking for honor and that, don't look to the guys who are above you manipulating it all, because there's no honor at all. None whatsoever. And we are the proles. Like George Orwell said, the proles don't count. Because the proles don't know reality. They really don't know reality. And young guys having a tribal instinct, naturally, want to be warriors, so they always pick the young guys to go and do all the slaughtering, so the old guys can reap the benefits. And the taxpayer pays for the cost of it.

That's the real world we live in, in geopolitics and everything else, always on the go. Always on the go. And believe you me, when they bring in their world system and there's no more foreign enemies to fight, they always have to have terrorism all throughout the system. The same with the Soviet Union, once the borders were all closed in the Soviet system then they had to get an enemy at home to justify the massive police state that they had, an authoritarian system and keep the people terrified. So you had counterrevolutionaries at home. Today in the West it's terrorists everywhere, apparently. Old, old techniques. Repetition. Repetition. Repetition.

Now, sorry to prattle on like this but I don't prepare my talks as you all know. I like to just spout off the top of my head about things and say how things really are, without getting you all panicked and terrified and focusing you on, oh look here in the world today and be very, very afraid, folks, or look there and be terrified. What does that achieve? What does that accomplish? ...apart from disabling you. You have to find out who you are. It's a difficult job for a lot of people who have never clued in till later. Because you almost have to dismiss everything you ever believed in; certainly the way that you believed in things has to be looked at again. Absolutely. Because there's not an organization out there that isn't used. Many, knowingly, and other ones unwittingly. Because to be a follower you can be set up to be a patsy. Just being conned

itself means you're all patsies, if you just follow, follow, follow and never question.

I've never known a country, or this system, or this agenda to falter by guys just yelling their outrage at something. It doesn't happen. It does not happen. It's maybe a minor safety valve to blow off steam but that's all it accomplishes. Yeah, we told them, we told them, yeah... So what? Like they don't know? What do you do in this system? Well, can you be in the system? You have no option but to be in the system so at least you can come to the understanding of the forces behind it all, how tremendous work goes into manipulating you on a daily basis, tremendous work, and making you conform to the general herd, as they say; awfully important.

That's why everyone's spied on. I keep telling people who give me the pat answer, well I don't care if they're looking at me, I've got nothing to hide. Well, the fact is, even that person is of awful great interest to all the statisticians out there that are constantly running tests on us all, and quantifying this group and that group, and who will belong to... How can you put this person in any group at all, blah-blah-blah... These ones are safe, these ones are on the border, at the line, yada-yada-ya. It's, oh, incredible.

And all of academia is on board with this, getting huge grants from the big foundations, again, to do all these studies. And your government goes along to allow all this information from the NSA to be handed over to them, the high professors and so on. They're making a fortune at this... to make sure that you stay, you know, safe and pretty stupid, and predictable. You can't run a world unless you're all incredibly predictable. Even the Joe Blow that says, I don't care and blah-blah-blah, I'm doing nothing wrong. If he does something out of the ordinary, they want to know why. Why is he suddenly looking at this? Why is he suddenly reading these articles? Why, you see. Because that gets added to your personality profile, updated, and they want to know. And it's so easy for them today because they look at your cluster of friends and all these sockpuppets that you yap to, and they see where it's all going, with you. They've got to know where it's going with you. YOU must be predictable and if you're not they want to know why.

Down the road you will have mandatory psychiatric visits, either to a place you're told to go to or they will come to you. They talked about this almost a hundred years ago in George Bernard Shaw's day when they started up the Fabian Society. There's nothing new under the sun. There really is nothing new under the sun. What is maybe newer is the more perfected system of information gathering on you, observation. And the techniques employed are literally so... it's instantaneous across the world. They're all getting the same news, authorized news, the same prattle, getting their minds shaped to correct, to believe everything in the proper way, the ones authorized.

At the moment you've got some dissent here and there but you have no real opposition to anything. Because opposition, as the communists always knew, had to be organized. That was their big scream at one time, when they went into a new place, organize, organize, and they'd organize them. And when they joined that system, you joined a communist system, you had to go along with every part of their agenda, even the stuff that made you uncomfortable or you didn't agree with. You had to learn all the slogans. And you listen to all these commies and they just parrot the slogans. They can't argue with you on things, they just parrot slogans at you. And they jump into critical theory and smear you. Because they can't answer you. And they won't. It's a religion, you see. But they did know how to organize, incredibly well, because it's a very old system, even before you heard the term communism or even Lenin for that matter, all worked out long before that.

All these techniques are used by what you think are sides, all sides, because all sides at the top work in common to the same goal. Most are oblivious of it. Many folk are happy with... I said many years ago that many people will love socialism, this Darwinistic socialism because they won't have to plan anything, really, in their lives. Maybe what coffee to drink or what program to watch tonight to laugh at or whatever porn movie they're going to see, but that's going to be it. The big decisions are going to be made by experts in governmental departments and social workers and psychologists and so on, if they have any big problems at all. Then the rest of their time is theirs to go and play.

And it won't end there. Because they want to bring down the population. They are already getting people conditioned. Ordinary folk, you'll hear them parroting this stuff, well you know there are too many people in the world. And they'll parrot all the stuff they've been brainwashed to believe in. Oh there's not enough food

in the world to feed everybody, which is nonsense too. And, well, people should, if they get sick, maybe, you know, if they don't have much of a job or anything, well they should be at the bottom of the list for helping. These are ordinary people parroting this stuff off now because they're watching documentary after documentary that are churned out by the big establishment and they cannot think for themselves. Of course the ones who are telling you all this are pretty fit and healthy when they're talking about it. It doesn't occur to them that they're going to be on the bottom list either, for, you know, termination... for economic factors, that is. That's where they'll take us eventually.

You start with abortion, then you step up to post-term abortion, postpartum abortion. And then you'll go into the next step too of killing off the elderly; that's already been done in some countries. Now I think it's Belgium that has passed a law too, you can actually terminate children who are terminally ill. And the folk again, the general public will say, well yeah they showed us an awful documentary and this child looked in pain. Well yeah, they can make the child look in pain if they don't want to give him any painkillers. It's quite simple, folks. Everything you see should be analyzed from every possible angle. And unfortunately you should be able to do that, naturally, without being told. Most folk can't anymore. They've been disabled and they don't know it. And they will argue with you that they are perfectly sane, because they really believe they are. And their peer group is much the same as themselves, and that's how you judge your sanity. They'll prattle about the same topics with the same answers, conclusions as you have, because they're all given the same downloads, scientific downloads, which guide you to the conclusion.

Newscasting at one time used to be simply reporting on the facts; the conclusions were up to you. That's the ideal news system. We haven't had that for, probably ever actually. There have been some that would do that at one time, some papers would do that, but now they're all the same. They're all big consortiums and they guide you to the conclusion, the approved conclusion that you're supposed to have. They're awfully devious too. They will admit omission guides you naturally to the proper conclusion by omitting other facts, the other side of any story. Very simple.

Now, I'm not going to prattle on and prattle on here. As I say, I'm just keeping my face in right now to let you know I'm still kicking here. It's still snow shoveling and deep, deep freeze. That's been like that since November. Yeah, November, I was hitting the 40 belows and it's traveled on and off, quite frequently, right up into the present. If I get 10 below at night, 15 below, that's been a good day. I mean during the day as well.

So we're going through big, big changes, planned changes. There's all kinds of sciences at work right now, at play. We have HAARP; you can pick up a shortwave radio, go through the bands, you'll hear the HAARP frequencies banging away. They're the loudest sounds on the shortwave; you'll hear them on umpteen different frequencies today. That's part of the unified field idea of manipulation of the brain. Because HAARP, when they disclosed it, even from the military's point of view, the declassified stuff, they can send a secondary signal, the carrier signal brings a secondary signal, and the secondary signal can actually manipulate your brain functions, quite easily, proven a long, long time ago.

And in the past, if you go into the archives section at cuttingthroughthematrix.com you'll find talks I've given and links I've put up from some of the scientists involved, who are still involved in it by the way, who talk about this field technique of manipulation of the mind. So you have all kinds of techniques used, apart from the simple persuasive techniques of propaganda. Repetition is the most important thing of all. Just keep saying it over and over and you'll start to doubt yourself. Well, if they're all saying the same thing then I must be wrong. That's how it works.

So be careful out there. I've said so many times, the mind has no firewall. You have to decide what comes in; you are the gatekeeper there. Because what you can bring into your mind can be weaponized, and often is. It just sits there like a little virus and then it starts working on you. But don't become disabled with fear. Think for yourselves. And don't panic when these overwhelming foundational groups and so on and color revolutions, and all the different associations that are funded by your tax money, are across the planet causing mayhem in other people's countries. What can you do about it? As a say, write a nice letter and say, please stop, thank you very much. That's going to do a lot, right? And take care of yourselves. But start thinking for yourself. Start thinking for yourself.

There's hardly an item out there that's real as far as the way it's presented to you. Stop taking the world as it's being presented to you. You've got the functioning brain, use it. If you have lost it along the way, find it again. You still got an attachment, find your own mind, because it's so important. No one else is going to do it for you. Don't hand it over to anybody else. Unless you do like socialism, and hand it over. Hand over the part of your mind that takes care of problems and let the experts deal with the problems. They'll make sure you're the happy little contented person who will be taken care of all your life by getting the bare minimum, and they'll keep you immature forever, you'll never grow up. You'll end up, as many have today, with the television generations, ending up in old folks homes who literally have nothing, no wisdom to pass on to anybody else. And that's a sad state of affairs. They can talk about all the TV programs they've watched since, I don't know, in the 50s or whatever to the present, their favorite shows and actors and who married who. But they don't know anything else. They had a mind that was kept in fantasy all their lives. That's terrifying to me.

It's terrifying to me that people get up in the morning and the radio's blaring, generally with the news. They drive to work, people drive to work listening to the same stuff. They go to their work and they're caught up in the rush and the worry and the scurry, and often there's background music too in a lot of places. Then they come home, the same thing, blaring away. And then they come in and they're zonked out and they get more brainwashing through the news media on television and all the rest of it. How come all of your time has been so carefully catered to? And you've been taught that this is all normal.

Do you understand, the reason for it all is that no group can bring in this new system, can't be done, if folk are in control of their own minds, and they decide what they're going to listen to, or not listen to anything at all. And unfortunately people today are, they're terrified. Look at them. They're fiddling with their phones wherever they go and texting and all the rest of it. People sit in restaurants and cafés with their friends, and no one's talking anymore. Do you realize how important it is to keep you from communicating real thoughts, self-thoughts, your own thoughts?

Do you realize, that's the most terrifying thing to those who say that they are controlling it all? That's the most terrifying thing, if it's not working on you. Why isn't it working on you? If too many folk are affected and it's not working on them anymore, and they're stopping and thinking for themselves, in silence – you know, that odd thing, that rare thing called silence today – that could be dangerous. They'd have to try and stop that. Or create an instant crisis so that you're wrapped up thinking you're fighting for your life or something. And they would do that too. But still, you've got to go on and do it.

Start thinking for yourselves. Turn everything off once in a while and really sit and think. Sit and think. An odd thing these days, but sit and think for yourself. Stop thinking that the person on television, or this professor or that professor, knows more than you do and he's more important. Oh, I'm only small so my thoughts aren't important at all... Stop thinking that way. Stop it... and see what happens. That's freedom for you. Understanding is freedom. Understanding... and not being collapsed by bad news or impending doom.

The studies that were done during the Cold War on the public, of both nations, you know, the Soviet system group and the Western one. The studies on the fear of being annihilated by nuclear war were so intensely studied, it was so important to find the same techniques and use other reasons for terrifying the public. That's where it all started, you know. I used to be amazed when the top scientists from Britain and the US and so on would go over to Russia every year for their annual science meetings, meeting the same scientists, their opposition over there, working on the same things. Do you really think that was a Cold War? when they said that the top sciences of any country – those were the ones with the top sciences, pure sciences – would defeat the other? Well, how would they be letting their top scientists share all this information? It was a joke, folks. The goal was always for a world governmental system. The Soviets were for it and so was the West, the top capitalists were for it. And here we are, it's all been done, pretty well, eh.

And they've got you stampeding again with this and that; you don't get a minute to breathe here. When you think something is finished over in that country, bingo, they're hitting another one. Oh, that's all you'll hear. And when you're completely absorbed with all that, look at all the laws they are ramming down, which take away all the pretense of freedom and rights. So by thinking at least you can free yourself from it, and keep your sanity. Keep your sanity. You've got to keep your sanity, there's nothing else out there. Nothing else

out there.

And keep warm, by the way, those that are freezing, because it's one heck of a winter here for sure. And I know for a fact this winter, with the heavy manipulation and the heavy chemical spraying in the skies, that are still going on during all these deep freezes and heavy snowstorms, they'll turn it into perpetual rain come the spring here, I guarantee you, and it's going to be flooding. So you've got another crisis on the go. Then they'll say, it's global warming. You know this is going to happen, oh it's because we're destroying the atmosphere. And we've got to pay massive, massive carbon taxes. And they'll really hype it up. It's probably already written up, including all the presentations recorded in advance and they'll just let it all go at once. And they'll guide us into the right conclusion... Well, we can't keep driving on the roads, for goodness sake. Before you know it, you are into Agenda 21 with no private vehicles getting owned anymore except for the very wealthy who can afford all the incredibly high taxes and penalties and carbon taxes and so on, and CO2, etc, etc., etc.

It's rather boring, isn't it, living in this life when you know what's coming down the pike? It really is. But at least you don't go into shock when the next thing happens; you know it's going to come down the pike. So as I say, sorry for ranting on here but I've been busy this week shoveling and all the rest of it and trying to keep warm. It's bad enough trying to get out of my driveway; it's an awful, awful long driveway with constant snow coming down. And the roads, too, aren't plowed right to the ground. They always have a sheet of ice left at the end of it and you've got to crawl over that sometimes. So I've been awfully, awfully busy doing a lot of things too. And I hope you're all keeping well and keeping your own sanity, and finding yourselves... finding yourselves as well, and thinking for yourselves.

So from Hamish – who is my wonderful pooch, who is fed up with winter too by the way – it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

[For more information please visit the following websites: cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu.]

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

March 9, 2014 (#1428)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

Poem Copyright Alan Watt March 9, 2014:
Inevitable Fate of Sanctioned Hate:
"Gowned Profs Sitting at the Plate,
Dishing Out to Soldiers Hate,
Hypocrisy, A One-Way Street,
Ensuring Disarmed Target's Defeat,
It's the Age of Conflict in Relations,
Of Authorized Funded Organizations,
Passive Sides Give No Opposition,
Attacked by Destroyers of Tradition,
Vanguard Leaders Howl and Leer,
On Payroll of the High Puppeteer,
Screaming Followers, Avant-Garde, Radical,
Funnelled by College into the Fanatical,
Fools Chant Nouveau Socialist Novenas,
Machiavelli-Created for Utopian Dreamers,
From Fringe of Battle, Emotive, Hectic,
Guided Symbiosis of the Dialectic,
Genderists' Cry of Freedom is Lame,
Demanding You as They Be the Same
New Puritans, All Drab like Ants,
Except Both Genders Wearing Pants,
God Help She of Feminine Beauty,
Tarred and Feathered, Hair Shorn Cruelly,
Self-Righteous Perpetrators of the Deed,
Ignorant of the Shadow Creed
Which Funds Them in this Mystic Dichotomy
Of Also Running, Promoting All Pornography,
Planned Synthesis Signed in Treaties Formal,
Where All Deviancy Declared New Normal,
C.G. Darwin's "Wild Men" Laugh at Pathos,
Toast Triumph of New Order Out of Chaos"
© Alan Watt March 9, 2014

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - March 9, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your

location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix, in a blurb form of course, on March the 9th, 2014. I've mentioned many times in the past about the art of conology. Conology really is a science, a tremendous science on how to manage masses of people, not just individuals, by a form of mental trickery and linguistic trickery, etc. Plus the need of behaviorists and psychologists and anthropologists, even zoologists, all working together to find out what works with all animals, or higher mammals, which will obviously work with humans too. And unfortunately they do.

So it's well studied of course and all governments have been using experts in these fields, big batteries of experts all along to manage all of us. So do all the associate industries, or institutions as they like to call them, which are essential to governance, such as, not just the banking system but also the entertainment industry, the political industry. All these industries are institutions which we take for granted as being sort of independent and there to either benefit us or help us or even make us laugh. But they all work together, very much so. That's why you'll find all of these institutions get common money from the common people through taxations via the Pentagon or whatever it happens to be to make movies and so on. So the art is tremendous, tremendous, of managing millions and millions of people.

Now the United Nations of course, with all the treaties they sign too, and all their batteries of think tanks and experts and behaviorists and psychologists and neurologists and neuroscientists, etc., we're all being managed perfectly well, with being nudged, or made to feel guilty about something we shouldn't be, all for big, big purposes which those who feel guilty don't even understand at times. We're being prompted and guided all along. I'd love to spend hours and hours talking about just that aspect of it but along with this comes the agenda. There's only one agenda. There's only ever been one agenda for hundreds of years actually.

I've gone through the histories, for instance, of how the revolutions came along, especially the French Revolution is well noted because of the similarity of the later Communist or Soviet Revolution, the Bolshevik Revolution where basically an entire class of people were slaughtered. In fact, I think it was Trotsky who boasted about that, we've eliminated a whole class of people, and of course they replaced it with their own; that's what he was referring to. So revolutions are very, very important. And we are conditioned to think of bloody slaughters as revolutions. But most revolutions, as I say, are bloodless. They are social revolutions, cultural revolutions, which are well planned by the same techniques, often by the same think tanks behind them, the same money powers behind them to change our behavior and modify us all along the same paths of thinking in a form of political correctness or whatever it happens to be at that time.

We're lied to all the time naturally. We're lied to as children are lied to; in fact, it's the same technique. The noble lie is used, which means it's done for a good purpose. That's how the psychopaths can always rationalize whatever they do. It was for a good purpose... The victim is always blamed, you see, for the indoctrination they're getting. So that's where we are today. We are wild animals supposedly. We're too stupid to think for ourselves and therefore an elite have to do all the thinking for us, because we can't be trusted to do the right thing. In fact, pretty well, Sunstein himself, one of the science czars in the US, said that in one of his own books, that the people can't be trusted to make the right decisions, so he put out the book called Nudge. He's put out other ones too. Very, very shallow books because they don't want the public knowing the real in-depth science of mass management of your minds.

The revolutions of course were to also alter the society of their day into a common guidance, into a new system where they would, again, be conditioned, partly through fear and terror – which is the reign of terror they always have. And with the bloodless revolutions there's always the threat eventually, if you don't conform to the political correctness, of even imprisonment, you know. First it's, you can't say this or even ask a question, and then it comes down to mass condemnation as the public themselves are trained to turn against you in a Pavlovian style. It's a very simple technique to do. I've gone through it all before in talks and it's been well copied by many talkshow hosts; which is good, it's got it across the world, on how behaviorism is so well understood by those at the top, and conditioning processes as well.

Because the guys, two guys in fact that I mentioned years ago, who the United Nations World Health Organization employed to start the antismoking campaign, said that they would create social disapproval and they would start in the schools, and they'd also have mass advertising campaigns on television as well. Plus they would get their massive lobbying groups to lobby governments to sign treaties into law so that every year they'd up the antismoking laws, you can't smoke here, you can't smoke there, etc. etc. etc. Until you'll be in your own little pad, your own little room or house or whatever, and eventually you can't smoke there either. And if you smoke outside, they conditioned people years ago to start coughing when they saw you with a cigarette 50 yards away basically; that's how they conditioned the children. Social disapproval coupled with Pavlovian training, you see, it works very, very well. And it has worked very well. So well in fact that the two guys who were employed to do that said a few years ago that they planned to be using this in other spheres of society for training the public on other ways of social approval and disapproval.

So when you think your opinions are yours, they're not yours at all. I've mentioned this many, many times. You go along with the herd, the common herd. It's taboo actually to think really as an individual and you're not allowed to have your own opinions, really, unless you just keep them to yourself in your own thoughts. Outside your thoughts then the crowd will, just like that movie, that strange movie they put out years ago, about the people who turned into pods, these aliens copied them and you saw them all turning and pointing to the ones who were still original people, and making strange sounds but all pointing to you. Well that's how they condition the public, if you don't go along with something that's politically correct and you don't parrot the PC terminology and phrases that they give you to parrot.

Most folk want to belong to their peer group. They don't want to risk dissension. They don't want finger-pointing. They want to be included in the group, not excluded in the group. So just like The Body Snatchers, I think it was called, that's how they've conditioned the general public. It's really taught initially in school, through groupthink, where everyone must eventually agree on the same outcome of any particular topic given to them, guided by the teacher naturally, or you get socially excluded.

In many talks in the past I've mentioned about how you're trained to join groups, especially through university and college. You'll find a lot of people go into what they think are radical groups, especially if they want to be avant-garde they join the radical group, you see, the cutting edge, for change. It's drummed into them by the selected professors in the social sciences, who are put there for that very purpose in fact, and they churn them out. So they come out with these causes, you see, across the world; they're all standardized, you see. And it never dawns on those who are being used, why would the system be approving turning out what you would claim is a radical, you see? If you're so radical and the system has approved you, you can't be radical at all, in a sense, otherwise you'd be fighting the system, the very people who put you out there and gave you good marks in all your different areas that you were studying, including revolutions and changing society and culture and everything else. These are approved objectives by those at the top. They're all approved, you see. So you're being used by someone for a purpose you don't understand... you don't understand. Not only that, you're not going to be very happy because you're going to go through life with a chip on your shoulder. You've been given the chip and you like it now. You will get certain friends of the same ilk all radically pushing towards what they think is some kind of fairness or justice or whatever, without asking, why is this being pushed by the system at the top? Who benefits from this ultimately?

You find that they all tie in with the same old revolutionary causes that have been going on for hundreds of years. Do you really think that an establishment, that's all powerful – which it is by the way, only because we accept it as it is, and we are conned so well, and trained to accept it. Do you really think they would risk anything that wasn't in their particular interest? Do you? I've gone through HG Wells's stuff and many other propagandists for this particular institution that seems to be behind most of it, at least the coordination of it, and you find that he said that, the destruction of the family unit was of prime importance... prime importance. It was the last vestige of a tribe, and a tribe will stand up for each other if they're attacked by any authority. It would be much easier, said Wells, when the government can speak right down to you, directly, as an individual and there's no one, there's no family to stand up for you, no tribe, no cousins or anything else. All those links are broken and you're on your own. It's far, far easier isn't it?

Today of course we're all sitting... most folk watch TV, many on their own, or they're completely absorbed in

their electronic gadgets, that are given, which monitor them and profile them constantly with any change in their personalities or interests. They know everything about you. Even the radicals are stamped as being safe, because they know exactly what they are and they are doing the approved things. Most folk never figure this out. Even when you're looking to protest something, even against radicals, there are ready-made groups for you to join, which never get anywhere, never seem to get anywhere either, you go around in circles. If you start them yourself from the grassroots they will be infiltrated so quickly with people who come in, very nice and sociable – they're psychopaths actually, trained by government, they have thousands of them; because this is nothing new, even long before the Internet they were doing this kind of thing – and they will cause disruption eventually. Before you know it you'll be either falling apart, breaking up, or else they'll have you turned 180 degrees until you're championing "save mother earth" or something. This actually happened, I know this, to a couple of big groups.

So everything is taken care of, naturally. Power doesn't let itself be attacked. Power doesn't even let itself get attacked in the future. They act now and they nip things in the bud before anything can get off the ground that could be of any nuisance to them in any possible way. There are ready-made groups for you to join. Ready-made, tailor-made and you walk in and there's a suit there to suit you, it will fit you, you can slip right into it. Your doctrine is all set out for you, your catchphrases, your slogans, all these things are made for you and you'll know the ropes. If you're a good psychopath you can do well in these organizations because you'll learn all the slogans. You'll have no problem about having to adopt a whole bunch of things you don't agree with to get the one thing you agree with through. Because that's how they work in, say, the far left wing.

It's actually impossible for a normal person to be for every single thing in a doctrine. So when you hear it all spouted then you know for a fact this is scientifically designed, run by a much higher authority than you think. And those who go along with it, believe you me, will be ruthless, utterly ruthless. If there's any point, or any networking for instance, with any weird group that's out there, or another weird group that they don't agree with, you will be out. They're ruthless. And sometimes, like we saw in the Soviet system, if they come to power they are bloodthirsty, I mean ruthlessly bloodthirsty. Don't forget that ever.

So when you see a religious type belief to a political cause, like the far-far left, then you're dealing with the same thing over and over again. But it's authorized from the top, what you think is probably the capitalist system. Because we know the capitalist system, the ones who rule all of that – and they have rulers by the way – gave you the communist system, the dialectic, to get a better society they can control, you know, under the fake guise of a one for all and all for one idea, this socialism they like to call it today. It's the same system. The same system. It's the reemergence of the Soviet system but it's actually the more improved, advanced Soviet merged with the West completely. Massive management by government agencies and authorities right down to the individual, right down to the birth of the child actually with its advocate given to them by the state in some countries like Scotland and England and Wales and other European countries, to make sure as that child is growing up they can constantly give them psychological tests, lots of chats with them, and readjust them. Adjust them if they have any self-thoughts, as Orwell would say, own-thoughts. If they don't just spout slogans and are happy with everything around them, then they'll be adjusted, and medicated too no doubt as well.

This is an old idea. It's happening today, as we live through it. I've always said, when you have the old agenda you don't have to toss it out the window because they had the whole future planned in the old agenda. And it's a long-term agenda. Most men, remember... Men are short-term planners and thinkers. Women are much longer term planners... longer, way, way, way further than the guys. The guys like to plan things and build it right away. Women can work on something for a long time in the future. So the feminine technique was copied and used by those at the top. They've studied us, as I say, for eons for goodness sake, and that's awfully good. INTERGENERATIONAL changes, the Fabian technique is the best. And by using wars and various crises, because we're ruled by crisis and worry, naturally. The threat of a war, real, cold or terroristic, or economical crashes, whatever it happens to be, keeping you in a state of fear and terror gives government more power, you see, through the appearance of all these crises, which mainly are bogus and fake.

Remember too, that the bulk of the population governing all of us, and there's an awful lot of them today, and lots and lots of bureaucrats, millions of bureaucrats, and their families too, all getting awfully well looked

after by the state. It all comes from you at the bottom. They don't want to toss it out the window. If they get rid of all of you, I mean where are their paychecks going to come from? They're living higher off the hog than you are. They have way more benefits than you'll ever have, they call them privileges, because they're working for government. But all wealth comes from labor. All wealth comes from the bottom. So you are basically their food supply. And their lifestyle supply. And they have trained you to live lower than they do, even though they call themselves servants, public servants. So conology, remember, uses a lot of trickery through words and word associations, etc. etc.

But getting back to the groups... You think of all the different groups out there, and sometimes I get asked to speak at different groups, with their own particular cause. That's all they see is their own particular cause, generally straight out of university and they've been given their cause by the professors. So they've stuck, okay this is going to be my cause for life and so on and so on. They think they're radical, as I say, but they're approved. And what you'll find with most of these groups is, again, to destroy the old system, which they see as the old system of traditionalism, of any kind at all, any kind whatsoever, traditional. They're all for government intervention into people's mindsets, children's mindsets, through different techniques. They're very angry with any opposition whatsoever, I mean furiously angry. Furiously, as I say, it's always on the edge of the mass slaughter techniques that the Bolsheviks used. And never ever forget that. But it's approved by government. In fact, many of them get government grants to exist. Their meeting houses are often paid for. Their leaders are tax exempt, often, foundations; that's what they come from. And government is quite happy with them. So they are working for government whether they know it or not, and a big agenda, a world agenda, which they think they understand but they don't in actual fact.

Now, radical people like that, what they want, what they really want is not freedom for people at all. It's the opposite. Now, logic must be used in philosophy for instance, and reason. Logic and reason. Something which is logic and reason, using those techniques, must be consistent. It must be. So if they're really pushing for freedom they'd have to accept that other people see freedom in a different light than they do. But they don't. The radicals don't see that. They hate anybody with any differing opinions. Under the guise of liberalism, for instance, they are the most intolerant people of all. Completely. They won't stand for any alternate opinion. So how can they spout on about freedom? They want to destroy their enemies and eliminate enemies. Some people belonged to radical, what they call... what they call radical, and that's such a joke. As I say, if it's authorized from university, how can it be radical? Do you think government wouldn't step in if it was any kind of threat whatsoever to a system that they run? Do you really think so? Of course they wouldn't. But it benefits somebody and it's not the ones pushing it.

But supposing you went into radical feminism, and you've been filled with hatred by giving partial stories, selective stories, throughout your histories etc.; that's how it's done, not all inclusive. And lots of these women think that every guy in the past went off to the pub every day and lived a life of luxury, dressed in lace and silk stockings and three-cornered hats. And nothing was further from the truth. Because up until really, really up until the 20th century, in lots of European countries, most folk were still regarded as serfs. And serfs really had no rights or property or anything else. And the guys died early because of the workload. And their diets were terrible for themselves and their families. They couldn't afford proper protein and so on; they were stunted growth. They did not have a life of luxury at all. It's complete fiction.

You ever wondered that there's no movies made about peasants, anywhere? Histories are always about the famous and those who are dressed in lace and all the rest of it. This is what history is about. You don't count, the proles don't count. They never have counted. Even today they don't; the proles don't count, you see, as Orwell said. But when you're teaching people to be radical you give them a completely fake view of history, you see. And the radicals, what they really want is to impose their will and have their system imposed on everybody else, without exception... without exception. They're not for freedom at all. They can't coexist with any alternate way, just their way. That's the truth of it, you see.

So you're not using logic or reason here. If you were, just be radical and say, yeah we can't exist with you here. Don't go off using freedom and blah-blah-blah-blah-blah, freedom for all. And don't go off into being offended. If you want to be offended about anything, well, let all of your opponents, that you see as opponents, use the same techniques on you, and they can be offended at whatever you're doing. Quite simple. If you're all for freedom and equality then let it be across the whole board. But they won't, you see. They won't.

I think Albert Pike mentioned that too, and Mazzini did as well, that they would eventually unleash... eventually unleash the radicals and the atheists, the nihilists and the atheists, to accomplish the rest of the work, which really was to be fairly, fairly bloodless, mainly, again, through social changes, cultural changes and so on. That's where we are today as they're all being used. They're all being used.

At the very, very top of course, the same guys who run the international corporations aren't bothered by them at all. They actually donate money to a lot of these groups, and the environmentalists and all the rest of it. Because they want laws passed, which are never what you think they are. You're not going to have the time to read through 10,000, 20,000 pages for a law. But their lawyers can do it all. And they benefit from all the laws that get passed, that restrict everybody else from living and by their own means at all, right down to a common person, until eventually they can't even collect firewood anymore to heat themselves. They've got to be made totally dependent on a system for everything they need to live for. And the far lefties are all for that too, you see.

It's wonderful how things really are. I mean, I think it's fascinating, really. So I tell people, never follow leaders because the big boys will train them, give them fantastic training, set them up to be your leaders, before they make the move where that leader is even necessary to appear, rather than let your own leaders pop out of nowhere from the grassroots that they can't control. That's standard technique. Standard, standard, standard, you see. But people never catch on.

Selected political leaders are put out there of course to carefully use these ready-made groups, authorized by the system, for ends, again, for their own ends naturally, but also for the new system. The same system is the new system; the new system has the same boys running it as they always did. Big power elites, etc., megacorporations, the ultra-ultra-ultra rich, and you think they're going to let you destroy their system? Of course they're not. But you're certainly getting used to destroy all the rest of the people who maybe are against parts of the system. So you'll neutralize them. And you'll also divert them off having to oppose you, as you try to destroy their way of life for whatever it happens to be. Quite simple, isn't it? Very old technique and it works every time. Because people are emotive creatures as opposed to reasoning creatures, you see. That's why it's always emotive topics that they hit you with.

Now, those who do the reasoning, such as, say, Charles Galton Darwin... very interesting group of people, the Darwins. Because they're also intermarried with the Huxleys, if you go into their genealogies. Sir Thomas Huxley was the champion of Darwinism when Darwin died. He was the best pal of Darwin. He was called Darwin's bulldog. So intergenerationally these families, they classify themselves as the scientific elite working with the super-rich elite. They constantly, down through the generations, work on the same topics, same agendas, etc. It's very interesting. Interesting too, when you go into the history of the Darwins and you find that from Charles onward they were completely intermarried for generations with one family. They were already selectively breeding with the Wedgwood family. The Wedgwood family also includes the Benn, Tony Wedgwood Benn, a politician in Britain. I think his daughter's taken over from him; and they're pushing the same agendas, what appears to be the far left causes, you see. But it's not really far left. It's complete socialistic control of every individual in society; that's what it really is. And it appears to be the same as communism.

That's why professor Carroll Quigley, who was all for this, who worked for the Council on Foreign Relations, also called the Royal Institute of International Affairs in Britain. He said that he worked for them, he was the historian for them, and they are often mistaken for communism because their goals are really the same. Well, if they're the same, they ARE the same, folks, you see. The capitalists, using also the scientific elite, work towards the same agenda as what you would think is communism, for total social control through multi layers of government, and condition children from school, from birth right on through school etc. Conditioning, conditioning, conditioning. It makes it easier for the top capitalists to run the world when you're all under total control, when you're all predictable.

Now, talking about Charles Galton Darwin, who was the physicist of the family, he worked on the Manhattan Project. But he was also an incredible eugenicist who was still pushing eugenics and eugenic control of the population after World War II when the eugenicists retreated for a while because of the racial purity laws of Germany, Nazi Germany, what happened in Germany. They don't want to mention of course what happened

in the Soviet Union who were doing this kind of thing long before them. Because the Soviet system is protected by the darlings of the left. But this is what he said in his own book, Charles Galton Darwin, who actually made a lot of money making weapons of mass destruction because they love humanity so much. But he said here that:

(The Next Million Years by Charles Galton Darwin, 1952 edition.)
The restraint of the breeding of the feebleminded is important and it must never be neglected... (Alan: Now, remember these guys will put something on the books, they love to put things on the books by law and of course then they stretch it and stretch it and stretch it to be all inclusive of certain other areas. It says...) ...it must never be neglected but it cannot be regarded as a really effective way of improving the human race. If by analogy one wished to improve the breed of racehorses one might accomplish a little by always slaughtering the horse that finished last in every race. But it would be a much slower process than the actual one of sending the winner to the stud farm. (Alan: This guy also wanted to make it a law, if they could make it a law, kind of a wish list, so that women could only breed with those who were already stinking rich, wealthy and aristocrats, you see.)
Conscious of this criticism, eugenists have often attempted to define what are the good characteristics which should be positively encouraged, instead of only the negative ones that must be discouraged, but the results are disappointing. Lists of meritorious qualities such as good health, good physique, high intelligence, good family history, are compiled, and those possessing them are told that they should breed, but the statements lead nowhere in practice, for no one can be expected to assess his own merits and demerits in a balanced way. (Alan: Now doesn't that really take you back to, say, the GIRFEC program in Scotland, and they have one for England under a different name, and one for Wales, where the government has already, last year, given a state-appointed guardian or whatever to every child born in the country, that must assess them consistently from the age of about two months onwards. You see, it's already here, all that stuff. So here you have it. So in other words, you can't really assess yourself, you wouldn't be honest enough, or you would have a different view of yourself than others, especially the elite, so it's going to be done for you, you see, by experts, naturally. So he says here...)
How, for example, is a man to weigh his own good health or good ability against a heredity made dubious, say, by an uncle who was insane, or again how is he to strike a balance between considerable artistic gifts—as he thinks—together with a good family record, but quite bad health. It is clearly beyond anyone to decide these things for himself, and even then the matter is only half settled, since similar judgments are needed for both partners to the marriage. However helpful the literature may be which can be consulted, it is evident that subjective judgments on such matters are too difficult; with the best will in the world they would very often be made wrongly, because, however sincerely he tries, no man can be a good judge in his own case. (Alan: He should really clarify that because I mean, Charles Galton Darwin believed HE was a very suitable candidate to continue down through the ages with lots of offspring, etc. etc.)
The only imaginable way of overcoming these difficulties would be to set up a class of consultants who would prescribe what marriages were eugenically admissible and how large the consequent families should be. But this does not solve the difficulty; it only pushes it back a stage, for it leaves unanswered the question who are to be the consultants, and what principles are to guide them in settling the values of the different qualities of mankind. It comes back to just the difficulty I described in my fable, that a tame animal must have a master, and that therefore though it might conceivably be possible to tame the majority of mankind, this could only be done by leaving untamed a minority of the population. Moreover, this minority would have to be the group possessing the most superior qualities of all. (Alan: In other words, he's talking about the master race, which he believed he belonged to, you see. I've mentioned all this years ago if you go into the archives at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. I put all these books out years ago too. Lots of people have copied them. Lots of hosts have used them. And that's good because it gets the word out about what's really happening. But he says here...)
These examples suggest the impossibility of taming mankind as a whole, but before accepting the principle fully, it is proper to examine a case where the exact contrary has happened; this is in the insect civilizations of the ants or termites. In applying the same term, civilization, to both ants and men, it is hardly necessary to say that I am drawing an analogy between things which are really of a very different quality. All species of ants (Alan: ...he says...) live in cities, and some species have developed agriculture, others animal husbandry; but all these practices are purely instinctive and individual to each species. On the other hand human civilization is an acquired character, based on education, (Alan: and so he goes on to say here...) and so is not inherent in man's nature. (Alan: In other words, this thing called civilization is based on education. Remember, education means indoctrination. See, your indoctrination gives you what they call

civilization, it's not a natural thing. So the admission that it's not a natural thing. So the elite know this, that it's not a natural thing, this civilization. Neither is this thing, obviously, they call progress. What is progress? Progress is the continuing agenda, the planned agenda of their system, this thing called civilization. So it goes on to say here...)

Nevertheless it may be worth while to follow out the analogy a little further. Admitting the different sense of the words, it may be said that all species of ants have made the third revolution (Alan: ...interesting eh...), the invention of cities, that some have made the second, agriculture, none the first or fourth, fire and science; but they have all added another revolution of their own, the complete control of the problem of sex. (Alan: It's always been so important to these guys, control of all of that, you see.) The ants' nest has no rulers at all, for the queen is hardly more than an egg-laying mechanism, and they seem to get on perfectly well without civil servants or lawyers or captains of industry.

Why cannot man set up a community like an ants' nest? This would be the ideal of the anarchist, and hitherto it has held no promise at all of success, but with the help of recent and probable future biological discoveries, some sort of imitation by man of the ants' nest cannot be quite excluded from consideration. Thus the control of the numbers of the two sexes may become possible, and with the knowledge of the various sexual hormones it might also become possible to free the majority of mankind from the urgency of sexual impulse, so that they could live contented celibate lives, instead of the unsatisfied celibate lives that are the compulsory lot of such a large fraction of the present population of the world. (Alan: That was back in the 1950s of course. They used the sexual revolution to alter that one.) If these discoveries should be made—and this is really by no means impossible—man would be able to carry out the sex revolution which is the typical characteristic of the insect civilizations. The detail would of course have to be quite different, for instead of one queen there would have to be large numbers of fertile women to renew the population, whereas there might be one king, literally the father of his country. Also it is probable that on account of their greater physical strength, it would be the men who would be the workers.

Such an organization is certainly repellently unattractive to most of us—perhaps excepting some of the autocrats of the present world—but it is not this that excludes the possibility of it. There is no danger whatever of its happening, because of the inherent difference between vertebrate and insect, for the vertebrate is so very much more flexible than the insect in its behaviour. Most insects simply die if placed on an unfamiliar food plant, whereas the vertebrate will always try experiments if its normal diet fails. An insect can be used to prey on and destroy another one that has become a pest, and, when it has done so, the predator will die of starvation; in the same role a vertebrate predator would not die, but would start to destroy some other, perhaps beneficent, species. Now of all vertebrates man is preeminent in his willingness to try experiments, so that it is inconceivable that he should settle down into the inflexible unquestioning course of life that is typical of an insect. It would call for a quite radical change in his whole nature. It would not be a mere change into a new species of homo that would be needed, nor even a change into a new genus or family or order of the mammals. It would have to be a fundamental change into a new phylum of the animal kingdom, and that would not take a mere million years, but many hundreds of millions of years. (Alan: ...except for, unless, he says...)

There is no prospect of man's nature imitating an insect's, but it is much more nearly imaginable that his development should go, like that of the dogs, into a set of breeds each specialized for a particular purpose. Now, in the book too, The Next Million Years, he goes into "we", meaning the elite themselves, could use hormones to alter the behavior of men and women. Well, we have all the bisphenol As and the xenoestrogens, all these artificial mimickers basically of estrogens, getting pumped into our bodies all the time, in our foods and from all the plastics that have been known since the 1890s contain this stuff. And also too, I've gone through the lectures where it hits the male fetus in the womb at the age of about 8 to 12 weeks, very important, if the mother's on a high dose of this stuff, then he's not going to have his proper accoutrements in the proper order, or at least in the proper dimensions you might say, that they should have developed into. They know all of this stuff too. These techniques are being used. He also mentioned using it, putting it into the water supply or putting it into inoculations and various other techniques for altering the behavior of men and women. He also knew too of course, that too much of this would affect the women and make them more aggressive actually. So, it's very interesting.

Now, a little secret about these books that go out there by these people, whenever they say something, like it's going to be like the future that all this will happen, they're already doing it. They've always been this way. It's the same now with geoengineering; they've been doing it since 1998 solidly across the world, on a regular basis. But they keep having these meetings pretending, they're discussing what would the effects be if they ever should do it. This is the standard technique of keeping you in a different reality of what's happening. He says...

At every turn the argument leads back to this question of the master breed. Nothing can be done in the way of changing man from a wild into a tame animal without first creating such a breed, but most people are entirely inconsistent in their ideas of what they want created. On the one hand they feel that all the world's problems would be solved if only there were a wise and good man who would tell everybody what to do, but on the other hand they bitterly resent being themselves told what to do. As to which of these motives would prevail, it seems at least probable that it would be the resentment, so that if the breed should arise in any manner, it would be extirpated before it could ever become well established. It is, however, imaginable, that there might be a part of the world in which the breed was accepted, and that this part should gain a superiority over the rest of the world, because it could develop various suitable breeds of specialists under the control and direction of the master breed, and by the exercise of the skills of these specialists it might overcome the other nations. So it is appropriate to look a little further into the matter. (Alan: …he says. Later on, lower down that page it says, and that's page 131, the 1952 edition, he says...)

These would be of great value, but they would not be the master breed, and the question arises of a more precise prescription for what the qualities of the master breed are to be.

It is usually best to build on what one already has, rather than to start from nothing. So the natural procedure would be to begin with existing rulers, since these have already established themselves as acceptable to at least a good many of their fellow creatures. One would collect together, say, a hundred of the most important present rulers—among them of course should be included a good many who exert secret influence without holding any overt office (Alan: …that's your Bill Gates, your Rockefellers, etc. etc. that he's talking about.) —and tell them to get on with the business of settling what the master breed should be. It is impossible to believe that any such body of men would ever reach agreement on any subject whatever; so this plan fails. (Alan: That's actually been very successful today.) In the search for the qualities of the master breed the next idea might be to appeal to the wisdom of our forefathers. Plato in his Republic devotes much attention to this very subject. Why not then find a Plato, give him his group of recruits, and let him educate them for thirty years according to his prescription— though perhaps fortifying it by the findings of modern educational theory; the result should be the master breed. But this will not do either, for Plato was not educating the master breed, he was educating the civil servant breed. It is not about these that there is any difficulty; it is the finding of someone to fill the role of Plato himself. It all comes back to the point that we do not know in the remotest degree what we want; for I do not count as an answer the one that would usually be proposed, which would be that the type required should be good and wise, while at the same time showing a special favour for the particular enthusiasms of the proposer. The reason for the impossibility of making a prescription for the master breed is that it is not a breed at all; to call it so is to change the sense of the word. Breeds are specialized for particular purposes, (Alan: Actually, the Bureaucrat is a breed. He is specialized for particular purposes.) but the essence of masters is that they must not be specialized. (Alan: He's talking about the wild man.) They have to be able to deal with totally unforeseen conditions, and this is a quality of wild, not of tame, life. No prescription for the master breed is possible. (Alan: You can't put any impositions or restrictions on him.)

In these considerations I have been assuming the licence of supposing that we might be able really to change human nature in a heritable manner, and this is far beyond all probability. Returning now to more practical considerations, there seems no likelihood whatever of a master breed arising. (Alan: Well since then it apparently has.)

Of all animals man is the most ready to try experiments and there are always candidates—far too many candidates—who regard themselves as fit members for the master breed. This quality is a characteristic of a wild animal, and it will always prevent man from domesticating himself. He will always prevent the creation of the master breed, through which alone the rest of man could be domesticated. The evolution of the human race will not be accomplished in the ten thousand years of tame animals, but in the million years of wild animals, because man is and will always continue to be a wild animal.

Now, the big organizations, that some of his cousins attended, world conferences and so on, working on this very problem, came up with the idea of literally going ahead, and not through just simply persuasion to change societies across the planet, but by literally using chemicals, hormones, inoculations and so on to dumb down lots of the public already deemed to be inferior, which are the common proles, and to alter their

sexual behavior, in many ways, not just through social changes which are promoted and encouraged and advertised and really pushed, but also through altering the makeup of your endocrine system basically, and your hormonal levels. In other words, you become fairly sterile. And too much estrogen in the male has obvious consequences as well, which are self-evident today. So it's been done. It's already been done. It's interesting, the Doublespeak throughout the books these guys put out because you'll find in other chapters little hints that these things were already being worked on and implemented in his day. Very interesting, as I say.

Don't you understand, this whole myth of democracy, this placebo we are given, this safety valve to blow off steam, is a joke? It's a joke, folks. I mean, why do you bother voting for your country when your country is already internationally run, by international corporations, too, involved for their own benefit and not for your benefit? Where organizations like the United Nations set up by the Royal Institute of International Affairs, a private organization, CFR, which also set up the IMF and run that, which also set up the Bank for International Settlements and run that, all private organizations, why bother voting under this pretense of democracy when private organizations have set up the whole system that you're voting for? Why? We're privately run. The world is privately run. Quite simple.

But now you'll see why these pressure groups of radicalized students are churned out of universities with their own particular causes; they think it's theirs but it's not of course. They will never figure out the end goal of all of this. And even if they could achieve their end goal, whatever chip that's on their shoulder won't be removed. It won't be removed because the chip really is there and under the guise of their cause. The chip's got something else wrong with the person, generally, when they're really radical and angry and furious. But they're used and they're created and they're authorized and they're churned out in every generation, and they'll never figure it out of course. Every one of them wants special status. Not equal status, special status, you see. So how can you have equality when some people are getting special status? How can you have equality?

So why go along with the pretense that you have it in the first place, of democracy, or any kind of freedom? If you had freedom no topic can be taboo. None. Zilch. None. Even if it's topics you don't like, or you're afraid of, it shouldn't be taboo. If people want to discuss it, let them discuss it or ask the questions. And if you think that some topic sounds nutty or crazy, well don't worry about it. There should be a lot of other people too who will say, well it's nutty or crazy; just don't make laws to impose it on everybody else, that's all.

Now, most folk, as I say, unfortunately, will never figure out the system. They get caught up in… Even after this talk and the many talks I've given over the years, with the next day's news they'll listen to, whatever they listen to, be scared stiff and go back into emotive responses to things. That's how you're all controlled, through fear and emotion. A lot of people use the fear, fear, fear, fear, and at the end of an hour's talk they'll sell you something for five minutes which is the antidote to the fear. It's always awfully expensive, mind you. So apparently money will allay fear, you can buy things to allay fear, etc. etc. etc. And I have no time for that kind of thing at all. It's disgusting. It's a disgusting technique to create that kind of thing. It's legal but it's disgusting. It tells you all you need to know about the person using it. It really does.

It would be like a salesman coming into your house trying to sell a vacuum cleaner. And you've had vacuum cleaners over the years, different kinds of vacuum cleaners, and he gives you his sales pitch. And he sums up this person may buy one and may not. They know right away; they know within 30 seconds if you're going to buy. And they have all these techniques which are used from psychology, that politicians also use on you, etc. And you follow into it. Anyway, if he's not getting what he wants from you, which is a sale, he can then use the next dirty trick, which is, oh, you know I've got a little video here I can pop in your computer and let you see it, and it will show you all the hidden mites and things that can seriously damage your health. And then he pops this thing in there and by God, there's a child with asthma dying on a bed there, wheezing, and you say, oh my God this is awful. Yeah, and look at it, the house looks nice and clean. Generally they've got it picked as a house that's so darn tidy, you know, it's been done with a whole professional crew and not your vacuum cleaner. But anyway, it doesn't matter. The whole point is to say, well look at this incredibly tidy house, and look at these mites under the microscope, everyone's got them apparently. And then it goes into, there's some doctor, some guy in a white coat – they always wear the stethoscopes hanging around their neck; that's a badge of approval, you know, and I don't know why, trying

to run with a stethoscope around your neck is not easy; they always fall off. But the fact is, this is the rubbish they condition the public with. So here comes the standard doctor with his badge of approval hanging around his neck and he says, oh yes, he says, and he goes in to give you a bit of anatomy and physiology and some of the biology. And he tells you of how it destroys the cells in the lungs and so on, the cilia, oh my God, they won't work properly. And you see these blowups of damaged lung tissue, you know, generally from someone that's been through World War I with being gassed with mustard gas, but they don't tell you that. Anyway, it has its effect. And by the time he's finished you're ready to buy his vacuum cleaner. Simple techniques.

Therefore, when you listen to something like that, fear driven, and here's the antidote, what does that tell you about the person pushing it? Is that a person with integrity? Is it? That's up to you to decide. Now, some folk get addicted to fear. I've known people who have bought underground shelters in the past, when that was getting pushed back in the 90s, because they thought there was going to be a nuclear war. And they thought that if it wasn't going to be a nuclear war, then that's also when all the plague movies came out, like virus and blah-blah-blah, terrifying folk, you see. And the Masters who utilize fear get in on the act and they're selling these things like mini submarines that they bury under the garden, with a big, big backhoe and it costs you a fortune and all the rest of it. One guy I know even uses it now as a root cellar because of course he's never had to use it. So this is how it goes.

Think before you get panicked. Don't get panicked. If somebody's trying to panic you there's always an ulterior motive. Government runs the same way. It's always, as I say, war, real war which they create, or the threat of a war, or a cold war which is fantastic because the R&D money and the taxation goes up and you don't complain because they're protecting you from a potential war. We're just used, folks, I'm sorry to say. Or financial crisis... Oh there's going to be a financial crisis blah-blah-blah. Or the country is in debt. What do you mean the country is in debt? Why is the country borrowing money in the first place? ...look at all the taxes they bring in.

And this democracy is wonderful. Do you see in any charter of any country that they can't borrow money? Of course it's not there. Who runs them? The banks do, the ones who lend to them, the big international bankers. But people keep voting for the same system, that's never worked. And every time, twice a century at least they have the two big crashes where they plunder you; the banks never lose a damn thing. They gain a lot, because we always bail them out. And you keep voting for the same system. I'm just tossing ideas out there; I'm not advocating something else. I'm just telling you how it is.

It's conology. It's just conology. But I'm trying to get you to think for yourselves at least and question things. That's a start. That's a start, folks. But you're run by sciences which most folk haven't got a clue exist. Haven't got a clue. A simple part of conology, as I say, is the weather. Never mind the fact that they're spraying you, they're manipulating the weather, have been for years, and using HAARP along with it too apart from the spray. I've gone through all the talks that Mr Teller did back in the 1950s talking about spraying the skies with metallic particles and then hitting it with magnetic frequency waves and pulse waves, altering weather. Old techniques, so nothing's new. And it's been done.

I've been keeping my own records of these cold temperatures here and it's March and last Wednesday night into Thursday morning it was back down to almost 40 below again at night; when it gets 40 below it's almost the same in both Celsius and Fahrenheit. It was about 25 or 30 below last night. But you look into the government stations and they've only got it down about 2 to 6 below. They never change it all night long, nor the next day. Why is that? It's because, you see, very few folk, I'm one of the oddballs that still has outside thermometers; I like to check things myself. Most folk don't have them. Brzezinski said in the 70s in his book Between Two Ages, most folk eventually will be unable to think for themselves, they will expect the media to do their reasoning for them. So you tune into the media, the news channel, whatever, and they tell you something. And it must be true, why would they be lying to you? It's so simple. It doesn't fit in with global warming, you see. And you've got all these new carbon taxes flooding in like crazy. It doesn't fit in.

We are conned on every level out there. The TV is just the con box, and an indoctrination box, because there's not a darn thing out there from a comedy to a drama to a movie that doesn't have, convey with it... See, it's the conveying mechanism to download you with something, either hypersexualize you, or to update you with your PC stuff, or to instill guilts into you for things you've never done, to give special statuses to

different kinds of people and so on and so on and so on. That's how it's done, folks. It's the delivery system, it's weaponized. It's the delivery system. The story in any movie or drama or thriller is the delivery system, the hook to make you follow it through all the sex and all the rest of it, the sex and violence and blah-blah-blah-blah-blah; it's the delivery system. Especially teenage horror movies, tits and bum movies I call them. The little bit of story is simply to keep you watching it, and say okay I should really turn it off but I want to find out how it ends, the story, the thriller part of it. And you watch all the rest of it on the way, and you're contaminated. It's very simple. Old techniques, eh. Nothing new under the sun.

Well, from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

For more information visit the websites cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu.

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

March 16, 2014 (#1429)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

""Hidden" Masters Posing Answers,
Age-Old Formula Milked by Chancers"
© Alan Watt March 16, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - March 16, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix, a blurb that is, and it's March the 16th, 2014. I've been awfully busy; I'd like to put more blurbs out in the meantime. I've got more interviews coming up on other shows. I do have the opportunity to go back on regular shows but I don't want to get caught up in that too quickly because it's a full-time job basically trying to go over all the world's problems. And the problems will keep coming because they're manufactured for us to follow, in fact. That's how you direct the future, by those who already own it basically, and own the past. So I've been awfully, awfully busy. Even last night into today I've been working on the water pump here because that packed in about 3 o'clock in the morning. The problem is, outside you can't get into the well because it's under about 6 feet of snow. So I'm stumbling along here as you have to do some times when nothing else is working properly.

Tonight I was wanting to talk about this age we're living in, the age, which is well directed; every age is well directed by those in power. I've gone into the history of the creation of systems. And everything is a system, a complete system worked out by not only those who rule over it but all their helpers. From the ancient times you had priesthoods, advisers; they were the advisers. They decided who pharaohs would see and wouldn't see in fact; they had a lot of power. Then the other religions took over from that down through time. Then we have a thing called democracy where we've got not just kings and queens to keep supported in a lifestyle we can never imagine, but all their offspring and thousands and thousands of bureaucrats as well, who must live higher on the hog than you'll ever, ever see. That's the problem we have today.

But those in power and those who manage the power structure on behalf of the owners of the system make sure you're given a complete system to believe in. All your reality, in fact, is manufactured for you. Even the memes that you'll see and the themes that you'll see that are put out there, where you have different topics, often related to each other, across the world, are in fact just that. They're related to each other to get you gossiping, yapping, thinking about things that they're telling you to think about. They can be minor things, fairly minor, or the ooh and aah stories that we always get. But you also get an awful lot of preparation for

the future: austerity, Agenda 21, also called the Millennium Project and many other names, sustainability, etc. etc. We're all being trained into this new system on behalf of those who manage it, way above us of course. Most of them you'll never even know their names, of all the thousands and thousands of guys working in think tanks, men and women, and working as advisers for presidents and prime ministers, on behalf of the Masters who own the system basically. And those who run the money always own the system. They always do. Money is power in this system. They made sure of that, you see. That's why they have the money. That's why a small clique always keeps control of the distribution and creation of money across the planet, this elastic thing called money that's backed by nothing generally except massive debt. But that's okay because you'll always pay off the debt in real goods and sweat and all the rest of it. Remember, there's many forms of slavery. Many, many forms of slavery.

I've mentioned so many times about Charles Galton Darwin talking on behalf of the elite in the 1950s when he said, we are in the process of creating a more efficient form of slavery. It's one, in other words, which you'll never figure out. You'll never figure it out. You'll get the ones down below to attack. You'll have politicians to attack, throw tomatoes at, and go "boo". Prime ministers and presidents, they come and go, then the next one is just as bad as the last one and the same agendas go on, you see. So you're given these Punch and Judy shows to distract you, and to give you hope that once you get this guy out the next one might be better. It never is of course because there's only one agenda.

Remember, you can be taxed to death. Tax means 'to labor'. The original idea of democracy had it written, well, talked about, put it that way, because the British Constitution is one of these verbal ones where they can, it's very, very flexible for lawyers to use; it's intentionally so. But the idea is that you couldn't tax labor, because that's a form of slavery. That's what laboring is. It's a form of slavery. You tax your body, you tax your muscles, you tax your energy. So they brought in the income tax of course. So it's a very sneaky way of getting your income off of you. In other words, the fruits of your own labor are taken from you and they disguise slavery under different reasons for doing so. It's always for the greater good, of those who rule you and all their helpers who live so high on the hog.

However, getting back to the main thing here, they always keep control of the future. I've mentioned over the many years here about the culture industry. That's where you get your opinions from, your feelings, your emotions from, your tears from, your laughter from. You're even taught what to laugh at today. In fact, it's a whole science of getting people to laugh. It's basically Pavlovian. The canned laughter that the US came out with back in the 1950s, maybe even before that for radio, was a stimulus response. You get the stimulus of hearing people laughing and you'll laugh along with it. There's even a term in Hollywood, it's called 'like a joke'. There's a formula to a joke and you come to the punchline and people are so trained in it they'll laugh at the punchline, even though it's technically not funny at all. So you're trained in so many ways you're not even aware of.

In the archives section at cuttingthroughthematrix.com I've gone through, over the years, various articles, some from the US intelligence for the military where they've published in their own magazines, articles like The Mind Has No Firewall and Perpetual War and things like that. It's very Orwellian, because remember, wars are not meant to be won; it's meant to be continued under many guises. Many reasons for that too. It keeps you subservient to the state who's going to protect you. And you don't mind getting taxed so much again because the research and development must mean we get better and better weapons to keep you safe, right? That's the whole con behind it.

In Perpetual War they talked about the techniques they were using. That particular article was so interesting because it talked about the use of the same culture industry that they had used against Americans, and the world, to be used against the whole world step by step, including the Muslim countries too. The guy in it obviously was an intelligence officer and he says, we'll give them all the degrading stuff that we've made so popular in the US, not just violence and nudity and all the rest of it, but as far as they can possibly take it, to destroy the cultures that are still firmly held together by their own moral rules, etc. etc. People who are moral can't be taken over. It's very easy to bring people around to immoral acts basically, or immoral thinking until it's standard fare on your television, so much so that you'll laugh at things that technically perhaps you shouldn't even be laughing at, including grizzly stuff as well.

It's so bad today that, and I've mentioned this many times too, you are going to see shortly the next step

that the big boys talked about, after they won the homosexual battle for it to be shown on television and so on. The next step, they said, would be Bestiality, things like that. And intergenerational sex, doing away with the gender thing altogether, and underage stuff, doing away. In fact, there's a Lord in Britain apparently just under fire right now because he stood up for one of these groups, many times, that wanted to bring down the age of consent to about four years of age. Believe it or not. Now that doesn't cause outrage today because people are so contaminated with watching their daily fare of corruption from television, because it's standard entertainment today that there's no outrage at all. There will be even less outrage when they go to the next step and the next step. That's how you do it, by the Fabian style, step by step. Give them time to absorb this and then go the next step. And we accept it very easily. It's very easy to do, isn't it? It's very easy to do.

I've talked before about the guy who was sent out to catch the wild boars in the US; some parts of the US have wild boar problems, apart from Washington DC. You find that these boars were very wild, and had all their senses there. They tried everything to catch them. A guy came in he said I'll catch them for you. He'd come in every day with his half-ton truck. The first day he'd drive and stop about a quarter of a mile away. They'd watch him and he'd dump off some feed for them and then drive away about another quarter of a mile and watch them. He kept doing that for about a week or so. Then each time he'd dropped off the food he'd get closer, he wouldn't drive so far away, and they'd get used to him. Eventually they'd come right up to the truck over the weeks. And he put the stakes in, then he put the fencing in. Eventually they came in one day and he closed the fence. That's how you do it with the public too.

Granny has been contaminated gradually in her era; she will sit with her daughter and watch stuff that is pushing the envelope, and so she doesn't turn off the TV. So the daughter or the son watches it along with them. When they grow up and have children they're more and more contaminated so they can go to the next step and the next step and the next step. Until, as I've said before, you'll have live sex on television as standard fare, even in comedies it will be live sex, you see. Because you see, you can be contaminated so easily, like Bezmenov said. Yuri Bezmenov said that they were so surprised, the KGB, when they saw the US in the 70s when they visited it, to see just how well their agenda had worked since the 1960s, to destroy the culture. Now, by that I mean destroying morality, common values that were shared, and how people would be outraged at certain things, that's all gone. That was gone then, actually, and it's really down in the pits today. Nothing bothers most folk at all, except their pocketbook; that's about it.

But I'd like to also touch on the effects it's having on the general public. Professor Quigley and many other people – professors teach this kind of thing – to do with histories and the rise and fall of empires, which they also call ages, between ages. You'll find that before it falls – let's presume it was falling naturally, which is another story altogether – then certain things happen. The people lose their traditional values. The elite, and everyone copies the elite's behavior by the way, it's mimicry, because you are taught to worship them, and you do. You're always in awe in the presence of power and lots of cash; you behave differently in their presence. You find that the debauchery starts, of course, the scandals break out, until it's normal. The general public copy the same behavior because the media and their culture industry teaches them so. In ancient times they used drama plays and so on to do it, to get people to mimic, especially the young to mimic what they saw. And eventually the people are nonfunctional, really, and they're degenerate, and they become rather stupid in many ways. There's many things they could prattle about, like all the television they have watched their entire life, and all the stars' names but anything else is out of the picture; they don't know. That's how successful it's been in this age.

Remember, these things have been done through the ages, intentionally, to destroy cultures. Just like, as I say, in Perpetual War, the article from the US war college, in their magazine. They said they would use the degeneracy that they put on Americans to basically do the same thing across the world. And they know it will work because you've got all your behaviorists and think tanks working together, and the neuroscientists, to find ways to make it more effective, even anthropologists, very important, anthropologists; they bring them into Iraq with them and all those countries that they've taken out and taken the oil. They work with the troops and so on to find the Achilles' heel in different cultures so they can destroy it all the more quicker. Sex is a great thing, and especially when there's natural restrictions on sex, sexual behavior. Sex always wins because the youth go gaga since their hormones are skyrocketing at the time. That's part of the weaponization of it all.

So those at the top know what they're doing, because only when you're degenerate can they bring in the new system where you're even more subjugated than the last system. It's very simple. That's the whole agenda, behind Agenda 21 and all of these things, to bring you into a state where you have to be told what to do by experts. And the big boys who helped design this part of the system have written their books about it. They've done it all. It's been completed. Most folk can't think without an expert... and they'll parrot automatically any expert that comes along. It could be any actor on television saying they're an expert and they'd believe it.

As I say, I've watched the thermometers here every night all through this winter. It's been the coldest winter I've ever seen in Canada. The official weather forecasts from even the government stations are about 20, sometimes 30 degrees above the real temperatures outside at night. Now, why is that? Why don't they adjust it throughout the night? They don't. They don't say, oh we're surprised by last night's temperature drop. Nope. So that goes down in the books as that's what it was. When they say five below, it was actually 35 below. Amazing stuff going on. And because you're trained not to even look or check anything for yourself, why should you check anything for yourself? Why would they lie to you? Well, it doesn't fit in with Agenda 21, global warming, and all the carbon pollution and so on, and taxes they want to make you pay. So it doesn't fit in, so it doesn't exist, you see. And it will do with most folk. Most folk will say, well I feel rather freezing but it must just be me. You see, we make excuses for it because it never dawns on them that they're getting lied to. It never dawns on them at all.

But here's the thing too, you must destroy the existing religions, especially when there's a lot of people in the same religion or from the culture that spawned off from the religion. You must attack and destroy those and bring in a humanistic type society, in the intermediate stage, before they bring in the earth worship of course, which Gorbachev talked about that they would bring a form of earth worship in. And that can be done. Like a science fiction, they can teach the young that mother earth is alive and a creature and it's the one soul of the world and all this new age stuff that they're getting prattled on about. And that's one of the biggest techniques of course that they can really, really brainwash the young with.

You look at what's happening today, just with fiction and the Internet too. You've got vampire cults. There's one today where they're into the fantasy play acting and it gets out of hand of course. One of them is talking about a vampire-obsessed truck driver. He kept sex slaves locked up in his semi-trailer near Twilight Express for months at a time, and abused while wearing fake fangs. He even filed down their teeth, made them wear false teeth. He kidnapped them.

Vampire-obsessed trucker who 'kept sex slaves locked in his semi' had arrest warrant for domestic abuse in Florida
dailymail.co.uk

Where do you think all that started? Remember Buffy the Vampire Slayer? And all the hordes of vampire movies afterwards? And these folk got into it. The sadomasochists always jump into these things. That's why you were given Buffy the Vampire Slayer and all the other ones that came afterwards. That's why you were given it, folks. I can remember at the time even in Toronto there were articles in the paper where people in Toronto, they found youngsters drinking each other's blood in back alleys and things like that. That's the effect it can have on lots of people. Then with all the sadomasochism, it's only a step from doing that and kidnapping people and going the whole way with it until you end up with death and all the rest of it.

But there's no doubt about it, this guy, it says...

• Timothy Jay Vafeades, 54, is accused of kidnapping at least two women and holding them in his semitrailer while he traveled the country

• A 19-year-old victim, who is also a relative, says he filed down her teeth and forced her to wear dentures while he wore a pair of his own with fangs

• Another adult victim says she agreed to go to dinner with him but ended up captive in his truck for three months

They were beaten a lot, almost choked to death. And this guy who did it obviously is also into child pornography; it says that they found lots of child porn on his stuff. They should use that same technique with all the British politicians; I mean a real investigation, not the fake ones you get. But anyway, this is what you've got there.

That put me in mind of all the New Age. The New Age movement came out of Freemasonry, by the way. The official monthly magazine of the Scottish Rite of Freemasonry – which isn't Scottish by the way – the name of the magazine was called The New Age. They said in their magazine that they were going to be responsible for bringing in the New Age. You see. Now, the New Age has within it the perennial religions which they bring out at this time when they're taking a culture down. The perennial religions being all vague kinds of spirituality, gurus galore, mystic meanings that you'll have to find out if you want to get to higher heaven, vibrating at higher frequencies, color-coded frequencies, to vibrate out of this world... yada-yada-ya, the oneness of us all, they say, the world soul... blah-blah-blah-blah-blah, all the airy fairy stuff, that works awfully well.

Because you see, you can always take people out of their religion, you see, but you can't take the religion out of the people. When you take something away they will try and fill it with something else in order to get meaning from life. And the more pressures and chaos you put on people, the more terrified you make them, the more desperate they are to find a meaning of life. Because you see, they can't deal with all the masses of problems... Oh we're going to have a financial collapse. We get this all the time because we're kept terrified of wars, or the threat of wars, or financial collapse, and all the rest of it, by those who own the system. That's how they keep you under control. Which makes it kind of weird because why would you want to keep the same system going that's never worked for you? And you think you can vote and change it. Oh gee....

Well anyway, that was the vampire-obsessed trucker, right. Then you had the Heaven's Gate. Remember in the 90s you had the Heaven's Gate cult, so called a religious group. In the 90s they followed this guru who brought in UFO religions, millennialism, they brought in all the New Age thing, the oneness, and plus evolution too, so your body and soul were going to evolve to the next ET kind of thing. People flocked to this character, who was a nutcase fanatic, but he had deeper problems than just that. He was also homosexual, it seems, and this is how he... a lot of them, including himself, were castrated actually in his cult.

What these guys will do, the techniques are very simple and always the same whether it's a Jim Jones or anything else. You can start going into what you think is occult teachings, and it's very popular, this kind of thing, especially, as I say, when people have lost their basic religions, their guidelines and they're desperate for meaning in life. Because they feel helpless and they can't fight all the problems of the world which they're bombarded with all the time. So they join the cults hoping to find... If I can just find the secrets of what this means and that means then I'll be godlike, I'll be free from worry, I'll be free from threats of any kind, because I'll be super, like a Superman, or godlike, you see. So out come the gurus. A lot of them are supplied to you by the CIA, because you'll find the same CIA research going on within all these cults before they end up killing themselves. That's always there.

Because you see, they can use that on a bigger scale on the general population, and this is what's coming about today. That's why you've got so many talk shows out there now pretending to know secrets. Now, I enjoy deciphering old Masonic codes and things. I never forget for a minute that whatever you can decode, whatever man can decode was put together by man, not some supernatural being. Secret societies down through the ages have always used codes so as no one would find out what they were talking about or conversing about amongst themselves. There were hand codes and everything, a whole language. Even the early monks in Christianity, when they took their vows of silence they developed a system that became worldwide for all their groups of various hand signals and gestures because it was forbidden to speak, you see, in some of these groups. So there's always an answer for it which a person can decipher.

We know too that some cultures have had, and do have, alphabets which the letters are also numbers. We know the Romans, for instance, and even the Greeks used the Chaldeans, and you could actually use the Hebrew as well because it was also a number. If they were scrambled into numbers they could mark it on sticks. The messenger ran with a stick to the other part of the Army and another person there could decode

it, you see. Very simple cryptology. But you'll find the same thing with the terms they'll use, say, in Freemasonry. And you can spend your life reading it, and having a good laugh because when you know what things are you often do laugh, you understand of how they communicate to each other. But things go deeper with some people too. These are the ones who are scared stiff of life. Mind you, this system, it means to try and scare you of life altogether.

The best war to have, even in ancient times to the present, is psychological warfare on the public. You destroy them with psychology and fear and they aren't going to fight like virile angry men. It's not going to happen; they're already conquered. Very simple. So you can all be conquered so easily by those who understand the formulas. And believe you me, you have literally thousands of think tanks across the world all using these techniques to make you follow them, on behalf of the big boys themselves. Making you follow... even the things that sound good, green this, green that, because they need you to protest for the very laws to get passed that they want themselves, so as they can have everybody off the land and they can drill there or get gas out of it or whatever else they happen to want to do. They want to own all the resources of the planet. And they use what they call useful idiots, well-meaning people but useful idiots. The ones who lead them know exactly what they're there for. They're getting well paid for it.

But getting back to the Heaven's Gate cult... It's very interesting to watch the videos up there about it. You get an idea of how these things actually work. They're all very, very similar, where they bring you in, with little secrets, with a guru who knows the secrets. And they give you forms of hypnosis that go on, even in a general conversation. Because there's different ways that you think. There's different levels that you think on and reflect on, as opposed to the one that you would use for driving a racing car, where you must be on the ball, split-second. And there's another level beneath that where it's meditative, you're contemplative about things. So these bring you into a contemplative state and a hypnotic state and you start to... Your total logic part for survival gets submerged into the subconscious state, and you're under hypnosis, and easily managed, to believe things you should never ever... things you should actually scoff at. So you had the Order of the Solar Temple. You had the Heaven's Gate group. You had the Jones group too, Jim Jones Cult that all killed themselves, mass suicide. And CIA involvement definitely, it was known about that one too, all along. Because these are being studied. They can be used on a mass scale, mass scale. So be very, very careful of these groups and people who pretend to know secrets.

As I say, I could sit and teach you secrets all the time, but simply by decoding stuff. That's all it is. And as I say, what man decodes, man put together, not some God or some supernatural deity. Very, very simple stuff, you see. It's just to keep it secret from the public. That's the only reason it's secret. Not because there's some magic involved in there. So be very careful of folk who come out as gurus and tell you about their own personal experiences. And you hope if you catch a hold of their robe that somehow this person's closer to the great all, call it what you want, the great all, the oneness, than you are. That's a standard way of human behavior. Where, at one time the people would touch the cloak of a King; they believed it had curative powers. Even in the times of King James. They were regarded as holy, closer to God than you, the same with priests and so on. Because you never have enough faith in yourself. You don't have the confidence that these people seem to portray to you, to do the same thing. You see. So you fall for all these groups and the con men who make a killing off you; let's hope it's just a financial killing. Who come out and say, yep, and they rehash all the old Theosophy stuff, all the old Rosicrucian stuff. They don't have to write new books, they just take it in whole chapters from previous ones over 150 years. It's all been done before, you see.

But there's no end of folk who want to believe it. Because they have nothing to believe in now and they're desperate, absolutely desperate. And as you get more and more afraid of everything, and all this news is bashing you, oh my God there's a thousand things, and you can't deal with them all. You can't deal with more than two major crises in your life at a time or you crack up. And when you're in that stage of almost cracking up along comes the gurus. And they tell you they had a wonderful experience. And they tell you that maybe they took drugs with it or maybe not. And the great all came through them on a hilltop or whatever, and their whole body vibrated, and they could have left the world you know... They could've left the world. Because you see, you're all base, they tell you, because you're in a lower density of vibration. You're not high enough, you see. Of course there's many ways to get high. But that's what you're told, and people fall for it in swarms. And they throw money at gurus just like priests, because they think they've got the answer. They are closer to the great all than I am... And they'll pay big money for the next bit of the

code and the next bit of the code.

And here's the key to it with cults, here's the key to it. And con men, here's the key, folks. Eventually these leaders, after taking you on this big ride, this crazy ride, you see, that you followed step by step, waiting to get to the ultimate oneness in knowledge and so on, they can't take you any further except... out of the world. Out of the world. And the psychology, their own psychology that's working on them as the leader makes them commit suicide. Some of them don't do that, they get you to commit suicide and they bugger off somewhere else. Because there's nowhere else they can take you.

I can remember Bill Cooper talking years ago about this too. He said, they always tell you there's an ultimate secret, an ultimate secret, and he says, guess what, there is no ultimate secret. That's the secret. [Alan laughing.] And that's it, you see, folks. So be very, very, very careful of these groups. As I say, look up these stories, Order of the Solar Temple, Heaven's Gate religious group which is really a cult, and the vampire-obsessed trucker, and also the one with the werewolf that they had recently in the States, a guy dressed like a werewolf playing a fantasy game, he got young 14-year-old girls to come and meet him, to get into the fantasy. People are so screwed up now they can't tell the difference between fantasy and fiction. And it's very dangerous.

Because with all the slasher movies which people are gobbling up like crazy, it's always mixed with sex and violence. That's a great technique for conquering the mind, you know. Sex and violence, very primitive, you see, both parts of it very primitive. And some directors and producers know this technique perfectly well because they themselves are very warped, believe you me. And here's the werewolf one, it's in the Mail Online, it says...

Werewolf enthusiast, 44 years old, groomed 14-year-old girl for sex and went on the run after recruiting teens online to join his fantasy weapon games.

And you see him standing there with his black outfit on, and his leather strapping on, and the werewolf head, and a rifle in one hand and a pistol in the other. And there's all these young bimbos around him, that flocked to him because they're getting right into all these games. I've mentioned before, these games were all designed by the military, you know. Why do you think the military would be designing children's games? Why do you think they've got so many behaviorists involved in creating these games? And psychologists and so on? Just to sell games you think? Do you think that? But he's 44 years old, this guy, and eventually he ran off with one of them who is 14 years old. Quite something. And this is all the rage now, you see. People cannot switch off from fantasy into reality. Luckily they got this guy right now but I mean, what's his past been like? How far has he gone in the past? There's a thing they might never find out. I mean, werewolves can't talk, eh; they only bark.

Anyway, this is the kind of thing, as I say, to be very, very careful of because we're living in weaponized times. Even news is weaponized. Even the disclosure of what you think you're fighting, the news in a sense, or exposing the news that's given to you, you can overwhelm people by hitting them with 50,000 things at the same time. You can handle two crises at a time... Why do you think it's used, bombarding people with bad news, boom, boom, boom, boom, boom, till you're under the kitchen table quivering in fear? So don't be terrified. Don't get petrified and made immobile by a weaponized system.

Your culture is weaponized. Your entertainment is completely weaponized. I mean, they've got amazing brutal sadomasochistic sex in almost every movie, and the scenes in a lot of these awful movies are just stuck in there to get the sex in, because they have nothing to do with the story. And the youngsters' ones that they're watching, all these slasher movies and so on – supposedly under the guise of horror, it's the same thing – whatever little hook of a story is meant to make you watch it right through hoping to find... Because you see, you're a curious person, you want to find out who done it, that kind of thing, and how does it end. But it's just a vehicle. The horror thing is just a vehicle for all the sadomasochism that goes on in between it. That's what it's for. It's a delivery vehicle, for delivering the weapon part of it, into your brain. The mind has no firewall.

Today you don't have the traditional family anymore. It's been under attack as well. That had to go first. So there's no one to tell the children, you know, best not to watch that, I'll tell you why. And then you do tell

them why. This is weaponized to hit you and to make you behave in a certain way, and a way that you'll often regret, and you might get into danger as well. There's no one to tell them anymore. Because you see, a long, long time ago, not just Bertrand Russell and others that worked with the big global society to bring in this present culture, he said that, that it doesn't matter if the parent or parents raise the child, the technique of social indoctrination for the culture to be given to the children, is given by the state now. They've already put the parents, or the parent, out of the picture until the child has more respect for, say, a youngish teacher than their parent, their stickler of the parent. Parents are always portrayed as stupid in the movies and all the sitcoms and so on. It's been very successful. What do you know? You're just my dad... You're just my dad. And that's how it is in today's society.

But it won't stop because people need, they have a craving for entertainment. That's one of their big weaknesses. That's why it's always been used against you. Down through the ages, even in the days of Plato he talks about the possibility of licensing the playwrights; I think they already were actually. But he wanted to license musicians as well because they could, even then, sing songs that could lead to revolution if the youngsters would follow them. You'll find down in the times of Shakespeare and even other countries too with their own versions of things, plays were often put out as a way of communicating some injustice to the general public, very common. Still today you're given the same techniques by those who run the system. But they want you to change a certain part of it. They actually use you to demand that they change a certain part of it, which has nothing to do with the outcome that you're actually expecting to see.

All these big national parks they created, that you can't go on anymore, all the zoned areas, the corridor areas under Agenda 21, where there's human corridors and animal corridors, and you believe it all? No. But you'll find clauses in there where the big corporations can get rights, licensing rights to drill, frack and everything else on those lands. First they get the people off. And they got most of them off by doing in the farms by big Agri farm business. Because everything is corporate today, international corporations. The next part too, is using heavy taxation to get folk off the land, or simply closing down a road and you can't get access to where you live. So many ways to do it. Or bring in the agencies that do the eco-testing and stuff, oh you can't live here anymore, this is contaminated. Many ways to get you off the land. And we've watched this since at least the 60s escalating. And all the big groups of course get heavy funding by big foundations, that we don't elect, the richest foundations on the planet, some of them worth trillions of dollars. Often their leaders are also the head bankers; I'm talking about the international money lenders, not the ones that just have a bank on the corner. Old stuff, isn't it? But it's true. Biospheres for the UN... Biospheres...

So the first thing you have to do is try and reclaim your brain. That's quite an objective you know. Because you'll find that almost everything you think about is given to you to think about. The opinions you come to are ones you've been guided to come to, mainly by the omission of another side of a story. I used to laugh at Walt Disney when I was small because I knew that rabbits didn't really talk to deer and things like that. But in the Disney movies they gave you all this complete fiction. They would even show you sometimes where the carnivores would talk to the herbivores, which doesn't happen in real life; carnivores tend to eat the herbivores. But you were given a complete fiction to prepare folk for all the guises they were going to use to steal all the land off you.

In the old days it was much easier, the King just came into the country like the Normans did, you know, and set up their warlords like satrapies for the King, they were overlords for them, and all the people became serfs, which is a nice way of saying slave. Because you couldn't move from the land and you weren't paid for it. You simply forked out most of your crops to the lord and you supplied him with excesses that he could sell. You supplied him, too, with even your body; if he wanted it for warfare purposes he would just take it. And if you didn't go he burned you down or killed you. That was, you know, democracy in the old times that was so wonderful, knights were bold and all that. But that's the reality of it.

Today it's a disguised system where you're far better behaved actually because you think you're living in something called democracy and that we all have rights. And you do have rights. You have the rights not to go outside the boundaries of everyday talk or speech. There's authorized speech today, you see. And you have committees sitting deciding what's authorized and what isn't. They don't have to even have an argument anymore, let's have a discourse about something. No, if you say something they'll try and find that you're a hater or they'll give you a slur name or something like that, which shuts you up completely, Pavlovian style, because everyone's been brainwashed into what these words mean, even though it's got

nothing to do with the present situation on any particular topic you're talking about. It's just outside the box, they don't want you to go there. You can't go out there. You'll find just how much freedom that you have then if you do.

This technique can be spread to many other areas. Because I remember reading about the two guys, I think they were two guys from New York that were employed from a big top marketing company by the World Health Organization to bring in a system to get people to stop smoking. The guys used big think tanks and lots of money went into it, your tax money of course, to get you to stop smoking. The whole idea was to create social approval for things that they wanted you to do and social disapproval for things that they didn't want you to do. They started with the children at school until if someone lit up a cigarette 500 yards away the children would choke, they'd start coughing and choking even though they were about half a mile away from you. And that's a Pavlovian response. Then they spread it into the general society. Then step by step, it's always incremental, well people working in offices could smoke outside the office. Then you stop that; oh they can't smoke in the street now. And step by step...

Now, the two guys said in an article that they wrote about how successful they'd been at changing the behavior of people. It's behavior modification. They said, we can use this on any other thing we choose, the same techniques, and it will work just as well. Incrementally, give it a few years, step by step, and you can vilify any group you want to. Whether it be obese people or whatever it happens to be, or even meat eaters, say, whatever they choose will be vilified with the same techniques. And you always get the youngsters, too, at school, you get local authorities to ban it in workplaces or around workplaces, until they're made to conform, or they're fined out of existence, or into prison.

Now getting back to what I was talking about with the New Age and so on. Be very, very careful before you give your brain away to any con man. I mean, there's even late-night talk shows that do nothing but talk about this stuff, knowing they're conning the people, and knowing that it could cause harm to different ones too. But again, they always say it's not our problem. It's amazing how you can always justify it when your paycheck is pretty fat, and it's not your problem, isn't it. But there you go. If some guy comes along and says I've got the answer, or he comes out with stars all over his suit or something and tells you about his experiences, or he's another guru of some kind, or even a famous person, like the reincarnation of Jesus or Gandhi or whoever it happens to be, if you fall for that, folks, something's really seriously wrong in your life and your mind.

And they tell you, oh yeah you've got to go way up to violet, get the violet colors going, you'll vibrate higher in the violet colors, you know. And you can vibrate right out of this world into a high astral plane. Safe... See, it's a promise of safety in a fearful world. It always happens at the end of an age. This age was planned to end by those in control. And they bring in their new system and you're even better slaves than you were before. That's what it's all about. But don't just follow people blindly because they give out tantalizing little bits of information. As I say, if you just buy a pile of old Rosicrucian books you'll see it's all in there, just rehashing the same. They don't have to make up new stuff, just rehash it all, generations of stuff, going way back, always promising you... the next lure, you see.

Some of them even give you classes on conology. That's what I call it, conology; that's the art of conning people. And they give them classes on it, and they promise them if you just get to the next step you'll be higher and higher in your understanding... And when you get to a stage when you understand it all you have these super godlike powers. But they can never take you there, you see, unless they tell the whole cult to commit suicide. Or they might just say they've got enough in their bank account now and pack it in. But there's no end, no end of people who've had since the 1960s especially this alternate, they call it perennial religion. They always pull it out of the hat at times of the end of an age, the same perennial religion. The Reincarnation thing, the whole idea of hidden Masters... Hidden Masters. And often the guys will present themselves as Masters. He has to be a hidden master who is now out in the open, right? Otherwise how would he know this stuff? What man can decipher man put together in the first place.

And I very much doubt he was vibrating above, you know, the kitchen table when he was doing it. And it's so easy to tell people, and we like telling people about our odd experiences. We all have them, odd experiences. But there's never any answer or meaning that we're left with. Once in a blue moon there might be something, but it generally doesn't repeat itself over and over. When they tell you that they do have all

the answers, you're dealing with someone that PT Barnum would advocate, like a student of his, when he says, there's a sucker born every minute. Ancient techniques... Ancient techniques. But it's very lucrative for those who want to exploit people. I generally put the information out for free. That's all. Here's what it means. This is how they communicate to each other. Here's the degreed system, just like a military system from private all the way up to general, same idea. And lots of people think they're superior. Lots of them do.

The ones who really are superior are only superior because they understand the art of keeping the masses in a different reality from themselves. And picking their sons and daughters wives for them, that's standard down through the ages with the wealthy elite. They tell you to marry for love. They marry wealth. Wealth and their offspring, that's why they live through their offspring, their progeny. Be careful. Don't give your brain away.

When they tell you they can always have the same experience, this high, high spiritual experience, tell them to prove it. They can't prove it to you. Everything has to be taken on belief, your gullibility, you see. They can't. You can't fool animals the same way, mammals like chimps and things; you can't do it with them. Because they don't understand language as you know it. The art of conning human beings is done through persuasion, where you start with a common agreed idea and then someone with a good vocabulary and an intellect can warp it off into Lala land, and have you follow it and agreeing all the way, nodding your head. That's the dialectic process. Like a salesman uses, they start off with, it's a nice day, you nod your head. Nice house you've got here, you nod your head. You're saying yes, yes, yes, you see. And then along to the sales pitch, this is a nice vacuum. Yes. It's all psychology, folks.

Read Plato. Read all the other philosophers. Same thing. They start off with a premise, which you can all say, yeah, we stand on the ground, don't we? Oh yeah, we all stand on the ground. Before you know it this guy is telling you, you can vibrate higher and higher, if you just pay him the money he'll give you the secrets. And there's techniques to make you believe it. The perennial religion... as though understanding is going to cause something to happen. Amazing isn't it? So be very, very careful.

And watch out for the cults. If you've got children, if you got children that are just obsessed with their technologies, they can't talk to anybody face-to-face anymore, and they're maybe talking to all kinds of weirdoes because they don't know who is on the other end of that phone, and they're texting too, and all the sockpuppets put out by government agencies and foundations and experiments run on them even by the universities like MIT, they don't even know it's happening. But it's all carefully monitored to see what's working. This is the big laboratory. As we live day by day there's another experiment going on. There's many experiments going on to find out what will work on the mass of the people, the bulk of the population. Be careful.

Now, I got to get back to trying fixing this water pump here, if it's possible to do, which I'm not really sure it is until the summer. As I say, I've never seen so much snow as we've had this year in Canada. The temperature's still plummeting at night, way below zero, and during the day too. It still isn't getting above the freezing mark. And stacks and stacks of snow to go; it's going to be murder trying to move once that snow starts to melt and freeze, melt and freeze day by day, whenever that starts. And it floods into the road and freezes and you can't, it's like a skating rink of course. There will be flooding this year too, as they play up the chaos, you see, that's caused.

By the way, I meant to mention there are articles out there from a few years ago, I've read them before, where it was found out that agreements had been reached through all the different states in the US and parts of Canada to hold back the sluice gates, rather than release a little water at a time from big dams and things for runoff, and let it all go at once. Why do you think you're getting all the flooding here and there over the last few years? That was the reason, folks. What you don't know can con you. And what you don't know can make you suffer too.

Anyway, from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

For more information please visit the websites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

April 27, 2014 (#1433)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"A Band-Aid Prevention Against Distorted Perception"
© Alan Watt April 27, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - April 27, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on the 27th of April, 2014. I've been busy here still catching up with a lot of things I had postponed over the years in fact, the things that can't be postponed any longer, to get things out of the way and shaped up for the future. Because we're moving on; we're moving on, as I say, fast into the new society. There's nothing scary about it to me because I've been reading about it all my life.

The big boys love to print their books out when they retire, generally, their memoirs, etc. and they tell you so much, but nobody bothers to read it anymore. The media won't tell you anything about it because they are part of the controlled system. They tell you what to worry about, what not to worry about or what to ignore completely. And they cannot be trusted, actually, for anything at all now. There's so much lies, in fact, being spewed out right now from the media to do with the upcoming possible wars and so on, and the recycling of old photographs from other countries with chemical weapons being used over and over again. So many cons they've pulled in the past you could never, ever trust them ever, ever again. But as I say, they're part of the system of control.

I've gone through the history often of the media, especially in the US, and in Britain too, run by the big boys who belong to the biggest club in the world, basically, the editors, the owners of the newspapers. They know their role in pacifying and entertaining and misleading the public. And that's just a fact, folks. News is nothing to do with truth. Never forget that, news is nothing to do with truth. The truth is hard to find out in your own personal lives with those around you, never mind trying to get it from people with political agendas, or geopolitical agendas and so on. It's a very difficult thing, especially since mankind is incredibly unscrupulous, especially the power brokers.

Most folk will lie, in petty white lies of course, to achieve something or simply disclose or hide something, which is their own business. But the big boys who are into power think nothing of lying continuously to the masses of the public. They don't blush. The psychopaths don't blush, of course. They can rationalize to

themselves and each other, because all psychopaths know each other and they can actually work awfully well together. But they say, it's for the greater good, with themselves at the top being the greatest, so they get the greatest of all good, you see. And that's how the world really runs.

We are the proles, as George Orwell said in 1984 his book, and the proles don't count. He said, the proles don't count. It's only necessary once in a while to get them on board for public opinion for support for wars and things. The easiest trick in the book is to smear other people, take them out of context and all the rest of it. They've done this for centuries and centuries. Nothing new under the sun, they say, and there truly isn't. There's nothing new under the sun except that in ancient times they didn't have photography and newsreels which they could edit and alter, etc. etc. So seeing is not believing anymore, hasn't been since the invention of photography in fact, when you go into the history of the scams that were pulled at the very beginning, never mind the present.

So you've got to think for yourselves, if you want to think at all. It's very, very true, the saying that ignorance is bliss. You can't get a truer saying than ignorance is bliss because only those who are happy are blissfully ignorant, in fact. They accept the world as it's been presented to them and they've been indoctrinated into believing since childhood. They question nothing. They think you're paranoid if you come up with alternate facts on anything, I mean facts on anything. Facts don't count, you see, with agendas. Facts really don't count. Most folk, when they're perfectly conditioned, take the mainstream news like Brzezinski said, as the gospel truth, and they expect the media to do their reasoning for them. In other words, they expect the media to tell them what to be angry about, or worried about, because the media, they think, is an appendage to their brain for their reasoning. And of course, it's nothing of the kind at all.

Information has always been used to fool people, always been used to fool people. So much so that if you have a very strong opinion on something in these days you might be a useful idiot without knowing it. You've all had experience I'm sure of trying to, what the popular term today is, wake up. Which is the wrong term to use. Just like conspiracy theory was all given to you as well. Terminology is generally given to you by those who control the system, either to ridicule whatever you're talking about, or they bring in the aliens or something, or the old Rosicrucian stuff which they revive and they tell you to vibrate higher and you'll know the truth, etc. But the fact is, information has always been a con. Always been a con.

From ancient times, for instance, it took power, powerful people, even a little clique, a big family, to dominate its neighbors before it can set its sights on dominating and creating what was called a nation. And it's very difficult to do with a big family because generally you're up against a much bigger population who will fight back. And big armies, if you get a big army to protect you and defend you to make sure you stay in power, to conquer more territory for your own family as kings and queens did, you need other things to back you up. You need, number one, money. Without money folk go home; the army dissolves. It can only carry what it can plunder and it would go home. With the invention of money, that was the key to all powers from then to the present time. Not military might. Money buys military might. Money gets countries into debt. Countries in debt will do what they're told by the big bankers they owe the money to, because the bankers control the world. This is very simple, they control all monies in the planet. There's no mystery of money at all. It's an elaborate con and it's been used for thousands of years for this purpose.

But you also need generally a religion to back it up too. In ancient times, pre-Christian times we saw the different religious groups from Egypt onwards who would back up the Pharaoh and keep the people in their place through fear and terror of all-seeing eyes, etc, or the eye-in-the-sky of the deity, that kind of thing. It's very easy to do once you get the ball rolling. And it's much easier to do, too, if you can back it up with a paid military, when you've got cash to pay them. And you give them extra privileges because with money you can buy prostitutes; you can buy whatever you want. Money is the key to all power. Some people always focus, every generation, on the military power. The military power is subordinate to the economic power that rules them all, including the nations.

So very early on in human existence those who discovered the power of money naturally monopolized it for themselves, the ability to create the money. That's why they had to get other branches going out into politics to run governments, advising kings and queens and the present day governments of today. It's amazing how governments say it's good to be in debt. It's somehow good for us to be in debt. Well, debt is slavery. It cannot be defined as any other thing. It's slavery. This goes back to the Old Testament it was

taken from, the five books of Moses, debt is slavery. And that was okay in those days too. If you could put yourself into financial debt then your children, your offspring who were born into the debt were also owned by the master who owned you. Nothing much has changed. Today we call it citizenship. We find that the citizenship is really a battle to see who has the right to tax you. Because you're a productive unit, you see, you're an economic unit. This has always been understood by the con men who manage money.

Today of course it's backed by nothing anyway, which it certainly takes a leap of faith – so they're very religious at the top with a leap of faith. But they find as long as the public accept this faith thing then they can keep giving us pieces of paper, and this makes everything go around. But a lot of it is taken off you through taxes to keep another massive bureaucracy above you going. Because without the bureaucracies, you see, the money couldn't be passed on. The big, big, big cut of it couldn't be – and believe you me it's a huge cut that even the bureaucrats get. But they couldn't put the biggest cut back to the Masters of the banks. Because the banks, the big banks, or international money lenders, and some of them by the way are not even banks. The international money lenders are not even banks. They don't have branches across the world or anything like that. But they are the big money lenders, and they're often families, and they run the world.

They have to be pulled in when any big meetings go on for government to discuss the debt, the debt. We want to go to war with these folk, can we afford it? Then the bankers look to see what they can get out of it of course, which is always to do with interest and compound interest over time, and how much they'll project into the future according to how much is going to get borrowed, to keep this war going once it's started and supply etc. It's great business. Because mankind truly, and when it comes to those who rule in power, it's not very nice at all. It's never been very nice. The history of the world has been one of slaughter. Slaughter. The history books, remember, were often written by those around kings and queens, or ancient caesars and so on in ancient Rome, and they had to write the stuff at the time to suit the guy who was the top honcho, at least the representative of the top honcho. Because even behind them there were the bankers, by the way, who also collected the taxes. They were given the right to collect taxes off the general public – it's called tax farming – by the king, queen, or pharaoh or whatever it happens to be, in order to pay off the debt of the money that the king was borrowing, or nobility or aristocracy, to spoil themselves in their lavish lifestyles. And they gave the right to tax the peasants to the tax farmers. Today they don't bother. They've got an even better front. They use the government to collect the taxes themselves to pay off debts and so on, national debts, to the bankers. So the bankers that hide behind the scenes are kept out of the picture and we just despise what we think is the taxation agencies. Plus it saves the bankers sending out their tax farmers too; it saves them money; they can use the governments to do it for them.

So it's all to do with how you perceive things. Perception management is as old as the hills, as they say. And that's an awful, awful old hill there. So we're living in a very predatory system. It's always been that way, as I say. Those who seek power are the top predators. They've got it a polished art today, a polished surface of respectability through the PR machines that run the media for us. But at heart they are just the same power-hungry fiends that have turned armies loose on their own publics in ages gone by, over and over again. We never seem to learn. We seem to think somehow... See, we've fallen for this thing called progress. No one ever defines what progress means. But there is an agenda here, by the way, a very old agenda and whenever they say progress they're talking about their own agenda, a world domination etc., but a new system as well, and an eventual drastic reduction of populations, right into the genetic cloning of new slaves down the road to serve the elite, you see. All of that is called progress. We are told, you can't stop progress. And we learn to parrot this stuff from childhood, you can't stop progress. Another one is, the only thing you can be sure of is death and taxes. So you become acclimatized to what is an inevitability, you see, a fatalistic outlook that nothing can ever be done. And this has all been taught to you by the predators who control you. A sad thing to imagine.

Now normal folk can't get into the headspace of the psychopaths. And they have big, big, huge world clubs, the psychopaths, including the banking clubs and so on. And we can't get into their headspace because you are not that way, you see. You're more human in your emotions and your reactions, your dealings with others. Those at the top don't have those, they call it, impediments, the human emotion of having empathy towards other people especially ones of lower status. We're called the masses, the herd, the unwashed masses, etc; this is what they call us, in fact. When they go on television with their PR stuff and their little bit of acting – which all politicians now pay money to get basic deportment and acting, and it's paid for by

the taxpayers now as an expense – so they can con you better, on how to present their con jobs over to you, you see.

Now, it's also a truism in politics that you can't please all of the people all of the time. So they don't intend to. But they try to please as many as they possibly can by saying the things which are unquestioned by the masses. Very simple things. At election time it's unemployment and education; it's just a mantra they go through. That means that they feel your pain. In other words, the topics which are important to you they at least recognize on the surface. Mind you, these are born liars that are telling you this stuff; they feel nothing for you. They want to enrich themselves and have money for their own progeny to go off into the future living awfully, awfully well off of you. Because the history of the world is one of slavery and Charles Galton Darwin said it in his book The Next Million Years. He says, there's always been slavery in one form or another and we are in the process of creating a more sophisticated form of slavery. What he meant was, it's the system you're in today; you don't know you are a slave.

Ask people what they worry about. What do they worry about? Money. Most divorces start off – in the lower classes especially, they get hit first – over fighting over money for basic essentials. You can't have harmony when you're fighting and squabbling over bare essentials, because you're both terrified, the male and the female, the children, the whole thing, everyone is terrified of being evicted, whatever it happens to be. It's fear, fear, fear. It's promoted. But that also goes all the way up the ladder too because most classes live to the output of their income. The middle classes want to emulate the higher classes and so they're up to their eyeballs in debt too. So they have as much arguing going on as well. So no one's really happy in the system of money because there's no level of, say, happiness... There's no happiness scale and you say, well, we reached it today, I don't want to go any further than this, I've got enough money coming in. Because money is an elastic thing. You don't control it; someone else stretches it when it suits them. It can either buy that same bag of rice for the same amount of money or it might cost you ten times the amount of money to buy that same bag of rice because some person you'll never meet has jacked the prices up. He's got a bunch of excuses of course, about, oh well it's demand, supply, and so on, like that.

Whereas what you'll find at the top, there are NO strictly independent corporations, international corporations. You have cabals... cabals that have taken over all the world's resources, working together, which means there is a hierarchy. And near the hierarchy of every corporation there's a crossover man who belongs to other circles, that's how Quigley described this as well. Because they must all work together on the same agenda so they can all profit and keep everybody else in the dark as they go forward with this agenda. And this agenda brings on wars. This agenda has the publics of all countries paying for armies, big armies they can't afford generally, because they're nonproductive. But the big boys themselves see armies as being productive for their private corporations, because they are the guys who grab the oil and so on and the minerals and the resources for the big boys during wartime; that's their job. That's their job. Whoever their enemy is supposed to be, or some bad guy, is often irrelevant. Irrelevant.

Because you can smear anybody and create a one-sided picture, and even make it law that you believe it. And the public will obey... I believe this is the rottenest guy who ever lived in history. I remember Ronald Reagan's time, where he painted about three different people during his time in office as the Great Satan, one after the other. He just dropped the last one; I guess Satan jumps from body to body. But this is how they do things. And it didn't change during the Clinton era. It didn't change during all the presidents that have been up to the present ones today. It's the same techniques used, the same propaganda techniques used to demonize your enemy, you see. And you can't believe, honestly, you can't believe anything you read or hear from the mainstream when it comes to these kind of topics because the slants, spins and outright lies are given to you all the time. Because the first thing you must do for war purposes is to get public opinion behind you. So you create public opinion.

I've talked about Bernays who was only one of the guys who were taught the secret arts of mass controls, a very ancient art amongst people he knew, from his own history line actually. He talked about creating propaganda. He boasted after World War I that he and his cronies in the PR business had managed all the PR to get America, the US into World War I. He boasted afterwards and that caused a bit of a stink, but people don't remember things for very long. Before they had that, the people were objecting to it. In came the Great Depression caused by the bankers, again, and the oil boys who were manipulating and standardizing all the oil under Standard Oil, etc, etc. And you're diverted off again into basic necessities...

Oh, this is what you have to worry about, getting food, your rent or mortgage, accommodation, basic things. You're back to that again.

But you get fed up living through this ongoing agenda. Don't you? And I'm telling you, nothing surprises me because once you've read their agendas and you keep up with their geopolitics strategy, then you know what's coming, every step of it, to whom, and who's going to get hammered next, and what pretense, and how they'll launch it to the public to believe in and all that. It's awfully boring. It gets awfully boring knowing what they plan to do, how they'll manage the public's minds and so on. It's sad, isn't it? Very sad.

But even alternate news too gets caught up in this because a lot of them, not all of them, but some of them are really fake. I've read some of the articles that have come out and I understand how spins are created. Some of them even take up things from mainstream who are demonizing somebody and they alter it. They alter it to make the guy really, really nasty. And when it's found out that some major media altered some interview, they don't retract their story. That's the giveaway. They don't retract it and say oops, sorry about that.

So everything is a war of words. A war of words. That's why in the old Hebrew writings they talked about, in the beginning God spoke the world, the realities, into existence. Spoke... The spoken word, you see. And it used to surprise me as a child when I used to really dig into the meanings of things, and why this word was so important. Then in the New Testament you find, in the beginning was the word... you see, the word. Then we have the lost word in Freemasonry, and all of this kind of stuff. It's all connected, you see, the word. Now words today are neurolinguistics, also called psycholinguistics. Words are used to perfection because with an authoritative figure, using the right sequence of words, and a whole process from A to B to wherever to the end of his spiel, then he must bring you to a desired conclusion. Most folk accept the conclusion as their own. It never occurs to them that they're being lied to. It doesn't occur to them they've been led to this belief and this opinion. But you've met them all in the streets. You'll never meet so many opinionated people as the average Joe, you'll never meet so many.

Because they have an ego. Number one, they have an ego and if they haven't heard something from the mainstream it cannot be true or it can't be important. Regardless of the evidence you give them, it doesn't really matter to them. They'll give you that knowing smile, like yeah sure, and they're quite happy in their ignorance. They think they're informed. Don't get me wrong, they think they're informed. I mean you see, who should be paranoid once you're born into this system... If you're paranoid into it and think that people are there to use your minds and create your opinions, basically use you, that creates a paranoia naturally. Because you're taught, who would want to do that? Well guess what? All governmental systems have always wanted to do that. And they do it. Quite simple.

So the art of controlling masses goes back thousands and thousands of years. And it's a science, a science that's never been lost at any time down through history. It's always been taught to the select few, you see. They in turn work for their Masters who run the money system, which is a great con job. When you have to go to university to get a degree in economics, to add things and subtract things, there's something wrong with it because it should be so simple. And there is something wrong with it. It's cons. It's called conology. Conology, and we're brought up with a perfect art today of conology.

Governments also have discussed it many times and I've given the quotes from many of the philosophers that work for them, etc., and those who work in the world think tanks for big global powers, where they said that it would be irresistible NOT to use drugs and chemicals etc. to sterilize the population or bring them down, or even bring on earlier deaths, and then especially to dumb them down so as that they're easier managed. I've read so many articles, and I won't go over it again because of that. Go into the archive section at cuttingthroughthematrix.com to find out, if you really want to.

Most folk don't really want this kind of stuff, you see. They want what they think is – it's an amazing technique too. See, most people want what they're taught and brainwashed to want. They don't know they're brainwashed. They don't know even... It's never even occurred to them that they might even be brainwashed. Perfect indoctrination to them means that they're perfectly indoctrinated. Perfectly. Not a little bit, but perfectly indoctrinated. The more opinionated they are, spieling off from mainstream, the more they're indoctrinated. But they think they're sane, quite sane because everyone around them that believes in

the system like this has the same opinions. They're all given the same opinions, you see. So most people don't really want truth.

They have no spirit in them to find truth. And it's something else in you that makes you question, there's no doubt about that. And maybe the fact that certain inoculations didn't take on you and destroy enough brain cells; that's what it could be. But it's not helped by the food, the fluoride and all the rest of the stuff, and the hormones, the xenoestrogens, artificial estrogens like bisphenol, and I mean not just the A group but all the other groups in bisphenol. It's not just that, it's a combination of everything actually.

But what people really want today is instant new news. That's why they call it news. It's just new info. It doesn't mean right, wrong, true or false. Because we've all been taught through the commercialized system since Bernays, especially, that anything that's a little bit older is bad. They used this technique to get young folk to stop talking to adults in the 60s; it was part of the communist revolution in the West. But it also was used by the marketing companies that also worked, by the way, in strategies to con and get the young folk to rebel, etc. It was used by the marketing companies to make you think that, oh, be snobbish about the age of someone else's car, look down on it, oh it's an old so-and-so... you see, to make you purchase the new. You've all been trained into the new and news is no different. People are trained, thinking that if they miss that day's news and whatever amount of terror and fear and hype is shoved in their face, then it might be detrimental to the survival of their own selves. Like the media's going to tell you today there's going to be a grand apocalypse or something. You really think so? Keep tuning in.

See, fear is the great immobilizer. It's also the technique that's used to make people comply, obey... obey. When you're fearful you cannot think logically. When you're fearful you're scared of everything... everything. And fear grows like a cancer, you start becoming afraid of everything around you. And it's used as a weapon against you by those who understand perfectly well what they're doing.

If you do, for instance, a radio talk – and I've done lots and lots of them and I'll be doing lots more by the way – you don't want to throw out a hundred different things at the public, and each one they're helpless to change. So if they're getting that thrown in their faces every day through fear, hype and so on, what's the purpose of it? The purpose is in itself. The medium is the message, as Marshall McLuhan said. It's to terrify you and it makes you dysfunctional. It's just like watching a horror movie. I've gone into the techniques of neuroscience and so on and the behaviorism and how they have studied us like no insect on the planet. We're the most studied species, and not just recently. Ancient stuff. And fearful people stop believing in their own common sense. They stop believing in themselves. They look to those throwing out the fear to save them, like a Messiah.

It's a fantastic thing that happens psychologically with them, well understood, used to the maximum during real warfare periods as strategy. Because as the public become more and more fearful and terrified they look to either a supernatural creator to step in and help and stop it all, make the bad world go away. Or else they turn to the politicians and say please save us. And the politicians have a great field day during wars, a great time of applause, etc. and they can strut around like Nero, and have their names all over the papers, as they technically take credit for making decisions they had nothing to do with. But that's how the whole world is run. It's a vast system of acting and conology, to manage the minds of billions of people.

Every nation has its big gang at the top, and the big gang is above the politicians. The politicians are the little workers whose job it is to contain the masses on behalf of those who own the country. And when you have wars it's nothing to do with us against them, our nation, get the flag out... See the brainwashing, you think that little flag is you, you know, and away you go because you're brainwashed. And you fight those guys with that other flag over there who have been brainwashed and believe in theirs is the right flag and all that. And after it's all done and gone, whoever has won, you see, the big corporations that are behind it all and own basically the governments, and of course the bankers are behind that too, snap up, just like they did in Iraq, all the damned oil fields, for instance. In Africa they're snapping up all... there's gold, diamonds, for God sake; there's uranium, really high grade quality uranium all through different parts of Africa, the Congo, etc. And all these natural resources the big boys want for themselves. So they use your armies like private armies as a method of business. But you see, you, when you put that uniform on, are not taught this, and you never will be taught this, to find out why things are going on. You're given the most simplistic, basic propaganda possible. And it's kept very simple to stop you thinking about it too much. Just accept it,

don't think about it, that's why it's simple.

It's often been said that wisdom brings sorrow and great wisdom brings great sorrow. And there's no doubt that's true. That's true. Because we do, once you have insight into how the world really works, and even how your fellow man works for instance, you have to keep some kind of faith in humanity itself, or at the very least your own way of conducting yourself etc., or you'll shatter, you'll go under. The psychopath doesn't do that because they see every great piece of wisdom as something to be exploited for their own personal benefit. And they love to boast about it too. If you've ever met a bunch of businessmen chatting away underneath the little cordiality smiles and sniggers and so on as they share in-house jokes, you'll find they're the top predators. It doesn't matter how old they become, it isn't just a sexual thing like Freud tried to say, the libido was the drive behind all things. It's not that at all. They love to conquer. Conquer. Conquer. They also love to talk about themselves all the time, and a trait of the psychopath is often to keep mentioning their own names, so they call themselves by the third person.

So you'll find, as I say, that everything really is controlled in the world by psychopaths, who certainly are clever enough to come to arrangements with other psychopaths for their own self-benefit. And the big top gangs that ran all nations, and created the nations in fact… Is a nation even a natural thing when you think about it? Think about it. See, we take everything for granted without thinking about it. What kings used to do before they were kings, is the big families slaughter the ones next door. Eventually in come the money boys, they borrow money, they get a standing army and they go off and conquer more people. Then they create the nation for themselves. Any attempt to do otherwise and create a more natural system of equality, etc. in a nation, is always infiltrated very quickly by those who seek power.

Because power seekers are part of the psychopathic group. The average person really shuns power in fact. They shun power. They don't need the groveling. They don't need the bowing and applause from others. They don't have to keep feeling they're striving and winning, winning, winning all the time. But the big players, regardless of their age – just like pedophiles, by the way, which is linked together – they don't stop their bizarre behavior. It's with them till they die. It's an abnormality. Now, some people… and I queried this as well. I thought, well, maybe that is the natural order of society. If it's always been a horror show of the dominant minority ruling over the majority, often in horrific ways, horrific ways to keep the public in line… I mean public displays of executions of the most horrible kinds at times to get the message across to obey, obey, obey authority… Then maybe that's the natural order. Maybe the psychopaths, the ones who we think are abnormal, are the normal ones. What kind of world would it be if we were all psychopaths? We'd slaughter each other all the time, till there's nothing left.

Now, the psychopath, unfortunately, as they say, is not illegal. It's not illegal to be a psychopath. They won't test them at birth often, for that. Now, they'll test all the children amongst the cattle, like the GIRFEC project in Britain for instance – they have one in England too under a different title, and other European countries, and America is following suit by the way – where they want perpetual psychological evaluation from childhood right through, so they can readjust and fine-tune you if you start thinking for yourselves and ask the wrong kinds of questions. In other words, anything that's really pertinent to reality. So you'll find that they wrote about this a long time ago. I've gone through some of the histories of the American Psychiatric Association, the World Psychiatric Association, from the history of Freud who was a front man of course, to his daughter Anna who took over, and all those involved in it. They helped bring you free love and so on that ended up with massive abortion factories all over the place, until every part of the Communist Manifesto, including the dehumanization of the individual as an amoeba working for the state, a cog in the wheel, has come true.

The average Joe literally doesn't care about the slaughter of his own kind, or otherwise. You might say that he's more selective in what he cares about, because that's how he is. He's taught what to care about. Just like they can teach you to believe that the best music is this and whatever trash they give you today is now the in-thing, you'll see all the young grab it. Because you see, the youth are so easy to use. So easy. They want to believe they're on the cutting edge of something, especially when those who control the culture industry tell them, they're part of the revolution… whatever revolution it might happen to be. And they're also taught that all that music they're hearing is from them, their own generation. They don't see all the old characters in the fuzzy suits, you know, and tweed jackets, the ones who sit on the boards who plan the whole future of the culture and what's going to be made popular. It's the same bunch that gave you what

was called modern art. A few squiggles on canvas and suddenly it's worth millions, supposedly, because they say so, simply because they say so. The same with music.

So the youth are always used, naturally, and they're wide open, a blank slate to be propagandized. If the proper format in neurolinguistics puts over the proper story to them in the right order and fashion, they'll always come to the desired conclusions, thinking it's their own. So we're so well understood that, as I say, everything would have to change, EVERYTHING would have to change for any kind of just society, if you can even get a just society. We've seen the supposed attempts in the past for it that were completely fake. It's like the Communist system was a ruse to use the masses of the people for a particular clique to get in. And funded by Western banks. So that wasn't a true experiment. It certainly was an experiment on other forms of mass conditioning, with the ultimate use of force and authority. But it wasn't to bring some utopia in at all, it never was, to the big boys. It never was. So can you even have any kind of equality? when you have people arguing about the most petty, petty things?

Today you're being pushed into incredible austerity. I've told you so many times the agenda for sustainability, Agenda 21 and so on, the Millennium Project, all the same thing, is multi-fold, lots of parts of the plan; you can all get access to the whole lot of it if you want to. Download it; I won't find it for you. I've put it up many, many times before through links and talks I've given over the years; there's thousands of talks I've given. And if you really are sincere and you really need to know... As I say, if there's no spirit there you won't want to know, it's too much trouble. If it's too much trouble, the spirit ain't there. The desire to know is something you can't turn off. You've either got it or you don't. Which means you will work at something to find facts... facts, all important facts. And it won't make you happy knowing the facts. It sets the mind free because at least now you know you're living in a con game, a perfect scientifically-designed con game. But it doesn't make you any happier. It doesn't make the evil bad world go away. It doesn't make more coins in your pockets appear to jingle or anything like that. Because you're still stuck under the same thumbs as everybody else.

The tragedy, too, of anything that really tries to change something is that in come all the fringe groups, who are well used and so perfectly understood how to use them, and they are created by them too, by the big boys themselves, to infiltrate. And they're not looking for the basic needs to start with. There's an old story, and it's even biblical. They'll say, if you want to teach someone something first fill their belly. You can't teach someone starving. So you get rid of the basic worries in essentials and you'll find you can start thinking a bit more rationally when you've got some nutrition going through your brain and your body. Then you say, what's next as essential. You don't jump off into someone that's preoccupied with a joystick between their legs. That's been used, all these techniques, by those at the top. The priorities have to start with everybody gets fed, stop all the terror and fear that everyone's living under, and then you can all start thinking rationally. And tempers that would once flare over certain topics won't be flaring anymore, when eventually they can be brought up at the right time.

As I say, we're so well understood. The art of destruction is the most powerful art there is. It's well understood. And the art of controlling billions of people is well understood, and used constantly on a daily basis, against you. I've said so many times the mind has no firewall, be careful what you let in. Don't just jump at someone's opinion, anybody's opinion, even mine. Unless you're happy parroting other people because you're too lazy to think for yourself. The problem you get into with politics and politicians is that you start parroting them. Oh, he speaks for me, I'm going to vote for that guy, he speaks, oh, he says the things I think about. Does he? Does he really? You don't know who these characters are. Their whole persona is an engineered makeup from public relations companies. You haven't got a clue who they are. You'll never sit and wine and dine with them. But you go and vote for them... oh, he mentioned this, that I really care about. So think about these little, simple, basic, common sense things. And don't jump to parrot opinions off to other people.

You've got folk that can't help themselves, who think they're waking up. They're bubbling with all the data that they've been downloaded with from others and they want to unload it on everybody else. As though just speaking this is going to stop all the evil in the world, and they're awfully disappointed when it doesn't. At the reactions they get, they're awfully disappointed, most of them. There's a time and a place to say something, if anything should be said at all. It depends on the situation, where you are, with whom, when, and what you're going to say. Little things are often better to say, and you stick on it, if you want to try at

all that is. You'll know right away if there's any point in saying anything. So mention one thing that will affect that person personally. Those who say and walk away live to speak another day. Don't just unload them with a torrent of terrifying stuff, like a nutcase. And that's what the big boys count on. That's why they give you stuff that's fear based to hype and parrot, by the way, because no one's going to listen to a raving lunatic. It turns them off. It's like someone selling their version of religion to you. So be very careful. Be very, very careful. Think for yourself. I've always said, think for yourself. I've always said that, you've got to think for yourself and question things. Question even the topics that you think any side you are following is giving you. And ask why they're giving you these topics, these particular topics.

As far as the world goes, you're living through an agenda. It's easy to find out what the agenda is, the big boys write about it in advance. I've mentioned Brzezinski many times, top geopolitician, guys who strategize on how to take down countries, how to overthrow countries, how to put their own puppet governments in, etc. And not for your country, although they will use you like a private business and your armaments and all the rest of it, but for the clique who dominate the world with their vision of their world, being the naturally superior who go off into the future and their own progeny take over. Think about it all. Think about it all, the whys of things and why this or that is made popular, for you to parrot. I've said Brzezinski said too that eventually the public will be unable to reason for themselves; that was in his book Between Two Ages written in the 1970s, they will expect the media to do their thinking and reasoning... reasoning for them. They have.

Don't take it as a personal challenge that it's your job to go out and see the perfectly conditioned and deprogram them. You'll ruin yourself in the process and isolate yourself. And you'll gain nothing from it. You can only tell people who ask particular questions, and even at the very start they might ask a pertinent question. But you'll know if they're a person who can grasp what you're saying and really wants to know, if they actually shut up and listen. If they ask the question and then talk over you with their opinions about it when you try to reply, you're not talking to a person you're going to help; you're not able to do so.

In the ancient mystery schools they had vows of silence, long before Christianity. Because the whole point was to learn and look and listen. The Freemasons use that too in the English Lodge still today. The point of it is, if you truly are wanting to learn, shut up and listen. And sometimes it's best not to even ask questions. Let the person doing the talking do their talking. Many of the questions that you will feel like interrupting to ask will be answered if you let them talk.

But today we live in instant answers, bits and bytes of information the average person carries. They want drive-through coffees and drive-through answers... make it short, I don't have the time. Such a person doesn't want to be educated, folks. And that's why you can only educate classes of people who really are selected for their ability to really listen and to have that drive, spirit in them that helps them understand. And you don't have to tell them to listen, they'll do it automatically. Automatically... And if you're telling them something that they can't accept, that's fine. They can then say, oh this is conning me, or this is fooling me, or something's wrong, or 90% of this is good but this last 10% doesn't seem to fit right. Go by your instinct because that's generally true, generally true, folks.

But to think, do you really think that such a powerful system that's brought us into this stage of world type governance as they're calling it right now, do you really think with all the untold finances that they have, countless finances, they don't have enough folk to go around and counter everything that's been done? Of course they do. I've gone through some of the history of spying, internal spying, in countries like England, but from the Middle Ages right up to the 1800s, into the early 1900s, where thousands of folk, thousands of folk were employed to spy on folk living in places just like London, to listen to gossip, to go around the coffee shops and the tea shops and the bars, and listen to gossip. The so-called Lawrence of Arabia, that was his job to do as well. They sent him abroad to do it to the Arabian countries. He was financed with other spies to start up newspapers and then put topics in to affect the points of view, the memes you put out there, the themes and memes to get them all talking about things. Then they hired lots and lots of people to go around these bazaars and listen to their conversations, to see if they were going along the desired way of thinking. Were they discussing these topics? Were they taking the proper downloads of opinions from them, etc? Ancient, ancient arts.

And today somehow you think you're living where honest voices proliferate the Internet or the airwaves or

whatever it happens to be. And if they say something that you agree with then everything else they say must be true. We're so incredibly, incredibly, minutely understood, through all the millennia of studying us. It's just incredible.

Power never ever relaxes. It never says, we've got it all now, it's a great system, we can manage all the proles forever, and relax. They never relax because they always, they're ultra-paranoid about power. People who are in power are always paranoid about holding onto it. And heads roll if any mistakes happen, from all those employed to make sure that the power is maintained. But they never stop. And they employ more and more scientists all the time, more studies, countless studies every day across the world in thousands of universities and so on and think tanks, all on you and how your mind ticks, to ensure they can have complete power for the future forever. That's how intense this whole battle is. That's how intense it is. That was the old saying, the light against the darkness. All these sayings are ancient, ancient sayings, pre-Hebraic and all the rest of it. And the power elite who dominate your reality and give you your reality are always out to smash any light that shines through the chinks. They're always out to plug it up.

But they've never had such abilities as they have today. Television was the greatest weapon ever devised, and used from the start to condition the public, on a mass scale, all getting the same conditioning at the same time. Of course school does a similar thing too. But it's much easier to do it through fiction. There's hardly a writer out there that doesn't take grants from the cultural branch of your government. Your government's got all these cultural branches that dish out cash to budding artists and things like that. But they also pay money to put the PC updates into their stories and novels and children's stories, etc., to make sure it embeds. Then subsequent fiction, movies and so on will further reinforce that conviction, whatever it happens to be. It works perfectly well. They've done so many studies on it.

So you are a compilation of all... in fact, you are the outcome of all your conditioning, conditioning by multibillion-dollar think tanks across the whole planet. But try and tell that to the average person. As long as they think they're okay... I'm okay, yeah, and I watch TV, I laugh and so on, that's it, forget it. That's what the elite always wanted, people who don't care what's happening around them in the world, as long as it's over there somewhere, or even in a big nation like the US as long as it's happening on some other coast in the US they don't care; it's like that. So simple, isn't it? It's human nature. You've been taught to be that way, narcissistic and egocentric. The world revolves around you, live in your little bubble, don't get involved in bigger things, forget first and last causes and all the rest of it, and just be a good citizen. And the state tells you all the time what a good citizen is. They tell you, oh, what a bad citizen is too if you say the wrong thing or even ask a question that's taboo. Ah, heaven forbid!

But that's the world you're living in, folks. It's not yours. Not yours... Designed by specialists. Just like most of your time is whittled away in entertainment. And what is entertainment? It's producers and writers and all these different specialists working to pass your time with the thoughts that they create, not yours. You're given one chance at life, one chance, and most folk, when you add up how much entertainment they guzzle up, as entertainment, it's staggering. Staggering. And if there were such a thing as the pearly gates and they were asked what they did in their lives, I'm sure whoever the gatekeeper is would be awfully bored, listening to the same stories over and over again about the TV series they watched and this and that and the other. Because that's what we've been reduced to.

Bertrand Russell said the same thing. Most folk don't want to think, he said. Now, that's also true, and he was well aware of it when he said it, that an elite who create fear and uncertainty, economic and all the other things in a person's life, fear itself will make a person switch off and they will seek out a fantasy in something that seems so hopeless. You understand how well we are understood? And don't try and make someone think for themselves. And remember, thinking for themselves doesn't mean they start parroting everything you say. Because then they're not thinking for themselves. Because if they are, they'll be using their own words, the way that they would say something, etc. But the art of starting folk to think for themselves is also very ancient, and it's the biggest enemy that the elite have ever had down through time.

Now, I'll be doing a lot more shows in a little while and rather than just get tied up in today's fear, and today's terror, and today's story – I'll be touching on some of them of course to show you why they're there in the first place – but I'd like to go into other areas as well because when something becomes mainstream it's lost its revolutionary force. It's no different than music. Like the bad boy music, of the bands, the old

bands that they had, and the heavy metal all being nasty-nasty. But when that stuff is used in advertising and so on to sell things then it's mainstream. It's the same with what people used to call the Patriot system, it's mainstream, it's showbiz, when the message is from the medium. The medium is the message. And it will always be so.

So you have to think for yourselves, if you want to. But if you do want to, there is something that will drive you to it, that little spark. And as I say, I'll be doing a lot more future shows and in different formats as well, so keep your eye on the website, cuttingthroughthematrix.com. And I'm glad to hear from so many of you all the time. Keep in touch with me as well and I'll keep in touch with you to see how things are going on.

And from Hamish, my pooch, and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

For more information visit the websites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

May 4, 2014 (#1434)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"At a loss of what to do?
Play a game of "Finding You""
© Alan Watt May 4, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - May 4, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on May the 4th, 2014. I never prepare talks, because we shouldn't have to prepare talks. The whole idea of being yourself is that you can chat about things whenever you want to chat and let the spontaneity of things come to you. Because that's where creativity lies, you see. When you're simply repeating stuff that's been put out there by the big boys for you to repeat, then you're not thinking for yourselves, are you?

A few minutes ago I was thinking about weather, of course. Because in Canada, where I am anyway, in Ontario, we've had about six months of winter, it's still ongoing to an extent because we seldom see the sun anymore, and it went to rain. I knew it was going to go straight from snow into rain. Because this is the new agenda with all the aerial spraying, with the chemtrails and the geoengineering, as they want to call it at the top, and so on. I remember reading years ago how, from geoengineering sites and the big meetings they were having, about the loading doses, how many years it would take to load the stratosphere, etc. with particulates to stop sunlight coming in, or to prevent it, to reduce it. And it's interesting too, they have succeeded because when they started it off NASA immediately put up articles about global dimming. Global dimming, you can look it up yourself from NASA and how it's increasing every year. Well, it's due to the particulates in the atmosphere, which of course are being sprayed by the aircraft.

We're living in a totally controlled environment in this day and age, for those who don't quite know that. But most of your reality is like that. It's so hyper managed in advance that you're living, technically with what we're told at the bottom, we are at the early Middle Ages in knowledge compared to where they really are today. We really are. Forget all the science books they put out, all the blurbs they put out on television about the latest thing they're investigating. Whatever they are telling you about was done years ago and they're on to something else. So we get fed obsolete information.

That led me on to thinking about all the rain we're getting, of course, and how that of course was well

discussed during World War II and afterwards. And big Pentagon projects went into action; Canada was heavily involved too, with ways to bring down enemies in different countries by using bacteria, viruses, but also weather modification. Where you could cause massive droughts and starve people out – because the crops would all fail, animals would die – or you could flood them and have the same effect basically. So we watch all this going on, at the same time as the big, big boys who have the futures market and have all your food dumped on the world stock market and the futures market, and they can put bets on where crops are going to fail. It's so much of a coincidence that that came out at the same time as they really went ahead with the geoengineering. Because the big boys know of course who's going to get the droughts, who's going to get flooded, because it's all made to be that way. It's planned weather we have. It's as simple as that.

That brought me onto another thought too, of Arthur C Clarke. Now, Arthur C Clarke, the science fiction fella, who was in with the big boys of course, and he was an elitist himself. It's not unusual for the big boys to employ what they call futurists, guys who are into science fiction, who would put out ideas and analogies too, through their stories, to do with what they're really up to, etc. But when you go into the different statements that he also made himself about the elite ruling in the proper scientific fashion in the future, etc., etc., you start to get the picture. But he also said that advanced science – what he meant was secret, advanced science – would appear – the effects of it would appear – to the general population – and he referred to primitive people, and that's how they refer to you by the way; he wasn't talking about a thousand years ago – he said, it would appear as magic to the public – because they'd never think about it as being caused by man-made forces. It's so easy, isn't it, to trick people?

And you have no idea of all of the sciences of the mind and all of the different disciplines, as they like to call it, or doctrines, involved, and big think tanks working for governments and so on and private industries at the top that really run everything, to make sure you are kept in a little box, and to train you to have a good ego and believe that you know everything there is to know. It's beautiful, isn't it?

And these are the people you meet every day. You meet them every day, with that stare, the polite smile, but the eyebrows are down or lifted. Just like you saw in Brave New World in the movie versions, where the primitive, as he's called, the guy who had humanity in him, love and compassion and so on, talked to these people who were artificially made, where even their intellect was designed for them, how much they could understand, and all the information they were given was designed for them by those above them, the Alpha Pluses, etc. And it didn't bother them in the least that everything that they knew and their whole culture was given to them. Because they had that little bit of pleasure, you see, etc and they had the Soma drugs, mainly again for the lower… Because the division of labor went down all the way, who was going to do all the dirty work, etc., and lots of Soma and drugs. In other words, pharma to keep them happy. We're in that today for those who haven't figured it out. It's all been done.

And the next step is to train you through all the different kinds of inter-gender types that they're trying to make you believe in. It's nothing to do with, we care about these folk, at all. It's to get you used to the fact that nothing can be really said as to be normal, so they can bring forward their basic new clones in the future, and that's what they're all working towards of course. And these guys work in generations; they don't have to do it all at one go. That's where you get tripped up because you're thinking, as a natural human being thinks, I'd have to get it done right away. No, no. These guys work in generations. It took generations to get you from family-orientated society to abortion, single-parent mothers, and multiple partners now and all kinds of things today. It didn't take that long at all, really. But for you, you'd be impatient if you were planning it. Not these boys. They know how, from past experience in history, how long it takes to gear up the population, indoctrinate them in the proper ways, so as they'll accept the next step and the next step and the next step. It's very easy. It's very, very easy.

And the world is a very, very old place and knowledge is never forgotten, folks, and mistakes are always remedied the next time they go around, hence the need for the step-by-step process. If they miss one step it can go awry, so they've got to go through the process and they are well aware of this. That's why it takes a bit of time.

When you see how society has been completely turned upside down, 180 degrees actually, from all its value system, everything, up until the 1960s, say, and then onwards, the revolution came in, and boompf, it was all done. Planned that way, introduced and planned, and well-organized and well-funded, until here we are

today, dysfunctional. And yet the folk at the end of it think it's normal, the way that they're living today, is normal.

Anything can be made to be normal to the proles, as Orwell called them. Given time, given the right indoctrination, given enough documentary specials with half the facts removed so you don't get the whole picture, to bring you to the desired conclusions. Add a bit of emotion and personal experience shows to it and that's you, you're gone, folks. It's so simple to do. Plus they use the entertainment industry to get the emotion in there and to show you terrible things to bring you to certain opinions, you see. Very easy to do. You can create guilt amongst everyone, who's done nothing, so easily, by these techniques. And even your enemies who want to do you in, can use these techniques against you, and make you feel sorry for them as they are doing you in. It's fantastic. It works awfully well.

That's why you have an authorized view of history. And you also get an authorized view of the present, where no one is trying to do you in, except maybe the latest enemy the government tells you that they have. At one time it was suddenly the Arabs, according to Bush Junior, hated you. You hadn't a clue they did that, but he told you they hated you, you see. And before that you've had all these different presidents and prime ministers – that are always in an alliance, because they are all owned by the same big bankers – telling them what to say to the public to get them ready for the next war, wherever it happens to be. We're never told the facts of the war at the time. And sometimes after the fact, it doesn't matter if some of the real reasons for it come out, like grabbing oil and minerals and things like that, you see, for big private corporations. And it doesn't matter when the job is done. It really doesn't matter. That's just how the world is really run.

Your present is given to you by a routine format, very simple format, with the same newscasters. They hang onto these anchor people for, oh, their whole life if they can because that way, you know, God knows how many millions of people have been brought up, with one or two generations watching them from childhood, and daddy wouldn't lie to you, would he? And they give you the authorized view of the present, you see, where everything's happy and they give you little stories about communities in rural areas and things like that, that are completely irrelevant and often off key to the truth. It doesn't matter. Truth doesn't matter when it comes to creating reality, for control, you see. So folk go through their lives thinking, ah well it's just the economy, folks, it's just tough times and we've got to pull together. They have no idea that nothing happens on large scales without massive planning, massive meetings, and the techniques and the plans to bring it all into action, going back and forth between different bureaucratic divisions, until they've got it all ironed out, before they even introduce it. Nothing happens by chance. Otherwise, you see, they could lose control at the top. They are paranoid about losing control. So everything is minutely discussed.

Like the free trade thing, which ended up with NAFTA (North American Free Trade Agreement), and at the same time the World Trade Organization, all set up by the one institution, by the way, which is private, by the big foundations behind them, for the whole world, to regulate the world's economy, for their own sake, for those who own it all. And we were kept out of the picture completely. Back in the 1980s they knew that when they brought China in on the free trade deals that they were going to give all the work to China, they were going to transfer all the factories abroad. They knew they were going to give you a service economy. And a service economy is like putting a dog in a swimming pool, and it can only paddle and paddle and paddle until it tires out, and it can't get out and it drowns. Economists talked about this in the 70s in Britain when they first mentioned, oh if we ever get into a really EU, a European integration system, IF we ever… It was all planned that way right along. But, if we ever get into it we'll have a service economy. And they explained all this too. So there's nothing new under the sun. There's no news at all when it comes to why we're in the mess we're in.

Because the producing countries are the ones who survive. You've got to manufacture things. Everything else is a spin-off of manufacture, you see. Importing is not; that's just service. You buy it in, you pass it around, the middlemen get all the cash, pretty well, not the manufacturer and so on, or even the store sometimes. It's the middlemen that do it all, and they are all managed by the big banking boys themselves; they have big groups that mass-purchase things and so on. And then they get government departments in their pocket to make sure that they have the rights to decide on the prices for imports, etc. and all this kind of stuff. It's all done above our heads. It's kept out of the news, because you're not supposed to worry about such lofty things.

Now, for those who think they get, really, all the news there is because there's so many new stations, supposedly... but they all get the news from the same sources, two sources, across the whole planet now. So we have regulated, authorized news, you see. And if you notice, all the news you're getting is pretty well some other foreign country, over yonder somewhere. That's very good because it just keeps you distracted from what's happening in your own backyard. They don't want you to know what's happening in your own backyard. In fact, if you notice, since the Leveson inquiry and bill went through in Britain – all for muzzling journalists; and you had the Finkelstein one done in Australia at the same time – these are British Commonwealth countries, remember, Britain and the Commonwealth. Canada had to have done it too because, literally, there's no independent real journalism on anything. There was a bit of leeway before. All their bosses were part of the same global society but some of the journalists were given a bit of leeway to express opinions on different characters and politicians and deals that were going on. They can't do that anymore. That's why you're getting literally, it's like the old Soviet Union, it was all just dished out from the top. And we know that's how it really works in all the so-called first world countries now. It's dished out from the top, authorized, and mainly for stuff that is way across the sea somewhere, that means sweet dick all to us. It means nothing to us. Nothing at all. Because we should be worried about what's happening here, folks, your own future. You see. It's all meant to distract you from your own future, and from all the stores closing down across the US and Canada and everywhere else; they're all boarded up, going out of business, or are out of business, and the economy is flat, absolutely flat.

You get it from all kinds of sources. I do anyway. Because so many folk get in touch with me I get a good picture of what's happening across Britain, the whole of Britain and the UK, Europe, Australia, Canada, the States, etc. Because I get all the stories coming in, and I can make my own mind up and it's rather evident what's going on of course. We are being brought down... in a long-term game of course, long-term game. I've read the reports from the facility, or group, that does the projections for the future for the military for NATO and Britain and so on. They said eventually they'd break up countries. They would eventually have chaos and riots by people who get unemployed, during this whole process of getting to where they want to go. They're ready for all the riots of course in the States; it's the most militarized police state in the world right now. And that's why they always try to distract you to things that are happening abroad, while they're ready to clout you at home, you see.

The thing is, this is all part of the game, the long-term strategy for bringing you down into big city-states, independent city-states, as they eventually dissolve the nation systems. And those who run the city-states will be the high corporate elitists, with their technocratic control beneath them that manages the people, etc., etc. It's quite interesting. I'd love to get my hands on the real, all the details, the nitty-gritties of it all, but they don't publish that for the general public. The stuff they do for the military, they don't publish it for the public, or even for the general troops for that matter; they're not supposed to know either. So you just get the general outlines of flashmobs and unemployment and riots, and how they must be contained as we go through all these long processes up to the year 2050, maybe beyond, etc, into the big city-states. They won't tell you what's to happen to the ones that are not in the big city-states, the few that are left standing in place of countries and nations.

But in the meantime of course they've got other dominoes to knock down and resources to grab for the corporations around the world, and hence the wars that are going on. That's why they keep pushing you towards, oh the Ukraine and Russia and all that stuff. Russia has the same guys running it as the States does, by the way. That's who runs Russia. The same big magnates that became multibillionaires overnight when all the people's stock was handed over to them, remember, when the so-called walls went down and suddenly they were no longer communist, planned years in advance of course as to who was going to grab all the stock and became instant multimillionaires.

The same across the Ukraine, by the way, the old Soviet bloc countries, the same handfuls of in-the-crowd people; the same bunch, actually, that are often related to each other, run all the stuff over there. So they've got to get to an agreement, to their cousins that run the States, so that they can work out how this future is going to go, you see. It's already planned but they must work out the nitty-gritty and who gets what and all the rest of it, etc. Meanwhile they've been surrounding Russia, since the Cold War supposedly ended, and they've been surrounding them with more and more missile bases across all these ex-Warsaw Pact countries, the ones that let them in. So naturally if you were getting surrounded by all these missiles

you wouldn't be too happy yourselves. Never mind all the fomenting with the color revolutions and the multimillions the West is sending in to get riots started up, to demand things, etc., to suit the big corporate boys that pay all these rioters over there their big salaries. Because they are paid to do these riots, by the way; they are taught and trained and all the rest of it. But again, that's another story.

But back home, if you notice, nothing's happening back home, supposedly. Back home, nope, nope, they keep pointing over there, the next war or the next threat, you see, to keep you terrified so as that you shut your face, you see. Shut your face and you listen to the leaders, oh, lambasting these nasty countries over there somewhere. And that takes up your time. Rather than say, what the hell is happening here? What's happening here? Apart from the stagnation of the economy, what's happening here? And where's it supposed to go? from here? for us, you see. No one asks the pertinent questions. Because we're supposed to go down the tubes, you see. We're supposed to go down the tubes.

It's not because the big boys are losing control. Don't ever believe that for a second. These boys have all the think tanks always planning their future to make sure they implement each stage of their future and control; it'll be completely, vastly different for all you at the bottom in the next stage. It's the same bunch running it all, coming through it, you see, afterwards, the same bunch. You have no idea how scientifically controlled we are. None at all. There's hardly an opinion in your head that hasn't been given to you. Like the Alphas and the Betas and so on in Brave New World, there's hardly a thought that's been authorized for you to have. The thing is, you think it's your own opinion. And because you're stuck in this way of thinking, you see, this programmed, linear way of thinking – deliberately so, it's a scientific technique, very simple to do and implement – you can't figure your way outside the box.

So what you do is you jump on the ones who are yapping about it, never questioning what they might be saying or why they're saying it, or who's maybe behind that. The whole idea that I go on about is to try to get you to think for yourselves and ask the right questions for yourselves. That's what's important. You don't have to have folk agreeing with you. And it's not often even necessary to even say anything to anybody. You can tell... I can tell by going into a little group, just listen to their chitchat, if there's anything worth saying at all to them. And if there isn't I won't. Why get frustrated? Why get them frustrated?

Because you are like the primitive in Brave New World talking to them. He's trying to tell them about humanity and life and love and all this and beauty, to people who are listening to him awfully politely. Because they're just so advanced, they think, and they know it all, and here's a primitive. So it's a kind of quaint thing to have a primitive telling you about love and beauty, meanwhile they are promiscuous and so on, and they have different partners every night, by law, and everything they know has been authorized by their superiors, and they are quite happy with this stuck graded system, from Alphas all the way down. They're quite happy with it, you see. That's their normality. You can't tell them anything. It's impossible to tell them, well look at this another way, any part of their system. It's impossible to get through to them. And that's what you're experiencing with most people, you see.

I keep telling people, I have done from the start, don't knock yourself out trying to persuade people who don't want to hear you. Most of them can't hear you; their indoctrination is too perfect. They don't know it. The ones they knock around with, their pals, will have the same opinions, exactly the same as theirs, and so they think they're normal. That's how you bounce off your ideas from folk to think you're normal. You think I'm normal, I believe in this and this and this? Oh sure, yeah, yeah. And most of their talk is about TVs and shows and movies and the news, that they're supposed to parrot, which they do. And therefore that's them normal, you see.

They never ask themselves, what got them to this stage of what they believe is normalcy and why they accept it so readily. They think they're evolving, you see. Society is just evolving; it's like a willy-nilly thing; it just happens that way. Believe you me, if it was outside the realms of planning by the elite it would never get off the ground. Anything that's happened for change was authorized and pushed from the top. No matter what pressure groups you thought at the bottom were pushing it, they were all paid by the big foundations, the front groups that employ thousands of armies of NGOs, [non-governmental organizations], to push for the changes that are desired by those at the top.

But the trick is to make you believe it's all your changes. Oh yeah, we want that, yeah. But there's other little

truisms to do with humanity that you don't know. It isn't just indoctrination. The big think tanks that have been on the go all through the 20th century, and before that too by the way, studying all of us, and going over all of history, and bringing up the top professors to talk to the world meetings and so on, to find out how we ticked in the past, and do we change. Of course Plato said it too, formula – it's all formula – whatever worked in the past, if you bring it in, in the proper sequence and formula that was introduced before, it will work on the general population again. You see. And it does. It does.

And it will work on any culture; that's another thing too they found out in the 1800s. At one time even the revolutionary forces of, say, Freemasonry, like the Scottish Rite of Freemasonry, which wasn't Scottish at all. But Albert Pike said it too. He said, we used to gear our propaganda, information, we used to gear it, one for the male and one for the female. He said, we have found that the female wants, needs and desires, fantasies, etc., are the same in all cultures. So what worked for the West will work for them all across the world. You see that happening today. We've been so minutely studied, so minutely... That's why Bernays said it too. He says, we can make the changes through the female; she's the quickest one to listen to experts and change her behavior according to the experts. That's why they aim 90% of the magazines, all through the 20th century, at women, you see. Expert says blah-blah-blah, and there they go. So minutely studied.

Of course they know all about men as well, and the more powerless they make them, the more sports they give them so they can vicariously live out their life on the field, which they couldn't even run on because they'll get no wind, you see, they're too fat. So anyway, everything is micromanaged. Micromanaged. Every cartoon the children watch and are brought up on is filled with messages and programming. Every single one. By the way, they always were; it isn't just a recent phenomenon.

So there's another thing too I want to mention about humans. It isn't just that they can't understand you people. It isn't just that they don't want to understand you or hear you. You understand, they do get upset when you kind of... you are bursting their bubble. You're kind of getting to a stage of bursting their bubble and they can turn on you viciously, when the fantasies that they want to believe in, that keeps them what they think is sane, if you're going to pop that fantasy they'll turn on you, viciously too. So be awfully careful about what you do.

Never offer it unless a person wants it. And they might only want a little bit of it, so stop right there and move on. But there's another thing too that you've got to understand about general humanity, it's been perfectly conditioned. When you try to tell them about all the evil that's going on, the corruption through politics and business and so on, and it's in your face today. But when you want to point out it all, see, what you're trying really to do is to pass on your indignation about it, to them. And what really upsets you is that they're not getting indignant. And when you point out why the wars really happened and so on, up to the present time, you can't make them indignant either. Because here's a little secret. See, most folk don't care. Get that through your heads. Most folk don't care who your troops are going to go slaughter today or tomorrow. They don't care. It's just that simple. It really honestly is that simple, folks. And that's why they're not indignant. They don't care. They're not getting slaughtered, right now. In a way they feel happier that someone else is. That means that your troops aren't slaughtering you, yet.

So stop thinking you can just change the world by a throwing the information out there and having people respond to it. That's the first thing that people who think they're just – I hate this term – waking up. It's like born again; I was born on 9/11, they'll say. I say, what do you mean you were born on 9/11? ...reborn, like a born-again experience. That's reacting to things that might affect you. That's reaction; that's what that is. Most folk don't start to think about things until it affects them personally, through their pocket, unemployment, something like that. The rest go on as usual. They don't care. They don't want to know... Don't tell me that... They want to be happy. They have been trained to be egosyntonic, egocentric. Egocentric. They have been taught, as all the experts said in the 40s and 50s at the big think tanks, they'd train them through the schools, through the culture industry and so on, to be narcissistic and egocentric. And they will shun pain, all kinds of pain, mental pain, whatever; unpleasantness is pain, you see, and they will seek pleasure. And that's what they do, most of them. And when they're doing that they're kept in an infantile stage.

In fact, they're taught to always try to be happy, like being perpetually happy is a normal thing. Which it is not, by the way. That all came out of the Freudian school, that was meant to change the world. It was a

political movement, folks, the Freudian school, for those who don't know it. Look at all the members of it; there was a particular reason it was even founded. They brought forth the whole idea, as well, you work in a system, you crack down under the pressure, in the system, which is crazy. You're doing some kind of rotten work that you hate. You're stuck in it. You've got either rent to pay or a mortgage to pay or something, responsibilities, and you hate what you do every day. Because it's meaningless. Passing paper around an office is meaningless. It's not a natural function. Offices aren't natural. In fact, cities aren't even natural. That's all been well documented by the anthropologists. But they give us a little financial reward thing, you see, and you get stuck in it. Most of the money goes back out to pay for everything, mind you, and in taxes as well. But you're taught that you're respectable because you're working.

And then you crack up. Now, what happens when you crack up? They send you off to a therapist. And what does the therapist do, the psychiatrist do? All they do today, they don't sit and say well, let's get to the bottom of this problem, sonny. They don't say that anymore; they know it doesn't work, you see. So what he does is find some pill to put you on, that stops your higher functioning to an extent. You'll float through life... so that you can get back into the workplace. And that's what they'll say to you, these are the questions a psychiatrist will ask you; they all ask the same questions. What are you doing now? Oh, I'm working again. Oh yeah, that's good. And what do you do in your spare time? Oh I go shopping and I buy things. And then they'll say, are you having sex? Oh yeah, I'm having sex now; I go to parties. And that's their new version of being normal. It's not worrying about the things that made you crack up in the first place, so the problems haven't gone away, it's just that your senses are now dulled enough, and you are euphoric enough on the drugs, they don't bother you the same way. That's the answer to it, you see.

Big boys talked about that. That's why they brought in the Soma idea in the 1930s in Huxley's Brave New World. They were going to use pharma. They said that, eventually everyone will be on Pharma. And if they can create and invent diseases that don't exist, in children, they can get them started young on Pharma, till it's a normal thing to be on Pharma, psychoactive drugs. It's all happened in your lifetime, and you don't even know it, or why. But I won't ask you to be indignant, because most of you won't care. You can't make people care, folks. I'm all right, Jack. I'm egocentric. I shun the unpleasant, I watch comedies. I don't want to hear bad news. And I seek pleasure. There it is, right there. That's how it goes. That's how they work, folks.

Another thing too you'll notice, is that people you'll know, maybe even relatives, might hear you out to an extent and then close down on you once again. Because if you go too fast they shut off from you. But you'll notice from folk that you know, who do nothing but watch television, and that's how they learn things. They don't know they're learning things when they watch television, and all their fiction as well by the way; they don't know they're learning things. They learn through, as Jacques Ellul said, people learn through osmosis. The general population, it kind of filters through from a thousand sources, bits and bytes of information, and then it kind of congeals in their brain somewhere. But they haven't reasoned it through. They simply adopt the opinion it left in them.

And you'll hear them saying, well yeah, the world is overpopulated. Well, it's all coming from TV, you see, documentaries and David Suzuki and things like that. The fact that the Western world, the so-called first world countries, their own domestic population has been plummeting for years and years and years, as folk don't have so many children, you see. But what they do is, through mass immigration – and Britain is a great example – they get the population up again, through mass immigration, which also fulfills the role of destabilizing any nationalistic tendencies. If you eradicate the nation's people, they are no longer nationalistic; it's multicultural, you see. So the big boys have got it easier to manage you then. Once that's done you are multicultural, you see. You don't have a tradition or a pride in the past. You don't belong there, you didn't come from there so it doesn't matter. That goes out the window with the second generation. And that's been done.

But the fact is, as I say, the native populations have been plummeting. And they give you the appearance of a world that's overpopulated, because all the immigrants flood to the big cities; they always have. And they are encouraged to go there, you see, which were never meant to bring in millions of folk from overseas. But if the population had stayed without the immigration you'd have lots and lots of empty houses and lots and lots of empty apartments, in the big cities, because the native populations are declining. They're not having children, you see. Everything is planned that way. Nothing happens, as I say, by itself, for itself's sake. It

has to be authorized, heavily debated, many, many times by the top leaders of industry, banking and so on, who plan all this and then they tell the politicians what to do and implement and so on. That's how it works. Nothing happens by chance.

So you learn through osmosis. So you're talking to people, as I say, they switch off, they don't want to hear you. And they think they know everything because the TV tells them so. They think they live in the best country in the world, and as Bertrand Russell said, they will believe it because the government will keep telling them so. And they will prattle all the, it doesn't matter what country, they'll keep prattling these same things off to you. It's all the same scientific technique, you see. Repetition actually does work; it's quite fantastic, isn't it?

So people really don't have minds of their own. They can be awfully pleasant people. You'll find them in all occupations and professions as well, pleasant people, who can't think outside their own profession or box that they're in, but still be very pleasant to you. And to each other, they're cordial, you see, so things just seem to flow along. Even when it's really breaking down it still flows along to an extent. We're so micromanaged that it's just unbelievable.

Most folk, as I say, don't want to know. They've been totally conditioned. They're quite happy, they can go home at night, watch the sports, or watch dramas and comedies, and drink their beer or wine or whatever it happens to be, and zonk out for the rest of evening, and party at the weekend and have sex, and they think they're getting somewhere. And then they go back to work from Monday to Friday and so on and so on. That's their life, and they think it's normal because everyone else is doing it too.

But don't try and upset their little bubble. Because you'll get signs, they'll give you signs they don't want it burst. And they will become vehement towards you at the threat of you even scratching their bubble, never mind bursting it. They really don't care. Most folk don't care, folks. That's as simple as that. And they've done all the studies on these things too. Lots and lots, oh, thousands of studies, ongoing, about situations in psychology, how people behave in this situation, in that situation, all these situations, over and over and over again ad-nauseam. And most folk simply don't care, as long as nothing happens to them. And as long as they have their little bubble, their little circle they can walk around in, and they have their friends they can call and their sockpuppets they can chat with on the Internet and things like that, that's their fantasy. That's their fantasy. When you tell them that most of their friends don't exist, they're actually sockpuppets by computers run by military and Pentagon and so on, it doesn't faze them either. It doesn't faze them at all. They don't care.

And that's why on many, many different levels that George Orwell said, the proles don't count. He had so much in that book of his, 1984. The title he wanted to put out was called The Last Man, meaning, the last sentient man that could think and question. But it was his publisher that wanted to call it 1984 because he was going to publish it in 1948; just changed the numbers. But on so many levels Orwell was telling you things, so many different levels. But the proles don't count. Meaning the proles never have political power.

Don't ever fall for all the left-wing nonsense, because everyone who leads the left wing and all the left-wing organizations are paid and put in by the big foundations, the biggest multibillionaires and trillionaires in the world; that's who puts them in and runs them. So the proles don't count; they're powerless. It's all about power. And at the very top of the pyramid money is power. Don't ever forget that. And the ability to make and dispense money, and decide how much it's worth today or tomorrow, is incredible power. And that's what all, everything else falls into line underneath that, because they all use money. That's how the world really is.

But as I say, Orwell tried to tell about the proles, the proles don't count. He has that through the book in different places, and in the movie versions as well. The proles don't count. The proles in 1984 were flooded with entertainment. Their music was all written by machines. Have you listened to the music today, folks? as they brought you down to this level, down and then ended up in the rap until you don't even need musical instruments anymore, and then to where it is today, electronics. You can buy the programs; you don't even need to make melodies up and so on. And machines and so on, even turned out the porno industry, because they must get porno into it big, big time, to keep them rutting like rabbits. If they're rutting like rabbits they think they're winning. Their egos are involved, he chose me that night because he

really likes me, I'm better than the rest, and vice versa you see. Such simple, simple predatory behavior, that's pushed to the maximum. So your basic instincts are used against you. And you don't even know they're being used against you, because you think you're winning. It's all psychology.

That's the biggest war of all, is the war for your mind, folks. That's what it all comes down to, the war for your mind. And you all think you've got them; you think your mind is your own. You'll have strong opinions about things, that you've never investigated. Amazing, isn't it? So be careful about all the information that comes out there, from all sources, even the ones pertaining to speak for you. Be very, very careful, folks. The big boys miss nothing. Nothing. You're dealing with the most meticulous power-hungry freaks in the world, who miss nothing. They're paranoid about missing things. Go through the biographies of all the big, big founding families of the US, for instance, the big magnates. Go through how meticulous and obsessional they were, not just with counting pennies and so on, and self-discipline, but in total control of everything in their sphere, as they kept expanding their spheres of influence and power. Look, read it, read it all. That's if you care. Most folk don't care.

That's really the telling point, they won't look at anything. It takes effort. Effort comes when something is motivating you. They used to call it the spirit; it motivates you to look for it. And remember too, everyone today has been taught to think in bits and bytes... Give me a quick answer. You hear that all the time, give me a quick answer. I've been on many, many radio shows and I understand how the people's minds think, in ordinary decent folk and how they think and so on. But you'll often get asked questions that will sound simplistic, and because they've been trained with talk radio, all their lives – across the world it's all the same format, talk radio – that they'll ask things, not knowing they're asking something that's really profound, which would take a longer answer, and sometimes an education, to get them to a level to give them the proper answer that they then could understand. If you gave it to them right off the bat without taking them through those learning phases it will be incomprehensible to them. You could say the most profound things to them and they'll go onto the next question as though they hadn't heard it, because it means nothing to them. They don't know, they don't know what they've just asked you. I find that all the time. And that's the hardest thing for me, is to debate how much to tell them and what not to say to them. That's how it really is.

As I say, we're so well understood. We've all heard about all the stuff about the NSA and all the other big organizations that collect all your data. They've got you worked out, your personality profile, perfectly. They've got your whole life history. They've got your school; they've got everything on you. Everything on you. Every darn thing there is. And they compile it into a virtual you – I've gone through the article so many times from the Pentagon where they say that they have got everyone in a virtual reality game basically and they run games on the individual. Every one of you has had games run on you, with your personality profile. They can predict how you'll behave in every situation imaginable, and they're pretty well almost 100% right. And people still think they're free. They still think they're individuals making their own decisions. If you're so well understood, folks, you'd be radically, radically altering your behavior. But they don't.

There's a kind of comfort in sameness, isn't there? Everything is the same, same, I'm the same. There's a comfort there. It's like being in a depression, some folk actually enjoy being in a depression. Apart from the attention they get and so on. But they actually enjoy being in that space of self-pity. It becomes addictive. And I really mean that, it can become addictive to them, to be in self-pity. And it's familiar. The more it happens, the more it's familiar to them, and they can go into their little world of self-pity and depression, abject depression, and get pills or whatever. But there's much more to what happens to people than you think, or are being told, as to why, all the whys of things.

As I say, the war is on your mind, because someone else wants to control it. If you are not in charge of it somebody else will be. And the biggest temptation people have today is to follow some camp or another, because you see, that's how humans are. So well studied. From the present to the ancient. What camp are you in? What do you believe? Oh, I'm a follower of so and so. Then they'll parrot off to you everything that their leader says. Which means that leader has led all their way of thinking right along the way, you see. Not themselves; they parrot it all.

In ancient times, and all down through history, you always had hermits. There were even hermits in ancient Egypt, hung around out on the outskirts, who didn't want to fall into this camp or that camp or whatever,

you see, or one of the priests, or that priest who did whatever it happens to be. These are the few folk that thought for themselves. They'd had enough and off they went. In those days it wasn't so bad because they didn't have aerial drones photographing everywhere you went. You could get lost. When folk came to the early Americas they could get lost as well. When they brought civilization in and lawyers and churches, in came the townsfolk, oh let's start organizing, and the next thing you know you've got a marshal and then you get a census department. Before that you could get lost, go into the bush and get lost. You could live the rest of your life if you wanted to and see nobody ever again. If you wanted to.

Now you've got passports and blah-blah-blah across the whole planet. Total observation. In a totally observed society, international, global, everyone must be predictable, for power to maintain itself. Everybody... That means every little amoeba there is. That's all of us, folks. So in ancient times, as I say, and in the Middle Ages, folk would go off. And sometimes people would come and chat to them; you'll find that in India for instance, some guy in a cave somewhere, and ancient Greece as well. They would ask them questions because sometimes what these guys would say was kind of profound, because it was outside the box. They were thinking for themselves. They weren't getting the, here's the news for the masses today, here's what they're going to prattle on and regurgitate. So they'd ask them questions and it was kind of fascinating. So sometimes they'd bring them food and things and that kept them alive. And if it got too much for the hermit he'd move on somewhere else and try to get lost for a while again.

Peace of mind. What some folk would give today to get peace of mind and that kind of privacy, eh? You can't get it now can you? ...rules, regulations and red tape and all the rest of it. But that's what hermits did. But the first thing people would ask them is, what do you believe in, what camp are you in, you know, what camp, this one or that one? And the hermit would, if he was very wise, which most of them were of course because they survived, if not the mob would kill them. But they'd say well, well... So they'd be very diplomatic in their answers to the mob. You don't want to offend anybody who's already in a camp, you see. That's how the mob reacts, they get rather nasty if you were to tell them... especially if you burst their bubble, you know. But very few folk want that.

In fact, I remember, oh, many years ago when I was wee, reading a book on Canada. It was to do with, I think it was one of the Inuits – or Eskimos as they're popularly called by the world – up in the north of Canada who needed an operation in hospital so they flew him down to Toronto. They had to sedate the guy the whole time he was in Toronto in the hospital because this guy was brought up in a bare tundra of snow and ice for a good part of the year. Pretty treeless as well, no trees. And suddenly he's in a city where everything is incredible noise. Not only noise from the people and the honking of cars and sirens and all the rest of it and traffic, but also the noise that folk had on through radios and everything. Because his world was one of quietness and the only voices you hear were other people that would come up and chat to you, or the wind coming across the snow and so on, or the occasional animal. That was his normalcy. That's how he thought and felt happy. That's where he felt happy, in his own environment. Trees, they took him to see the trees, some forest, it freaked him out. Completely freaked him out because he felt utterly claustrophobic. He wasn't used to trees where he came from. He knew they weren't going to do him any harm, these trees, but it just freaked him out. It was outside his ken, his realm of knowing and understanding, you see. Freaked him out.

You find the studies, the sociological studies they've done, over and over and over again, with different peoples. And believe you me, every nation, every people in the world has been well understood. That's what, often, the enemies of other folk do, is study, study, study, and sometimes we all have even one enemy. But you find that, they find that the Chinese are happiest when they are rubbing shoulders in the streets. They like crowds. They feel uncomfortable away from that; away from that is the abnormal to them. The solitude thing is abnormal. So they prefer being amongst themselves. It's interesting to go through all the different studies that have been done on different people, over and over and over again. Any change in cultures, the controllers want to know. Because it has to be authorized by them or it doesn't happen at all.

It's the same with people with information. In the first world countries we have been overdosed since birth with information. Information isn't truth, folks. It's just information. It's data. It can be lies. It can be complete fiction. In fact, most folk, their lives are spent more in fiction than reality. And even the fiction is weaponized, with all its PC updates and so on, and things, oh that's terrible that happened to that person, why did they bash that person up and all that. And you're getting your little guilt trips given on you, that

you've never even participated in, but you take it nonetheless. It's done scientifically. It's perfect actually.

They've even done surveys; they always do surveys after these series are out where they've put all the PC updates in it, to see how it's affecting people. Has it worked properly on them? Are their opinions changing, etc? ...through fiction. And yet if you ask all these folk, do you know how you got these ideas? Oh no, I just think it's right. So they give you their opinions on things, which aren't their opinions at all. It's all been brainwashed into them. The best brainwashing is when you don't even know you've been brainwashed. That's what they say about people who are brainwashed, they don't know they have been. That is perfect brainwashing.

So think about it. Who owns your brain, your mind? Who owns it? A lot of folk, if you tell them all this stuff, they don't want to know. They don't even want to know if someone else owns it. Or even if other ones have shares in it, you know, divvying it up into shares. They don't want to know. It makes them uncomfortable. Again, egocentric and egosyntonic behavior, shun the unpleasant... shun it – oh, I don't want to know that; oh that's nasty; that makes me feel unhappy – and seek pleasure. That's why at parties and things you can sit or stand and mingle and all that – it depends what kind of class of party you go to because in the upper classes you stand and you mingle, you know, and you sip your wine and champagne. But the topics are always the same; they're irrelevant little happy topics. But totally irrelevant because it's a cover, a smokescreen, you see, the little happy topics, because folk just want to be happy. Plus they want to be good predators, as they eye each other up, you see, for the genders and all the rest of it, who wants to be with whom and blah-blah-blah. Predatory behavior. But they don't want anything serious. When they're all happy and smiling they don't want to hear anything... oh, that's a downer, man. That's how people are.

And what the mistake is too, being aware of things shouldn't destroy you. It should make you more free than you are. Free, indeed, in your mind. It's always meant that in the ancient religions and mystery religions too. It's always meant the same meaning. It doesn't free you physically, because you're in the same system as everyone else. But at least you know what's happening and your mind is your own. And there's a pleasure in being able to think for yourself. It doesn't mean you have to instantly go out and evangelize everybody either, you see. The only thing you can be sure of changing is yourself. That's the only thing. And even then you can have a battle changing yourself, a real battle. Think about it. Because if you truly want truth, factual truth, you got to go out and seek it and do some work. That might take some self-discipline.

And don't just jump after conspiracy sites because you don't know who set them up in the first place. The big boys don't miss anything. If they want control over everything, and even supplying all your NGOs for your left-wing and right-wing groups and so on, blah-blah-blah-blah-blah, don't ever think that they'd miss what is mislabeled as the patriot movement; don't think they missed it. Again, it's what camp, what camp are you in? What camp are you in? [Alan laughing.] Then you got to give a diplomatic answer.

If you say, I think for myself, oh it's a wise guy, eh, mm-hmm. Maybe they've said something that's true for the first time in their life without even knowing it. Maybe you are a wise guy. So think for yourself. And don't panic about everything. It is boring, mind you, because the more you know, nothing will surprise you as to what happens with the next step and the next step and the next step, and all the different plans that are ongoing at the same time. And don't think your one little brain can keep up with it all. Don't try. That will crack you up. You can't look for world meetings on a daily basis and find, oh, about 2000 NGOs across all the world meeting that particular day on different things, if you can find the information even. You can't keep up with all of that. Accept the fact that the big controllers at the top miss nothing. They have all the power and money in the world. And they have all these NGOs working under a massive bureaucratic centralized control, all working daily together with the think tanks and so on and the big money boys at the top. Always remember the pyramid structure.

Don't let it freak you out. It didn't just start in your lifetime, folks. Don't think that either. It's not like suddenly you just got cut today by something, I better dress that wound, it's up to me. This cut started an awful long time ago. Back in the 1800s, go into the history of what used to be called time and motion studies, when they brought out the new experts in behavior to find ways of getting the laborers to work harder and faster and more efficiently, without paying them any more money. And take it from there. That's how some of these sciences developed, you see. So don't panic about things. Don't just jump into camps and say, oh I'm a follower of this, I'm a follower of that. Think for yourself. Because there truly is nothing

else except thinking for yourself.

And when enough folk think for themselves, maybe one day, whose knows when, who knows... things could gradually change. That's why I always liked Orwell too; he was honest enough about it when he put it through an analogy in 1984. He said, when he was being tortured by O'Brien he says, well the proles will do something or the word will get out sort of thing. And O'Brien says, that's ridiculous, the proles don't count, etc. and then he says, well maybe, maybe in a thousand years. This is how the big boys look at things, could something become dangerous in a thousand years? Of course in our day and age they don't plan to have anybody with any brain that isn't authorized to be thinking in a thousand years. Never mind the kind of humans that will be around by then anyway, except for themselves, with longevity, etc., they'll have all that. They have it now actually, for certain select ones of them. But they don't plan on having the same old, old man and old woman type around anymore. They'll create the perfect clones, the Brave New World type, you see, to serve them well. And the old type will go out quite happily, thinking it's all quite normal. Because that's how you present it to the public, bit by bit, and bit by bit, until they accept it all. Oh well I guess it had to become this way, yeah. That's it, you see.

As I say, I started with the weather and just prattled on. But the fact is, when most folk don't even know the weather is under complete control now... That's what you can see. You can see the weather. You can see the spraying going on. You can see the aftereffects and the downpours and so on, the monsoons they give you now for instance. And the futures, juggling with the whole world's food supply, and the big boys at the top know exactly who's going to get flooded and exactly who's going to get the crops in, so they can get into the crops and get the shares and make sure the prices go up in the right places etc. etc. That's how perfect it is. That's what you see.

Can you imagine the things you don't see? the Technotronic warfare, etc. It's happening to you all the time. Remember what Brzezinski said? Eventually this could go across whole continents and control the minds of the people. You think it isn't happening too? Just look at the amount of sleeplessness there is now. Insomnia is just booming. Why? Well we've known from all the studies that have been done that even Wi-Fi, for instance, upsets your sleeping patterns, etc. etc. etc. Smart meters do it too. They all know this at the top. You think they're just putting it in because it's more convenient for them? Don't be silly. When the big boys write about bringing in Technotronic warfare upon the whole populations of everyone, everyone's nation, bathing whole continents in it, THEY MEAN IT. They mean it, folks. And when they mean it, they do it. Government can only exist by keeping what they do to the public secret. Otherwise the public would have to really do something about it. And they know that. They've always known that.

So you are kept in fantasy land. And for those who want to stay there, you have the options. You can go into all the... You've got a variety of fantasies you can go into today, escapes. Lots of them. Lots of escape out there. Just keep filling your head with useless, useless data. Or you can watch all the porn you want, or sports, or dramas, and so on and so on. Or you can go off into various kinds of escapism through trying to find the spirit, the spirit, the spirit, the spirit, you know, that can escape it all. Because you know within yourself that physically you can't escape it, so you're left with the thing called the spirit. So you've got all people making stacks of money selling all kinds of books, rehashing old stuff, and purely rehashed; some of them don't even change the whole chapters they take out of very old books. Because it's on big supply and demand today. Escape. When folk think they've had it they try and escape mentally. And the big boys supply all of those avenues as well, stacks of gurus out there like never before.

That's why professor Carroll Quigley talked about the end of ages and the end of civilizations. All the things that happen, and that's one of them, that's one of them that arises. Today we call it the New Age. It's also called the perennial religion. They always use that system at the end, promote it, as they take down societies. And folk try and escape that way.

It's time people started calling what things really happen to be, by how they are. Stop all the political correctness as well, which is meant to silence you, through fear of retribution. In a free society any child should be able to come up and ask any question that's popped into his mind. Anything at all. And if the authorities grab him because you're not allowed to ask that question, or this question, or whatever, there's something wrong. He's too close to home, he doesn't know it of course, of what or who is controlling. So that's the first step into controlling society, is to stop them, of changing anything, is to stop you from being

able to even ask questions, because it's politically incorrect, by law.

Look at GIRFEC [Getting It Right For Every Child] in Scotland; they've got the same systems going across the world now. Mandated child advocates supplied by government to monitor every child throughout their childhood, from the age of a couple of months onwards. What do you think of this, Johnny? Well, well you know... Well, I better put you in for reconditioning, Johnny, we can't have you starting to ask questions on that particular topic. Or this particular topic or this other one over here. Whatever it happens to be, you see, you have to get readjusted... to be uniform, the uniform society... the authorized citizen of the future. That way you're easier to manage. And governments certainly do like things to be easy for themselves.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

Visit cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu for more.

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

May 11, 2014 (#1435)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Who Writes the Page for Planned Outrage?"
© Alan Watt May 11, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - May 11, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on May the 11th, 2014. As always, I think of a thousand things to talk about. I don't plan things because there's no point in planning things, until you really think through things. Then often you become almost mainstream with your planning in advance, etc. All the big talk shows do that. All sides of them, too, do that. They plan their articles for the future, so they become a regular mainstream sort of thing. Whereas I prefer to be spontaneous and let things just come out as they will. After all, isn't that more natural? The whole point of being on the air at all is to be kind of natural, I would think. But unfortunately the medium becomes the message as McLuhan said, and that is so true.

The media has its own format, its ways of presenting things. It even has you trained to have music overlays as someone's talking, and you've had that since childhood, without thinking why do you play music while you're trying to say something at the same time? Of course, it's psychological to an extent too. Even the ads have it. Everything has it in it. So people think, well to be a hit they've got to copy the mainstream. They don't realize the mainstream is simply a method of controlling you all together and to stop you having thoughts of your own. They do this of course when they give you videos at school. I noticed that very early on, when they used to use the old projection reels, etc. They'd show you some poor animal that's been suffering somewhere, and the music overlay, very ominous and sad, and a woman's voice talking over it in a hypnotic fashion. And that's how emotion is implanted, imprinted, and the event is imprinted in your mind basically for life. That's how it's done. So be very, very careful as I say, if you want to be careful. Most folk don't really want to be careful; they prefer having someone else control their mind.

And Bertrand Russell said on more than one occasion, that people who won't use their own minds frequently die. And he said it in many different ways too. He said, and some of them prefer it that way. Even if you warn them that they're going to die if they don't start using their own minds and start to think, they'd rather not do it and just die anyway. So you can't, really, help all the people because partly they've been trained that they're being taken care of by people who come out of special wombs, who you see on television and so on, and some of them are with you all your life; they live till they're about a hundred years old as anchormen and women and, you know, granny or granddad would never lie to you. So you're trained to believe whatever

they say.

And when any program – and I noticed this years ago too – when the big push through the marketing divisions, the ones that really control your mind and how you tick and so on and do all the surveys on you all the time, apparently it works when they tell you that so-and-so is the most trusted man in America, or Peter Mansbridge is the most trusted man in Canada, or CBC News is the most trusted news in the whole country. Things like that, that's just pure propaganda, without your input whatsoever as to what you actually think of it yourself. They're telling you what to believe. And unfortunately, it works. Isn't that sad? Isn't that kind of sad?

I've gone over Bernays' life many times, Edward Bernays, who really is a misnomer in a sense; he was given the wrong title. He is said to be the father, really, the father of modern marketing. But he wasn't at all. He was only one of many people who had learned the arts through previous generations, over thousands of years, through people who were merchants at one time who studied the populations, how to sell things to different populations. Because people have different buying habits, cultural habits, etc. so they had to always study their target, you see. Ancient techniques of course.

You even find this in stories about Buddha where at one time he had to earn some money and he came out of his jungle retreat. And being a Brahman he knew, he said he knew how to sell things to the public... He knew how their minds worked in other words. You'll find this with PT Barnum as well, the big circus master who pulled fantastic scams, and outrageous scams you would say. He was a master at promoting himself; that's the technique they use as well. In fact, PT Barnum understood perfectly well, which tells you there was definitely an operating system of those who knew the arts and sciences of propaganda and marketing and mass mind control you might say. But PT Barnum would often sit, before he went into a city with his circus acts etc, he'd sit and he'd write to the editor, under different names, letters for himself, and many against himself. Because he said, no news is bad news; it's all good news when they're talking about you. So he would stir up the controversy about himself. And folk don't realize they're being fooled by the same guy, appearing under different names for Barnum and against Barnum and everybody got talking about it. Oh that person who talked about Barnum, he was quite right, I agree with that guy. And this is how simple it is to manage the minds of the public. It's very easy to do.

Once you get emotion going up, it's even better. You'll never think clearly and logically when it's an emotive subject, deliberately hyped up. You have to stand back, almost like an alien, and observe it all going on and see how it affects peoples and how they respond to things. It's a fantastic art. Governments use it, because they all use marketing companies big time. Even the war makers use it all the time for propaganda purposes; emotive topics are great for getting wars started. We're going in there to help so-and-so, or the Iraqis are killing babies in Kuwait and throwing them out of windows. That was all put out at the time and folk believed it. Standard stuff. In World War I it was the Germans were bayoneting babies with the big bayonets on the end of their rifles, and that was put out there too. So over and over again you find that reason is lost. They don't want people to reason through things, they want you to be emotionally involved in something, always. Politics uses the same tactics too and politicians are very good at that too.

Now, since people are taught from childhood to believe television, and even debaters on television with this side or that side and the topics they use and the way it's delivered of course, they're trained already to pick sides in any argument. And the arguments are presented to them as an argument to get them emotionally involved. As I say, you can never keep a clear head if you get emotionally involved about anything. Your memory will go out the window. Facts will go out the window that will back up your memory. And all you do is start screaming and ranting and say that's not nice and that's not right etc. etc. It's so easy. So it's a fantastic tool, mind you. Propaganda for war purposes always keeps it simple, very simple. If it gets too complicated they get caught up in their lies and then people will stand back and say well it's more complicated than I thought. They don't want that. They want you to be immediately into the melee and taking one side or the other etc. etc. It's so easy to do.

I can remember too, all the newspapers from the so-called left... The left all works for the right, by the way, because they both work for the same Masters at the top. And they both end up on the same road at the end, with their global governance and an organized society, planned society, etc., and everyone is working for the so-called common good of all, as the boys who run it all at the top, the big fascists, really, reap in all the

harvests of cash and live awfully well off it. It doesn't matter if they're left or right, it's all nonsense. It's a technique of ruling, as left and right. So anyway, you get these arguments ongoing all the time.

Now, the latest one of course is so laughable to me, about a serious subject, but they are laughable the way they're presented to you. Suddenly the world is supposedly concerned about Nigeria. Suddenly, all a sudden... all a sudden it just happens, you see. Now, the West has been plundering Africa for centuries for its minerals, gold and its diamonds, etc. etc., so much stuff there. It's like the Congo. The Congo has been at war forever because the West is always stirring them up so that they can get in there and plunder all the uranium for pretty well free. The same thing is happening all across Africa.

And the great global plan, of course for those who manage the world and manage all the political parties and so on, the global plan was always to bring a world of United groups in blocs of countries amalgamated together. Africa was also to be one of them. Mandela talked about it too. So it's still ongoing of course. The old technique that the Masters used to use was to invade first, you see, like Britain always did. For good reasons of course, to save the people from themselves, and you'd invade places like India. Before that you would get them all warring in little tribes against each other; you'd arm different sides and then you'd get one of the chiefs to go against another and he'd get the war started, etc. Then you'd play it up in the papers, which you also own, you see, and then you give a good reason why you've got to go in and stop them from killing each other. Then of course the British taxpayer picks up the tab as their armies are all sent over to keep the peace, and to amalgamate the countries, put in the British system of law and order. Then eventually you put in a kind of government with an elected parliament, on the British system, which is completely rigged, and all your bureaucrats are part of it too, they're part of the old brotherhood system. Then once after maybe 50 years, 100 years or more you can withdraw them and you're guaranteed that everybody who, from then on, gets into politics in that country is in the pockets of the big bankers who rule from London England, for instance. That's really the global system.

I've gone through a lot of this in the past where I've talked about the Cecil Rhodes society and the gravitation and the amalgamations of these different groups, all working really from the same central core in London to bring in a world type Empire, you see. Not for the English and not for Britain, because these guys literally are... you'd almost say they're a race apart; you could say that in fact. But they want to bring in this one globalized system. Marx talked about it too of course. And here we are. We've got the European Union that's managed to plunder every country that joined it, and the citizens have never been so poor as they are now. They're all falling apart and they're paying so much in taxes towards this monstrosity of the European Parliament every year, that has more and more plans all the time to take more money off them all, again, to bring this global system across the world.

Folk forget that Gordon Brown talked at the EU back in 2009. He talked about Britain and the British system and the European system all being one under this global monstrosity of course, which he loved because he's always been in the pocket of the paymasters. He talked about, this would be a system for the whole world, a globalized system. He also mentioned too, just like Lord Alfred Milner did with his group that became the Royal Institute of International Affairs. He said that the US would join them and be part of it. So between the two of them they would bring in this globalized system, you see, for the whole planet to follow.

So to conquer the rest of the world of course they've got to create, either create things that are really happening, or lie to the public of things that are not happening, or definitely exaggerate them too, and count on the fact that the public are always kept off keel logically about any particular topic at all. And they also have very little memory as they get overdosed with data every day, not just from Internet but from regular news that generally is irrelevant to their lives. So the arts are all there. It's well used. They're always repetitive, the same techniques, logic is out the window when emotion comes in. And the big boys can go in with their militaries, etc., set up their system, in come the corporations, and they plunder the country for a long, long, long time. And you may or may not leave them with this new democracy idea that is already subservient to another group, a world group which they all take their oaths to basically. Because that's how we're run, you see.

Now, Nigeria, and folk have forgotten too that back in 2012 and '13 they talked about AFRICOM. AFRICOM, this is African Command, you see, and the US wants to rule it all and run it all, maybe with Britain too helping with cash and so on. But they want to run it all on a military kind of scale, to bring in the peace you

understand. AFRICOM already set up in Niger. They set up different drone bases to strike so-called Al Qaeda targets. Now, everyone who doesn't go along with the agenda of the West's interference now is called Al Qaeda now anyway. Because the folk in the West don't know anything about it really except what they're told, which is very little, except they're awfully bad. But the excuses they use are the old excuses, emotional things... Oh 200-odd girls, maybe 300 got kidnapped from schools and so on... And suddenly the Westerners are all agitated, and every talk show on AM radio in every city across the planet is talking about it, all together – what a coincidence eh? – and getting you all agitated about these girls going missing and maybe being sold off as slaves or something.

And this is the prime concern of the planet right now. Not the fact that you have no work in your own countries. Not the fact that you're getting taxed more and more and your governments have signed more and more free trade deals so they can put corporations, big international corporations, businesses into these countries they want to invade and plunder them, to help them you understand. And also, you're going down the tubes fast with debt, debt, debt, debt, debt. We're all cap-in-hand. We're on our knees actually. Actually we've got our heads on the ground as well and our rears up in the air to the banks; we all have. We're all debt slaves, of course. But there's always moral things to do, like going and suddenly becoming really worried about what's happening to girls in Africa. I can remember they used the same propaganda with Iraq... Oh my God they make them wear a veil, oh... That's a good enough reason to go in and invade Iraq. All nonsense. It was all nonsense. That wasn't the reasons. No. They planned the war in Iraq years and years and years before even Gulf War One. How many of you can remember even that now, eh? But anyway, this is how it's done, folks.

Now, the West aborts more children than it gives live births today. We really care about children, don't we? We really, really care apparently. And we sell body parts all across the world from fetuses and so on. But we really care about children, we say. That's what we say. The parents don't mind handing their children over to be brainwashed at an early age to the government; they never mind this at all, never. Generation after generation it goes on; they don't even know what their children are learning. Because they have been taught quite successfully that the parents' job now is not to interfere with anything, just raise the child, just pay for the money for the child, the upkeep of the child; that's what was decided back in the 30s and 40s.

Every year, too, in the Western countries they come out with these studies telling you that more and more children are living in poverty in these countries, than ever before. But nope, suddenly it's Nigeria. We've forgotten all about all the scandals that came out from the United Nations, or about the United Nations and NATO, its military wing. We forgot all about that didn't we? ...when they were running the brothels over in Serbia, Yugoslavia, etc. and Bosnia. There's even made movies about it too, which weren't well publicized but they were pretty detailed and accurate. And how they were even smuggling in young girls as prostitutes all across Britain, and whole housing schemes at one point were full of them, and it was all racketeering going on. And that went on for years. But no, suddenly it's the Africans... it's happening in Africa. No one, I think, was charged even with all the prostitution rackets for all the troops, etc., and prostitution gangs where NATO had gone. I don't think anybody was charged at all. And the UN troops as well.

But that's how easy it is to get folk stirred up about something. And they all argue, because they're given the points to argue about. Of course the rest of the story about AFRICOM is all forgotten. Folk forget, oh my God the US wanted to get in there all along, so did Britain, etc. etc., and so did the big corporations because there's a lot of gas and oil and gold and diamonds and minerals under Africa. They've been saying that for years, it's one of the richest continents in the world. It really is. But it doesn't matter, does it? As I say, logic and memory and reason go out the window when they give you an emotive topic, presented in the right way of course. Once they're in, it doesn't matter if it's exposed as fraud. They've got what they want, they're in there, they're doing what they want. That's always what happens, you see. Sad, that, isn't it?

Yet people would rather die than think, and they frequently do, over and over and over again. Why would the media give you any points of topics at all to argue about? when they don't tell you what's happening in your own countries? Haven't you noticed how quiet the news has all gone since the Finkelstein bill went through in Australia and the Leveson one went through in Britain, and muzzling journalists etc? Canada obviously did the same thing, but Canada has never told the public much at all. They're more docile in Canada; they're better trained. The reason for that too is because they're more Commonwealth countries.

In the Commonwealth countries you didn't think you had a nation to start with. You were all newcomers, you see, and you took directions from England. Things came down by decrees from the top, from England, through Canada, to the public. It's never really changed. So what Canadian television gives you, apart from all the far left stuff that they want you to think about, is also... And it's run by the government, don't ever forget that too. The CBC, for instance, gives you all these topics, and little rural things that happen in Canada, little quaint things as though you're some backwards little country or something. And that's what you think about, oh it's quite nice and so on, yeah. They never tell you about the quaint little places in Canada, though, where literally Maurice Strong would be proud. You give more blood to the mosquitoes and the deerfly and the horsefly every year than any other creature. I don't know why they call them deerfly and horsefly, it's people they love. I know that from experience, believe you me. And you really get anemic... whoa. But anyway, apparently it's a very quaint place. And everybody in the country, through their comedy shows and so on, repairs everything with duct tape and things like that; we're all rather simple, you know, buffoons. So this is how it's done. But as I say Canadians are awfully placid about things.

In Britain they give you a little bit more hype but it's always in the same tradition, left-wing and right-wing and all that kind of stuff, which is boring. Boring. You've had it your whole lives long. And if you can't figure out the left and the right all work together then there's no hope for you at all; there really is no hope for you whatsoever. The States is no better. The States come up with a fallacy, many fallacies in the US, that everybody was free. That's fallacy number one. They also think, through Hollywood, that most of their ancestors were all cowboys, which isn't true at all. They have no memory of all the factory towns that went across in the late 1800s, early 1900s, privately-owned factory towns owned by factors, they called them; that's where the word 'factory' comes from. All the houses were owned by the factor. All the equipment was owned by the factor. You bought your food using the factor's credit money, his own token money so it all went around in a circle back to the factor, from his factor store. Your clothing, everything. Even the tools you would have to buy yourself to go down the mines. That's the reality of the US.

So, define freedom. You ask your friends to define freedom and you'll get a whole bunch of different answers, what freedom is. One woman from New York thought, she said she was free because she said she can shop at any time, night and day in New York to any store she wants; she can go and shop. Shopping, that was freedom to her. Folk have varied ideas of freedom, what freedom is. But of course at the same time you're given the propaganda, which is overwhelming in these days, that we're all collectively together on something. And great enterprises, we're all in it collectively, even if you don't know the names of them or the abbreviations for them. You're all apparently in it together and you're sacrificing, willingly, all the cash that you're earning for your Masters and so on, who live awfully well off the system. I've often wondered about servants. Servants, I thought, always got less money than the average working person. But they don't. They get an awful lot more. And perks too, and privileges. But they still call themselves servants, eh? Isn't that something?

But getting back to Nigeria, you'll never get the truth from the big boys, what they're after. But it's quite simple to do a search on the Internet and go over previous news. Go over the previous news on AFRICOM and what the West wants to do with Africa. Then you'll find the reasons for all the excuses they come out with as to why they must go in. It's nothing to do with... They don't give a darn about children, folks. Have you figured that out yet? They don't give a darn about children. They don't really give a darn about you, as long as you keep working. They don't give a darn about you, or how you live even. I had bureaucrats come out from Ottawa, different ones, and one of them told me, and not nastily, not nastily, and she wasn't even being arrogant. She was honestly being honest. She says, I don't know how you people live. You people... If you think there's no class differentiation go to Ottawa and just mingle with the bureaucrats. But you better disguise yourself because you'll be one of them people, you see. And when they know they're talking to one of them people they're very careful in what they say.

But this woman was being awfully, awfully honest. A big massive pension she was looking towards at the end of her work, her service; great pension plans. Great hospital plans; they even fly them... do you know in Canada they fly them to different countries? They get pre-retirement courses, a pre-retirement course. What is a pre-retirement course? Well you see, they've been brought up on the hill – they're always on a hill somewhere, eh, and looking down on all of us – and they mingle all the time with their own kind. Many of them are intergenerational bureaucrats, all intermarried in fact. And the shock, the shock of maybe having to leave the hill, for goodness sake, could cause complete breakdown of their mental faculties. So they give

them pretraining and preretirement courses to get them ready for retirement. It can take maybe a year, so they do nothing for about a year, of chatting and to therapists and things, to get them ready to go out into the great world of the unwashed masses, where they might meet peasants for the first time in their lives. Even if they're in a middle class area they might meet peasants walking in the street for instance. What do you say to a peasant? What do you say to people you know haven't got a clue what reality is? So they prepare them for their life in the great outdoors with the unwashed masses.

But sometimes too, if they're under stress they send them off to places like Thailand. And they get all these massages and stuff, all cheap; they're probably package deals by the government. But they get all these different sauna pools, and massages and yada-yada-ya to help them relax and get their mind in the right kind of frame to go back and eventually accept this awful thing called retirement where they have all this time on their hands. But they're also given other little tasks like, you know, you could move into this area here or that area and we would subsidize your housing, in fact we might even give it to you for free. And you move into that community and you can start pushing an environmental agenda and put yourself on the board of the Council. They've got all these different ways to keep using them, you see, which is awfully lucrative for them, mind you. And they can keep a bit of status that way as well. Can you imagine, you're coming out of the great Crown, the Crown that dominates everything at the top, and once you're out from under that Crown there it's a terrifying thing to be under the open sky… hhhaaaaaa…. amongst the peasantry. And you'll find out quickly enough when you start talking to them, by God, how dumbed-down they are; they haven't got a clue why things really happen. How do you communicate with them? And the shock to the system, it's like a life sentence; you've been cast out.

Even Lord Bertrand Russell talked about this and he was a big, big pusher in the global systems that helped control and create the culture that you are now living through, by the way. You have no idea of the organizations that were on the go 50, 60 years ago, and still on the go today, arranging the future and your realities for all of you. Including the way your children are brought up, what they'll get taught, what they'll believe in, sexual promiscuity, all that kind of stuff, it was all decided a long time ago. But Bertrand Russell talked about it as well. He said, the brighter ones amongst the children, from the ordinary classes, have to be selected. So they give you little tests at school and then they'll give you different special tests once they've got a few of them, you see, in an area, and then they'll give you scholarships. But you're encouraged, you're given a kind of mentor – it's very Masonic in a sense that they give you a kind of mentor, I'm talking about Freemasonic. But they give you a mentor, like a daddy figure, who will encourage you to make the right decisions about your career and life and everything else, and to go along with it. Then they put you into the great gilded halls of some posh Ivy League place, and you're one of them, on condition that you leave all the old ways behind you, including, often, contact with your family, by the way. He said, once they're into the organization, the big club you might say, where their careers are guaranteed and they work for you and this system for global systems etc., he said, then they will give their all for you and you can depend on them, you can count on them. Then of course you use them; and they'll be so well rewarded, financially, etc., that they'll never look back. Now, the ones who will not go along with that and are the bright, bright children, who can see through things, are a menace and very dangerous and will have to be eliminated. That's the real world, folks.

Easy to eliminate them today, isn't it? You just put them on drugs at school if they ask too many questions. It's not so much too many questions, it's the wrong kind of questions, the ones that are politically incorrect. Children are very curious about things and when things don't make sense they'll ask the question, they'll blurt it out, until they get an answer. And that's how it's supposed to be. Freedom of the mind? Who's kidding who? That's never been allowed, especially today; it's getting worse all the time. And they're drugged of course. They get them on the drugs and so on, and then they get them into child advocacies like they have in Scotland and other countries where the government appointee to every child can do little assessments from about two months on to see if they've got any PC incorrect ideas or ask the wrong questions. How can curiosity be a wrong question? Curiosity about anything can be a wrong question, how ridiculous. How ridiculous.

Now, talking about the bureaucrats who are terrified of having to join even the middle classes and occasionally coming across ordinary folk, what a terrifying idea. But when you think about it, that's how they are. Because the ordinary people are parroting to them in any conversation things that have been given to them to parrot from the news. Whereas the bureaucrats have had a life of having all the inside knowledge

and gossip etc., why things are really going on and it's a shock to them to realize how much the system has worked on the public too.

The thing about, too, outrage, nobody gets outraged about anything, unless you're told to get outraged. We should be outraged about an awful lot of things if we were only told about them, especially told truthfully about them. About what free trade really is, who benefits from it, who's paying for it all, which is always you, and what its goal happens to be and how it's going there. And we have no say in it at all, whatsoever, free trade across the world. And pacts are getting signed by presidents and prime ministers, through the World Trade Organization, and the IMF, and the World Bank, and all these other remote institutions which literally could be on other planets for all the general public is concerned. Because we have no say in it whatsoever, you see. And yet we still parrot this thing we're told to parrot, which is democracy... we've got democracy, you know. And that's why we're going to rampage across the world killing lots of people to give them democracy, you see. Isn't that wonderful?

We live in Lala land so why go along with the nonsense? WHY go along with the nonsense? Most folk go along with the nonsense to be accepted by their peer group, that are equally brainwashed. They don't want to stand out like a sore thumb or be ostracized like, oh he's weird, he knows things. This is how it really is. The techniques are used en masse today, mass psychology, mass indoctrination through a thousand sources, including all your entertainment. They all get paid to put these things in so many movies, that have got nothing to do with global warming, we'll get it in there somehow, and so many other topics too, which I know you all know are put in there that've got nothing to do with the story. They're paid to put them in by the cultural departments of the governments who dish out all the grants. So you're being brainwashed by a thousand different ways that you are completely ignorant of at the time. Nothing has been overlooked.

But telling you to get outraged about something, that's never occurred to you before, you know you're getting conned. You know you're getting conned. And the things you should be outraged about you say nothing about because you don't even know how it's happened. You don't even know how your culture got to where it is today. You think it's somehow evolving, like a headless serpent somehow. Of course it's not. It's been guided the whole way, always, and has been for an awful long time, especially since they brought in radio and then television, now the Internet, the combination of the whole lot of them, and entertainment of course, it's just perfected today. Very few folk can think outside the box. If you want to get responses from them, emotive responses, that are Pavlovian, just mention certain terms. Just mention them... Just stand back and watch them. Oh, their faces screw up and they are outraged; they're all angry. They can't tell you really why, except they'll parrot a whole bunch of stuff that they've heard and learned but never really thought through, you see. It's easy to do.

Trained, trained, you see. We're so well trained and studied by experts all the time. Always doing studies, studies, studies all the time. It never ends, all the studies. In fact, if anything changed in society that was outside their control they'd spend billions of your money to find out why you're all doing it or thinking it or talking about it. And they do, actually, once in a while. Reality is a far cry from anything that's presented to you, by your education, and by your media and entertainment and so on. Reality is a far cry...

The enemy, the greatest enemy that those who rule the world – and the world is certainly ruled, folks. The greatest enemy they have is knowledge and reason. They're terrified of it. They're terrified of people who can hold onto memory and put things together for themselves, and use logic and reason. They're terrified of it. They're absolutely petrified of it. But those who respond emotionally to trigger words and terms and phrases and so on, are wonderful; they can do with them as they please. And they can use them as they please, you see. It's so easy to do.

The easiest thing to put out there, too, of course, and we saw that in the Cold War and many other things before that, is to use terror on the public. Oh, something's going to happen... so you need us all the more... This is how government talks to you. We have it under control... But it's going to cost you... through taxes, for research and development, for weaponry and stuff like that... the greatest scam ever. Because it works, people do sit back, oh well, what can you do, they're defending us from those nasty guys over there. It never occurs to them that the nasty guys' leaders over there are in cahoots with the nasty leaders you've got too, back here. This is how the scam is played, you see.

Most folk will never figure it out in their lifetime. And that's the saddest thing. The saddest thing. They'll never catch on to why things are the way they are, how they developed and were guided to where they are, those responsible behind it all, the various institutions and organizations that are like a pyramid structure with all the wasteland below. That's what they show you at the bottom of the pyramid, a wasteland, all the weeds growing... That's all of you, folks. That's what it means, you see. And of course the all-seeing eye's at the top; down below you're in the darkness. You're in the darkness. And the wise guys even in your local areas, in your Rotary clubs and all your commercial clubs and so on, all belong to the right societies where they're filled in on little bits of the reality so that they can personally profit and not tell the rest.

We live in a predatory system, layers of predators at the top who live much, much better than those at the bottom, naturally. Today they have more respectability, through propaganda, than ever before, you see. They're called respectable and respectable businesspeople, etc, respectable politicians and so on. And we believe it. We're taught to believe it. But it's a predatory system. You don't get up in anything, to any degree in this society, any high degree, without being a cutthroat and a predator, and psychopathic to an extent as well. That's why the most dangerous thing is when groups of businessmen get together to form any kind of association. These leagues or cartels and so on that are formed wield tremendous power and the wealthier they are, naturally, the more money they can donate to politicians. And of course in free democratic societies it costs millions and millions of pounds or dollars to get into politics and get up there, that's how democratic it is. Anybody can become president of course and all that nonsense. But anyway, this is how it really is. And they're responsible, they're bought and paid for by those who put them in. Don't ever forget that. That's why nothing changes.

And the left puts in their... they think they got their own guy in in the US. And of course he's going along with the same agenda as the last bunch, who were the new conservatives, you see, who weren't conservative at all when you look into the history of it. But anyway, it doesn't matter. We're all run by the same group, the world over. And the public are completely oblivious to it. And because we like... See, the ordinary people like things to stay the same. Even when it gets bad, you adapt to all that is bad and high prices and everything, you adapt to it, but please don't make it any worse. That's what you really secretly hope for. And it always does gets worse, but you adapt to that too. You always adapt, as Darwin well knew. And because of your adaptability you're guided to wherever the big boys want you to be guided into, even brand-new systems. If it's done in the proper fashion you won't notice how you get into it, you just end up there, and that will be normal then too. That's how it's done. Quite simple, isn't it? Quite simple.

It's harder to change the occasional individual thinker than it is the masses of people who don't think for themselves, who want to be the same actually. You'll find with groups, too, it's no different than all the different religions out there. You've got to conform to their set of beliefs, and that means everything that they believe in. You got to accept it, which is irrational, for anything, in politics or anything else. Because obviously there's something wrong with you if you accept every tenet they have. If you're an individual freethinker you say, well I agree with this and this and this but not that and that and that. But no, you have to agree with everything that they say and do, you see. So you become us instead of me. You become us and all of the us's are used by them at the top. The thems use the us's. [Alan chuckles.] That's how simple it is.

Bernays said it too. He said to his big boys that they were sending out to run the whole country in a sense, because they do help presidents to do it. He said that, rather than create organizations from the grassroots, to sell products or to sell your ideas or your political parties, look for established organizations. And they started in the US, especially with the churches because they had big congregations at that time, way back then. And they start donating money to them, for instance. Get to know the guys who run them at the top, because organizations are always led by people at the top, you see. Once you have them in your pocket then they can sway the minds quite simply and gradually of the massive congregations and you get what you want. That's how things are done. Very simple.

Even a long time ago, for instance, you would have little kings and princedoms rather than countries. It certainly wasn't democratic either; it was generally feudal and hereditary. But at least once in a while you had big meetings where the folk could voice their concerns. But the folk lived in that area and all that mattered to them at the time was what happened in our area, you see. But the process now today is to take you away from all that until you really are pretty well ineffective in your area. Who do you complain to? Look at the size of the provinces in Canada, for instance, and the States in the US, it's sometimes thousands of miles

away to get to its headquarters and complain about anything. And now you're trained that you're not just national, so you've got an even further away government, you are international... International, until it's all ambiguous and misty and over there somewhere, until the guys that run you are over there somewhere. And in fact, that's how it really is today.

You have no say in anything even locally. Everything is global and introduced from the top down to the local, you see. Including the education your children are being brainwashed with as well, for someone else's agenda, which they have decided is good enough for you, to live collectively and serve the Masters at the top for the global society, the greater good and all that stuff, you see. Uniformity, Uniformity, Uniformity is the key. And being simple little creatures, human creatures, we don't like change that much at the bottom. Most folk are not risk-takers. They really are not. They get tied into the traps that keep them grounded in a certain place, their house, their possessions, things like that, which the big boys count on actually. They count on that, because it's better to have a fixed population in an area you can count on that will pay all the taxes and so on, than people who are mobile all the time, you see. You have a fixed amount you can always guarantee the income from and that's how they live off you of course.

But you have no say, really, in what happens in your local area. They call it interdependence. You're interdependent, meaning you're completely dependent on everything you need for survival, your food, often your water, your electricity, etc. etc. And these are all being privatized of course. They were institutions supposedly set up on your behalf with your tax money, most of them, that built the infrastructure and the whole system because they were essentials to harmonious living and they're a necessity to life. Not now. They're all being privatized by the big boys who planned this a long time ago, who actually gave you socialism, by the way. Because socialism was the way to get all your tax money to pay for the infrastructure, for the setting up of the roads, the setting up of the gas supplies, electricity supplies, all these things, you see. They're all paid for, completely, or at the very least heavily subsidized. Now they're privatized. And they're going for the whole lot now, as they have you under the thumb.

We always pay for the big boys. Corporate welfare is nothing new; it's the biggest welfare system on the planet, for them. That's how the world is run, by clever predators, very clever predators who understand how to pull the strings, how to implement all their con games and have you diverted off into other topics that keep you off thinking for yourselves about anything whatsoever, until you're interdependent, meaning totally dependent. In some places too, they can't even get a garden put in without permission or a license. I mean, this is slavery par excellence.

But should we worry about this? No, we should be worried about women or young girls going missing in Nigeria; that's what they're telling you. Nope, that's your main concern today. And it will be because that's all they'll parrot about. And they'll draw you in. They'll show you terrible crying, people on television and stuff like that, that's going to hit your emotions and everything else is out the window. All your own concerns are out the window. You see? And you're being conned, conned, conned, as more tax money goes off for more militaries to be sent abroad to conquer the rest of Africa and plunder it as well, and bring it into the same system as you have here, you see, which is really helplessness, isn't it? Because that's what we're being taught.

In fact, haven't you noticed – and here's a big, big thing, as I say, since the Leveson inquiry in Britain. They put through the bill in Britain to muzzle journalists for personal little opinions here and there. And also the one in Finkelstein for Australia, all the British Commonwealth countries; I'm sure Canada signed it too and many other countries to boot – the news is kept pretty quiet. Everything you get is over there, oh it's over there somewhere, people don't even know where these places are on a map. That's the news they're giving you, you see. Because they're not telling you, and they're training you... And what I say, by the way, whenever I talk on the air, it's parroted the next day by top hosts here and there, but they never mention my name, never, ever. But here's what I'm telling you is happening. You're being trained under authoritarianism, which is what the Club of Rome came out with; I've mentioned it before in their book The First Global Revolution and other ones that came out afterwards. They talked about democracy isn't working and they'd have to train the public to accept the fact they have a form of overlords, you might say, special people who are specialists in everything, and the world was to be run by specialists, of course. It's a very old idea. It didn't start with the Club of Rome; they're just a front mouthpiece for bigger organizations. But that's what keeping the news back from you is all about. You're being trained into this big misty thing up in the heavens

somewhere, of government and governance is managing your world, your personal little world including your own little, what you think is your piece of it, which of course you have no stake in at all because you don't own any of it. You have no stakes in anything; you just pay out, you don't get anything back. It's all to do with special people up there, that you shouldn't even be concerned who's running it all. It doesn't even matter that you know who they are; most folk don't. But they're training you to leave it to specialists. That's authoritarianism, you see.

Because eventually they'll do away... Eventually they will do away with this nonsense, this farce of democracy and this pretense of left and right and all the rest of it, you see. That's all to go eventually; it's to get abolished down the road. And you'll accept the new way... Oh, the world is just too complicated for all these things anymore, we've got to have experts running it. This was said a hundred-odd years ago, actually before a hundred-odd years ago; it's a very, very old idea. But in the meantime they have to give you the idea of democracy rather than have you having revolutions every four or five years at getting plundered by the guys above you. That's what democracy is, you see. It's a kind of failsafe; it keeps you placid. Oh, we'll vote another guy in and we'll get the right person in this time. How many lives do you have to live to figure this one out, eh? Please tell me. But that's how it really is, conology rules the world.

Conology, the art of conning masses of people... masses of people. The old problem used to be the old adage, that said, you can fool some of the people some of the time, and most of the people most of the time, but you can't fool all people all of the time. Well, they've almost got that conquered today because with standardized news, standardized education, standardized everything, and repetition from all the media, on all the stations that you'll buzz through if you've got television, you will become standardized with the standardized opinion. So almost all of the folk are fooled all of the time. That's how perfect it is today.

Why do you think so much of your money is going towards studying you? And all these surveys, and the latest survey has found that X percent uses blah-blah-blah... Why do you think that's all done, constantly, with your tax money getting thrown out to all these universities to do the studies on you over and over again? And the NSA doesn't just listen to everything you do and record it all and watch everything you do. It also has its own study groups on the go all the time across the world and gathers all this information. Because it's so important, you see, for control, for keeping control over billions of people, to bring in their happy world for themselves, you know, their new utopia where the global elite can come out in the open. You know, the hidden master stuff, all this hidden master stuff... the real elite, the intellectual ones at the top can come out and say, yeah I run this department for the whole planet and this is how it is and you should accept it, peasants. You see.

Folk have no idea how it's done. None at all. The problem is, most folk don't want to know. If you try and burst the bubble, of the average person, that which makes them feel secure in their own mind in a scary world, they will turn on you with rage. Be careful what you do and say. Often it's best not to volunteer information. You know, you can dip your toes in the water here and there without going too deep and getting up to your ankle or even further. You can dip your toes in, you'll see, you'll know right away if the people have the ability or not, the ones that you're talking to or you're listening to or whatever. Otherwise don't start it because, by God, if you were to... Truth is a scary thing to folk. They have a whole reality of fiction and that fiction becomes what they call their id, the whole complete persona of themselves, that they hang onto, and if you burst it they'll turn on you viciously. If you tell them the truth about the music industry and all the songs they have loved growing up, and they go la-la-la and they sing the chorus and all that. If you tell them how it all came about and who ran it and so on and why, oh, vicious. Or who made so-and-so a star... ah, beware.

People love their fallacies. It's the rare individual, very, very rare who really wants truth. I likened it back in the 90s on the radio when I talked about freefalling. If you want truth it's like jumping out of a plane without a parachute. You can't hold onto anything that's preconceived or that you thought you knew. Of course you have no idea what you're going to learn on the way down, and if it's all new to you you can't fight it and kick it. What is is. That's what truth is. What is is, is truth. You see. Most folk can't handle that. They'll say, well I like that but I want to hang onto this, you see, which will distort their whole truth for them. But for a mental health aspect, because they've never really known what mental health is except the fake culture, the fake everything that's been drummed into them and the routines they're encouraged to go along with, they'll turn on you if you bust it. So be awfully careful.

The old meaning of identity crisis was nothing to do with genders or sexuality. It was to do with what you perceived as the id. It's you and your place in the world, and the world and its place to you, and your whole persona and how you saw yourself fitting into that whole world system and everything you interact with. That was your healthy id. But when it's completely artificial you still feel that health aspect because it's familiar to you, you say, that's what I believe, and you're happy with that. If someone tries to bust that you'll become vicious towards them. So be awfully, awfully careful, you see, about giving folk truth. Very few folk really want it. Most don't want all of it. And most actually can't handle it. That's the sad truth of things, most can't handle it. We're the most controlled society that's ever existed with the techniques that are used against us. And it's very, very difficult to get truth today. And hold truth. And all the organizations pretty well that come out showing you bits of it have a spin for their own particular agendas, unfortunately, and you get used again.

Anyway, that's a Sunday night and it's just off the top of my head as always, and I thought I'd just blurt it out. Because it has to be blurted once in a while, doesn't it? It's not depressing, you see. How can truth ever be depressing? Fantasy, that's why folk dive into fantasy like, oh, you wouldn't believe it. Because it really does distract them into a never-never land of complete fiction. Because the world is pretty scary, you see, to them. And the scarier it becomes the more fiction, you've noticed, that they dish out to you. The more movies they dish out to you, the more catering they do to segments of fiction for you. Oh, here's the sci-fi channel, here's this channel, oh; they've got everything for everybody, you see, to make sure you're kept in some kind of fiction for escapism. Even in that escapism you're being programmed with the updates for the political correctness and how you should feel about this topic and that topic and so on and so on and so on. That's how perfect the system is.

So if you want truth start with yourself. Start with yourself and if anything makes you uncomfortable, any topic, you better ask yourself why you feel uncomfortable about exploring that topic. Why? Who put that into your head? Who gave you this Pavlovian response to feel uncomfortable when you even think about it? Think about why you're getting outraged about things. Who's telling you to get outraged? What do they have to gain? Who profits from all of this? It's quite simple, isn't it? Then tie in your memory. Try and keep memory on things if you can. Stop relying on the Internet, because I'm telling you, they keep changing reality on the Internet all the time too. I have to do link checks all the time, awfully time-consuming, to check up links from all the talks, so many talks I've given in the past. And they're always dropping links. Other articles, it might have the same link and they've rewritten the darn thing. Because truth goes down the memory hole. That's how fast the past is changed, and truth is changed, and facts are changed, all the time.

All you have is yourself. You're living in a world where pretty well the world now is under complete weather management, control. Most folk have adapted to it without reasoning through it. They've got little bits in their head they've heard here or heard there, or read a little snippet here, bits and bytes. And they'll adapt to total climate change as it's totally controlled. NOAA, the organization NOAA, for the weather, etc., has got it up every year how global dimming is getting worse and worse. It's not pollution, folks. It's the spraying in the sky. And you'll accept that too. You'll accept more and more cloud over certain areas and more and more sun over other areas that are going to get the droughts. Because now the food is up on the futures market for the biggest boys on the planet, the big agribusiness companies, and they can actually bet who's going to have the droughts this year and who's going to have a good crop this year, and they can juggle all the prices in advance, etc. etc. when it's a sure bet, you see. That's how the world is run. And people adapt.

Anyway, don't get outraged over things that you are being told to be outraged about. When your world is perfect around you then maybe think about it. But until then, forget it. Forget it. And don't be a hypocrite. And don't pretend, whatever country you're living in, is somehow better than all of that that you're seeing to be outraged about. Look at your own history, recent history, even present, and tell me how perfect you are. Because if you get outraged about anything you're told to be by the media, you're getting used.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods go with you.

For more information please visit the websites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

May 15, 2014 (#1436)
ALAN WATT
"CUTTING THROUGH THE MATRIX"
(GUEST ON REALITY BYTES RADIO WITH NEIL FOSTER)
Originally Broadcast May 15, 2014 on awakeradio.co.uk
sovereignindependentuk.co.uk
communitypressgroup.com

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca
alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up:
alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Neil: Welcome to Reality Bytes Radio on the 15th of May 2014. I've been away for a couple of weeks on the Monday show particularly just because I've been a bit busy with other stuff but we are back on track again with Alan Watt for his regular monthly slot. Are you there Alan?
Alan: Yeah, I'm here.
Neil: I sent Alan an email about a little book I picked up a couple of weeks ago when I was down visiting my parents in Devin. But I tell you if anybody is looking for second-hand books, Devin is the place. There are certainly some nice little shops there and you can pick up some real bargains. I think we got twenty books for eighteen quids. They are all of this kind of ilk, 1940's and you know stuff like that. So yeah, it's well worth going down there.
But this little book I picked up is called "Above all Nations" and it's basically a compilation of some of the actual letters that soldiers have sent home to their families and stuff from prisoner of war camps, from hospitals. There are some articles from the Times, the Express, all the mainstream media at the time in the UK from all fronts in the war, from the Japanese, the Pacific fronts, from Germany, from Italy, North Africa, all over the place.
But this is just a little one here just to kind of give people a sense of what it's about and:
"The Firby..."
Neil: Which is a ship.
"...(4,869 tons), owned by the Ropner Shipping Company, Limited, was sunk in the Atlantic. The master Captain Prince has informed the owners that he and the crew of 40 all got away and had landed safely. Four, however, had been injured by shells. The chief officer Mr. James Woodruff, stated that the crew, after taking to the boats, pulled alongside the German submarine, the commander of which was most considerate. Members of his crew handed nine loaves of black bread to the sunken ship's crew and three rolls of bandages for the injured men. Before they moved away the commander sent an S.O.S. to Mr. Churchill at the Admiralty giving the position where the sinking had occurred. After 13 hours in a heavy sea the men were rescued by a destroyer."
Neil: There are many other stories like this about airmen from both sides having shot each other down and remained over the site of the battle as it were to allow ships and other aircraft to locate their missing airmen

in the sea at great risk to their lives obviously because some of them had actually run out of fuel and stuff when they had to ditch themselves.
But it struck me that this would never ever happen today, in today's kind of modern warfare where people very rarely even see their enemy, never mind you know strike up a conversation with them or indeed taken prisoner for that matter. And we are about 75 years away from the start of the Second World War more or less, and there is a bit of an argument about the exact day it started but we are more or less there.
And you know I wanted to ask you Alan, what has happened in 75 years? Because I just can't imagine this happening now. I can't even imagine these kinds of letters or articles even appearing in the media because a lot has changed obviously not just in the psyche of the people themselves but in the whole of society whereby as I say I can't imagine articles like this or even acts of bravery or compassion like this ever happening in the entire war in modern times.
Alan: It wouldn't happen because it was no mistake in fact, this is only one little part of it, there is a whole bunch of different areas of this, but this one part was that even during the American Civil War they found that only about 15% of the soldiers who were dead on battlefields actually had fired their muskets. And from this the U.S. began studies to find out why. And of course what they found out is it's not natural for folk to kill people they don't know for no real reason, if it's not an act of the moment in passion or something like that or hate or something. And therefore very few folk will actually go ahead and do it.
They carried these studies on until World War II and found that a lot of the troops were firing over the heads of their targets on all sides. And but before World War II they tried to up the ante a little bit by causing dehumanization with their propaganda in a fashion that would belittle the humanity or the human aspect of their enemy. In other words dehumanize your enemy. By World War II it was almost complete in some countries and certainly it's all gone today in fact because you have a whole generation raised on video games that were actually meant to desensitize military personnel so they'd kill their target on sight which is what worked awfully, awfully well. But going back to before World War II, you'll find quite often in World War I enemy pilots would land at the crash site, they'd follow a plane down that they had shot down and they'd land there and help the pilot out and take off again and things like that.
So by World War II it was getting a bit more vicious of course because the propaganda was really being stepped up and in World War II Britain had already given lectures at Sandhurst. I don't know if that's in the book or not but Sandhurst was, they had top scientific personnel who had worked on the human psyche, behaviorists in other words. And they came up with the concept in the 1930's in Britain of "Total War", the next war would be total war where everybody was fair game, that was the people, the public, the factory workers, everything. And that's what brought on the bombing of Dresden, the fire bombing, etcetera, and the cities that produced things so that the general population were being targeted as fair game which again dehumanized the whole aspect of war because when the troops or airmen would find out that their pilots were being, if the people at home were being bombed that worked in factories and stores and housing schemes then they'd hate the enemy even more and that helped to depersonalize them too. So a lot of psychology, and big, big units were developed in psychological warfare on how to make the personnel themselves, the fighting personnel, more apt to actually kill every target that came along their sight, and today it's absolutely perfect.
We saw Fallujah, for instance, in Iraq. And it's come out from many, many inquiries or trickled out eventually, that the free fire zones, or free kill zones, anybody who goes into that zone, it doesn't matter, is fair game. If you shot them down it doesn't matter if it is a man, woman, or child, whatever, of the local people there, nothing can be done against you legally at all. You have a free hand to kill whatever moves. And of course in Fallujah the order was to go out and make it an example. They were dragging out all the men that could be of military age, young guys who were fifteen, sixteen, and so on, anybody, and just shooting them. That's what the U.S. troops were doing. And that's part of the reason they've got them on so many drugs now today too is so that their higher emotional thinking and so on is countered by the aspect of the drugs knocking it out. They become blunted in affect they call it, and they kill everything that moves. But once they are out of the military it comes back to haunt them because they still stay on about seven up to nine drugs each for the rest of their lives after because the memories of what they have done conflict with their natural, your natural instincts to get along with your fellow human beings. To do that you must respect your human being.
Now remember too in World War I, if you look at the old black and white clips of the World War I troops of all sides and you always see them getting prayers, etcetera, before they go into battle. We know in World War I for instance, I think it was the Scots Greys and the German troops had a truce at Christmas time and it was an unofficial truce but they said what's the point in killing during Christmas time and they went across into no man's land and they both shared drinks and exchanged little presents and things until it was actually

the English guns that opened up and started shooting at them again. I know that because my grandfather was one of them, he was there.
And anyway that was the natural humanity to man. That's how you are really when you realize that you are all the same basically. You are all being ordered to go. If you decide not to kill people you will get shot as a traitor you see so what choice do you have in the matter? So they still try to bring the humanity in. But by World War II as I say in Sandhurst, in England, they were teaching the aspect of total war where everybody, everybody who lives in that land is a complete target, man, woman, child, it doesn't matter, housing schemes, workers, it doesn't matter whatsoever. And that was also taken to Germany in the 30's by a colonel who became general, who taught the same stuff in the German side as well. But it all began from Sandhurst.
Today with the massive propaganda, twenty-odd years of video games, kill your enemy, kill your enemy, and the perfection, the perfection through mainly entertainment by the way, of dehumanization of enemies that you grew up with is perfected. The youngsters today just want to kill. We've seen that with the leaked videos that came out when you saw the U.S. gunships mowing down journalists by mistake, laughing at it, and they are excited as though they were shooting pigs in a barrel which almost they were you might say. So we've lost our human aspect.
But in World War I you saw them all praying before battles, in the Second World War there was a bit of that, that went on because they were throwing their old cultural values, that they all shared by the way, out the window and in this day and age it's an atheistic society where we accept mass abortion, we accept in fact that most folk who have any mental problems, possibly Down Syndrome, should be aborted. Most folk accept that. So if you do that you actually dehumanize your own life actually and everybody else's life, and now we are going into euthanasia for the elderly and all the rest of it. This was always the goal of the big boys who wrote about it in the early 1900's all through and have achieved it pretty well. We don't value life much at all today, except our own personal lives.
Neil: Yeah. It's interesting what you were saying about the blitz in Dresden because there was actually a story in here about that as well. And they did a survey in Britain, in Coventry I think it was, and possibly I think it was Birmingham maybe and they asked the people there who had been victims of bombing if they'd like to you know perpetrate the same bombing on their "German enemies". And the people who had been bombed said no. And they went to places that hadn't been bombed and they said oh yeah, definitely, you know let's go bomb them. Because they had no experience of it themselves and didn't understand the horrors of it. It had just been a flick to them.
Alan: And not only that, the story came out of course eventually after the war that Churchill, because they had the Venona Code they called it, they cracked the German code. They knew that Germans were to bomb Coventry that night. They knew for a few days in advance and they did not evacuate. Rather than let the Germans then know that they obviously cracked the code, they allowed them to be sacrificed. And as you know Venona Code is actually Novena, they took it from the old Jesuits coding and so they called it Venona. But they had cracked the code and sacrificed Coventry. So as you say the people themselves become fair game, including pawns in the game, to keep secrecy intact.
Neil: Yep, and again you mentioned the propaganda. I suppose back in the First World War, in particular, and in the Second World War, they didn't have the propagandist if you like. They didn't have the benefit of television. And a lot of these young lads would have gone off at what seventeen, eighteen years old, who wouldn't have seen that much propaganda so perhaps you know they maintained some of the humanity when they went off because they hadn't been indoctrinated so much as the soldiers nowadays, who as you say, I mean it's everywhere. It's television, it's video games, it's you know…
Alan: Oh it's every, every movie you get today. I can remember things were very, very symbolic and they come out for a reason in movies. And big smash hits are definitely highly symbolic because we know that the Pentagon funds an awful lot of them, completely in fact. And if you go back to the old "Star Wars" movie, and it was very, very telling because in Star Wars all of the main troops were all faceless guys. They were dressed up in all this heavy armor, but there were no faces to them. So it was completely depersonalized. You are killing things you see. And shortly after that out came the SWAT teams. And they had television series on SWAT and all the rest of it. And "NYPD Blue" and all these things to get you used to the idea of SWAT teams. And then the heavy armor came in, and then the heavy armor with the troops in the black uniforms which always signifies death. And this is always understood in the military psychological warfare, death, black scares people. It's authority, it's the hangman's outfit you know the hood and the whole thing.
And so now in all the movies you see these guys coming in with facemasks on and black armor, covered in armor, looking very formidable. And these guys are really the Star Wars characters you are seeing there.

They themselves are depersonalized but they are on the winning team because every one of these movies they put out with SWAT teams and all the different alphabet soup agencies that they have are all dressed the same. They even have ones for the environment here in the U.S. now by the way. They have their own big huge trucks and all the rest of it, and they are armed.
All of these agencies always win. They are the guys that win. So youngsters are growing up in an atheistic society where their old cultural values have gone, the things that gave you a common shared morality have all gone. Their morality now is winners and losers with the video games. And they want to become one of the winners. And the winners always either have a badge or they wear that black outfit covered in armor with the big gun and they come in swarms. They are never individuals. It's not a one on one fight, you are with a swarm and there is safety in the swarm. And you are on the winning side, you always win. You come in with what they call an army, and the SWAT teams now, overwhelming force.
And so the message to the public watching that is, or youngsters especially, oh they are the winning team, they can't lose. And the guy that they are after, the civilian, doesn't have a chance in hell, which he doesn't. That's the overwhelming message. This is all deliberate, and it's repetitive, repetitive through all these fictional things that you watch whether it's a drama series or movies. This is all intentional to make you, to make you simply surrender, give up and do what you are told or die.
Neil: Yeah. I mean talking about the "Star Wars" movie interestingly enough the Darth Vader character was obviously all in black but all the soldiers were dressed in white. And it was like, there was an old movie, I think it was called "Shane" I think where the anti-hero was dressed in black and the good guy was dressed in… I'm sorry the bad guy was dressed in white or something. And it's almost like it's there to confuse you. They think oh they're dressed in white, they must be the good guys you know. And the other one you see who's dressed in black, is the head guy, he's got super powers so you know he must be alright as well or that kind of thing.
Alan: That's right.
Neil: But and everybody wants to be that guy. They want to be able to bend people to their will as it were.
Alan: That's right. In the 90's I can remember, I lived a bit further south in Ontario and in a little spud town, a potato town, literally that's how it survived, it was surrounded by farms and they grew potatoes. And I can remember one day seeing four policemen, you never saw policemen in this place, who looked like models. And they were walking abreast going down the sidewalk in this town and for the first time they had changed from the blue and sky blue shirts that the cops used to wear here into this complete black outfit including black shirt, black tie, and the army boots on with the trousers tucked in the boots and I looked at them walking down there. They were all kind of jovial, unlike the general policeman you know, but who at least you could approach. They weren't so formidable and intimidating looking. And then I saw it in the local paper and they wondered if anyone had noticed, if anybody had noticed these guys walking down because they were parading to familiarize the new uniform to the general public it said.
And I must admit when I saw them walking down the street I looked around to see if anybody else was watching and they were not. And I realized then they had already become acclimatized in seeing these kinds of outfits on SWAT teams in American movies and drama series, like NYPD Blue.
So it already worked on the public without this little demonstration. But from an observer's point of view it worked awfully well because I'm sure that most of them didn't give it a second thought. Most folk don't reason through things today because they are already pre-programmed to decide what they are seeing. The programming is deciding what you are seeing before you actually reason your way through it. It's all this copious stuff from universities and all this stuff, behaviorism, and how they have already conditioned the public for every step in advance of the actual events happening.
Neil: Yeah. After the war I mean they all obviously, now they come back and they are completely traumatized, that's the way they've been back then. But after the war these young guys were to come back and they didn't have the drugs available to them that they do now so I mean what happened to those guys? I mean I know a lot of them did stay in the army for a while but the ones that came out, I mean how were they treated?
Alan: The ones that came back they didn't have so much of the same problems because they were not, even in World War II, told to simply exterminate people, the enemy. Today's army is vastly different as I say you enter free fire zones and you go into whole villages, there's Fallujah and about five other ones that they went in one after the other that were not fighting them and just round up young guys and shot them. It was execution style, that's the difference. It's going against something which is not normal to human nature. That's why they have to be drugged today. In World War II you saw your enemy. They're firing at you; you are firing at them, a clear distinction. But when they surrendered, you accepted their surrender you know.

Neil: Well I mean you don't really hear about troops surrendering anymore because I don't suppose there is anybody close by to take them prisoner.
Alan: They don't want prisoners now. Actually back in the 90's and even before that in various U.S. publications to do with the military they discussed creating, not just a robo kind of soldier, the Cyber Soldier, but also the one that was a Pharma Soldier. Lots of drugs to alter their normal responses to make them more efficient killers and the drugs they concentrated on as I say would blunt the affect, the normal emotional response, and to saving someone or helping or forgiving someone. And that way it's much easier to kill people when you are walking around in a kind of fugue state. It's just like a video game, you are in a fugue state, there's the enemy, shot, bang, dead, and that's that.
So a lot of neuroscientists have been working on this. There is massive money in it, massive amounts of money given to the top neuroscientists to dehumanize the public. And with using the behaviorists as well and with methods to basically make them go against natural instincts, in fact they completely obliterate natural instincts which are not to simply kill people unless your life is absolutely threatened you know. That's all been successfully done.
Fiction was the greatest method of all because children soak it up like crazy. We know the x-boxes and all the rest of the stuff are completely addictive. I've read the articles on the air, on RBN, and even some before that on "Sweet Liberty", and other ones, I've read the articles out from the military discussing this very thing, how successful they were in basically bringing this type of technique to the youth who were growing up with it and who were already trained to kill without thinking.
Neil: Yeah I mean back in, back when I was young we had the, I think it was called "The Victor", the magazine, the comic, sorry, and publications like that. And we had our, you know, the plastic soldiers that came in a bag and there were about forty of them or something. And you would get ones that were different colors so there were opposing armies and you threw marbles at them and you knocked them over and that kind of thing, and that was as far as it went. There was no blood and gore, there was none of that and you grew out of it. You just, you know you had enough of that and you went on to something else. But as you say the video games, they've started them at a younger and younger age. They and introducing the violence on a very basic level, maybe it's Tom and Jerry type of stuff, but there are still people getting hit with hammers.
Alan: They still are but definitely when they brought in the video games, which are addictive, I read all the stuff from the Pentagon that said these were designed for the military and they were all for the public, the youngsters being trained in that. You see they wanted a generation around 1990 and onwards that would be brought up on video games who are already ready to go in and just kill when they were told to kill. And so they created it because they had all these wars to fight across the Middle East and in Asia and so on, so they had to prepare a generation for that which they did awfully successfully. And a lot of this came from their own writings by the way, from the Pentagon, you know admitting this.
Neil: Yeah. You know that's quite amazing. When I was young I used to get fed up hearing my parents say you know when I was young it was never like this you know. And now I'm at that stage myself and I look back and I think how did this happen you know? And but having said that people around you, my own generation as it were, who have no idea what's going on just can't even remember what it used to be like.
Alan: They can't remember. That was part of the conditioning process that's been incredibly well done I must admit, that Bertrand Russell talked about and many others that worked full time to create the new Western culture, including mass promiscuity and so on. He also worked with the groups in the U.S., the Macy Group and the Frankfurt School, to bring in as I say massive promiscuity, separate the emotional bonding from the sexual act, which they have done awfully successfully too. But also to make people narcissistic so that their little world would revolve around them, they would think about themselves far, far more than other people. That's been terribly successful. And he says when they are like that they will simply obey to keep all the goodies that they have and they wouldn't risk losing them. So they will stay in their own little circle, their little bubble of happiness and they don't want to know about the rest of the world and what's happening, etcetera. Then the state moves in you understand.
Don't forget that the state system was designed, all these services, even police services now are a police authority or force. Lenin said this, he said, the West will have all these agencies start up, these volunteer to start with a lot of agencies, that will become services, and eventually they will become authorities. And he mentioned the health service would become a health authority, mandate inoculations, etcetera. And eventually mandate sterilizations which they did in Britain and across the U.S. The U.S. was still sterilizing what they call mentally, subnormal, back and right up through the 1970's.
And so eugenics was all a big, big part of this whole darn thing. And for the rest of the public they were to be dehumanized and not to come in to aid their fellow man because once you are narcissistic, living in your

little bubble, you are disassociated from all your friends around about you. They are simply friends that you get something from or something like that but they are not real friends as such. This was the society they wanted to bring in. And going back to the 1920's, H.G. Wells in a couple of his non-fictional books working for the Fabian Society, which is just a branch by the way to run the left wing on behalf of the Royal Institute for International Affairs, they run all sides of it. He said that, apart from the breaking the bonds, the emotional bonds and separating the sex and the bond from the act itself, he also said the same thing that eventually government's goal, and he was all for that, was so that they could talk down to you, the individual, and no one will stand up to help you around you. They would all be afraid of their own little luxuries being taken away if they get involved, things like that. And so they won't risk anything to come to your aid. You are on your own, there will be no family around you either that will stand up for you. Today what you hear for instance, a person gets broke, they lose their job, their own family members will say oh go to the government services, go get welfare. They won't help them out you see. They've all been trained. If the child has some kind of abnormality, oh let the government deal with that, put him in a home. So the services now become authorities. And they are so happy to take more responsibility because it gives them more authority over you. But they were all designed from the beginning to eventually become authorities including the police force by the way. Yeah, it's all been achieved.

Neil: Yeah. I want to talk about, we'll go to a piece of music first and come back and talk about the kind of, the enemy we have, the so-called enemy we've got now in the Muslim world because they still seem to have some humanity and they are still very tight knit in terms of family and relationships and all the rest of it. And I lived in Turkey for a while, many years ago, and I see what's happening in Turkey now and I begin to wonder if that was set up a long time ago. They divided that country into east and west. They westernized the western seaboard there all the way around as far as Ankara really and the rest of it is basically a Vietnam going on there with, there is an incessant war going on there. But no, we'll come back and talk about that and how propaganda's been used to try to take away their humanity if you like. So we'll go to a piece of music Steve and we'll come back after that.

{Break ♫}

Neil: Welcome back to Reality Bytes Radio on the 15th of May, 2014. I'm with our guest Alan Watt. We were talking during the break about the way that our enemies are created for us and I spent a bit of time in Turkey and there was, well there still is a war going on there, on the eastern side of that country. And I used to see guys coming from Eastern Turkey to Western Turkey for work and they were a different people all together but even the ones from Western Turkey who actually were conscripted at that time and sent over to fight in what was called over there, the Turkish Vietnam. It was so horrific. They would come back in an awful state, they weren't physically injured, but they were certainly mentally injured. I watched people in nightclubs basically biting through their own tongues, stuff like that. It was quite horrific. But what I did see there was the whole western culture being kind of forced on the Turkish people. And I've seen that again in Bulgaria where the banks have just moved in on mass. The local town close to where I normally visit had two banks ten years ago and now I think there are forty. Well close to forty. And not just different branches of the same bank, all different banks. All offering their mortgages, credit cards, you name it. But in Bulgaria I mean there is a lot of talk here about all these Bulgarians that are supposed to come to Britain which has never materialized because I think as I said a year ago or so it never would materialize because they can't even afford the bus fare to the local town. Never mind getting over here. But they all own their houses. Every one of them owns their own home. They own a piece of land. And the banks have moved in and basically screwed them out of them by lending them money they knew well they couldn't afford to pay back. And they are just repossessing the houses now.

Alan: Well what happened was also, you see I know a lot of people who did move to Britain from Bulgaria and it's mainly young people. And they can't get work there because since the collapse and all the rest of it, the money that the EU, all the millions and millions of euros that the EU had put into Bulgaria never reached the people to create work, it doesn't happen. It goes into the old politburo bosses who still run the country. And they are in cahoots with the banks. But you also have a complicated factor, a lot of the big Russian mafia mobs, we'll call them mafia to be safe, but the mobs at Russia that helped, they helped to collapse Cyprus and the bail-in came in and all that kind of stuff. They were putting the money there too. They also put it into the big banks. They were spreading the banks out, they were proliferating in Bulgaria for that purpose, sinking a lot through there thinking it would be safer because of the U.S. bases going in there and have gone in with the missiles that are aimed at Russia.

So there is a lot of finagling going on but in fact the problem is there is so much natural corruption there because it was corrupt all through the communist era where the politburo bosses lived like kings, a lot of them, and they are still in the same positions today under social democrats, etcetera. That's the term they

are using now. It's the same bunch and the public don't get to see the money. So there is no work for the young folk and as you know there are houses all over Bulgaria where they just walk away and leave them vacant because there is no way they can earn money to live and so they are moving out of course. Which again I think is part of the agenda as well, it's deliberate.
Neil: They're certainly killing the villages. I know we are getting off topic, but they are certainly killing the villages there. And by just that methodology, the young people are moving out on mass really. And I would say your average village has probably got about 25 to 30% of the homes empty.
Alan: That's right, all over the country.
Neil: Yeah, and there are even whole villages that are empty. So anybody who wants to know about Agenda 21, it looks like it's coming soon to a town near you. You know, that's it. That's the way it's going. And I get a lot of young people over there who actually they are quite clued up. They are quite aware of what's going on because they still have generations in their family who could warn them what it looks like.
Alan: That's one thing that has struck through. We forget too a lot of the people who lived through that whole era, under the communist regimes, they were Orthodox Christians, Eastern Orthodox, a lot of them. And they, because I'm in touch with some today, and they kept their own little secret services, private services going you might say, for religious things in their own homes. Every town, it's very much like total information awareness network we have across the West now. In fact they copied a lot of that from the Soviet system. So these folk who lived in Bulgaria and elsewhere, Czechoslovakia, had these private little meetings to celebrate their particular religion, because in every town and village there were private snoops that were working for the secret services that would shop them. The only difference was that most of the folk in Bulgarian villages knew who the particular one was in their village so they'd watch him as much as he'd watch them. So they could do these little things.
But along with it came their morality. They carried that natural old morality along with them too to help each other out and that was so vitally important. We forget that because we are not given that side of the story. But things were terrible there and starvation came along every so often in the Soviet systems often on purpose you saw them starving out Hungary, places like that, 6 or 7 million people died on deliberate policy of starving people out as Stalin sold the grain abroad at that time. We forget that's been done across the whole Soviet system including China. Mao Tse-tung did the same thing there, deliberate policy. And folk that survived, often survived because they still had something that kept them bonded together, in other words a common culture. And we forget that too, most common cultures have some form of religion, it doesn't matter what nation or type it is. They have something that holds them together and keeps their humanity intact so they can survive.
In the West we have lost all of that until it is dog eat dog. In fact in the West the guy who gets to the top by any means possible it doesn't matter how vicious he has been, he is lauded and approved by the general population right down to the bottom level. They treat them like kings because they got so wealthy. That's what the West worships, is wealth you know. That's what they worship. And you might say the religion in the West, especially in the U.S., is actually politics.
Neil: Yeah well I mean over there, the people, and I'm talking about Bulgaria now again, the people are generally happy. They don't have enough to get by half the time but they will still give you some.
Alan: It's not just happiness, it's a natural... The thing is you can be happier more; you are not so neurotic when you have a common culture that will help each other out. And you know that, it's a safety net you see.
We don't have it in the West anymore. Everything is left up to government agencies. And so they are the big boss for everything. But over in those countries the folk have had a common culture and their common decency came out in that culture where they really, really would help each other through in all the villages to keep folk alive you know.
Neil: Yeah, well I've actually seen that because I mean people in the U.K., you know when they hear about Bulgarians, Romanians, something in the national press here, just think of them all as scrounging parasites as it were. But if they spent you know a winter in a Bulgarian village they would soon find out what these guys have to put up with. I know one chap who died in his home, and he was only in his 60's. He had no glass in his windows. I mean that's how poor he was. He couldn't afford a bed or anything. And there is no welfare system there. And we basically, you know people tried to help him out as much as they could but he was, you know, he had his pride and all the rest of it. And unfortunately that was the end of him. But people just don't, they look at these pictures on the television and think oh that's quaint. They've got chickens and some pigs and stuff. And they think it's an easy life. And they have no idea.
Alan: They have no idea and they forget too there is also their own little mafia that's run them all through the Soviet and into the present system as well. It still runs it today you know.

Neil: Absolutely.
Alan: And I know people today who have been attacked by these particular goons, who will come in if you have a few pigs sometimes and they will just grab them and off they go. And there is nothing you can do about it because you know who they are and they are monsters if they come after you.
Neil: Oh yeah. Well I've told you the little story off air there during the break and you don't mess with some people and that's it. But they have their own control system of course and you drive into a Gypsy encampment, it's all enclosed, it's almost like a concentration camp. And they've got a very large house at one end of it with a guy sitting outside with the shotguns. And that's who runs it all.
Alan: That's correct.
Neil: That's the way it is. As I say we got a little off topic there. I mean do you think that there is any hope that people will actually get their humanity back? Or I mean is there any way of reversing what's clearly happened?
Alan: We've got to remember that no countries have had so much war on the general populations as the Western Countries. This was the whole intention of the Frankfurt School that was given authority along with the Macy School, Russell was a member of both, Bertrand Russell, as well as UNESCO and so on. He was a member of all these groups. And he worked with all the behaviorists of the day to bring in a new... They were given authority these groups, working collectively to bring in a brand-new culture for the Western Countries. They had to get rid of their religious stance. That was one mandate. They had to become almost secular humanists. That was to be the new religion brought in by the United Nations. It still is by the way. And it's been awfully, awfully successful today where folk are quite content in their world believing that if they ever needed that safety net, they don't go to friends if they have any at all or family, they go to the government agency that they think is going to help them. Often they get an awful shock because it doesn't work the way that they say it works.
But the government now is the total boss over every aspect of your life. That was part of it and the old family structures have been almost, almost eradicated you know, almost. There is still a remnant within some families of strong ties and good luck to them because you'll really need them. The folk who don't have that are literally on their own if anything nasty happens to them personally.
That was always the intention of this system to make the government the top boss. And that's why George Orwell in his "1984" book had the screen in every home and the screen was attached, came right from Big Brother, all the bureaucrats, and even your mandatory keep-fit instructors came on every morning. They could talk right to you number so-and-so, Smith, you know. Stand up straight, touch your toes, blah, blah. That would be it. You couldn't turn around to anybody to help you, friends, family, or anything. It was all gone. And that's almost been achieved. You understand this was, they called it "Total War". Total meant the destruction of all that was to bring in the new. And that's been very successfully done in the Western Countries.
Neil: Yeah, absolutely. I just reread "Fahrenheit 451" recently and of course you have the full wall television sets in that as well. And the status symbol was to have one on every wall.
Alan: And interactive as well.
Neil: Yeah, you were interactive. And they had soap operas before soap operas were even heard of and before television was even heard of. But yeah it really is hard to see how it can be reversed but I suppose we have got to hope for the best, that there are people coming through who don't take the indoctrination. I mean I know that it's almost impossible to avoid it.
Alan: It's almost impossible today, in fact again you always go back to the masters who set up the present cultural system and changes in the cultural system that have yet to be implemented because they were doing it in stages. But most of them are dead now. These guys drafted up the whole era to come post World War II, the 60's counter-revolution, etcetera, revolution, all the different revolutions. They planned the sexual revolution, the feminist revolutions, and so on all the way down including by the way they were completely integrated with the fashion industry believe it or not. Because you have to have all these, these are all part of culture and so on and the music industry to bring in a brand new culture where government would be in total control, experts. This was the Club of Rome by the way if you go into their writings. This is what they were working with too and they also worked with Bertrand Russell.
So they were to bring in this brand new culture where the state and all of its agencies would be the bosses from birth to death of every individual under their system. And that's what GIRFEC is about in Scotland. They have other ones in England that are much similar. They brought one into the States that's kind of similar. And so it's to be a unified culture eventually across the whole wide world, a complete new system, a complete new system for the world. A world order means a world order. And that's what they've been working with eventually. A common, common culture across the planet will come out of this eventually after

all of the different riots of ethnic clashes.
And these guys work intergenerationally. They don't say we have to get it done in our time; they can do it two, three, four, five generations down the road. That's what the foundations were set up to do. And there is a great book out it's called, "Foundations their Power and Influence". It was written about all what you think about as non-profit organizations of the big Rockefeller, Carnegie, Ford, and all the ones in Britain as well that were to be a parallel government and then be the government, because the ones who set up these foundations would be the bosses of international corporations, one and the same thing. That's why Bill Gates who was never elected to anything has so much power in the world and over countries, and so on. That's why those big boys in the Club of Rome have so much power in what they say and all their stuff gets used at the United Nations.
The United Nations is also the embryo for the centralization for World Government even though none of us have ever voted to get them set up and started on the go to begin with never mind a vote to see who gets in. It's completely undemocratic you see. And the same with the trading blocks where eventually the CEOs of the corporations, who also run the big foundations that run all the NGOs and fund them all, shape the direction that the whole world's traveling in. They give all the funding to universities, etcetera. And along with the funding comes the mandates what to teach and what not to teach. So they can direct the whole shape and future of the minds of all those who are growing up, and so they are prepared for their part in the world even if they don't understand it until it happens. It's a perfect scientifically organized system this, and this is the world they want to be run by experts from cradle to grave and it's worked very well up until now. What you see with the problems in society is simply the chaos and the clashes of old systems versus new systems. The old systems are always dropping off because they can't fight it, they don't have the finances even to fight it never mind the time. And the authorities make it quite plain that nothing is going to stand in their way, they are going to get what they want, again overwhelming force.
Overwhelming force doesn't simply mean that police come at you with combat gear; it also means that all the legal departments of government and state will come against you and they'll make you bankrupt and put you into poverty, etcetera, etcetera. They make life unbearable for you. That's also part of overwhelming force, total war. So all these aspects are being used today to train the public into the ideal obedient citizen that they want for the present and the near future, definitely the near future.
Neil: Yeah, well what's happening to Britain tomorrow is the first debate in parliament which just happens to coincide with the last day of the concession which they brought forward by a week. Just last week they decided to give themselves an extra week's holiday. Is the National Service Bill, that's getting debated in parliament tomorrow and nobody is going to have any right to reply on it I'm sure. But that coincides with a program that's already in place called the National Citizens Service Program for 16 to 19 year olds. And one of my colleague's son went on it, well as a volunteer, and to do a bit of research for his mom. And basically it's a boot camp and they have Alsatian dogs come into the dormitory and sniff through all their belongings and their billets if you want to call it, in military terms anyway, everything is searched, their clothes are searched, their private baggage is searched, and that's for 16 to 19 year olds, and the National Service Bill is for 19 to 25 year olds. So it's all falling into place.
Alan: It's falling into place and they keep pushing through the same bill in the U.S. It's exactly the same bill for you to work abroad or at home to serve the country as they call it. But it's also to train a generation. Out of the ones they train for this they will select the ones that really go for it, that really like it. And they'll select them to be the new overseers in their little areas of communitarianism for the future. The big plan of course that Cameron keeps prattling on about because eventually you have to live in your little communitarian area with appointed, just like... What you are living in is the goal that the Soviet Union never reached, where Soviet means ruled by councils remember. But these councils were not elected, they were appointed. And the leaders were all appointed. And this is the new system, the great plan, the great work, the great society, all of the terms they are using in Britain are about. And so these people will be little fanatics, majordomos and they've gone through their little national service thing, they've been picked because they really like it. They adapt to authoritarianism very well. They like authoritarianism. They like to give out authority and these are the ones they'll make your majordomos over you.
Neil: Yeah going back to Bulgaria the thing, that's already happening actually there again. They are having these people in the villages kind of going around and exerting some kind of authority which they were never elected to do. But you know that's, at least people there still recognize it for what it is and they are actually warning their children.
Alan: They do. They know exactly what it is, it's just the West that are totally blinded, they don't know what's going on. Because they haven't had that before. They haven't had your neighbors being dragged off in the middle of the night by the goon squad you know.

Neil: Well talking about people being dragged off in the middle of the night, Robert Green is still in prison after what, three months now, on no charges really and he's up for bail again tomorrow which is unlikely he'll get it. But anybody who wants to write him, I've got his prison number and his address somewhere but I'll post it up here possibly tomorrow or something. But yeah, I mean they are actually taking people off the street. I think there was another case yesterday. I was speaking to somebody, somebody who reported pedophilia, a mother and a daughter, and both of them were just taken away. So there you go.
Alan: Yes. It's interesting too which stories they will publish to make sure you get a point, a point that sinks into our heads. And all this stuff that they will never publish of course you know because in reality government, they've gone to an awful lot of time and trouble through propaganda and indoctrination techniques and through schooling to give us all a fake impression of what government is all about. And this was well covered by Adam Curtis by the way, especially through the television, the BBC, etcetera, and how it operated, and why it operated the way that it did. He did also "The Power of Nightmares". It's well worth watching that series where he used a lot of footage that's never been shown to the public before of how they decided what was good for the public, bad for the public. But basically his series completely affirmed what Jacques Ellul, the philosopher in France, what he said about propaganda, he said all television shows to do with the state exercising force to keep the public order, the public good, all of that, he says all dramas and fiction are complete propaganda, a well understood technique amongst behaviorists.
Another one too is for the coming pharmacological control of the general population, gradually accepting them that taking pills is normal. They start them off at childhood, pick the brighter ones out at school and dumb them down to an extent until they are in a fugue state once again, they can't operate properly and they go through life on pills. We know that a lot of these pills, especially the amphetamine types that they give them for children, prescribed ones, shrink their brain. We know that, it's a physical effect and it's well documented too. It can't be, cannot be disproved. It's not an iffy thing, it happens, and they take the brightest children that ask all the right questions and they attack them right there and then and that ties in with what Russell said about the bright children of the future, he said we'll have to eliminate them. Well they've done it awfully successfully.
They also said that some children in previous years, before the 40's, could slip through their nets, but not anymore at school because they give them so many tests and so much neuroscientists pour over all these little tests and their scores and so on. They know exactly what it means and they can give you a complete readout on this child's personality. What he'll go for, what he won't go for, what he will go along with and what he will not go along with, etcetera. And they are all marked down precisely for scientific control of the population. This is what all of this is about folks. Most folk don't reason, they do not reason the news out for themselves. They get downloaded with bits and bytes of it and repetition, repetition all the time, bits and bytes from this news station and that news station. And they don't think through it but it sort of congeals and comes together in their head the way it's intended. But they have never reasoned through things, they simply adopt the opinion that they have left them with. And it's a scientific technique that works awfully, awfully well. You are left with your opinions that become yours, and so much so that you see the guys in the bars fighting over opinions that actually they have never reasoned through in their lives.
Neil: Yeah, it sort of shocked me that, that's why the social services are now claiming that, not to vaccinate your child is a cause for neglect, and they are coming after them because if you can't get the vaccines in them they might actually start thinking for themselves later on in life.
Alan: That's right.
Neil: But that's, and I think it was Jacques Ellul again in the book, "Propaganda", he said that all propaganda has to be from every source continuous, it can't be allowed to stop. And if it stops then the chain will be broken.
Alan: Actually they've got a term for it. They have got a term for it and you'll see it often in the treaties they sign up, from the Council on Foreign Relations, which is simply the American branch of the Royal Institute of International Affairs. And these are the guys who drafted up, they were the guys put in charge and they drafted up, they put themselves in charge, they are an unelected private organization. They put themselves in charge and they drafted up all the treaties and the signatory stuff and the structure for the integration of the whole of Europe. They did the same thing for the NAFTA agreement for the integration of the Americas. And they came out on television in Canada, they had admitted it by the way for the first in 2005. They came out as the Council on Foreign Relations for Canada and the States and Mexico and admitted they drafted up the charter for all of these free trade agreements which means amalgamation of all your systems together down the road, incrementally.
But I noticed an article recently and what they said in it was now that free trade has been institutionalized, that's the term they used where the repetition that you are talking about is everyday language, folk accept it

without questioning it or even reasoning through it. It's just there like gravity. It's a normal thing. Now that we've got free trade rationalized and institutionalized in everyday business and conversation we'll go the next step which is and not just the Pacific Rim Agreement, trading with the Pacific Rim and so on, Pacific countries, but also with the whole of Europe. Again tightening that bond with the trade with Europe which is an integration of all your economic policies which eventually leads to all your criminal and non-criminal policies and legalistic terms because that's how countries are defined. You are defined by your economic system. When you go into law school that's what they'll teach you there. Your country and all of its laws is defined by your economic system of imports, exports, manufacturing, and so on. The value of human life comes down to what could this person that you murdered have produced in their life for this company and the taxes that they would have created for the government to collect. And that's how your punishment comes along, that's how it is decided upon, your financial value to the system. No kidding.

So once you start integrating all your trade agreements which eventually get systems built and to deal with a new economic branch dealing with nothing but trade in every country then they are dealing with each other then it broadens out into the wider society. That's how the European Union and its new parliament came to be which the boys who set it up knew would be eventually anyway. {Laughs} It's quite amazing.

So people have no idea how the world really works but again it's done through propaganda. And you've lived through I'm sure all of the "should we vote for the European Union or not" for integration. You've seen it over and over and people said nobody wanted it but they got it regardless. And they kept telling you the same propaganda that they use in Canada and they are using in Mexico and they are using for the Trans-Pacific Partnership, etcetera. Oh if you don't join you will be left behind. We've all seen that too. And look at the mess Europe is in with its massive, incredible financial debt because of it all. Look at the deindustrialization, all the factories have gone to China because that was all set up by the Royal Institute for International Affairs by the way. They set up the World Trade Organization, the IMF, and the Bank for International Settlements. These are all privately owned by this group.

So why do the people bother voting when non-governmental bodies dictate the policies including the treaties, all your trade agreements, and your integrations into trading blocks? Why do you bother voting for these politicians that spout jobs, jobs, jobs, education and healthcare at election time when these guys have got nothing to do with it?

Neil: And law and order of course. But yeah I mean we are supposed to vote for these creeps next Thursday for the European parliament again. A European parliament which costs us 55 million pounds a day and if we didn't pay it for one year we wouldn't have this bogus national debt in the first place.

Alan: If folk would just stop voting all together that would be a start.

Neil: Yeah exactly.

Alan: Why participate in the scam?

Neil: Well it's actually illegal to pay taxes to a government which is illegally paying taxes to an organization which doesn't produce accounts.

Alan: That's right. It's actually illegal to claim or demand taxes from a citizen from a corporate body that doesn't have anybody's signature on it.

Neil: Yeah, yeah. Well if only more people just step back and just stopped paying up the world might be a better place.

Alan: Well we know what we have to do or what folk have to do but the fact is nobody is going to do it because again they've done thousands of odd studies. They do thousands of them every year on all of us and all the different think tanks and they publish them in all these different institutes across the planet to see how many folk would stand up for this and stand up for this particular thing or that particular thing. And they know that they've got the society so fragmented. They know that the ones at the bottom always get hurt first naturally. When they stand up they either are totally ignored or they end up starting riots. Riots are easy to control.

The middle classes pretend that their little bubble is going to go on forever and they don't squeal until they actually get hit personally and they can't afford things etcetera, etcetera, that's when they start squealing. And the ones that try to emulate the upper classes have nothing in common with them whatsoever. They see you as the same peasant as they see in China. They don't care, all the peasants are the same to them and their reality is totally different than is portrayed on the fictional television, etcetera.

So they know that no one will stand up in unison for what should be done and has to be done if you are going to save anything at all in society or allow your children to literally be turned into pharmacological robots and maybe yourself as well down the road with your Soma to keep you happy. This is what it's all about. This is the new system.

The Club of Rome in their book, it was called, "The First Global Revolution", it said in it that democracy

doesn't work however they've always used the term democracy from the United Nations and so on as they want to conquer other countries to bring them all into the same system. So you have a façade of what people think is democracy, and the façade of they think they have rights too, but in reality the Club of Rome said if there are too many factions all competing for power there could never be any harmony therefore an authoritarian system must be introduced, run scientifically. And they were simply reiterating H.G. Wells and many others before him on the same system.

What you are seeing now is the training into authoritarianism. And you'll notice too, I've noticed this, the general news for instance since the Leveson Inquiry and the bill that went forward by Leveson, Lord Leveson in Britain, it kind of muzzled a lot of journalists. There is less speculation about and personal opinions by journalists now. You get more trivia churned out than ever before. At the same time you had the Finkelstein Inquiry in Australia who also turned out the big bill there, massive thousands of page bills, for it to cut, muzzle the media in Australia. I think Canada has done it too. So all the British Commonwealth countries went along with it at the same time.

And what you are seeing in the news now is nothing but absolute propaganda and trivia, trivia. And all the news you get is about over there somewhere but not what's happening right in your own backyard. You may get it in a local paper, petty crime and things like that, but nothing major about what your governments are actually up to. And you'll notice too there is less and less politicians coming out and saying things as they try to get you conditioned and they are doing it very well. This is through a conditioning process for the public to gradually accept the fact not to expect statements from the actual politicians that you think you elect. And what you get is announcements simply from governmental departments. This is the new authoritarian system they are training you into. For the future you will simply accept the fact there is a power structure above you that's run by some kind of special experts out of special wombs. And you just go out and play and work hard and pay your taxes and be good and don't concern yourself with it. That's the system they are bringing in right now as we live and it's very obvious.

Neil: Yeah. What I've noticed. We were down at parliament on budget day and trying to get some of these characters, and we went down the street and there wasn't a politician anywhere. And even the police on the gate said this is highly unusual, this just doesn't happen. They were obviously told to stay away. And as I say we have got this farce of an election next Thursday and I've yet to see anybody out in the street campaigning. They've just, they have disappeared.

Alan: Well we don't really need them anymore. And again that's training the public that it doesn't matter. They'll put their own boys in regardless and fake the numbers as they have done for an awful long time. Like Stalin says, I don't care who votes, I only care about those that count the votes. And that's all rigged so they'll carry this system on for a little while more but they are training the public not to even be concerned about it, that to accept this new authoritarian system. They've already said by the way in the Pentagon and the publications of the CFR, accept it, antiterrorism is going to go on forever. So antiterrorism now covers every spectrum of law and order and even civil law and so on, everything. This is the new rule for the future for the rest of your lives and beyond. Yeah, that's what they've said.

Neil: Well of course that was in "1984" as well you know, terrorism, terrorism, terrorism. Okay, well we've kind of gone over a bit on time but thanks very much again Alan for your insights and your knowledge.

Alan: It's a pleasure.

Neil: And I'm sure we'll have you back next month or so again.

Alan: Sure enough. It's been a pleasure.

Neil: We have a few days to sort it out. Okay, we'll go to a short piece of music and then we'll be back with something on vaccines I think.

{Closing Music ♫}

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

May 18, 2014 (#1437)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

Poem Copyright Alan Watt May 18, 2014:
Tales From The Script:
"Much to Tell, More's the Pity,
To Contain Within this Little Ditty,
Minds and Thoughts, Opinions are Loans
From the Scientific Master which Owns
Techniques on Reality, Space and Time,
Spoon-Fed Topics I Thought were Mine,
A Mushroom Grown in Darkened Crypt
Sheds Light in "Tales from the Script""
© Alan Watt May 18, 2014

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - May 18, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on May the 18th, 2014. I'd just like to say that many people have emailed from all over, the world really, about the weather changes. And of course the weather changes are all planned that way because geoengineering has been going on constantly, continuously, not experimentally, since 1998. That's when it really started big time in Ontario at least and other countries too. Before of course, since even the 50s, they were doing lots of geoengineering experiments onwards over select areas to see the effects of weather modification.

I've gone through the history of weather modification and all the other effects they can produce too, with using electromagnetic energy, the HAARP technology, etc. And going back to even Teller who came up with the idea of the H-bomb. He talked about saturating the skies with metallic particles which make it more conductive to electrical impulses which could be controlled. Of course we even have Brzezinski talking about that [in his book, Between Two Ages, in the chapter] The Technotronic Era, where he talks about using these waves across whole continents to pacify the people or change their moods and so on. They can actually make you anxious or depressed or simply placid, because we work on frequencies. Every cell in your body has its own frequency, every cell type that is, and by modifying that and getting close to it they can mimic it and then alter it slightly too. It works across the board with people.

But we've watched this geoengineering going on in concert with the global warming agenda, which is the big PR stunt to institutionalizing our way of talking and thinking and in conversations, the idea that we are causing all the problems on the planet, where in reality big scientific societies and agencies are working hard to give this impression. I've mentioned so many times, and I can't stress it enough, that Arthur C Clarke talked about the effects of advanced science on a primitive population, and he said that, it would appear as magic to the people.

We think we are so advanced today, the average person, because of the Internet and so on; we think we know it all. And we don't because we are way behind with all the techniques that are used, with all the scientific magazines which are low-level stuff, that's research that's been done, again, just starting basically on certain areas. Whereas the real searching was done a long time ago; and what you're given for public consumption is obsolete to an extent too. So we believe what they tell us, a lot of people do believe what they're told because they get repetition, propaganda also works by repetition, until the public themselves start parroting it in their conversations. I've gone through the history of this. Go into the archive section at cuttingthroughthematrix.com and you'll find talks I've given on this and the history of it and so on. And the histories too of the non-governmental organizations which have tremendous power today, working under the United Nations umbrella. The United Nations of course is an organization set up by a private organization already in existence, which was the Lord Alfred Milner group which became the Royal Institute of International Affairs/Council on Foreign Relations. They created all of these agencies, supranational agencies which are privately run. They set up the World Bank. They set up the IMF and the Bank for International Settlements; I've gone through the history of that before too. So the next step of course is to use the scientific technique to control all of society. It's already been done, actually, and most folk don't know it. They really don't know that science rose up a long time ago, well-funded, well managed and organized and directed by the funders, the funders being an intelligentsia already in existence who came from very wealthy families. They hired the best minds they could find to create their organizations, like the CFR, Council on Foreign Relations. And they've done it awfully well.

They set up the idea of the trading blocs and eventually to amalgamate the three main blocs under a world governmental agency down the road, which is almost here pretty well. In fact, we are global. We've heard that prattle about globalism for an awful long time, until again, it becomes institutionalized, as they call it themselves in their own writings. Once we prattle on about it, in the public, in general conversations, on a superficial level because most folk will prattle on about these things without ever digging into it themselves. They've got bits and bytes of information and they simply prattle it off to each other in conversation and other folk think they know what it means too because they've heard the little bits and bytes as well, and they don't go any deeper than that.

Anything done on a major scale is put out hypothetically to the public... If we were going to do this geoengineering what would the consequences be? That's what they talk about at their big meetings. Of course the organizations they work for, the multitude of them, have already done all the research and they bring it together, and they also observe the effects as it happens, because it's already been going on for a long time now. In other words, the major decisions are bypassed by the general public. We are told it's in the future, and possibly, and maybe not at that, etc. etc., till it's all very confusing. And because we are trained to parrot what comes across from the news and from famous people, etc. we ignore what we see with our own eyes. Most folk do. Even when they see it, they've been watching the spraying for years, they don't want to say, well, this is not how it used to be.

People have very short term memories today. They expect, like Brzezinski said, the media to do their reasoning for them. Therefore if no hassle and anxiety is drummed up by the media to be concerned about something, they will not be concerned. They will ignore their own conclusions or responses or even thinking or reasoning to do with what is happening above their heads, in fact, or why the weather is changing. It's quite simple. And it's quite astonishing too, that we're so easy to manipulate in so many different ways; this is only one aspect of it.

So we have been told that the geoengineering, if they ever did it that is, it could have... once they noticed that we're getting a lot of rain of course, they suddenly changed it, oh it could be lots of rain happening. Well, since November to April, the snow melted here in April in Ontario where I am, and since then it's been raining. So from November till April the ground didn't see any sun at all, pretty well; it was never bare

because we didn't have a big thaw like we usually have. So we had a massive blanket of snow, lots of snow, more snow than I've seen for years. Most folk here say that, the older guys say that they haven't seen this since the early 1960s. So it's back again, you see. But we see the spraying going on. Even with the short breaks in clouds that we see they're still doing it. And sure enough, in the wintertime therefore you're getting it coming down as snow and then it goes straight into rain. It's rained here for about the last month pretty continuously, very little sunshine. And last night the temperature dropped right down to -3° Centigrade or about 29° Fahrenheit, round about that temperature. So we're still in the freezing temperatures at night here and it's cold during the day.

So the geoengineering is working awfully well. But eventually the public, the general public will get the message from the media to be concerned about the weather. Concern yourself about it... and then they will be concerned about it. Because the idea is to make you believe that you are the cause of it all. It's so brilliant, isn't it, how easy it is to manipulate millions or even billions of people by the information you receive. After all, why would anybody want to lie to you?

That's how naïve we are. People didn't used to be so naïve. I mean, up until just before TV came in folk distrusted governments. They distrusted them, across the world. Because they had a better education of the history of governments, and armies and standing armies and all these things and how a power elite could turn them against the people at any time they wish to, and they often did of course; that's the history of the world. So eventually we will blame ourselves because that's the intention. We'll believe it because people will start to say, my God the weather is changing... They still won't notice the spraying because it won't be mentioned of course on the mainstream media. Then of course you'll be taught that science has to rule us even more, and that old governments and politics are obsolete because they represent you and you are uneducated, you're ignorant, etc., we need an advanced scientific group to run the world. It's been like that for a long time actually, they just haven't told you; and I'll touch on that tonight.

But I have gone into the past quite a bit in different areas, about the organizations that were set up to bring in world government, who set it up, the big bankers of the day of course, and very wealthy intergenerational families which created the big groups. Of course in the US everyone knows the Rockefeller family that really helped to spearhead, at least that's what you're told; there were big groups behind them, mind you, the Rockefellers also took the heat but the Rockefellers belonged to the organization. In fact, the old man Rockefeller was set up and given a lot of help, put it this way, in acquiring his power and wealth by the organization that already existed. But they put him out as the front man for the Council on Foreign Relations. He already worked for it before they called it that of course, because as I say it's a branch of the Milner group, and then they gave themselves the official titles later on to further confuse the general public.

The organization itself was so secretive according to Carroll Quigley that many folk in Parliament, including Winston Churchill at one point, didn't know; they knew there was something going on by a group, but they had no name given out to the general public at the time. Now, most folk maybe know the term Council on Foreign Relations when they bring on a spokesperson to advise on foreign-policy etc. Private organizations, very old indeed. Anybody who's anybody, and who's been a so-called made-man, made by the organizations behind them that is, that opened up the doors, cut out the competition for them and made sure they were awfully wealthy... In other words, they're bought and paid for completely, intergenerationally, they will carry on the agenda. So I've gone through that in the past too if you go into the archives again at cuttingthroughthematrix.com; you can find out lots of information on all of this. But the idea for this group, this very old group, was to create a world society, as I say. How to maintain power for their own corporations was awfully important of course. Because economics is the key to controlling everything. And those at the top of money and power want to keep it and ensure that the big corporations they also own don't fail, the big, big ones. They amalgamate all the time of course, but really it's all kind of in-the-family. You'll find that many of the top corporations, in any particular area, are all owned by the same shareholders at the top, the select shareholders; these shares are not advertised to the public to buy.

Now, getting back to the idea of science controlling society, I'll just touch on something, an old book by a very important man. Because again, they put out their own spokespeople to often write to each other, in a sense, by publishing books. The general public will get one message but the insiders get another message from what they read; that's how a lot of things are written. Lord Bertrand Russell I've mentioned so many times was often accused of being a communist. And he wasn't. Because you understand, this same group,

the Royal Institute of International Affairs/Council on Foreign Relations funded all sides. In fact, they created all sides that appear to be in conflict. And they made sure that we fed even opposing sides through Cold Wars, etc. Because to get change you must have at least two sides; one side where everyone's content won't fight and change for anything; why should they? So you create an opposing side and through the opposition, it's the old Thesis, Antithesis and Synthesis that you end up getting. This is the whole idea of strategy. All strategies are worked out that way, the Hegelian dialectic as they like to call it, but which existed long before Hegel came along. So to get change you need all the conflicts so you must control all sides. So only those at the top know the con, and even the real higher workers beneath them, still higher level but beneath them, are not in on the big joke you might say, the big, big joke. That's why the bombs were never set off during the Cold War. That's why the missiles were never fired. An organization that's running the whole show would never, ever have a lack of failsafes to ensure that only those at the very top could press the buttons of course. And they were all working for the same group, always having international meetings together.

Now, the society they wanted to bring in was not democratic. I've mentioned this before. They would use the term democracy, the public had been already accustomed to the term in the 1800s, the idea of democracy, put it that way, but they had the intention of always controlling this thing called democracy, which disarms a lot of people mentally, and sometimes physically, to give up all personal power and do it for the greater good, we're all in it together sort of thing. But the boys at the top always had a plan, and they said, democracy would never work, in their own writings, private writings, and they would have to bring in a scientific society.

Bertrand Russell of course was one of the top propagandists for them. He was also a very busy worker, working with groups who were given, again, the right to create new cultures by a private organization running it at the top. They even had presidential authority after World War II, in fact during World War II to help set up the postwar reconstruction, changing cultures, etc. etc. Now, here's a book that Russell put out in 1931. It's called The Scientific Outlook. This guy is often called a philosopher, a mathematician and so on. But he was also a propagandist and he worked with the Macy group, the Frankfort school and other doctrines, you might call them, clubs, that wanted to bring in this new socialist-appearing society. It had to always appear to be socialist, for the people, etc. That way they wouldn't have any more revolutions, eventually, and the people would be conned into believing it really was all for them.

But their goal was always stressed by Russell, who couldn't help but boast once in a while. He had a tremendous ego, this man. So much so, in fact, he kept going after other guys' wives, very important people, just to win them over, to say I'm better than that guy, you see. Tremendous ego. Very pompous in private conversation at dinner tables, etc. Very arrogant. He loved to get arguments going and try and belittle other people. He fell out with Arthur Koestler on that topic because when a bunch of them were at the dinner table he tried, he was flirting, Russell was flirting heavily with Koestler's wife and Koestler lost his top, which is exactly what Russell wanted to happen, you see, but a psychopathic personality, he enjoyed it.

So here's a little thing he wrote in 1931, The Scientific Outlook. This is before World War II, remember. People think everything came as a surprise attack in World War II, especially for the US... Japan just happened to suddenly do a nasty sneaky thing and it was all a big surprise. Of course we know that FDR, and even before him, the US had been cutting off and taking over all the Pacific areas. Japan needs imports. It needs to bring in its energy supplies and so on, raw materials. And to stop any expansionism the big corporations in the US and Britain made sure that they had a complete hold on all of these islands and lands where they got the raw resources. They didn't want Japan butting in. But they did want to bring in the world society. So what do you do? You've got to get a war going of course, is what you have to do to change culture. Remember what they said, and Quigley said this too, who worked for the same group remember. Quigley said that you can get more done in five years of war than 50 years of peace and PROPAGANDA; it's easier to change society. And he said, all sides in the conflict have their culture changed.

Now, why would they want to change the culture? Well, to bring you into a new society, a world society, you must eliminate all the old cultures to bring in the new. It's the old Nimrod idea, isn't it, really, the old tower of Babel, and they all spoke as one language. The old thing... That's why they have that old broken tower in so much of the Rosicrucian and Masonic literature of course. It's to do with something for the future, the creation of this tower, if you want to call it that, and who knows, maybe it's going to be a

massive electrical tower. But anyway, the idea is power. A tower stands for power, one power running the world.

But here's what he says...

In spite of the extraordinary success of Japanese policy (Alan: ...this is 1931...), there are certain unintended effects which are likely in time to cause serious difficulties. The sudden change of habits and of conscious opinions has induced a certain nervous strain, at any rate in the urban part of the population. This may produce a tendency to hysteria in time of national stress; indeed, such a tendency was shown in the massacres of Koreans that occurred after the earthquake of Tokio. What is more serious, the position of Japan demands the growth of both industrialism and armaments. Owing to the expense of the latter the industrial workers are poor; they tend, consequently, to acquire a rebellious mentality, and the circumstances of their work make it difficult for them to preserve that close family organization upon which Japanese society is built. If Japan should become engaged in an unsuccessful war, (A: This is coming from a guy, remember, who attended lots of world meetings, often which were kept quiet from the public, generally they were.) these circumstances might produce a revolution analogous to the Russian Revolution. The present social structure in Japan is likely therefore in time to become unstable, but it may be that the same skill which has rendered possible the triumphant career of Japan throughout the last seventy years will enable the Japanese to adapt themselves to changing circumstances gradually without any violent upheaval. The one thing that seems fairly certain is that, whether gradually or by revolution, the social structure in Japan will have to be profoundly modified. (A: Then he goes on to say...) Remarkable as it is, therefore, it is not a perfect example of scientific construction. (A: ...scientific construction, eh...) I do not mean by this that it could have been bettered at the time, but only that it is not in all respects a model for the future. (A: In other words, you need a war and massive change, that's guided, which of course is what they did eventually after World War II. In fact, they planned it all before, during and after; they were still carrying out the changes for the hypothetical future of Japan which they had already worked on.)

The attempt at scientific construction which is being made by the Soviet Government (A: Now, this is why these boys created these big, they called them, experiments across the world, to use in the future. They'd find out all the problems that would arise through the system and they'd bring in another one later on, under a different name of course; we call it socialism or world government. And this is what you're in today, it's a perfected Soviet system.) is more ambitious than that which was carried through by the Japanese innovators in 1867; it aims at a much greater change in social institutions, and at the creation of a society far more different from anything previously known than is that of Japan. (A: So he's lauding what happened in the Soviet Union, with its millions slaughtered and the whole thing.) The experiment is still in progress, (A: ...the experiment... is still in progress...) and only a rash man would venture to predict whether it will succeed or fail; (A: Remember, the CFR and the Royal Institute of International Affairs covered up the massacres and slaughters in the Soviet Union, and up until after World War II, well after it in fact.) the attitude both of friends and enemies towards it has been singularly unscientific. (A: ....unscientific attitude, right...)

For my part, I am not anxious to appraise the good or evil in the Soviet system, but merely to point out those elements of deliberate planning which make it so far the most complete example of a scientific society. (A: In other words, all of what they called superstition or religion was kicked out, of course; not all of it, there was actually some which was allowed. But he said, a scientific society, in other words, scientific experts organized, and NGOs too by the way. And remember, 'Soviet' means rule by councils, appointed councils, which is what we have today. Only we've got private NGOs all funded by big private foundations, most of them if not all of them are members of the CFR/Royal Institute of International Affairs, these big foundations. And they help bring in the environmental things, they're at all the world meetings, they have a big, big major say in what happens. The public don't count. The proles don't count, as George Orwell said.)

In the first place, all the major factors of production and distribution are controlled by the State (A: ...which Russell really thought was a great idea. And by the way, that's why you have the World Trade Organization and the GATT Treaty etc., run by private organizations, which you think are all separate.);

in the second place, all education is designed to stimulate activity in support of the official experiment (A: ...massive propaganda, massive indoctrination. It's quite easy to train the public in a Pavlovian style, and Pavlov had a lot to do with the schooling system across the whole Soviet system.);

in the third place, the State does what it can to substitute its religion for the various traditional beliefs which have existed within the territory of the U.S.S.R.;

in the forth place, literature and the Press are controlled by the Government, and are such as are thought likely to help it in its constructive purposes (A: That's the same as we have today. We've had it for an awful long time. Because Quigley himself said, all the major press, all the owners, editors and so on, are all members of the one organization, the CFR/Royal Institute of International Affairs. And we get most of our news from Reuters and the API; and Reuters of course was set up by the Rothschilds.);

in the fifth place, the family, in so far as it represents a loyalty which competes with loyalty to the State, is being gradually weakened(A: That was the intention, the destruction of the family unit, remember, the abolition of it, under the communist system. And that's what you have in the West under a different technique that they used, which is massive promiscuity and massive entertainment and heavy indoctrination in school to get younger and younger children all the time until they're hypersexualized before they even get into puberty and they will never stay with one person for the rest of their lives and have families and children.);

in the sixth place, the Five Year Plan (A: ...which they love these 5, 10, 50 year plans, at the United Nations too by the way.) is bending the whole constructive energies of the nation to the realization of a certain economic balance and productive efficiency, by means of which it is hoped that a sufficient degree of material comfort will be secured for everyone. (A: Now, who decides what is sufficient? Well, the big boys do.) In every other society of the world there is enormously less central direction than under the Soviet Government. It is true that during the war (A: He's talking about World War I, or The Great War as they called it then. Why they would call it 'great', well I've explained that before too.) the energies of the nations were, to a considerable extent, centrally organized, but everyone knew that this was temporary, and even at its height the organization was not so all-pervasive as it is in Russia. (A: ...because government comes in and takes over, almost through martial law during times of war. That's why they're using the anti-terrorism idea now for the next part of this agenda, today.) The Five Year Plan, as its name implies, is supposed to be temporary, and to belong to a time of stress not wholly unlike that of the Great War, but it is to be expected that if it succeeds, other plans will take its place (A: ...and that's exactly what you have.), since the central organization of the vast nation's activities is too attractive to the organizers to be abandoned readily.

The Russian experiment may succeed or may fail, but even if it fails, (A: Now, here's the important part...) it will be followed by others which will share its most interesting characteristic, namely, the unitary direction of a whole nation's activities. (A: This is so important if you understand what he's saying here. This is a guy who attended all the world meetings. He was given the power along with other groups, a big organizer to alter the culture before World War II, after World War II, and even the changes that you're going through in your own lifetime today. The groups in his generation planned the changes step by step with their 5, 10, 50 year plans, etc, minutely by the way. Education, steps of changes in education. Everything had to be done incrementally. So he's talking about, they still admired, you see, this experiment, the Soviet Union, and you had it in China too. That's why China, by the way, is the poster child of the United Nations today. Everyone obeys the state. The state is all-powerful. And because there are no opposing parties, they say, well, they can get things done. You see. Maggie Thatcher said the same thing. She said when she retired, she now belongs to a higher organization, the technocracy, unelected people working for an organization, behind the scenes with other ex-premiers of countries across the world, and she said, we can get things done without the spotlight being on us, and without the public knowing even what they're doing so they can't complain or demonstrate or anything else. So anyway...) ...the unitary direction of a whole nation's activities.

This was impossible in earlier days, since it depends upon the technique of propaganda, i.e., upon universal education (A: That's why they had to have a universal education, and the UNICEF, etc at the United Nations. So a uniform education...), newspapers (A: ...too. In other words, all propaganda, all news articles must be the same in all media, newspapers...), the cinema (A: ...the movies...), and (A: ...at the time he said...) the wireless. (A: Remember, this was in 1931. Now you have TV as well.) The State had already been strengthened by railways and the telegraphy, which made possible the rapid transmission of news and concentration of troops.

In addition to modern methods of propaganda, modern methods of warfare have strengthened the State as against discontented elements (A: He's talking about within. They now call them insurgents.); aeroplanes and poison gasses have made revolt difficult unless it obtains the support of aeronauts and chemists. (A: So in other words, you would have to, what he's hinting at here too is that ALL chemists and those to do with biowarfare, etc. must all belong to the same organization, be uniform in their ideas, and their own tuition, going through universities. Remember, standardized education. So it would make revolt impossible down the road.) Any prudent Government will favour these two classes and take pains to secure their loyalty. (A: It's already been done, you see.)

As the example of Russia has shown, it is now possible for men of energy and intelligence, if they once become possessed of the governmental machine, to retain power even though at first they may have to face the opposition of the majority of the population. (A: These are the guys... Remember, he belongs to the groups who actually funded, helped fund and plan the Bolshevik revolution. And we fed them. The West fed Russia right up until the so-called walls came down; they couldn't even feed themselves. So he lauds the idea that total power is concentrated, you see, and a scientific intelligentsia are put in, you see, who are totally on board. We know that in the Soviet system any intelligentsia that was not on board were slaughtered. In fact, that was almost the whole intelligentsia, and a new one substituted; they were already brought in from the West by the way.)

We must therefore increasingly expect to see government falling into the hands of oligarchies (A: ...oligarchies...), not of birth but of opinion. (A: In other words, academia.) In countries long accustomed to democracy, the empire of these oligarchies may be concealedbehind democratic forms, as was that of Augustus in Rome, (A: See, it's an old idea. These boys... That's why they pull in the Quigley's and the historians and so on, to give big talks to these particular groups that do the work, to show them what worked in the past, you see.) But elsewhere their rule will be undisguised. (A: And since about the 60s especially you've had nothing but experts getting brought on television for every little thing, that they decide you should think about. And you're given your opinion, you see, by experts. So you do have the idea that you have democracy, but you also have the ones who are undisguised, the experts, expert rules, intelligentsia, the educated intelligentsia, specialists.) If there is to be scientific experimentation in the construction of new kinds of societies, the rule of an oligarchy of opinion is essential. (A: Your opinions are all given to you, folks; they have been your whole lives long, and your parents before you, by the way.) It may be expected that there will be conflicts between different oligarchies, but that ultimately some one oligarchy will acquire world dominion, and will produce a worldwide organization as complete and elaborate as that now existing in the U.S.S.R.

(A: He then says...)
Such a state of affairs will have both merits and demerits; more important than either, however, is the fact that nothing less will enable a society imbued with scientific technique to survive. Scientific technique(A: And I've given lots of talks on scientific technique from Jacques Ellul and others too in the past.) demands organization (A: Now that's an awfully important word. Because the Soviet system too, just like the Royal Institute of International Affairs/CFR and the NGOs and the foundations, use what's called organization. Organization, remember, is a technique where you must have a set of tenets, rules, and those members who join it cannot break any of the rules for any private opinion. That's how Chatham House, the headquarters of the Royal Institute of International Affairs, they call it Chatham House Rules. They're only allowed to say select things to the public but the rest of it is to be quiet. And whenever they stand up for one thing, all the other things on the list they must also stand up for personally. Communism is exactly the same. So he says...) Scientific technique demands organization, and the more it becomes perfected, the larger are the organizations that it demands. (A: That's also, by the way, where you have what you think of as the left. They're all into the environmentalism. They're all into socialism. Every group they can possibly find, or create, by the way, that they claim is on the fringes and neglected by society, is brought up to a standing position, you see, with extra privileges, etc, and you must go along with every single tenet. You're allowed no private opinion on any particular one topic. That's when you know that someone is working for one of these organizations. They're like clones of each other when they spout off in public.)

The international organization of industrial production is being rendered necessary by the efficiency of modern methods. Modern industrial plants can easily supply, in many directions, much more than the total needs of the world. The result of this, which should be wealth, is in fact poverty, owing to competition. (A:

...competition...) In the absence of competition, the immensely enhanced productivity of labour would enable men to arrive at a just compromise between leisure and goods: they could choose whether they would work six hours a day and be rich, or four hours a day and enjoy only moderate comfort. The advantages of world-wide organization, both in preventing the waste of economic competition and in removing the danger of war, are so great as to be becoming an essential condition for the survival of societies possessing scientific technique.

(A: Now remember, he's talking from being in-the-know, and he's speaking on two different levels. On the inner level he's telling, well we already have that; they're run by scientific technique. Our propaganda is all run by it. Our education is run by it. Our thoughts, opinions are all unified by it. We have a standard opinion which is accepted by the public because they're told what's acceptable and what's not. It's all pretty well there, in fact. But he's telling you, they want to go further with it, you see. And remember too, these are the same organizations behind the idea of free trade and the trading blocs, which are not free at all. They are there, like through the World Trade Organization and so on, they are there to eliminate competition, and the authorized biggies get through and that's it, you see. So they have achieved, they have achieved in your lifetime what he's talking about here.)

This argument is overwhelming in comparison with all counterarguments, and renders almost unimportant the question whether life in an organized world State will be more or less satisfactory than life at the present day. For it is only in the direction of an organized world State that the human race can develop unless it abandons scientific technique, and it will not do this except as the result of a cataclysm so severe as to lower the whole level of civilization. (A: In other words, the only thing that could stop it is something that is not under the control of those who rule the world and already did in his day.) The advantages to be derived from an organized world State are great and obvious. There will be, in the first place, security against war and a saving of almost the whole effort and expense now devoted to competitive armaments: there will be, one must suppose, a single, highly efficient fighting machine, employing mainly aeroplanes and chemical methods of warfare, which will be quite obviously irresistible (A: ...for governments to use), and will therefore not be resisted. The central government may be changed from time to time by a palace revolution (A: ...that's a little phrase, like you might get it inside...), but this will only alter the personnel of the figure-heads (A: ...and he calls them figure-heads, because that's what they are; they're not the rulers.), not the essential organization of government. The central government will, of course, forbid the propaganda of nationalism(A: ...which is what you've had for... It was so amazing because before Gulf War One the world had heard nothing but globalism, globalism, globalism, and you never heard about nationalism. Once they needed a war going, you see, for the whole Middle East, suddenly you're back to being American, you're British and everybody is proud and blah-blah-blah, you see. And once it's all finished you go back to globalism, globalism, you see. It's so easy to do.), by means of which at present anarchy is maintained, and will put in its place a propaganda of loyalty to the world State."

(A: Now everyone was confused when George Bush Jr... The general public were confused, the media knew darn well what he was talking about; they wouldn't explain it to the public. That's part of the rules of Chatham House too. All the members are top reporters and editors and newspapers, all belong to the same propaganda machine. But George Bush Sr mentioned, the New World order coming into view, the thousand points of light idea, the organizations all working towards it. He also mentioned after 9/11, George Bush Junior said, you're either with us, meaning this agenda, which nobody understood because it's so vague, or you're with the terrorists. Right. That's what he said. So getting back to what he just said...)

...and will put in its place a propaganda of loyalty to the world State.

It follows that such an organization, if it can subsist for a generation, will be stable. (A: Remember the New American Century group? That was where the US was to rule for another hundred years, as they bring in this total globalistic structure; complete it all, in other words. It's already here, but to complete it, tidy up all the loose ends. So war is essential.) The gain from an economic point of view will be enormous: there will be no waste in competitive production (A: What are you getting taught? You're post-consumerist... Austerity... Right.), no uncertainty as to employment, no poverty, no sudden alternations of good and bad times (A: This is still to come, when they bring in the new Soviet system, the perfected system. Remember what I said earlier where he said that even if the Soviet system failed, the USSR, the organization that would rule, that's bringing this world government, would take the better things they had learned from the Soviet rule, how to

control people, manage them and so on. It's all been done. Eventually in the new system of course you're to be appointed to your work from birth, that's if they allow you to be born, remember. A very old plan. I've mentioned the video for those who should get it. It's called The Soviet Story. You'll see clips, very rare clips in it from people like George Bernard Shaw, from the Fabian Society that ran the left wing for the Royal Institute of International Affairs that gave you your left-wing and your right wing. He said, you'll have to come to us and tell us why we should keep you alive. They want a planned system, a planned economy, a planned population, etc.)

The gain from an economic point of view will be enormous: there will be no waste in competitive production, no uncertainty as to employment, no poverty, no sudden alternations of good and bad times; every man willing to work will be kept in comfort, and every man unwilling to work will be kept in prison. (A: Again, go back and see The Soviet Story and listen to it and read the Fabian documents and so on.) When owing to any circumstances the work upon which a man has hitherto been employed is no longer required, he will be taught some new kind of work, and will be adequately maintained while he is learning his new trade. (A: Well that's all gone now because they planned in subsequent years, after this, to give most of the production to China, as you know. The same organization planned that. If you think China's a separate country, think again.) Economic motives will be employed to regulate population (A: ...there you go. What have you had since the 60s? Oh, it's too expensive to have a child.), which will probably be kept stationary. Almost all that is tragic in human life will be eliminated, and even death will seldom come before old age. (A: Now, they were absolutely cocksure back then that they could. This is back then in the 30s, remember, the time of... And this guy knew him well, he knew Huxley awfully well, Brave New World written in the 30s too, about the scientific control of a perfected society. They all worked in the same organizations, you understand. And I'm certain what you have today, like all levels of reality, you have a much, much higher medicine than anything that's taught in university for the general public to believe in.)

Almost all that is tragic in human life will be eliminated, and even death will seldom come before old age. Whether men will be happy in this Paradise I do not know. (A: Now, Huxley answered that in his Brave New World Revisited and in his talks he gave at Berkeley in the 60s; and you can get them on the Internet anywhere. Huxley talked about, through the use of drugs, Pharma, and they called it soma in his book, he said, we can actually do it through Pharmacology eventually. Grab the children young, get them hooked on stuff, and drug people throughout their lives. So they would not know unhappiness, you see. So he says...)

Whether men will be happy in this Paradise I do not know. Perhaps biochemistry (A: ...here we go...) will show us how to make any man happy, provided he has the necessaries of life; perhaps dangerous sports will be organized for those whom boredom would otherwise turn into anarchists; perhaps sport will take over the cruelty which will have been banished from politics; perhaps football will be replaced by play battles in the air (A: He's talking about soccer and football...) in which death will be the penalty of defeat. (A: That's why they had the movies which you've already seen, and they came out much, much later, in the 70s and 80s and so on, about death sports in movies. See, everything had been looked at, every part of your behavior, and psychology, behaviorism, all these organizations have been working on us for an awful long time. But they knew this all way back then.) It may be that so long as men are allowed to seek death (A: ...to seek death...), they will not mind having to seek it in a trivial cause (A: And that's why folk speed in their cars and so on, it's the only time they feel power, personal power, is through speeding and beating the guy in front and overtaking him and so on.)

...they will not mind having to seek it in a trivial cause: to fall through the air before a million spectators may come to be thought a glorious death even if it has no purpose but the amusement of a holiday crowd. (A: Now, just put that into car racing. They've done all the studies on it. People don't watch car racing to see the guy winning. They want to see the crashes. That's why they show them with psychic driving, the technique that was used in racecar driving they eventually used for the 9/11 towers. Over and over, the same shots over and over, that's psychic driving, to get it home, you see. Nothing you see on television happens by itself, and in the way it's done too.)

It may be that in some such way a safety valve can be provided for the anarchic and violent forces in human nature (A: ...meaning, opposition to their system that they're bringing in.); or again, it may be that by wise education and suitable diet (A: ...a suitable diet... He's talking about bioengineering, folks, if you don't understand what these guys mean by diet. He explains it a bit more in other books he has written too, the

same guy, and many others involved in the same organizations. GMO, the chemical mix that you eat from your vegetables now too, all the sprays and toxins. We've already seen it with of course the xenoestrogens, the artificial estrogens, synthetic ones, which have caused major changes in men, especially, and women too by the way, major changes. We know too, from so many experiments that they have done, they admit themselves if you go into the different university studies etc., and medical studies on a lot of these chemicals, it's by the third-generation that you're almost completely sterile as well, as well as being not masculine by the way. Anyway, it says a suitable diet...) men may be cured of all their unruly impulses (A: In other words, he's agreeing with what Charles Galton Darwin said in The Next Million Years, his book that he wrote in the 1950s I think it was. Charles Galton Darwin said much later, because they were all in the same organization you understand, that they'd have to bring down the population and they could do it by sterilization, even through their food or inoculations and various other means, and the use of hormones, he said, in their diet. Well, the xenoestrogens, the artificial synthetic estrogens and so on are all there and present in the pesticides, just coincidentally by the way, eh; that's how you'll always rationalize things.), and all life may become as quiet as a Sunday school. (A: Total control of the population for the ruling oligarchy.)

There will, of course, be a universal language, which will be either Esperanto (A: They tried to bring in Esperanto across... big money, the same organization pushed it, the CFR/Royal Institute of International Affairs. It didn't take off. And then later on they said, okay, English has become the international business language of the present and the future.) or pidgin-English. The literature of the past will for the most part not be translated into this language, since its outlook and emotional background will be considered unsettling:

(A: Now, for those who haven't read or seen the movie Fahrenheit 451, go and see it. These writers didn't get the ideas from themselves of the future. They were given them, to put out there, by the way. Because indoctrination takes two forms, the overt type and what appears to be the exposure, or 'revelation of the method,' they call it, which also has a negative effect; it also makes it seem inevitable to the people who are soaking it up. Be very careful of what you soak up and how you translate it. Don't let someone else translate it for you. And to add to the success of the Internet, from their point of view it's phenomenal. Because I noticed back in the 70s and 80s they started to dump massive quantities of books from libraries across the Western world. You'd find them in garbage dumps. If you lived in the country you'd often take your garbage to the dump and you'd see them all just getting dumped. I realized right there and then it was a big, again, coordinated move. It wasn't an individual library, oh we'll just chuck these. No, no. This was a cleansing happening, of knowledge. And in came the Internet big time, more books were dumped, and then they'd say, well, we get them on the electronic version. Well, the electronic versions are all either going missing or simply eliminated altogether, or they're heavily, heavily edited, and often completely changed by the way. So knowledge is always being changed. So he says here, this is it of course, they won't translate lots of things which might unsettle people. It might give them ideas, in other words.)

...since its outlook and emotional background will be considered unsettling: Serious students of history will be able to obtain a permit from the Government to study such works as Hamlet and Othello, but the general public will be forbidden access to them on the ground that they glorify private murder; boys will not be allowed to read books about pirates or Red Indians; love themes will be discouraged on the ground that love, being anarchic, is silly, if not wicked. (A: And these guys don't believe in any of these things but they push it on you as they push sex on you, you see, until you get confused between love and sex. And eventually they separate, like they said in their big articles from the United Nations, they would separate sex from the bonding act and there would be no family. That's been done awfully successfully. And of course through the school you have this complete zero-tolerance attitude, and they call it bullying, but it has many other motives, this bullying, because the effects of the hormones, the changes are very evident, of course. But also, you can't even fight back if someone attacks you. Zero-tolerance.)

...boys will not be allowed to read books about pirates or Red Indians; love themes will be discouraged on the ground that love (A: And that's why you have nothing but sex and encounters today; love doesn't come into it. Because anarchic families stick together and stand up under common principles and common behavior and ideals, against OLIGARCHIES, folks. So he calls it, love is anarchic, is silly, if not in fact wicked.), being anarchic, is silly, if not wicked. All this will make life very pleasant for the virtuous. (A: ...an awfully important term, this virtuosity. They're virtuous. You see that all through Masonry as well. All these

organizations are connected of course. Old, old. An old organization is at the top of it. It's very, very old indeed.) Science increases our power to do both good and harm, and therefore enhances the need for restraining destructive impulses. If a scientific world is to survive, it is therefore necessary that men should become tamer(A: ...tame the men...) than they have been. The splendid criminal must no longer be an ideal, and submissiveness must be more admired than it has been in the past. (A: So submissive heroes, you see, who work with THE system, THE system; there's only one system.) In all this there will be both gain and loss, and it is not within human power to strike a balance between the two. (A: It is interesting too, isn't it...) ...it is not within human power to strike a balance between the two.

(A: Now I'll jump to another chapter here where he talks about scientific reproduction, a very old idea from the same group. In fact, written about long before even Russell was born and that was a long time ago. It says...)

SCIENCE, when it has once acquired a firm hold upon social organization, is hardly likely to stop short at those biological aspects of human life which have hitherto been left to the joint guidance of religion and instinct. (A: So in other words, they want to interject so that offspring won't just happen and you have families etc.) We may, I think, assume that both the quantity and the quality of the population will be carefully regulated by the State (A: It's always the State.), but that sexual intercourse apart from children will be regarded as a private matter so long as it is not allowed to interfere with work. (A: And so they give you your work and the whole bit, but they give you lots and lots of sex in your free time, don't they?) As regards quantity, the State statisticians will determine as carefully as they can whether the population of the world at the moment is above or below the number which leads to the greatest material comfort per head. (A: Remember, this is before they set China up to be THE manufacturer for the world, which alters some of his findings.) They will also take account of all such changes of technique as can be foreseen. No doubt the usual rule will be to aim at a stationary population, but if some important invention, such as artificial food, should greatly cheapen the production of necessaries, an increase of population might for a time be thought wise. I shall, however, assume that, in normal times, the world government will decree a stationary population. (A: Well we know, since then, they want a vastly reduced population. But remember too, he was working on the particular doers and shakers and movers working for the same organization. We're getting the hints from him as to what they would let out to the public at that time. And they knew already at that time, in the 1930s, that the public would not be ready to be told, TOLD and ordered to have fewer children, you see. He also says too...)

Scientific breeding, in any truly scientific form, would at present encounter insuperable obstacles both from religion and from sentiment. To carry it out scientifically it would be necessary, as among domestic animals (A: This is talking about you.), to employ only a small percentage of males for purposes of breeding. (A: What do you have now? Artificial insemination and you have sperm banks. You take all these things for granted but you don't even say, well who authorizes those sperm donors? We've had rackets going on of course, where money is involved, always, and we've found doctors who put down that they're employing all these sperm donors, and they put them on their taxes and so on. But the fact is, it comes all from the same quacks; they're doing it themselves. But who's authorizing this?) To carry it out scientifically it would be necessary, as among domestic animals, to employ only a small percentage of males for purposes of breeding.

It may be thought that religion and sentiment will always succeed in opposing an immovable veto to such a system. I wish I could think so. But I believe that sentiment is quite extraordinarily plastic (A: He's talking about your sentimentality, your custom, your culture. He says it's...) ...quite extraordinarily plastic and that the individualistic religion to which we have been accustomed is likely to be increasingly replaced by a religion of devotion to the State. Among Russian Communists this has already happened. In any case, what is demanded is scarcely as difficult a control of natural impulses as is involved in the celibacy of the Catholic priesthood. (A: Now, he lauded and they all lauded the fact that Russia, even in the later years of Russia, the Soviet Union, didn't even bother with the birth control pill for most of the classes. And they had classes alright, they had the upper and middle and lower. And they used abortion. Some women had maybe 10 or more abortions, rather than give them the pill and so on. It was actually cheaper there because labor was dirt cheap, it was for free.)

Wherever remarkable achievements are possible and are at the same time such as to satisfy men's moral

idealism, the love of power, is capable of swallowing up the instinctive life of the affections (A: In other words, ambition, career.), especially if an outlet is permitted to purely physical sexual impulses. Traditional religion, which has been violently dispossessed in Russia, will suffer a setback everywhere if the Russian experiment proves successful. (A: Remember what he said earlier? Even if it fails they would use the successful parts.) In any case its outlook is difficult to reconcile with that of industrialism and scientific technique. Traditional religion was based upon a sense of man's impotence in the face of natural forces, whereas scientific technique induces a sense of the impotence of natural forces in the face of man's intelligence. Combined with this sense of power, a certain degree of austerity in regard to the softer pleasures is quite natural. One sees it already in many of those who are creating the mechanistic society of the future. In America this austerity has taken the form of Protestant piety (A: See, they tried it already and you don't even know it. If you belong to an organization they're using you, I'm not kidding, even including the Protestant organizations too.), in Russia of devotion to Communism. (A: See, he's talking about austerity, folks, in the 1930s, this would come eventually. We're in it today; we've heard it prattled on to us. Post consumer, go into austerity, spending money will all go instead to basic essentials. Your electricity is going up everywhere, by consensus across the world, the world grid. Your food is sky high because it's all centralized now, all the food is controlled in the world. And so on and so on.)

I think, therefore, that there is hardly any limit to the departures from traditional sentiment which science may introduce into the question of reproduction. If the simultaneous regulation of quantity and quality is taken seriously in the future, we may expect that in each generation some 25 per cent, of women and some 5 per cent, of men will be selected to be the parents of the next generation, (A: Who selects the sperm donors, folks? How many are there? Ever wondered? These guys have statistics on everything. That's how they run it all. Knowledge is power.) while the remainder of the population will be sterilized, which will in no way interfere with their sexual pleasures (A: That's what you're seeing today, folks.), but will merely render these pleasures destitute of social importance. (A: Interesting, eh?) The women who are selected for breeding will have to have eight or nine children each (A: These are the ones who are selected, at the top.), but will not be expected to perform any other work except the suckling of the children for a suitable number of months. No obstacles will be placed upon their relations with sterile men, or upon the relations of sterile men and women with each other, but reproduction will be regarded as a matter which concerns the State, and will not be left to the free choice of the persons concerned. (A: Look at all the disincentives not to have children. Economic... oh it's so expensive. You have Children's Aid with mandatory home inspections coming in all over the place, which terrify the general public. They know this too. This is the intention of it. So those who haven't got children yet, and those growing up, will say, oh, I don't want to go through what my parents went through and so on, with all these visits and orders and so on. So many ways to put you off having children.)

But anyway, that's all I have time for tonight, unfortunately. As I say, I'd like to go into it in much more detail. But I've given lots out in the past and many folk have put out lots of stuff in their own books and so on, without mentioning me of course, which is normal these days. But the whole point of this exercise, as I say, is to get through to the occasional individual who can actually start to understand what's been happening. Even if they can't communicate it to other people they really care about it. Because the indoctrination process, as I've said, was perfected a long time ago.

This is only one of many books that I have on all these topics, written by BIG movers and shakers, who helped plan the future which we're in today. And the future still to come, by the way, was planned in the days of these guys. The cultural change, morality, it's all been done, very successfully. And scientific dictatorship is here. It can be guised under, again, the State or department of whatever. It doesn't matter what it's called. It all achieves the objectives that were set out a long time ago. In fact, they're disguised under so many different names, but they've achieved what they wanted, without the hassle from the public saying, oh, one group can't do this. No, they've put it into so many different groups; some of them sound very, very nice. Environmentalism sounds nice, the environment; we all live in the environment. But you have no idea that this is an authority; they have vehicles in the States now that are like big cop cars, the environmentalpolice, and we'll see them all over the place shortly. Everything ends up being an authority. That's its purpose, folks. And you are the targets. Because you see, you're the proles, as George Orwell kept telling us.

The truth is hard to bear for most folk. They still, again, their bubble is very important to them. And they

still love their soft porn comedies and all the rest of it. That's how you get most porn and ideas and changes for ideas put across to you, in a laughable manner, you see. And they won't give them up. So they constantly get updated without even knowing it, with the new political correctness... Obedience, the new obedience in this area, added to all the other areas, obedience to the State, THE authority.

Well I have to get on with it because as I say, I have to get the heating going in the house here because it's going to be another freezing night tonight, or evening. It's already cold now. It's been cold all day, in fact. And it's almost June. But that's the new weather, you see, as it's scientifically controlled as well. But remember, when they tell you it's all your fault and they're going to tax you with carbon taxes and all the rest of it too, it's all part of the plan, folks. And remember, in a system of abuse it's always your fault, right, it's always your fault.

So from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

May 25, 2014 (#1439)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

Poem Copyright Alan Watt May 25, 2014:
The Psychology of Conology:
"Bernays, Manipulator of Public Opinions,
Helped Guide the Culture of the Minions,
Nimbly Jumping Like a Great Jack Rabbit
From Field to Field and Altering Habit
For Government, Industry Where 'ere He Went,
Upon the People He Manufactured Consent,
He's Inside Your Brain, You've Nowhere to Hide,
Normalizing Your Acceptance of Fluoride,
Scoffing the Masses, He'd Often Proclaim
Behaviour Modification Brought His Disdain,
There was Nothing He couldn't Accomplish,
If Reason, Sense He could Demolish,
Directing Thoughts He Did with Ease,
This Lauded, Applauded Anti-Social Disease"
© Alan Watt May 25, 2014

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - May 25, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on May the 25th, 2014. Tonight I'll talk about another player, one of the many players, the big players, who profoundly helped the organization which runs the world, and who is dead now, who played a massive part, a massive part, along with other big players in the global agenda. They all belong to the same global institutions. They networked with each other. They attended the same global meetings to decide the future of humanity, the future of how to handle and manage billions of people across the world in a global society, and under eventually a global government, on behalf of the elite that already owned the monied system and the commercial system of the time, and still do it by the way, their descendants do.

So you find if you go back into the writings, they put out copious writings at one time when the public were not so aware of what was going on; they were kept in the dark, on purpose of course. But they did publish a

lot of books for their own kind to read, and anybody in the general public who was into what was really happening, why things were happening, why culture was managed and changing all the time. Being directed to the changes, why it was happening because it's only there that you find the answers as to why it's happening.

Tonight I'll touch on Arthur Koestler who wrote The Ghost In The Machine and many other books too. He belonged to the same institutions, as I say, as Bertrand Russell and many others, and Aldous Huxley, and they were all friends with each other. You'll find that they all belonged to the intelligence services during World War II and often afterwards as well. But they definitely all, afterwards, were into the global agenda, meeting at world meetings, the United Nations. They worked with university faculties in the study of behaviorism, for instance, and how to modify the human being to make them complacent, compliant citizenry that would basically be happy, as children are kept happy – you don't tell them much of what's really happening. Keep them happy and that's all that they'll need basically, while they can be managed and exploited too.

I've touched on many of the big players down through the ages, right up to the present time in fact. But Koestler was important as well... because he had a tremendous ego. They all had tremendous egos, these characters, thinking they were part of the new scientific establishment that helped to run the world and shape the whole future. Fashion, culture, even music and so on, they all touched on the same things. So I'll read a bit of one of his books called The Ghost In The Machine. Now, I've touched on it before in the past if you go into the archives section at cuttingthroughthematrix.com and you can go through the old talks I've given years ago on some of these players. If you don't understand the past you won't understand the present, because the past is still going on. Many of the agendas to do with step-by-step cultural changes towards a definite goal have already taken place in our lifetimes. These guys, as I say, many of them are long dead but it's still going on. Step by step, incrementally they knew how to do it. And they have more to come yet, that was still devised, in the changes, devised in their age as well.

So he talks in The Ghost In The Machine on page 333 about even birth control and altering women's behavior as well. I've mentioned this so many times because you see, biochemistry was to be very, very important in the future. It became that way, even before I was born, and right up to the present time. There are ongoing researches into biochemistry and how it can alter human behavior. Not just physical appearance, which it does too by the way, but human behavior as well. I've mentioned that Charles Galton Darwin wrote a book called The Next Million Years where he goes into it too and talks about putting hormones in the food, the water, and various other methods of inserting these hormonal changes into society, that would affect their behavior, make men less aggressive and make them behave themselves and be complacent. Or, as even Aldous Huxley said, perhaps at times when they shouldn't be so complacent and at peace, when they're being used for instance, they wouldn't mind. Today we have masses of entertainment to keep you just like sucking a lollipop all day long. And people, that's all they do now on their cell phones, etc., they are entertained to death, literally, in a sense.

But getting back to The Ghost In The Machine, at the top of the page Huxley touches on, after a long chapter on to alter the hormonal balance in women and so on, he says,

We may be able to prevent the demotic apocalypse by interfering with women's estrous cycle. We cannot cure our paranoid disposition by putting additional wiring circuits into our brains (Alan: They already were working, in Tavistock, by doing just that, by putting wiring into the brain; they were literally hardwired to various buttons and so on. But he says...) but we may be able to achieve a cure or at least significant improvements by directing research into the required channels.

Now, what's the 'cure' he's talking about? The cure for what is also important. Well, it's the wild part in human nature. We're all basically... We all have so-called animal instincts. These are primal drives of procreation to keep the species going, etc. Everyone is subject to it of course. He talks about the need to control population; big, big agenda. All of the big players mentioned heavily population control, because it's always been on their minds. It really took on after Darwin came along. He was part of the same agenda to replace the old religions and so on with scientific dictatorship you might say, or oligarchy. Aldous Huxley talks on that too where he said that he and his family members belonged, and Julian Huxley, his brother, said the same thing, they belonged to the scientific elite. He didn't mean just in chemistry and so on. He

meant the behavioral sciences, all of the sciences to manipulate society, manipulate them and give the culture which the people would unfortunately follow. That's how easy it is to lead the people; by putting out stars in front of them they follow what the stars do and so on. The public have no idea that stars can be completely talentless, because the machinery of making a star through propaganda, massive publicity is all you would really need today. That's all you really need and it's been like that way for an awful long time. Talent comes down the ladder actually and why they pick some of the people, it's up to you to decide, to make them stars. But you find that he goes into this whole thing too, of altering the estrous cycle in women by adding certain hormones which would interfere with other main hormones, etc. It would change behavior. It would change even the psychology you might say of the subjects as well, maybe even make them more aggressive. Well, we've seen that in women too. And men become a lot more passive, a lot of them. But anyway it says here...

Mutating into the future

(Alan: ...in 1961. These are ongoing studies and meetings that they have.)

The University of California San Francisco Medical Center organized the symposium of control of the mind. (Alan: ...control of the mind.) At the first session professor Holger Hyden of Göteborg University made headlines in the San Francisco press, although the title of this highly technical paper was called Biochemical Aspects of Brain Activity, was hardly designed to appeal to the popular press. (Alan: That's how they mislead the public too, and keep the press off their backs, by giving it a very amorphous title, really, something that's very vague, and the people don't turn up. But if they put down "control of the mind" it would've been a different story altogether.) The passage which created the sensation as quoted below and the reference to Koestler himself is explained by the fact that I was a participant of the symposium. (Alan: This is what came out, it did leak out into some of the press, even though they took precautions, as I say.)

In considering the problem of control of the mind, the data give rise to the following question: would it be possible to change the fundamentals of emotion by inducing molecular changes in the biologically active substances in the brain? The RNA, in particular, is the main target for such a speculation (Alan: Now, they were already doing massive tests, they had been since even the early 50s, on all of these things. So they weren't speculating as much as he made it out to be that they were.), since the molecular change of the RNA may lead to a change in the proteins being formed. One may phrase the question in different words to modify the emphasis: do the experimental data presented here provide means to modify the mental state by specifically induced chemical changes? Results pointing in that direction have been obtained; this work was carried out using a substance called tricyanoaminopropene (TRIAP)". (Alan: And it goes on to say here in the article...)

Here at our disposal, to be used wisely or unwisely, is an increasing array of agents that manipulate human beings. It is now possible to act directly on the individual to modify his behavior instead of, as in the past, indirectly through modification of the environment. (Alan: Now, modification of the environment has a tremendous impact. You can do that by creating social approval and social disapproval. It's used heavily in universities. They tell you what not to talk about, what's taboo. And they can train the people so easily to turn on a person who just uses a word or asks a question about a certain topic that's completely taboo and off-limits. We're trained all the time by the environment in which you live or work and so on. It's quite easy to institutionalize such taboos throughout society, and it's done heavily today. He goes on to say...) This then constitutes a part of what Aldous Huxley has called The Final Revolution. (Alan: So here's chemical agents which can biologically manipulate human beings, you see. He says...)

I must comment on the last paragraph in this quotation. Huxley was haunted by the fear that this Final Revolution brought about by the combined effects of drugs and the mass media. (Alan: ...because they wanted to use pharmacology in a big, big way across the entire society; they've been pretty well successful. Even grabbing the children when they're young, creating diseases that don't exist, to do with their mind, and drugging them too.) Within a generation or so for our entire society is a sort of painless concentration camp of the mind in which people will have lost their liberties and the enjoyment of a dictatorship without tears. (Alan: Now, the dictatorship Huxley was talking about of course was the scientific dictatorship that Bertrand Russell vastly promoted, greatly promoted. He was all for this scientific dictatorship, which Russell said, a scientific dictatorship would be incredibly cruel because it wouldn't bother with human emotions or pity, etc.

etc. Tremendously cruel. And he was all for this whole thing. But getting back to the article here...)

...a painless concentration of the mind in which people have lost their liberties, and the enjoyment of a dictatorship without tears. In other words, the state of affairs described in Brave New World. As an antidote (Alan: ...this is interesting...) Huxley advocated the use of mescaline and other psychedelic drugs to guide us along the eightfold path towards cosmic consciousness, mystic enlightenment and artistic creativity.

Now, even though Koestler said he is disagreeing with Huxley on this point of using these drugs, don't get him wrong. You understand, the big agencies that run the world are employed with the think tanks and all the laboratories etc., etc., controlled studies in behaviorism, on populations that don't even know they are being studied, have been going on for an awful long time. What they will do at the top, they will take maybe five or six different ways that they think could possibly work in modifying people's behavior. And the ones who are all friends at the top, in these circles, are given massive grants to carry out experiments on the general population to see which ones work. They don't necessarily agree with each other, and they are allowed to say so to each other, but they're still all friends. So on the one hand you have Koestler with his idea of how it should work and you have also Huxley with his. Remember, there's a lot of egos involved, as I said before, with these people who are the movers and shakers, and they spearhead investigation into controlling all humans in every possible way.

So he disagrees with him on using what became very popular at that time, was mescaline, the LSD, things like that, drop out, etc., and drop in and blah-blah-blah. All the stuff that came out with the hippy movement, it was all manufactured by associated think tanks, and CIA funding too of course, to create the hippy generation, the dropout generation and so on, which worked awfully well. A lot of folk dropped out of college, universities. Some didn't even make it to college; they were already so far gone with their minds being blitzed with LSD that they were out of action for many, many years, some permanently. But they also found out that such a society could not be productive. Because when you're stoned all the time you don't care about going to work, you don't worry about getting to work, you don't worry about anything eventually, as long as you can get lots and lots of drugs.

You'll find the same thing being instituted at the same time of course with big Pharmacology and the medical associations promoting all of these particular tranquilizers which they could drug the populations too. And they targeted mainly housewives initially in Britain and other countries too. I think back in the 70s at one time tranquilizers like Librium and Valium, they said, I think it was 70-odd percent of the women at that time either had taken it or were being prescribed it, for anxiety or depression or whatever. In other words, they felt life was passing them by, because the cultural blitz through all media at that time was that everyone should have a job, have a function, have a career, etc. If you were in the home you were a nobody, you were looked down upon, you were a third-class person and so on. You should be out having excitement, even having affairs, that was heavily promoted too, long before then of course; it was promoted even back in the late 1800s by HG Wells, and all through his life afterwards. So we've been experimented with for an awful long time. But he says here in his book...

I am an admirer of Huxley's personality and work, but in the last years I profoundly disagreed with him and the points of disagreement will help clarify the issue. He said in Heaven and Hell, praising the benefits of mescaline, Huxley offered this advice to modern man in search of his soul. "Knowing as he does what are the chemical conditions of transcendental experience, the aspiring mystic should turn for technical help to the specialist in pharmacology and biochemistry, in physiology and neurology." (Alan: That's very interesting.) Now this is precisely what I do not mean by the positive uses of psychopharmacology. In the first place, experimenting with mescaline or with LSD-25 does involve serious risks. But quite apart from this it is fundamentally wrong and naïve to expect that drugs can present the mind with gratis gifts, put into it something which is not already there. Neither mystic insight nor philosophic wisdom, nor creative power can be provided by pill or injection. The psychopharmacist cannot add to the faculties of the brain but he can at best eliminate obstructions and blockages which impede their proper use.

The key to all of this is that the big boys themselves, going along with the Darwinian school, claimed that man had gone wrong somewhere in his evolution. It's interesting they didn't want to change themselves at the top, including all the scientific elite. They wanted to remain wild men, as Charles Galton Darwin said quite plainly in his book The Next Million Years, because they would be steering and guiding the planet Earth,

basically, the culture, everything, productivity and so on, training the public constantly from generation to generation, updating them for changes. But they themselves would remain wild men since they were the captains of the ship. It could interfere with their own faculties of reasoning, etc; in a crisis situation they would need those faculties. But the general population, he said, would have their decisions made by the state. All decisions would be made by the state, hence the massive increase in social work departments, etc. etc. etc. They make all your decisions for you. Koestler goes on to say in another page...

I'm aware that control of the mind and manipulating human beings have sinister undertones. Who is to control the controls, manipulate the manipulators? Assuming that we succeed in synthesizing a hormone which acts as a mental stabilizer on the lines indicated, how are we to propagate its global use to induce the beneficial mutation? Are we to ram it down people's throats? Or put it into the tap water? The answer seems obvious. No legislation... (Alan: ...this is important, because it ties in with your addiction to Internet, pornography, food, all kinds of addictions. You might call them addictions if you want to, but really they're something that makes people feel pleasant in an era of unpleasant happenings and so on. It says...)

The answer seems obvious. No legislation, no compulsory measures were needed to persuade the Greeks and Romans to partake of the juice of the grape that gives joy and oblivion. Sleeping pills, pep pills, tranquilizers have for better or worse spread across the world with a minimum of publicity or official encouragement. (Alan: It wasn't really done officially through parliaments because parliaments are low on the totem pole, you understand. It was done by the agencies assigned by parliaments to do all this kind of stuff, and the special branches of secret services and so on.)

They spread because people liked their effect and even accepted unpleasant or harmful aftereffects.

Not quite true. Because I've gone into the history of the drug introduction into society and how bags of LSD were thrown over university walls across Britain and other countries too, to get it all started. Many, many years afterwards – they can always tell you after the event because it's achieved their effect – we find that top promoters of the use of LSD, for instance, like Timothy Leary, were actually on the payroll of the CIA. He traveled across the world's universities promoting and encouraging this fantastic use of LSD. We also had the big culture creators for the rock bands, etc., heavily promoting the use of drugs through music and pop/rock, the revolution supposedly for the young, who really did believe it was their revolution, and they were managed all of the way. In every single iota of it they were completely managed. They had no idea that the ideas, even the songs often, were done by much, much older people in a completely different class than they'd ever, ever see. But it says here, basically, the people would like something. Now, you'll like a lot of things that will decrease misery, for instance, in a time of tremendous hardship. It's interesting too, that in the Soviet Union, often, outside Moscow you would have lineups and queues for food when it was hard at times, bad harvest or whatever. But you never had problems getting vodka, cheap, cheap vodka. The big boys understand this. Don't forget the Soviet Union was part of the big experiment too, and well-funded by the West. So they've always used various kinds of drugs to pacify the public in times of incredible hardships and financial troubles, etc. etc. But it says here...

They spread because people liked their effect (Alan: ...the drugs and so on...) and even accepted unpleasant or harmful aftereffects. A mental stabilizer would produce neither euphoria, nor sleep, nor mescaline visions, nor cabbage-like equanimity. It would in fact have no noticeable specific effect except in promoting cerebral coordination and harmonizing thought and emotion. In other words, restore the integrity of the split hierarchy. Its use would spread because people like feeling healthy rather than unhealthy in body or mind. It would spread as vaccination has spread, and contraception has spread, not by coercion but by enlightened self-interest. (Alan: And of course this is going into behaviorism and those who control behavior. Because you might think it's your self-interest but you're generally wrong, in all the things they promote. What they mean, too, by a stabilizer, is to subjugate basically your wild, your natural survival instincts into a cooperative placid society for the general public. That's what he means by this too. These guys, remember, speak with, as the American Indians used to say, forked tongues. One for their own group and one for the outer group, the esoteric and the exoteric combined.)

The first noticeable result would perhaps be a sudden drop in the crime and the suicide rate in certain regions and social groups where the pill would become fashionable. (Alan: ...the contraceptive pill.) From here on the developments are as unpredictable as the consequences of James Watts' or Pasteur's discoveries

had been. Some Swiss canton might decide after a public referendum to add a new substance to the chlorine in the water supply. (Alan: Well, we've got stacks of things; it's not just fluoride. Fluoride is a big one that does affect stabilization, because it makes you pretty well dumb actually if you take too much of it. It shouldn't be there at all. It's used widely. Studies are constantly going on, for the upper elite, which are never published for you to read, but we can see the fallout in society too. Anyway it says...)

For a trial period they could use another drug along with chlorine and other countries might follow their example. (Alan: You see. And it even says there might even be international fashions created amongst them, for the young, etc. etc. etc. But he says here on another page...)

But we are a mentally sick race (Alan: ...mentally sick. He calls the public mentally sick.) and as such deaf to persuasion. It has been tried from the age of the prophets to Albert Schweitzer and the results have been, as Swift said, that we have just enough religion to make us hate but not enough love to love each other. That applies to all religions, theistic or secular, whether taught by Moses or Marx or Mao Tse Tung. And Swift's anguished cry, not die here in a rage like a poisoned rat in a hole, has acquired an urgency as never before. Nature has let us down, God seems to have left the receiver off the hook and time is running out. To hope for salvation to be synthesized in a laboratory may seem materialistic, crankish or naïve, but to tell the truth there is a Jungian twist to it (Alan: Carl Jung.) for it reflects the ancient alchemist's dream to concoct the elixir vitae. What we expect from it however is not eternal life nor the transformation of base metals into gold, but the transformation of Homo Maniacus into Homo Sapiens. When man decides to take his fate into his own hands that possibility will be within reach.

In other words, total tranquilization and various methods all combined, behavioristic methods, etc., and persuasion, and neuroscientific prompting as they say, Pavlovian responses and conditioning. They are all being used against you, and have been your whole life long, all from early school all the way through education and into your workplace because the media gives you it in so many different ways. They tell you who to hate, who is wrong by saying something. They tell you, tell you, tell you, and it sinks into you, that if you mention certain things and question certain things you're a bad person.

Never discount the effects that you get through indoctrination through fiction. Never discount it because it's incredibly effective. When you identify with the characters in a movie or whatever it happens to be, or drama, you will actually start to emulate what is said. Children especially, they mimic what they hear and see, even the cuss words, etc; that's why Hollywood put them in a long time ago. Remember, the Frankfurt School, for instance, were dedicated to destroying completely, completely the culture of the West, right down to promoting all modern arts, which are basically dehumanizing, they're depressing to look at. All beauty was to be destroyed. All beauty. And that's part of it too. Then again, when the experts keep telling you this is a great painting, you're supposed to parrot it and say so. The ones who are easy, the real followers will say the same thing. They want to be in the group that says it's fantastic.

You find the same in music. You don't really have to sell all the records to get a number one hit. What you do, first of all, is promote it and say it is number one, by the machinery that creates the whole industry, and you'll believe it, and you'll go and buy the record, just to be in with everybody else... oh, I've got that so I'm cool, you see. So all of these things work awfully well. Never discount persuasion by your ability or your instinct to follow what you think are people, or even hero figures you might say, hero figures and role models. So never discount fiction because that's when you really are wide open to suggestion. When they promote something and they tell you, this is a bad thing to say or think or even discuss, and they'll make the character who does say those things or discuss them the most nastiest character of all, you see, and that's how your behavior is modified, when you don't question EVERYTHING.

If you can't question everything you'll never get to truth, obviously. But you see, the big boys don't believe that you have the capacity to handle truth. You're too primitive and emotional when you get truth, that's what they say. What a fantastic way for an elitist group to criticize you for finding out what's really going on, and hopefully to silence you in the process. None of these authors have self-doubt whatsoever as to THEIR particular primitive and base behavior. And some of them were the most foulest of people privately you could possibly ever meet, and still are. Very arrogant. Completely elitist. And they have no problems discussing amongst themselves things which they make taboo for you to discuss.

One of the big manipulators of the human mind, and psychology, who worked for private industry, the private foundations, the Rockefellers and many others, and helped to persuade the public to do certain things or buy certain things, and modify their behavior in the process, was Edward Bernays. I've gone through his history before and if you go into the archives section at cuttingthroughthematrix.com you'll find various talks I've given on Bernays and those who came after him, and also a bit of insight into who he was. Because he didn't just appear out of nowhere as The Pioneer, as he's called, of Marketing. This was going on for a long, long time before Bernays, amongst a select group who studied the peoples and countries, to merchandise to them, and study their behavior, and also observe the modifications that even their fashions that they would implement to countries, how it would modify the behavior of the people in the public etc. etc.

There's a book called The Fluoride Deception; it's well worth reading. Christopher Bryson is the author. He does an awfully good book on fluoride. Now, fluoride, you'll find, getting back to the last book I touched on with Arthur Koestler, fluoride was one of those agencies, those combination of chemicals, basically, they put into the water supply. And it has nothing to do, as far as I'm concerned with the studies I've done, to helping to give you better teeth. In fact, it makes your bones brittle and there's many other side effects physically, but there's also the mental stage as well. Harvard University did one of the more recent studies on fluoride and they claimed that it lowered your IQ points at least 7%. So it also alters the way that you behave to an extent too. You're not so, again, the wild instincts, the parts that keep you alive, your instinctive drives are certainly dulled. So it works awfully well.

There's a chapter in this particular book, The Fluoride Deception, where the author goes into engineering consent, the term used by Bernays who didn't just teach it to the marketing companies and so on and to the big industrialists of the time to sell their products. He is given credit of course, Bernays, for altering the behavior of Americans and giving them the 'consumer society'. But he was involved in much more than that. Behavior modification was one of them. He belonged to some very interesting groups indeed that've been on the go for many centuries. He also worked for what we think of as the Marxist organizations. He was behind big movements of women's liberation in America early on. And like all of them of course they will personally benefit as they change the behavior of the public. Because he worked for massive tobacco companies, the largest in the world at that time, and he made it sexy for women to smoke by getting basically more elitist females that were known in society, society women, young women, and making cigarette smoking sexy and rebellious etc., etc. So he did that. He did the same thing with drinks, alcohol and so on and so on. But he also altered the fashion industry too, to an extent as well.

He even had the US involved with wars in Latin America when the big corporations which ran, were US-based that ran Latin America – in fact, I think the Rockefellers was one of them – with the United Fruit Company. He had them go in there and overthrow the democratically-elected president, claiming he was a communist, because he wanted a fair pay. All the produce was going out of the country, the people were getting paid peanuts of course for wages, for massive profit, and he wanted to change that, this particular elected president. And they overthrew him under the guise of communism. So he even had the U.S. Army literally go to war, and of course the taxpayers paid for it all. And during that particular war for about a year in advance he set up, like the Pathe News company, very similar to Pathe News, a version which really played on communism, communism, communism in Latin America, in order to prepare the public to be all for it and not complain when the US invaded this particular little country. Anyway, at the end of it all of course the fruit company was back in business and paying peanuts once more to their workers.

Anyway, Bernays, as I say he talked about engineering consent amongst the population. You think, and I've mentioned it so many times, you think you're coming to your own decisions and you're not. In most areas in fact you're not. I used to study the techniques on television, when I was young, that were used on the public. I realized that after a Prime Minister or a President gave a speech you always had two experts, always experts, came on afterwards to explain what the Prime Minister or President had just said. One would give a different opinion than the other, and that's the dialectical approach to things, you see. You're given this or that opinion. They don't really care which one you take because both of them will lead you up the garden path and mislead you as well.

But for those in-the-know, if you're listening to them making speeches, everything that they say is very legalistic. When the actual President or Prime Minister says it, it's legalistic and they're making massive

decisions. It's like when George Bush Junior said he's giving you the new freedom, and no expert questioned that on your behalf, you see. The new freedom, what does he mean by the new freedom? as opposed to the old freedom? Well, he's giving you a new deal – they like to call it these terms, like new deal. Here's the new freedom and the new freedom was turned out as basically a police state inside all Western countries, some worse than others at the moment but the US takes the lead in it at the moment along with part of England too. So listen very carefully to that. Don't listen to the experts afterwards, reinterpreting things. Listen to what the person says, legalistic terms said by the top honcho, supposedly, which has legalistic value, and something is going to get signed into law. Awfully important. You acquiesce to this consent; you consent to what you hear by the speeches, by saying nothing. You say nothing and therefore it all becomes law, quite quickly, without any fuss. Because the public generally don't know what it really means. They don't think deeply. They expect and wait for the media to give them their opinions on it. Their reasoning is done by the media. That's what Brzezinski said in one of his books, they had trained the public so well.

Before the 1950s, for instance, even before that, the public were very skeptical of media. They knew the barons owned it who worked for the establishment. They knew what they were getting fed was for political purposes on behalf of the establishment, never for the public. They knew they were being conned and lied to and they said as much too in their own little low-level publications. But today very few folk question the official media. In fact, they have trained the public, through social approval and social disapproval, etc, to bypass those people, ignore those people, who are then called a conspiracy nut, conspiracy crazies, the nutters, because they have these strange opinions on things. Why don't you be like the uniform opinion that we give you? that's what it really means. And to muddy the water they give you enough cranks that they'll promote out there for you to follow, that some people will follow, put it that way, that are utterly crazy. It's crazy like a fox, they're put out there on purpose to make true information, the facts, be ridiculed by lumping it in with all kinds of wacko things. It works awfully well too. Everything out there is dangerous until you think for yourself.

Bernays as I say talked about engineering consent. He worked for all, oh, many, many presidents from the early 1900s onwards, by the way. He lived to a very ripe old age. The author in The Fluoride Deception talks about meeting him to write the book on The Fluoride Deception. (By the way, I don't get paid a penny for mentioning these books at all. It's not me promoting something because I'm getting paid for anything.) But he does talk about Bernays, which is interesting. He talks about meeting him and how the old man at that time, well in his 90s; actually he met him on his hundredth birthday. You find the most evil people live an awful long time, because they don't worry about things. But he said here, this guy, to paraphrase it he said that he was there at the treaty of Versailles being signed. He's got photographs all over the place, his own house at that time, with Henry Ford, Eleanor Roosevelt, Edison, Eisenhower, Truman. He worked with presidents his whole life long to influence public behavior and opinion. He worked with the top moguls, as I say, in the tobacco industries, car manufacturing industries, etc., etc and so on. But he also had people in the arts, the general arts. He understood perfectly well that the whole creation of the arts, that's music, entertainment, of all kinds, paintings, dancing, fashion comes into it by the way. So he knew all the top players for all these things too. He helped to guide it all as well for the culture they wanted to create.

Even during World War II he worked for the US government along with the CIA, in the CIA actually, to alter opinions within the country of the US and outside the US. Britain was doing the same thing of course, we know that too. Because George Orwell, or Blair as his name was, was working on the BBC at the time in the propaganda department, helping stabilize the news and keeping everybody in the right mode for war and putting up with suffering, and almost starvation in some parts with incredible rationing. But anyway, Bernays was employed also to add fluoride to water; that was a part of it. This ties in, as I say, with the previous Arthur Koestler book I just mentioned, about what do you do, do you put the chemicals in the water, add it to the chlorine that was already there, etc. and so on. Bernays admitted to doing that too. How he did it was by a technique that he already understood very well, To get any idea accepted. He says, basically, You put on experts. You put experts in front of the public through magazines, television or whatever it happens to be, radio, and you pay the experts, top dentists, big money to say it's fantastic. In other words, you buy them off! It's very simple, they buy them off.

Bernays was famous for putting up, in the early days of pharmacology, famous for setting up little offices. He'd advise their clients, set up an office somewhere, get one secretary in and she'll answer the phone calls, send out the occasional letter, get a few top doctors' names on it and the public will believe it. That's your PR

office basically. Bernays basically said, if doctors are for something the public are willing to accept it. He understood perfectly well the public follow because they can't think for themselves. That's how all advertising does work. It sells you a fantasy. It's nothing to do with reality. I used to always wonder, the car ads on television always showed you one car, the car they were selling, flying along some road, with nobody else on it, with hills in the background and fields and so on, all happy, happy, happy. But it told you nothing about the car, the engine, guarantees, the workmanship, nothing at all. You're sold a fantasy.

He also mentions, this author here, that Bernays used the medical sympathy to boost a play that he helped produce. Now, why was a guy like that helping produce plays? Why? You see, he was into the culture creation business, as his relatives were before him. He understood it. The play was about, it was called Damaged Goods. Damaged Goods was about, really, venereal disease, which they changed to sexually transmitted disease. And now because we're in a politically correct world it's a social disease. [Alan laughing.] You see. But he got around the censorship of that era, because there was a lot of censorship back then because people knew the groups behind cultural shifts at that time, especially the churches, mainly at that time the Catholic Church. They were watching the movements of certain peoples and what they were promoting to destroy their culture; they understood it back then and they wrote a lot on it in fact. You can't find those articles, a lot of them, today, unless you've got the old stuff.

Anyway he basically got round it by, he created what was called a sociological committee. On the committee he had doctors and well known New Yorkers who talked about the benefits of the sex education and to endorse the play. Now remember, the elite have always had, and it's been mounting more and more and more, the idea of population control. That was one part of it, so that was in there too, you see, to promote the whole idea of the fallout of casual sex, which they were promoting. Because casual sex would ensure that fewer folk got married. At the same time they were promoting careers for women as well. It all ties in together, you understand. It wasn't all by chance that different things developed at the same time. It was all tied together and directed and produced and promulgated amongst the public by experts... experts on advertising and behaviorism.

Of course we find too that Bernays was well aware of behavior of the public and how to alter behavior by using behaviorists, early behaviorists and the social sciences. All the way through his lifetime he used them for promoting all kinds of things to the general population. You'll find too, if you really delve into him you'll find his involvement in the music industry and promoting the whole 60s era as well, drugs, rock 'n roll, free love, etc., all that. But anyway, as I say, he's a very, very prominent person. You should go and do your own digging into it and see. There's so much on Bernays it's just incredible. So much was kept from the public. It wasn't just him himself, there was a whole coterie around him of similar people, very similar people in fact, who understood this and were working with him, on a large scale, well-funded. These guys did not work for peanuts. Not at all.

Bernays said, "those who manipulate this unseen mechanism of society," that's the persuasion industry, "constitute an invisible government, which is the true ruling power of our country. . . .Our minds are molded, our tastes formed," I've told you about that before, your tastes on everything, "our ideas suggested, Largely by men we have never heard of." Now, the author of this book on fluoride and Bernays basically, or Bernays is at least one part of it, one chapter in the book because he was such a major player in getting it promoted, you see, for the public acceptance and having it passed by law, into local authorities, into using this stuff on the general population. But it tells you the people who were there, who were the protesters against it, all the different organizations, prominent doctors and dentists and surgeons, everything. Very good. Then he goes into the opposition to it and so on as well. He talks about the top dogs that were involved in pushing it. And it's no coincidence in fact, you find that Detlev Bronk of the Rockefeller foundation was there too, because they really ran, and still do, the American Medical Association; everything to do with medicine. And it's awfully lucrative for them at the same time. Everything the Rockefellers touch, by the way, and all their philanthropy across the world, it also benefits their own private investments and interests, including Africa. I mean, they were into the Congo and different countries in Africa, and Nigeria, many, many, many moons ago to exploit the resources, under the guise of giving them work, etc. and helping improve their standard of living.

Anyway, during the campaign for fluoride Bernays did let some things out of the bag. At the time nobody knew, but old letters he wrote to other people involved are very telling. He said in one letter, all this intrigues

me to no end, and he was writing actually at the time to a Doctor Baumgartner to do with fluoridation. He says, because it presents a challenging situation deeply related to the public's interest, which may be solved by the engineering of consent. What he was referring to was an essay that Bernays had written before on techniques of media manipulation and public relations, to get the public to alter their behavior or buy something or do something, whatever it happened to be. So he goes into the techniques of what to do to promote consent for fluoride amongst the general population, getting top people at NBC, CBS, etc. debating it and so on, and again, present what appears to be two sides. And actually, he referred to it this way too, using the dialectical process, Bernays, he says, it's like presenting two sides for anti-Catholicism or anti-Semitism. He says, what they should really do is bring people on to act in a specific way but rather generically, he said, and it's a technique that's used in persuasion on media that gets the public to go along with it by the way it is presented to them. Very interesting indeed.

But they got it through of course, using mass persuasive techniques. I'm sure a lot of payoffs went on behind the scenes because it was just too important to get fluoride out to the general public, to dumb us down, make us complacent, etc., etc., etc., more manageable you might say. Now, most of you probably know that what they put in your drinking water is actually a waste product from the creation of aluminum and so on, and various other processes, and processes used for the atomic industry. That's why they pushed it. They had all this waste material at the end of World War II, etc., and right up to the present time. How do you get rid of a lot of this stuff? Well, the big boys are famous for getting you to either eat it or drink it. Even your beer contains more chemicals than actually anything that is natural, to make it brew faster, so they can get it bottled quickly and out to you, and canned and so on. So that's how everything is done, through promotion, and stuff is often very, very lethal out there.

But you find that they knew what fluoride did an awful long time ago, an awful, awful long time ago in fact. In the 1940s they had disasters around certain chemical plants, there was the Donora Disaster, was one of them. You find that it was poisoning the air, all the stuff going into the air from fluoride and so on; it was an offshoot of it all. There was a mass investigation of it and their legal responsibility for many deaths was put right on the smelters. You'll find all around all smelters, actually, you'll get the similar problems; Sudbury up here not far from me is one of them too. They found different ways to get rid of all of the waste products and that was one of them too. You find that during the process of creation for uranium vast amounts of fluoride gas were needed by the atomic energy commission for enrichment of uranium at factories and so on too. So again, there's a lot of uses they have for this and hence the rise of it, and the excess of it too. How do you get rid of it too, the excess of it?

But it's just astonishing, as I say, to find out how much, how much was involved with fluoride and the movement to get it into your water supply, all contained in this book here, The Fluoride Deception. As I say, it's a good book. You should maybe read it if you can. Get a copy and go through it for yourselves. Because there's so much, packed with information on the history of fluoride, it's really something else. You can also read about how fluoride was well-known because of the factory fallout around the areas of the factories that produced stuff for the atomic industry, and even machinery and so on, making the machinery for big industry, had this stuff spewing out of their furnaces and how it poisoned large segments of the population with the fallout around these big, big plants. You'll find that they knew it affected the central nervous system. One of its main effects is in the central nervous system, which goes all the way to your brain of course, which they already knew. But it was put down as an essential for 'national security', the production of all of this too. And aluminum vastly increased the production of iron and steel and all these things by the different metallic compounds they could use, in the handling of the molten steels, etc., especially aluminum. So it's quite fascinating to see how much importance was placed on that.

But they did know what it did to the human brain all along, of course, which ties in with the other groups that always, the very groups that say, we cannot let a good crisis go to waste. They will use whatever they can if it fits in with their agenda, and dumbing down the population is certainly a big, big part of it. And it's still going on across the world today. And still a big battle is raging when folk catch on to it and demand it be removed. But it doesn't really matter because the big boys generally always get their way. If they can't do it legally they'll bypass it and get it in some other way and call it a different name or a different compound, or whatever. That's the world we really live in, you understand. That's how it really, really does work.

I always like George Orwell's comment, his little statement that the proles don't count. Meaning, the general

population, we don't count, in anything. We're given our front groups too, that manage us when we protest things, that get nowhere of course. We are given all of them, rather than get one started up yourself; even if you do, it will be infiltrated before you know it. So there's a constant war, and I mean a total war going on on the general public all the time. It's been awfully successful, awfully successful right up to this present time, with all of the different sciences involved, and behaviorism etc., etc. It's been going on for an awful long time. Tremendous it is in its antiquity. It's tremendously old. And it's still going on today.

But I keep stressing that big powerful foundations and the big moguls behind them, the families behind them are still involved in your politics, managing all of that. Your geopolitics across the world for their own businesses using your armies, etc., all heavily working today on the same agenda. Being the elite they have the right to rule the world and shape it in their fashion, their likeness, to suit themselves. But you will never, ever, ever be allowed to graduate up to their level, because they do not believe in competition. That was a famous statement put out by the old man Rockefeller, John Rockefeller who said that competition is a sin. And they really mean it. When they give you free trade and all the rest of it, it's to eliminate competition and to let their own front CEOs, and I mean front CEOs of their front organizations, take over all of commerce across the world, and economic power brings political power and legalistic power as well. That's how we are really governed, I should say.

Now, there's way too much, as I say, to go into all of this. You can do all your own research. There's stacks of information out there. Go into all the university studies that back up the ill side effects of fluoride and many other things too. It's not that long ago that the same big boys pushed for putting other medications in the water. These are medications, they're drugs, remember. Because they're given a different name and they don't sell it across the pharmacy as a drug, it's still a drug. These are all drugs. Chemical compounds are drugs. And you can mix them together, say, in a vat or even in a water supply and you come out with a different drug, the one that you planned when you combine them all together. That's how you make drugs, you combine things. But they won't tell you they're combining things. Oh, we're only putting this one in here, and over there they're putting that one in there. But combine the two together and you've got a new drug, which is psychoactive or whatever it happens to be. Or a sterilant; it can sterilize you.

So that's the world we live in and to understand it all you have to do an awful lot of research. Don't just parrot what other people say. Be very careful who you do want to parrot as well, I should say. Because I really mean it, it's a war out there and the big boys always put their strategists in place to be the champions and the leaders of all wars, on all sides.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs"Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

June 1, 2014 (#1440)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"The Planning Behind Technocracy Dictates How Your Future Will Be"
© Alan Watt June 1, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - June 1, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on June the 1st, 2014. I get many emails from all over the world, generally from people I've never heard from in fact, who ask me questions. All they do is email for questions and answers and that's the only time I ever hear from them. But I don't make myself a library for the public because I'd go into utter poverty altogether if I just sat here and did nothing but answer all the emails. Now, some of them I will respond to depending on the circumstances. But other ones simply want to know the beginnings of life. It's amazing as things become more and more advanced in the changes, and the speed of change, and I use advances with caution of course, but we find that people retreat into the past to find a common cause for all of this, you see. And these same people generally don't understand the present.

The present is bewildering to them. It's frightening to them. Because we are in an era of planned change, massive, across the board. In every facet of endeavor it's planned change and this is done by people called technocrats and I'll go into that later perhaps. But it was just going through my head today, all these thoughts were passing, that it's amazing how people keep asking the same questions when they have no idea of the present and what's really happening, and why the things are happening, why they're reacting too, and the way that they react to all the changes, the planned changes. We are in a constant motion of planned change and we've been that way for an awful long time.

It really came to its explosive power, you might say, during the industrial revolution through machinery and then the adaptation of people to machines for the economy. The whole class structure of course was based around that too. It kind of broke away to an extent from the old feudal system where you were simply a serf and you knew it, and serfdom was common and normal for the people. They didn't complain because their grandparents had been the same way before them; it's the way things were. At one time, we've got to remember too, when they had rebellions, they wanted to put in an old deposed King and remove the present one, the interloper. It was because the only concept they had of being ruled, was exactly that, being ruled by a King. Democracy hadn't been taught to them in any possible shape or form. So they were limited in their thinking.

Nothing has really changed today. People are still very limited in the way they think, because they're purposely kept in the dark. There are many reasons why they're kept in the dark, of course, and power never shares all of its knowledge to the general public, never, ever. In any field whatsoever. Any field. And especially those fields, I'd say, where it's imperative to keep the public in the dark. Because the public are constantly being indoctrinated for the next stage of what they think is the present era, the next stage, the next stage. Because the future is always planned by technocrats. For your education, all the way through life you're constantly adapting and being taught to adapt, because you see it through fiction and many other ways too, that adaptation is normal. The Darwinian Theory, adapt or die basically.

Those people who think it's something to do with the beginnings of mankind and are reacting to the present as opposed to understanding the present. Their idea of history and social history is awfully sketchy indeed. It generally comes from television, or even movies, where they think there were still free peoples a long time ago, who lived and read philosophy or something under trees. Nothing was further from the truth because we've always lived in a system where men are born that really seek power, men who go beyond the normal social confines and restraint of power, they want all power. Today it's more acceptable to try and go for the top because it's all part of the present culture. That's why in America, especially, Hollywood glorifies the gangster era. The guys who came from the street, they claim; there's a lot more to it of course which they won't tell you. But the fact is, as long as they got rich it didn't matter how they got rich. Because then they're part of the establishment, and their sons go to university, and daughters too, and they're now respectable. So that's the way things really go.

The same with all the big families that the US has. Because the US was based on a materialistic future. Of course everybody went for their goal, very few get to it. Once the people get into power of course in certain fields they monopolize everything to do with their particular special field and they keep everybody else out. That goes all the way down to free trade today in fact. Free trade is not free trade. It's not for everybody. It's for the select corporations that are part of the plutocracy that run the US and the world, and Britain and every other country too.

We often hear about the rise of the middle class that came along, along with technological progress, as they call it, which basically was to do with the mechanization of society and industry etc., where a middle-class appeared, that was non-merchandising; there were still of course the merchants, etc. But the non-merchandising classes were more intellectual. Many of them worked as accountants and so on, and actually they were the guys who would write the books on economics. Not the guys like the Rothschilds who owned fortunes across the planet. But the actual employees would actually go in and put out the theories of economics, etc.

But along with that you also had other guys who specialized in other areas, social organization was part of it too. Because people cannot imagine the incredible change of an industrial era from an agricultural society, which was the norm, based on a kind of feudalistic type system, and where landowning was really for the selected few and everybody else was renting. Tenant farmers, as they say. So therefore, when they brought in the mechanization, and of course it was helped along by people in the House of Lords, say, in England, for an example, where they passed the Corn Laws. Lord Rothschild himself put that law forth to allow foreigners to dump foreign grain on the British market, to get the farmers off the land and to get them into these newly constructed, or rundown, cities where the factories were.

They crammed them 20 or more in a room sometimes, and it was a hell on earth, literally, as they worked 16 hours a day; that was the normal. There was no relief. You generally died pretty young. You were kept on subsistence wages because it was a time of laissez-faire capitalism too, where it wasn't their problem. Getting the profit was their problem but it wasn't the problem of the bosses and the owners to do any social justice whatsoever. And people were plentiful, just as they're plentiful today in China. And human life was devalued extremely. That was also the beginnings of the nail in the coffin for religions, for Christianity and so on too, as people lived amongst this mass squalor, and the intelligentsia, the rising intelligentsia that were employed in this area of management grew and grew and grew. They became colder themselves as they studied societies with a very impersonal flavor.

Now remember that people hadn't been geared in their makeup and their history, their genetic makeup even,

genetic history, of how to cope with an artificial, a very artificial system. An analogy in fact I often think about, is, if you live in the country as I do sometimes you'll find a deer coming onto the road in your headlights and you have to really slow right down and even stop. Because I've had experience with deer, sometimes they'll run towards you; you think they're turning away and they'll run towards you. Because there's nowhere in their genetic makeup that has prepared them for this weird massive light in the middle of night. It's not in their ken, in their knowledge, and they can't draw on how to deal with it so they can run in any direction and that's the problem with it. Well, mankind is the same too. We don't have the makeup, when the industrial era came along, to cope with all the massive changes that it brought with it.

People began to be treated the same way by the technocrats of the day, those who studied 'time and motion' as they called it for efficiency and tried to make more people more efficient. The people had nothing in their ken, how to cope with these massive changes, massive changes. Therefore, when we compare that experience, for instance, that understanding with the industrial revolution, millions of people were suddenly thrust off the land, to feed the factories like any material would feed the factories, like raw ore to go into metals and so on. And the public and the people were just the same; that's what they were, raw material to feed the factories. There was nothing humane about it in any way at all. And all the old concepts of even local community were out the window because you were crammed together with other millions of people in these massive cities, and living in squalor often. And the people didn't have enough left for themselves to help feed their neighbors if times went bad, never mind themselves. All the old rules were cast off.

The key to technological progress, as it's called, is that everything has to be efficient. That's the whole key of technology and even the technological society, you might say, that we're living in. It's on the go now, it's here permanently in many ways, including the electronic fields too, of course. But it brings massive change to which you're unprepared. Because everything that's the normal will change in the system in which you live. Everything.

You'll find during the late 1800s, for instance, education started to come in for the peasantry. And they were still called peasants, quite openly, in all the old books, by the elite who ruled their countries and owned the factories, that decided which wars they were going to fight and how they were going to profit using their own factories. All of that kind of thing comes into it. You find too, that they hired people who would come up in the middle classes, as I say, non-merchandising people especially who were taught to be intellectuals, to study things, dispassionately, and come to the conclusions to make things more efficient. How to make things more efficient, that was so important. So important.

It hasn't changed to this present time. In fact, it's worse, as we know, because we're looked upon by governments as the mass. We are completely impersonalized to them. You're not a human being as such. You're a statistic, a social insurance number and they instantly categorize you the way that they do categorize you, and they think of you too, as your age, gender, fitness, health, all these things to do with your ability to perform and produce and consume, etc. That's how we're basically worked out today, your earning capacity, your tax-paying capacity, to keep the same system going.

The elite never want to lose power, naturally. They never, ever, ever do. They don't wait for things to happen. They plan for the future. That's why they hire the futurists too, that take all the present trends, where things are going, and they go off into tangents of the future, how it could possibly go, how negative things could happen that would make the power structure lose its control, and how to avoid that happening. So they plan the future and the culture, and the education system too. That's why, really, they gave education, basic education, very minimalistic, to the general people in the 1800s onwards. Because they had to ensure they'd have the right concept, what their owners wanted them to believe, the concept of the country and the system they were part of. And they were taught that they were part of it, a much bigger part than they actually were of course. Because power never shares itself, and it doesn't ever intend to. And forget the panacea they give you, the placebo of democracy, it's a cover, of course. Because the minority group at the top have always ruled and they intend to keep on going down through the future.

So therefore technocrats are very, very important to those who control. That's why they have specialized fields in management of all kinds. Teachers today are, as they call them, change agents. Their job is to indoctrinate the intake of fresh students and give them the proper, the proper concept. In other words, the authorized concept of how the world is and how they have to see their world and view their world and feel

that they're part of it. That helps them to stay in the box, and have a form of sanity but in reality it's very unreal as to true reality, to serve the elite you see. It's never been any different.

In other areas of special technocrats are the Kissinger's, the Brzezinski's and many more like them that are churned out of specific universities, picked up very young and given further indoctrination into the sciences of managing the public, and geopolitical warfare, future planning strategies for raw resources and so on, for the big corporations that really wield the power, especially in this day and age.

For this talk too, I'll liken this to altering society into a machine, a smooth, well oiled, working machine of efficiency. That's what happens with technology, everything is transformed into a machine. Think, as well, about the depersonalization of society as they're pushed and trained and prodded, and nudged as they like to say – the neuroscientists like the word nudge – to make out what we think are our decisions. But people do follow these nudges without thinking why they're following someone else's prompt; they're being prompted. Of course you're being led and guided by those who want to keep power, to ensure society is safe for those who rule and to guard against this terrible, terrible thing that they have at the top where anything outside their system they call anarchy, they don't want anarchy to arise. Meaning, the loss of their power completely. They always say that we'll degenerate into barbarism, etc. etc. That's why they're so megalomaniacal to try and control the future always. And they really do, by the indoctrination.

The child of, say, 1960 has a different indoctrination from the child of today at school, vastly different. The ones today are taught that they're on the cutting edge of all knowledge, which is a big lie, but most folk believe the lie and because of that they can't figure things out. They really believe they'd be told about nefarious things going on, that will affect them personally, by the media that does their thinking and their reasoning for them, as Brzezinski touched on. Social technocrats, therefore, have a very important job, and that's to smooth out transitions and adaptations to this mechanization of all aspects of society, through technique. That's its role and it does it very, very well. It gives you things that come out of mechanization, and even today it's robotics of course, which produce all of the electric toys that keep you amused, and again, through entertainment and so on reinforce the idea of a unified society, all working together towards some vague idea. And that's as far as you can go with it, the vague idea, you see. And that's all-important, that we all work towards the same system.

We find, as I say, depersonalization comes in when we're all crammed together in these big cities, to feed either factories, which don't exist, they're all in China today, or the electronic communications media that runs all business today since we're service economies, again, planned by people most of you have never heard of a long time ago. All these stages are always planned long in advance, sometimes a lifetime in advance, before they implement them.

So depersonalization comes in and people feel kind of lost, for instance. Very lost. That's why all the self-help groups pop up. The biggest sellers you'll often find are self-help books, in all kinds of areas and problems that at one time really didn't exist. Because people in your community, your village or whatever, knew, were experts themselves, social experts through tradition on how to handle problems and get over them, etc. But today you're taught to seek professional advice, expert advice. You're trained not to believe anything unless it comes from what's called a sanctioned, licensed expert.

There were large meetings in the late 1800s, early 1900s of factory owners, big powerful factory owners. Many of them never had visited the factories they owned. They had monopolies over many of them, almost like holding companies today. And they employed the specialists to deal with all of these things, you see, including employment and worker unrest, etc. All of these things were of concern to the owners, to keep the profits going. So we find that they were worried at one time when all the social movements were developing because of the massive death rates in these cities of exhausted, fatigued and malnourished workers, daily in fact. Carts when round not just for plague, in later times, in the 1800s and 1900s they went round to collect the bodies that died in these factory cities; that was the standard normal. And people, as always, adapt and accept it. What choice do you have? That's what they'd say, what choice do you have?

But social unrest was kind of growing. There was no leisure time and the people wanted leisure. Once the social movements started to get some power these big elitists got together and they published documents, many papers and books, about how to control the masses, the peasantry you might say. How do you control

them? If they have leisure they'll start to talk to each other, they'll start to understand they're being conned, fooled and treated worse than any animal is treated. They really were awfully worried about it. That's why they brought the police in; they created the police forces and things like that. That was part of the structure, to keep the structure going, you see. And the spy systems went out too, to ensure that anything that would happen on a rebellious level was nipped in the bud before it started. Thousands of people were employed as spies in their own communities across countries. Thousands of them. Sometimes in large cities they'd have over 1000 to 5000 spies, living in the streets, amongst neighbors, collecting all the data, gossip and so on.

Today that's made obsolete almost because they have the electronic surveillance everywhere. Everyone's using the specific tools they have given you, and you use them. You enjoy them. And you're giving your data daily, updates daily on what you're thinking, doing, to what you think are all your friends, all these invisible sockpuppets and all the rest of it out there, that collect all the data. It's fascinating too, because big meetings were done before you were given the computer, as to the effects of the computer. They said it would have the same effect, on a massive scale of upheaval, as the industrial revolution, when they give this to the general public. Therefore they designed all of the computers, as you well know now, with backdoors in them so government agencies could always monitor you and what you're up to and what you're thinking and talking about, etc. They don't need laws for this, folks. All this stuff about, oh they've broken the rules... It's all nonsense. Power has never gone by rules. Never. They always break what you think are the rules.

Today you've been trained to think it's quite normal to be spied upon. That's what the big boys and the technocrats have said for years, the neurologists and neuroscientists that all work together with the behaviorists, etc. How we tick, what makes us think, what makes us come to the conclusions, what makes unrest happen, unrest or dissatisfaction, all these people who are there to manage you, that's what they monitor. And of course, it's nipped in the bud, for the few who do. Now of course if you come out with anything contrary to the official statements or word on whatever it happens to be, any event, then you're a conspiracy theorist. And they have their assassination squads throughout the media that will attack you and try and discredit you by all kinds of insulting terms; they have specific hitmen, you might say, on television for that purpose too. Because they know the general population will take the experts, and the familiar face they see on television, take their opinion first as opposed to someone else's whom they don't know.

I've read from some of the books in the past on some of these big workers, big, big players in shaping the future. Big players. Guys who helped design shifts through 30, 40, 50 year periods in advance of cultural changes. Gender differences, all of these things were all applied step-by-step, incrementally and have all come to pass. There's more to come yet, which they wrote about 60, 70, even 80 years ago. They didn't just write about it. They took part with other technocrats, the social managers, on how to implement these changes. That's why they hire behaviorists, en masse. Some think tanks are actually staffed by them – that's all they do – working on all of us. They know what works, you see.

Bertrand Russell talked about the negative things, that would destroy your critical thinking abilities, which of course he was all in favor of, for a peaceful world, as they called it. That's what the society always says at the top, they want to bring in a peaceful world. Peace to them means the absence of all opposition, critical thought, etc, the compliant society. He said too, we could even dumb them down, even alter their masculinity by using hormones and so on, and the needle, etc. How do you get folk to take that? He says, they will like it; we can convince them to go along with it. Inoculations are good for you. It's good for you. GMO feeds the world. All these slogans you hear. Feeds the world... Marketing done the proper way, as Bernays said, can overcome anything. The hidden masters, the puppeteers, that are never elected, in any kind of government, to manage the populace and their thoughts and their direction and opinions.

Therefore, getting back to the analogy of the deer where a car's headlight is not in his genetic makeup, his historical genetic makeup, he has nothing to refer to as to what to do in the situation. The same thing happened in the industrial revolution, and the chaos and misery it caused. And the same thing today with the electronic revolution, the information age as they call it, data collection, spying on the public, in an age of experts. It all alters how society works, how it sees itself. You're trained how to see yourself. Not in an accurate way, but in a way those who control it want you to perceive yourself, in relation to those around you and the common goals that a country will tell you that it has. But it also makes obsolete even the necessity of having what you think of as the country. That's why you're going global. That's why the big boys a long time ago, before the 20th century had their big meetings, the top guys who ran the economic system,

on behalf of their masters, and the organizations that still exist today, employed by their employers, to shape society into a future to make us adapt perhaps into a thing which we perhaps shouldn't adapt into, and lose ourselves in the process.

In the past people wanted privacy. They knew too much government intrusion in those days, but it was a brutal kind of intrusion. We find that armed soldiers were still going around in the early 1800s, sometimes sent into an area to quell trouble or nip it in the bud. And they'd go door to door in their uniforms, and nothing could be done to stop it. If you tried to stop it they'd kill you. Then they brought in the police forces of course. But it's the same thing at work today, it's the same function today. That's what the police is there for. It's not to serve the general public. It's to serve the system that employs them, which is not owned by the public, or directed by the public either for that matter.

Force is force. Coercion today and persuasion takes that place, a lot of that place, that force did. And people want to be the same. They've been trained to all want to be the same, to be accepted by a peer group, have the same opinions as the peer group, and therefore you're okay. I'm okay because they accept me and we all have the same opinions on everything. Well, the opinions, you're guided to all the opinions that are given in society by those who control the system. Even when you think you're joining the opposition, that's controlled by the same guys who control everything else.

Now, part of using technique and what it must do, always, is to standardize everything that it touches. That's what it's about, organization, managing organization, planning the future. Standardization is key to everything. As I say, that began, really, with what you saw, what we can read in history books of the onset of the industrial revolution. Everything was standardized. That's when people became the mass and the masses, as they called it for the first time. So we are standardized to suit a system, always, that's planned by others way above you, by the so-called experts that run and guide society. The new priesthood, really, because technique, you'll find, standardizes everything and changes culture and so on. It basically is autonomous. It becomes a thing unto itself, and becomes more and more dispassionate when it's dealing with larger volumes of people. Not just nations but blocs of nations, like trading blocs and all this. Everything becomes standardized. Everyone is given a role, a purpose, an SIN number and you're labeled according to your economic status, etc., etc.

We find too, that eugenicists are in there big time, or bioethics associations they call them today. These bioethics so-called specialists that are just eugenicists, have us all categorized as to our output for society and how much we can produce in our lifetime. Government bureaucracies also deal with the tax income that they'll get from you in your lifetime. They work it out way in advance, according to what they plan to have in their coffers in 40, 50 years' time. That's how precise all of this is.

Now, as we progress down this path, and others of course who are all for it say up this path. Regardless, as we go along this path we become depersonalized, as I say, and standardized as well. All of us. Therefore critical thinking and those with critical thinking become fewer and fewer and what they have to say is ignored by those who are conditioned, more perfectly conditioned. So therefore their voices become much, much weaker. We live in a day where we want everyone to be the same as ourselves.

I used to be astonished, for instance, at people who were completely ignorant of the system in which they lived. Really ignorant. They'd bought the world as it was portrayed and indoctrinated into them from schooling onwards; they bought that version. And when someone, for instance, in a union, got more money they'd decry those people. Because they were envious of them; that's the reason for it. Why should they get that kind of money when I only get this? They didn't see the structure above everything, and the fact that the unions themselves came out of basically technological solutions of organization that enabled those folk to get more money. And rather than question the whole system that keeps you in poverty at the bottom, they'd rather blame those who get a bit more money. Which of course suits the elite awfully well, you end up fighting at the bottom levels with each other.

The elite also talked about giving work to everyone, but they meant a minimum wage type of work, across whole countries. Eventually they changed their minds about it because they realized that creative people would not be happy being stuck in a fixed rigid system where they couldn't get ahead financially or otherwise. That's why communism was a big test bed for it too, to see if you could give a minimum wage to

everyone across the country, basic necessities, etc. And of course no one really worked hard. Everyone pretended to work and the state would pretend to pay you; that was the big joke that they had over there. No one cared about and there was no pride involved in whatever you did so the goods were shoddy, etc. Inefficiency.

So the big boys looked at that of course and they learned the lessons from the Soviets as they watched them carefully and studied them carefully. They decided that rather than do the same in the West, keep them on a fixed minimum wage, the bulk of the population that is, they would bring in a welfare state and have a good majority of the public, or a particular... it used to be minorities, now it's getting to be half-and-half of folk on welfare or government recipients, wage recipients of some kind of government money, which is all tax money anyway. And the rest pay for it, you see. It stops the revolutions, as you say.

And it's true too, as it was often pointed out by the elite of today, that even the poorest people in some countries, a few countries, very few, have basic material goods that stop revolution. Some of them have free cell phones and free cable for Internet and all this kind of thing, lots of entertainment, things to pass their time when they're not thinking for themselves. Because psychology is used incredibly well through entertainment, media, and all kinds of media today in fact. It keeps you occupied with someone else's thoughts or views rather than your own. And of course too, studies were done, as I say, studying the Soviet system, they said, eventually the whole idea of a communist revolution would be obsolete. Understand, the premise of communism came out of partly to and reaction to the heavy industrial revolution and dispossession of many of the people who lost little farms, little small holdings, traditional places and so on. And their landlords who owned many of the big massive areas of farmland in Europe sold it off for other purposes and they had to move into the cities, etc. to work in factories. So that was a reaction to it partially.

Today it's been made pretty well obsolete... Straightforward revolution is pretty well obsolete. What you might have in the future, and the big boys always plan way in advance, is when the economy goes down the hill as they bring in globalization and your tax money is going abroad to build factories for the international corporations that've set up across the world, and you'll be getting poorer and poorer and poorer, they can stop rebellions and so on so easily by the massive internal army structure they've built up over a long period of time, many, many, many years, 20, 30 years in preparation for all of this. So you'll have occasional spontaneous riots, like you've seen in England where they go looting through the stores and so on, but you won't get organization. You won't get two people agreeing on why they're even rebelling, you see, it's just complete dissatisfaction. Many of them can't actually verbalize why they're rebelling.

Now, power in the past was controlled to an extent by the geographical areas of the world, often separated through jungles and sea travel was dangerous at times too. So you couldn't get... And the communication system we have today certainly didn't exist obviously. So therefore people had to travel to countries and study peoples, etc. The big boys would send out their emissaries to exploit ores and minerals and metals and all the rest of it to make themselves richer, etc. But they looked at the different civilizations, which coexisted, some were labeled primitive or arrested civilizations, as our progressive system likes to call them. A so-called arrested or primitive society doesn't have this technological and technocratic type of system running their lives for them. Things are very basic. They are historical; they traveled up from generation to time immemorial, generation to generation. And they're unchanging, therefore there's a security in the knowledge of what you're doing as tradition.

In today's global society the idea is to standardize the world. As I say, the technocratic type of society has to standardize everything. Like the machine, everything is standardized towards the system. Hence the massive push by the technocrats in the so-called first world countries to ensure that no child is left behind, and every child must have a computer worldwide, or a cell phone or whatever it is. And they're popping up cell towers across the world to ensure, through all of these means of course that they're giving them, to standardize the cultures, the peoples, the thoughts, and their idea of culture and the past, their idea of what it is to exist, why are you existing, to give them a new vision, which is not theirs, of their future. The so-called primitive societies often don't have words in their vocabulary for work or labor. They do what they do. Basic survival. They only work enough to support themselves. They don't see hunting as work necessarily. And they are generally very happy people. But they've not to exist in that form in this standardized society we're bringing forth of course.

Now, part of getting people to go along with a new technique, or a direction of a technique which already exists, the technique, that is, is coercion. The idea is to eliminate choices, and narrow the choices a person can take or make. Of course it's done in so many different ways. But the idea being that you always take the lesser of two evils and you will avoid the pain as much as possible of making the wrong choices. You'll go along with the majority of the public, which is approved of by the government of course, the ruling elite. Which is not the government really; the government is the front for that which does rule their country. This is how things are done so easily. You're coerced into making the right decision, as they say.

In ancient times people could escape very easily from any system. Even in ancient Rome people could go off and become hermits, and evade taxes, conscription, all of these things, but to live a life that would satisfy them. Their basic needs were supplied and they lacked for nothing in actuality. Today that's becoming more and more difficult. It's not allowed nor approved of, of course, by this massive organizational ability of experts under the technological system we live under, specialists in certain areas to ensure that no one can really escape. It's this choice or that choice, it comes down to today. Very few choices are actually allowed.

From those who rule this makes sense for their system, because they don't want differences in the general population. In times gone by, again, you'll find some cultures were active conquerors, etc., warrior types. Even in Tibet they had a kind of a warrior society, they believed in magic and they were powerful to an extent too. When they adopted Buddhism, a religion in other words, they lost that; they became passive people. So it can work that way very, very well. And the elite take note of all these things of course, what's worked in the past to make people more passive, and they know how to. That's why they also want to eliminate so many religions. You see, most religions have to be eliminated altogether, because differences outside their system frighten them. People who are in power and hold onto power are always afraid of losing that power. They're paranoid in fact.

You'll find today societies that people could join, like Mennonites and so on, Amish folk, to escape the system and live a simpler life. It was more fulfilling as they saw it. The basic needs were supplied for them. There was security in the group because they helped each other out to a tremendous extent, more so than in general society. Of course that's always a threat. Even though they're a passive people they could always one day be a threat. That's how they're viewed from the top. Differences are all threats to the ruling system of the establishment.

People often think that technology and the technological type of society we live in will always improve things and that business, as it used to be in the 19th century for instance, will go along as usual; they'd invest in certain patents, things like that, and progress would come, progress of invention, etc. But the opposite happened. You find that many, many patents are buried, on purpose, because they would force the investors, the owners basically, to always retool to update for the new type of invention, the improvement on whatever it is they're making, and the cost would go up and up and up. So stagnation tends to set in. This has been overcome by what we call holding companies. These are massive, wealthy capitalists who invest en masse into a particular area, for instance, and take it all over. Again, monopolization is part of the modern society. Always monopolize, cut out competition, eliminate competition by any and all means possible. Then you have it all to yourselves and then you dictate the prices, etc., etc.

But what we find today is the holding companies and those who deal with them, the CEOs of big corporations, are the technological managing group for this new system where they can suppress, they can suppress patents at will, and decide through standardization what a particular product across the board will be. That's why all car manufacturers, for instance, that's why your cars all look the same regardless of the makes that are churned out. They all look pretty well the same. This is the style for the year, or two years, and they all have the same improvements, as they're called, the standardization of things, and all of the negative things that are standardized, like plastics in the engine compartment, which always melt and crack and all the rest of it, as I'm sure you know. So it impedes progress if you just leave it to business completely. But they can get by with big profits, if you leave it to an expert managerial class to do the investing, en masse basically, rather than the sole owner of a corporation.

The idea of technology being used by technocracy is paramount to understand because it always takes away your freedom of choice. Always. Those at the top want, they look at all the people, the things that they want themselves, but they want a controlled society and they do so by limiting, as I say, choices of all kinds.

It's made palatable to the public beneath them, where they say well we have to go along or what else can we do? For instance, the cashless society is one. And all the massive propaganda and marketing that's used on you, is used to make you want to go cashless. It makes it so convenient. You give away your rights, freedom of choice and so on for convenience and saving a few bucks. The convenience cards are dished out at all the grocery stores and every supermarket across the world basically today, under the con that you'll get air miles or points or you get something back, you see, or you'll save on certain things that you buy in the store. A very simple thing of saving money and you give up certain freedoms and rights. Because they want all your data. Once they have you in their databanks they know everything you're purchasing, what your diet is, everything about you. But you give that up, to save a few bucks. You're coerced into it. And those at the bottom level are coerced much quicker than those in the higher classes.

We also find too, that there are fewer and fewer bank branches out there to deal with real customers, with real tellers to work with them. They want you to simply use the machines, save money for the corporations. Once again your behavior is being altered. Who do you complain to on the spot? Well, there's nobody on the spot to complain to when something goes wrong. And you have a devil of a time of course going through all the prerecorded tapes and so on if you try to phone the given numbers etc., etc. So it becomes futile, an exercise in futility to even complain. And people accept that.

Now, everything I'm talking about tonight, in a short talk, has been discussed, well discussed by the big, big think tanks long, long ago. Because they understand technique. They're all part of technique. And they have technicians in specialized departments that deal with all, all possible areas, economic, social, everything. Everything is interdependent, it affects everything else. But it can't exist, this so-called progress, and this is what they mean by progress towards a desired goal and result. And when that goal is achieved and the result is achieved they're onto the next part of progress. That's why there are always many NEW world orders. When the last part is accomplished you're onto the next part of the new world order. It's always being made anew. And this is what they call progress, their planned vision. The very fact that they can think it out in advance, shape it along its routes, take into account all the alterations in societies that it will cause, and disturbances too, and then work out in advance the techniques to control those disturbances, to eliminate them and minimize their effects. But it can't exist in a society where people are free.

Everyone must be predictable. That's the key of standardization, predictability. The so-called reign of terror, as I like to call it, this anti-terrorism campaign – and I've read the articles, you'll find them in cuttingthroughthematrix.com in the archives section; I've given lots of talks about what I'm talking about tonight too, and the fact that everyone must become predictable. And they can go ahead unimpeded under the guise of, it's for your own safety, take all your freedoms away, spy on you like never before, to find out all about you and ensure that you're predictable. You'll hear all this talk about, oh the lone gunman, the lone this, the introvert, etc. You understand, in a standardized society everyone must be completely open and uniform in their character, their behavior and everything else, for safety, for those who control the system.

It will always standardize everything. As it standardizes the automobiles, you're standardized just the same. You'll have the same opinions on everything. You will believe everything you're told by the authorities. And if you don't fall into that category they'll give you the opposition to give you the opposing points as well. And even when real opposition arises it's completely infiltrated so quickly, people are also bought over very quickly too I should say, those who appoint themselves as leaders, and they're brought into the system and all their followers follow them into it too. But predictability is of paramount importance for this utopia, for those who rule the world to come into being.

The ideal subject to standardize and the ideal way of telling if a person is standardized is that when you talk to them they don't have much insight into themselves. They're spontaneous but they don't have insight into themselves. They're not reflective as to why they think this, as to why they are this way and so on. The standardized person, like a bureaucrat, works almost unconsciously through his daily routine, his work and so on, and as I say, dispassionately without even thinking that he is dispassionate, about all these numbers which actually they represent people, vast amounts of people; he is standardized and adapted to the conditions created by technique alone, you see.

The goal of course is to standardize and to get everyone to go along in the same direction together without questioning why they're all going along in this particular area or field or direction together. They do that by of

course many other techniques as well that are brought into play. Psychological and behavioral techniques are used incessantly on us all, and through the electronic media especially. Of course they've even gone beyond that too. I've mentioned before ways of using low current pulses, low-voltage pulses basically, to alter the way our biometric systems actually work. They're way ahead than anything they've ever told you about or admitted it from the top. It's irresistible to governments, who are employed by their big bosses, not the public, to control societies and direct societies. The passive, compliant citizen, but who is active at work, is the desirable goal. The predictable worker.

Now remember, these techniques are always adaptable. When something is working well they'll push it further. When there's a holdup somewhere they'll find out the reasons why and fix the problems rather quickly, on society. That's how it's all, all done in fact.

They will always give you the illusion of liberty, choice and individuality. But when you realize the authorized choices are mass choices, they're not individual at all. That's why it's okay to chase the New Age movements and magic and things like that. These are safety valves which are authorized which never bring you into contact with what's really going on. Because many people understand that something is happening but they put it down to almost magical reactions to things, which are magical in themselves, so by understanding magic you can fix it for yourself. And that's promoted. That's well promoted today, as never before in fact, as long as it's not an organized religion as such. That's why what we call the New Age gives you all these different kind of choices. And so what's right for one person is not always the same for another. They'll have variations in what they're really into, and what they believe. That's okay, you see.

And don't presume that people work on rationality. The big boys understand this perfectly well. That's why the top brains in the world, in the fields of neurosciences and behaviorism are employed, constantly in massive think tanks, thousands of them across the world, to control you. Because they understand how you work, how you tick and how you can persuade the mass man to go along with it. That's why you must create the mass man, uniformity. Individuality really must be knocked out of the way. As I say, the harmless illusions are fine; they're pushed and promoted as safety valves. It works awfully, awfully well.

But make no mistake, everyone is under observation like never before. Folk will often say, well little old me, I've got no consequence to governments and authorities and so on. Oh yes, you do. Because you might influence something, somebody with an offhand statement one day; you'll never know the consequences, how it might affect that person. Or a young person might hear you and think along a certain tangent and come to conclusions; down the road they could become a problem to the authorities.

Mao Tse Tung said, he wasn't afraid of armies or guns; he was afraid of someone with a big idea. And remember, those who control are always, always afraid. Always afraid. And they can rationalize the power they wield so easily. The geopoliticians that plan the wars in advance, sometimes generations in advance, have no conscience. They go to sleep very well at night, without thinking, my God, collateral damage is planned and so many thousands will die tonight. It doesn't bother them at all. That's necessity they call it, necessity. The communists called it historical necessity. They're making history by things which must be done and are horrible, they would say, but that's historical necessity. The same with the geopoliticians for the capitalists; it's just the same, the same techniques in fact, the same technicians in fact, running the show. The fallout doesn't matter. It's dealt with. And people die off in generations. We think so short term with our life. We plan short-term objectives. The elite run in generations, sometimes a hundred years in advance, sometimes more, for different parts of the projects.

Well that's been a short talk tonight and scratching the surface of something that would take a lot of talks and lectures to give you. But I do this because I want those who can understand, and have the capacity to understand, to look into these things on a much deeper, deeper level. Because the way it's going today we're being turned into forms of machines. That's how we're viewed, of course, predictable machines. And the society that's planned for us all is completely inhumane. It's the direction put out by those who control technology of all kinds. They want to shape us to suit their view of the future. It's not a natural development or progression.

Now from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

June 8, 2014 (#1441)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Better Think to See Who's "Us", Who're "We""
© Alan Watt June 8, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - June 8, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on June the 8th, 2014. I seldom, if ever, really plan what I'm going to talk about because there's so much you can talk about, and in one hour you can't say an awful lot, on anything actually. And there's your problem. That's why you generally go to college and universities for higher education, or more insight, and even that's a joke today. Because everything has changed dramatically, for a myriad of reasons too. Apart from that, the control factor is always involved in what's taught to youngsters, to guarantee that what they are taught brings about the culture and civilization for their particular future that masters have already arranged for them to have.

Professors themselves can go so far with certain topics; many of them are quite happy to do what they're told and get their tenures and so on, and talk a lot of nonsense and at the same time bring in the money, and at the same time churn out the students that the establishment feels happy with. So nothing is ever as it seems. So much of the topics now in university are idealistic. They are idealistic topics, emotive topics, as opposed to really the practical topics or intellectual topics. A lot of folk get disconcerted being in university and drop out because of those reasons, that something is left out, they're not being fulfilled. And in fact, they're being brainwashed and that's really what they're trying to find out. That's the answer to it all, eventually that clicks with them, maybe after they've left, and they find out what was wrong.

Every generation is subject to its own particular time of existence; and they view the past in the same way. So the past is always colored by the present when you're looking backwards from the present point of view. And it's very difficult for people to understand how people lived in the past, apart from the TV dramas and so on which are often completely fictitious. They give the wrong impressions basically of how things were. People in the past, before say the 1800s, really were pretty static in their ways and their views and opinions and so on. Before technology came in in a big way with the revolutions of machinery, you found that people lived in an agricultural society basically. For the majority of people in every country that was their existence. Living on the land, being partly self-sufficient, sometimes completely self-sufficient, but also selling off portions of their produce to the big cities, etc., or even to those around them, the little towns and so on.

But most of the people really were classed as peasants across the whole planet. You find it's very difficult to imagine yourself being a peasant, because we look back, as I say, from the present circumstances and try to put ourselves into the past. The peasants' needs were very little. The forms of control of society were internal. By internal controls I mean you were taught a hard-core religion basically; that gave you all your reason for things happening, the way things were, your existence, your very existence. Things were very simplistic. Education was at a minimal, if even present at all, for the peasantry. Therefore, everything came from the church and the state basically; so these were authorized systems, from the top of course. But the internal controls on the average individual meant very little crime, even in his own communities, except for the occasional psychopathic type that exists at all classes and levels of society. But most of the people knew the basic rules, the taboos as they call them.

We find once the big technology came in, the Industrial Revolution came in, and folk were crammed into cities, into inhumane conditions, religion started to go on the wane because it didn't answer the terrible circumstances the public had to live in for the first time. Being crammed into these dirty, dirty buildings, inhumane conditions, working conditions too, 16 hours a day, no compensation, no welfare or anything like that. If a man was sick his wife had to take over his position in the mines, for instance, coal mines in Europe; and that went on right up into, really, the beginning of the 20th century. So we really don't know what it was like to live back then.

But what they did give them too, was a fixed system. That's when the specialists started to arise to find out how to control the public, basically, how to control them. They put out, they were going to give them basic education, very basic, minimalistic education so they could even read instructions in the factories they worked in and so on. They were given the penny novels, things that kept them off; today it's replaced by television and movies and so on. But back then it was novels, very simplistic novels of heroism, things which they would never get to see themselves or do but they could imagine themselves in that position. You identify if you're a male with the hero and the women identify with the heroine. So it was a very simplistic formula for keeping people into fantasyland where they can discuss it with their own peer group and escape for a little while from their conditions that they were actually living in. So internal controls had to shift when the Industrial Revolution came in. This is very important to understand how things have been changing ever since, up to the present time.

You find too, that obedience to authority was always stressed by the state church; whichever was the ruling church always stressed obedience to the state and to the authorities. This again began to wane in the 18th century and you found revolutions breaking out too. When people started to complain they formed groups and they were just fed up with their lot. They weren't having it anymore basically. So that's how things changed.

We are still going through the system today, of changes. Today there's a lot more scientific psychology being used on the general population than ever before, to try and keep restraints upon them. That's where the changes, you get both systems... You've got external changes working today, external control of the state, the threat of the use of force against you, and you also have more and more internal controls, how to be a good citizen; you're told what a good citizen is basically.

Because we are social creatures it's easier to tell people through persuasive means, many of them that you're unaware of that are being used against you or to you or upon you, and you go along because you want to belong to a peer group, whatever it happens to be. Even the rebellious types for teenagers, the fashions are given to them. The language, there's always a language that goes with a particular age group, so that they are the only ones who understand the in-group conversations, things like that. It's all given to them by the authorities who own the entertainment industry and the fashion industry and so on. They all work together, and they always have by the way. Even the body piercing all came out with Hollywood showing the tattoos, body piercing and so on, with characters in movies, knowing certain people would identify with them and go for it, especially a certain age group. It's down to a perfect art today in fact.

You have no idea what goes on in Hollywood, for instance. You don't know that at one time they did surveys; they still do surveys, constantly, with their particular groups that they have to come in. They show them different clips of movies, or sometimes whole movies, and they ask them questions. But they also bring in the neuroscientists today who literally wire them up. They wire them up and they will show them clips

from different particular movies to find out what really makes the person's mind jump all over inside their brain space, the different parts of the brain. Different kinds of movies, thrillers, horrors, things like that… It's actually a fantastic way to map the brain. A very quick way is through movies in fact; your guard is down. You're not being questioned the same way by people, and they simply do it in real time; they monitor your brainwaves and different parts of the brain in real time, and they know exactly what turns you on. They know of the sexual centers, which you'll see sadomasochism in pretty well all the movies today as they churn them out, things like that, the dominance, etc. in sadomasochism. It really does work awfully, awfully easily upon most people. Taboos are broken and the fantasy goes to the extreme so people get turned on with it. Because it's a basic instinct, the sexual centers of the brain. You have a basic instinct, therefore they use that in you. The same with food too of course, in ads, they wire you up for that too and they can tell you what really makes your mouth water and what makes you start concentrating on what you're seeing, is it the ice cream or what it happens to be. Neuroscience is heavily involved in this.

But when you take it out of that arena and you see it's all being used upon you, you get the same thing in social politics and various forms of indoctrination, and persuasion especially. It's a perfect art today. Perfect. These really create, as I say, more of a passive control, where you conform, you conform to the peer group you identify with, and the sect inside the group that you belong to or you want to belong to. You have external controls applied to you, although you're unaware that that's being done to you, you think it's all your own choices of course, you're being the rebel or whatever it happens to be. But nothing is further from the truth.

Very few folk today, very, very few really have their own mind. And even then it's almost impossible to completely have your own mind when you can never be assured of the actual true facts on any particular thing. That's how difficult this maze is. Because as I say, education itself is a written-out format, with psychologists, neuroscientists, sociologists, all these different factors involved in it, to make sure that you come out with the right opinions and the right ways of looking at things, the authorized ways of looking at things for the future.

This ideology, or way of creating ideology, and basically conformity, to even radical groups… That's conformity, you're conforming to the ideology presented to you, and obviously authorized from the top too. Because they don't ever, ever have any unplanned revolts, believe you me. Then you're going under a particular system, that you think you're rebelling in. But you're allowed to rebel, whether you like it or not, or know it or not in fact. Sometimes they'll let it go to a certain stage, like on campuses and things, and broadcast it even too. But that's all part of the planned structure; they know that it will never get out of hand, etc. etc.

Sometimes they use certain groups, they create them in fact, to do rebellion, even small terrorist acts. The 60s was full of terrorist acts, way more than today; and it was all the radical groups within, especially, the US. That was the main country to see this happening within. The Marxist ideologies involved with it, some across Europe, the Baader Meinhof Group, these kind of ones too, but that was all basically monitored from its start to its finish basically, for those who don't know that. So I always warn people not to get involved in something because it's an ideology, which you think is yours. Oh that's wrong, I agree with what that guy says, therefore I'll follow that guy. It's a very simplistic way of thinking. And believe you me, the guys that are put out for you to follow are coached on how to talk, how to put things across, the technique that's applied to their personality, and you'll often fall for it.

A great thing for any group follower to do is to listen to the term 'we'. When the leader always says we are going to, we, we, us, us, they can't do that to us. When you start to stop, you're depersonalizing yourself and becoming part of the 'us' or the 'we'. Be very careful of that. Because it's a technique where often you'll have nothing really in common with the standard of living, the income, the real ideals and plans of the person at the top. You have nothing at all in common, and they have nothing in common with you, except you're awfully useful to them. So be very, very careful how you're played. And people are played all the time in politics or anything involved that seemingly is politics or political. Be very, very careful.

You'll find what was awfully popular to be used on the general public from the Industrial Revolution onwards, well into the 20th century, which is slacking off today, was the ideology of saving. Benjamin Franklin was famous for putting out his little Poor Richard Stories, and a penny saved is a penny earned, all

these kind of things. It was always to do with the future, the future, the future. And people would invest in the future, financially and otherwise too, always putting off the present for a better tomorrow. Of course these ideologies too were used to the extreme with, say, Marxist tendencies when you saw the Soviet Union come into being, where a whole collection of countries, under the Soviet Union, really were striving, supposedly, for a better tomorrow, the worker's paradise. And when they first took over they flung themselves into it, the people flung themselves into this, thinking for the first time it's our system, it's our countries, it's our decisions that are being put into force and effect for a better tomorrow and our children will reap the harvest. Of course that simply wasn't happening.

But we're always used, for this technique, for a better tomorrow. The West still uses it today in fact, for a better tomorrow, the global society. They'll even bring in things that appeal to your emotions. Again, like helping the people across the world for their standard of living to be raised. And we know from experience that the big corporations at the very top of the tree, especially today, it's nothing but corporations interlocked, often with the same shareholders, dominant shareholders owning them all, that use these Third World countries, to exploit them basically for minerals, their resources, and their very cheap labor. They've been doing it all through the 20th century to the present time, but now it's under of the term of globalization. Oh, it's a wonderful thing to look forward to, with a global government one day, and fairness and justice for all. All of the usual slogans are put out there. But the big boys at the top have no intention of having any kind of equality in the world whatsoever. And that apparently is human nature. That is human nature.

Human nature is so disregarded by idealists, and especially the followers, that human nature won't allow that to happen. You have the power impulse that exists amongst many people and again, in all classes you'll find the power impulse, those who know how to dominate others and bully them, or talk over them, yell at them, have them cowering to get what they want, things like that. Other ones are more intelligent and they can manipulate more easily, the better psychopaths, to use folk around them of course. But the techniques today are so perfected, so perfected that the public are completely unaware that they're being used on them all the time. There's not a script that comes out of television that isn't gone over many, many times by different groups within the agency putting them out, and reviews and so on, before you ever get the script read or shown to you. That's how delicate everything is today and perfected it is.

So getting back to people, especially movements which try to use you, it's always for a better tomorrow. Some will go back to the past, especially in the US where they had a brief period of prosperity for the working classes, from the end of World War II basically through the 50s and 60s into the 70s, and drastically declining then on. A small brief period where for the first time they could afford, a working-class guy in a factory could afford to buy a house. Mind you, everything was much cheaper, the dollar was worth more. But the way that the money system is set up it constantly is devalued, because it's backed by nothing but debt, as we well know. So inflation is built into the money system that we all are under, the same con, where money is loaned out to you through governments, or agencies of the government, and it's all based on debt so it naturally inflates all the time.

But putting things off till tomorrow for some great utopia is nonsensical. Absolutely nonsensical. It's never happened in history. And it never will happen in history, or the future history I should say, looking back, it won't happen; it just doesn't happen at all. You'll always get eternal optimists too, and remember too, that all segments of society, and all psychologies involved within society are incredibly well studied. You'll find leaders put out for that too, the eternal optimists that come out with their motivational courses, etc., they're always up... Oh yeah, it's terrible right now but it's going to be a lot better tomorrow, I guarantee it. ...and so on. And they're never down it appears. And people like to follow that, as they would often follow a religion, you see. The same techniques are in play, in fact, a form of idealism for tomorrow and it's not all that bad.

But we find that when we go through all of these changes, as I say from the 1700s onwards, the internal controls that used to keep us going along with this system, because we weren't being bashed over the head by military, butts of rifles and things like that, to keep us in line, the internal controls are starting to wear off again today. When that happens you find the militarization – this is standard in history – the militarization of the system within, and it takes many, many forms. It's not all uniforms. It's not all just government agencies. You'll also find it within compulsory moving for employees; if you want to keep this job you've got

to move from here to there, but they'll give you a little increase in your pay and it becomes worth it. That also comes under this big, big system of militarization. It's moving what is stable for you, uniform for you, into something else, for a reward of some other kind, or else you lose what you already have. You're actually being forced and coerced whether you like it or not, you see.

No major change in societal structure is allowed, unless it's forethought out in advance by big think tanks, many big think tanks. And everything certainly is, minutely in fact. Not just by one think tank, say, on one topic, it might have about a dozen think tanks working on that one topic. Then they compare all information. Then it goes for review, and further review and assessment, and rewritten, etc. etc, until they get the plan that they want to deal with possible upcoming problems. In the past of course they thought about revolution that had to be nipped in the bud, if it was not under their control that is. They decided for the West, for instance, to give them more materialistic goods, which would offset their dissatisfaction with things that were happening in societal change around them, which involved them and affected them too. Because people were becoming dislocated in their minds as to their place in the world and their own little society and so on; things were changing so rapidly in the 20th century, especially in the latter half of the 20th century. The big think tanks were heavily employed to keep the order to be maintained by those already in rule, and I don't mean politicians.

You feel your needs are being satisfied when you have more cheap things to buy, whether you like them or not. And again, what you want has nothing to do with what you need of course. If you ask people's opinions on what they want, it's nothing to do you'll find out with what they actually need, even for basic survival. So the fantasies, these things which are fantastical in a sense because they're disassociated from survival, become of prime importance to them, because it's being pushed towards them to stop them from being too dissatisfied and perhaps forming organizations that get to the bottom of things to find out what's really wrong. Therefore everyone's happy they're getting their goods for cheap. Even if they're low down on the pay scale they can still afford their cell phone and all the rest of the stuff today, which that's not by chance, by the way. It's all planned that way.

In the 19th century into the early 20th century, for instance, Britain was a fantastic country for studying people. The amount of experts involved from the top, all through society, to study people was enormous; still is today. Today it's easier with the Internet because they get your instant data and they can keep tabs on you. But back then they had many different levels of specialists working on keeping order, maintaining order and keeping the public willing to go and join the military in times of war, that type of thing, to ensure that they'd always win and the status quo would be maintained. But they had the wages of the people categorized, in all different categories of society, and they knew how much you would need for a family of four, say, as an income, how much rent would be – it was often rent, not mortgages, because very few folk could really afford their houses, especially in Scotland, say, and Ireland, places like that; it was all council housing – and how much you would spend on food. But they always left enough over to make sure that the publicans – interesting term too, publicans, meaning those who owned bars and pubs; they were licensed by the government to operate. They could go in there and they'd have their escape for a while. They didn't have so much television years ago and this was their escape, the social escape where they can go in there and get half drunk, or completely drunk, and boast to their friends, to get affirmation of their existence in the way that they wanted, always out of proportion with their reality and situation of course but that didn't matter. It worked awfully well. The Soviet Union did the same thing, where the workers were kept happy with very cheap vodka, which you could buy without standing in line as you'd have to sometimes do for food outside of Moscow.

Now, we're always under restraints, as I say, internal restraints – self-policing they call it today by the way – where you have your taboos inserted upon you, or into you. You internalize them and they will work upon you of course too. And we think we're free. But we're not really free because true freedom is freedom from restraints. You see. Of course you say, well you can't have that, there'll be anarchy. Well, true freedom from restraints would mean that psychopaths could go and do what they wanted to do with impunity. So naturally there has to be some kind of restraint. But of course any society, down to the so-called primitive societies, all have their taboos for restraints so as they can function as a society. The problem in the West and with education and those in power happens to be is because it's so perfected, to give you different kinds of restraints for other purposes rather than to keep basic order. And it's to make sure that you simply don't protest or turn against even the system you're living within.

People think, for instance, that democracy is the end run of all movements. Those at the top, the ones who even push it and use you to push it, are liars. Because they have studied all the courses at the very, very top. They've studied all the great historians in history and the philosophers in history and they know that democracy is only one part if we're always evolving in a societal way, that's only one stage we go through. And we've gone through the different stages before, feudalism and so on. Now we're into a thing called democracy, and really, it's already over with; it never really got off the ground to an extent in fact. It helped internal restraints, self-policing, for a long time. But now it's waning and when it wanes of course, they do know that militarization occurs. From the very top they say it must occur, more militarization, basically coercion to obedience of authority, all authorities, to make you follow the line and behave.

So fear makes you compromise, it makes you self-police, in the new fashion, fear of being caught or accused of anything at all. Of course that was also perfected in the Soviet Union when so many spies were inserted amongst the populations, in their streets and so on, listening to conversations. And you get called up... Did you say this? Did you say that? That's taboo. Today we have all of these things. There are so many topics you're not allowed to even talk about. It's not because the ones at the top care about particular segments of society that are being talked about or complained about. It's because they're teaching you, by all the means they can, by what seems to be, seems to be valid excuses, you see, for you to self-police what you say and what you think especially. It's all to do with changing how and what you're going to think about. In Pavlovian style when you're told, don't think about that, don't talk about this or that, don't even ask a question about this or that as a child, and children should ask all the questions they want by the way, when you can't, then it's for a different reason. There's something else behind the topic itself of the question.

Now, militarization in itself happens when, as I say, the self-policing starts to fail. Things are wrong, they're obviously wrong. People, today especially, are really noticing the planned inflation, or quantitative easing as it's called by, say, the US Federal Reserve, where all the top banks in the world actually are constantly devaluating their currency. They're devaluing the currency constantly, which makes you pay more and more paper money or whatever it happens to be for the same goods. It's quite simple. Everyone is noticing it, therefore you have the militarization aspect of conformity, don't grouse too much. If you grouse about things you're antigovernment, another term that is taught in Pavlovian fashion to get you to respond and switch off in a specific way. Switch off from the topic, don't complain about it, obey. You see. And therefore you don't have freedom. And you don't have self-policing just to the same extent. You have a form of self-policing but you also have external controls as well, of who's listening, who's talking, etc. etc., who's complaining, who's reporting.

You can see too, how this works with expansionism of countries or states or nations. During their time of expansion in the past, when they were going out to do their empire building, there was more acceptance by the public, because they had to be kept to an extent a bit happier than they had been before, financially and otherwise, to be patriotic to go off and join the militaries and go off and fight various wars, which were completely unjust of course. They were conquering on behalf of those who wanted the riches within those countries, not for the people who fought the wars, the actual sloggers and foot soldiers, etc. But that changes. Once the expansionism is over and the patriotism dies down then it's well understood that now you need a new technique to keep the people stable, obedient and so on. That's what I'm talking about right now in fact, are these techniques being used today.

They knew, for instance, that as they went into expansionism or expansionism eventually waned, which it has done, international corporations would have to keep expanding to keep a power elite in place. Therefore they came up with the idea of free trade. That was to be a thing of the future, back in the late 1800s, well-documented, from Britain especially, and through that technique they would bring in a global society which would be ruled in the same form that they used to use militaries to do it. Now they would use simply commerce to do it, international corporations. You don't know that through free trade your tax money is subsidizing the same big international corporations to build factories. We build them for them in Third World countries; they get it basically for free. And the Third World countries supply the cheap labor and the minerals, etc., at a fraction of the price they can get it elsewhere. So everything works out for the established control. But they sell it to the general public as helping the poor and raising the standard of living and so on and so on and so on. That's how things are sold to the public, very simplistically.

They appeal to your idealism and your natural humanitarian aspects and it works awfully well. But in reality, as I say, when military expansionism stops and conquering and the flag-waving stops, that's how they have to do it. And at the same time they start giving you massive, more quantities of cheap goods from these countries that are producing the things, as opposed to quality. In fact, quality constantly goes down and down and down, where to maximize profits – because this is the time of ultimate greed right now, that's ever been. To maximize the profits for the big shareholders in these corporations they'll cut pennies, every corner they can until things are almost nonfunctional in some cases; they definitely don't last long. The cars you drive might look nice when they're new, but again, they're built to break down faster and faster. And lots of things happen within the car, plastic deteriorates, things like that. There are even Volkswagens that come out in the past that were biodegradable, biodegradable plastic in them and they were falling apart before six months were out, literally crumbling to powder. So things are being made cheaper and cheaper and we're buying junk that doesn't last long at all, and quantity has replaced quality altogether.

You can't go to university today without running into Marxism, which is taught openly, has been for an awful long time, all through the Cold War too. Because professors were brought in from Eastern Europe and put into universities in preparation for all of that too, to make sure the right kind of student was churned out for the changes of the future. And Marx does not explain the causes of things at all. But today you can't go into university without it. Whatever topic it is, you have to look at it from a Marxist point of view and so on and so on. You can't look at the past with today's ideologies, through those eyes. You can't do it. It can't be done. Therefore if you do go through that, and you must look at it from this perspective or that perspective or whatever it happens to be, you get a totally false idea of the past.

In the past religion was still dominant because religion encompassed the basic values of human experience. In religion you internalize it and you examine it of course, because we are human creatures. Science initially used to say that they were not in conflict with religion, but of course they were, and they have become predominant now, and bashing religions, trying to say that everything is rational when they themselves are not rational because their theories keep changing, all the time. So power struggles go on within all the different professions and so on.

One area which has been heavily discussed for a long time by the big think tanks, employed by governments and those who run governments, the big private organizations comprised of the big industrialists, international corporations, they have their foundations of course that employ many of the guys who become politicians, and they are sworn allegiance to the ones who first hired them which are the big foundational organizations. You'll find that they've been very concerned about, again, internal control. Because we're at an age today where regardless of internal control there are too many dissatisfactions cropping up, as we go through massive shifts between the old systems, going up through society to eventually the nation-state and now into the global society; in the nation-state you become more disillusioned than ever, more disaffected than ever. You don't know who you are anymore, what you belong to; it's not pushed. And everything really is very impersonal; that's the problem with it all. So there are many, many groups forming of course trying to get something back; that's the first response the citizenry have, especially if they're starting to lose what they used to have personally, financial or otherwise. They want to go back to the past, and that will never be allowed... never be allowed.

Even by itself it can't happen that way anyway because you need the total system of community and society to go along together. That can never happen. It can never happen today because we're not the 20th century man anymore. We're not the 19th century man or woman anymore. We've changed since then. The whole world structure has changed. And the economic system has changed. But in the past they used to use, again, shock weaponry. They called it shock weaponry, from the ancient times of using clubs to spears to arrows, missiles and so on. All the way through the 20th century it was missiles, projectiles and all that. A small force heavily armed could always keep the public in check, if they were let loose upon the public.

Today, even with the big internal controls, or I should say, the big internal organizations they have amassed in preparation for any meltdown of society, through these changes, which they understand perfectly well, then they will meet all resistance with overwhelming force, right down to domestic disputes. We've had many examples in newspapers of that over the years. This is their form of controlling. But even at that it cannot totally be controlled by pure physical, brute, shock force. So therefore they went heavily, again, into other forms of control. Electronic control is a big, big, big one that Brzezinski and others have talked about,

by using electrical pulses through the atmosphere, very cheap to produce, which can control the human mind to an extent, and definitely the mood. We find going way back to Tesla's time for instance, he talked about knocking out whole cities with pulses, making people unconscious if need be, or even killing them.

This has never been let go by the power elite who understand they have to have other measures of completely controlling society without destroying everything in the process. So therefore technotronic warfare, as it's called, is definitely in production today. It's being used to an extent through all the Wi-Fi, through the cell phone towers, etc. You take the cell phone towers themselves, it's a network of antenna that can broadcast simultaneously in certain frequencies, regardless of all the cell calls they're taking and passing on, information they're passing along. They can do that independently and create a particular atmosphere within the public, etc. etc. So therefore that's why these forms of control, external control, are incredibly well-financed. And there are surveys going on all the time, in various secretive departments of private agencies working with governments, to find out the best way to control the populations should anything occur that would break up society as we go through the big changes that are all planned of course.

Because the world is always in a stage of planning for the future and it's never discussed openly to the public at all. You're kept entertained, you're kept distracted. Your local AM radio shows, and FM, across nations are simply devil's advocates where they give you emotional topics of local incidents. They come out as a devil's advocate, knowing you're going to phone in and complain, oh no, I don't agree with that. They get involved with emotive topics, but it's nothing to do with what's really happening to you on any large scale whatsoever. These are all carefully planned that way, not just for ratings.

Society as it stood from the 20th century to the present can't be static. It can't be that way forever. It's impossible. Because we were raised basically on a form of competition. Everyone was taught at school to compete, compete, compete. And if you can compete and get to the top you'll have a minority of winners and all the rest are losers. And the winners supposedly go into either governmental positions, as they did in the UK for instance, or they'd work for big corporations. In the UK they didn't stress, for instance, private enterprise. That wasn't pushed in the schools, because they already had the big boys controlling the big corporations. They didn't want other competition to come into it so they taught most folk in the UK to become employees and they could go up to a higher scale of income if you could join these big corporations as an accountant or an economist or something like that. But in the US they stressed competition which would lead, hopefully they thought, to again, more private enterprise. For a short time they had a success as again, a minority rose to the top. And they had the competition and they had the corporations spring up very quickly. Once they sprung up of course, corporations by nature form cartels and they keep the prices standard for themselves, and they don't want competition coming in so they monopolize everything. That's the history basically of corporations; they always monopolize everything that they need to produce whatever it is they're producing. All areas of production are monopolized. And that can't go on forever, obviously. We're at a stagnant state today where all up-and-coming corporations are simply appendages of the multinationals that already exist. They're putting up variations of their plants in different countries, but they're still owned by the corporations, the same corporations. So they decided they can't have any more competition.

But competition itself destroys everything. It doesn't form friendships, competition; it creates envy and jealousies, etc. At one time, going back to the Middle Ages, communities had to be self-sufficient. Any surplus they could trade with their neighboring communities and things like that, but they were not in competition with the external communities, until the businessmen got involved and started to use whole communities as their business basically. We found this with the weavers and things like that. Guys would rise up, they'd get local backing, local governmental backing for authority, and they would take over and become, basically the public free enterprise system became theirs, they owned it basically, and they had everybody working for peanuts. That's the way of human nature, unfortunately.

But it's very destructive. It can't go on forever; it's not meant to. Of course the boys at the top, who study all of these things in society, get word through their think tanks to their bosses and they plan for the future so they can still maintain control, although sometimes it doesn't appear as though they're still in control to the public. But they're still in control, the same old families. Communities, though, have been destroyed. We see it, too, with the UN, the United Nations pushing the formation of city-states, where they amalgamate all the communities around them into one huge massive city. Once again, your identity becomes depersonalized

because you now belong to this massive thing, that is sometimes the size of a small country, and you really feel impersonal, everything is impersonalized and you're sort of drifting along in this. You're a nobody in this massive system. That has an effect psychologically upon the people too. Many breakdowns occur to it. Others go to extremes trying to find something to belong to, and of course they can be used too by the wrong people.

Part of the problem too is the very effect that industrialization has. At one time work was something you did naturally. Many cultures, I've mentioned before, primitive cultures, have no word for work. You did it as a matter of your daily course of things and work was minimal to an extent, and pleasurable too. It was necessary but you didn't really think of it as hard, hard labor. The industrial system and profits created a misery system for most folk. An inhumane system for sure. We know that also what goes along with it too, is so-called labor saving in the workforces. In other words, they bring in more mechanization, computerization to get more profit out of things. But that profit also takes a lot of energy to produce, through the mechanization process, whichever fuel they're using or electricity in itself as well, and people are left, then, with no work to do. Because as I say work is a necessary thing, and they have no function, therefore they're laid off, they're put on welfare. And the welfare system was created primarily to deal with this, the thing that would come down the road, we're at it today of course. Because they knew it would reach this stage eventually. It also creates a very cheap workforce when you do need the actual labor itself.

Now, when it comes to government, and human nature in itself, government always expands. It doesn't matter what department is set up or its primary function is supposed to be, once it's set up and there are hierarchies involved within the structure or the sector or department that was set up, they want to expand to increase their power. Power is their motive for being, not for the task they were set out to do. Because everyone wants a better job, more money, more status, for power's sake, therefore every department will expand. Because they go back to their top bosses of course eventually and say, look we have all these responsibilities to take care of, even if their responsibility when they set up in the first place was one thing to look after, now they have taken over half a dozen or a couple of dozen functions and they say, it's impossible for everything to continue without us. That's the way it always works.

There's never a limitation put on anything to disband it after a certain time. They keep them in power and they expand like cancers. And they become, again, consumers, they consume our cash, our taxes, etc. And they're all liable in this present setup – it's been here for a long time actually – they're all liable to incredible corruption. They all have access to lobby groups, continuously. The citizenry can't get into their national governments to complain about something, but the lobbyists have instant access. And don't kid yourself that money and different favors don't change hands. They certainly do. Politicians are bought and paid for before they're even selected to get put in. They're well vetted by the establishment before you even hear their names.

At one time nations looked towards the US Constitution as something to emulate and they wished they had in their own countries. Because on paper it looks fantastic. You have the three branches of government and they're supposed to have eight aspects of sovereignty in all. But they don't because the three branches don't stay within the limits of their own function. Each one goes around the basic tenets they were formed to handle and they try to, again, get more and more power, until things become dysfunctional as they're all competing with each other for power. Then you have a president who is looked upon more as an emperor in this modern day than an actual president, someone who presides over something; that's what it means, to preside over a governmental parliamentary type system or a congressional system. That doesn't happen today. He literally is involved in all the branches of government. Today you literally have rule by lawyers, basically, as opposed to the rule of law.

The US is supposed to be set up so that if the three branches of government couldn't agree on a particular policy or whatever, then it simply wasn't done. But now it goes to the Supreme Court and lawyers decide, they have the final say in things. And that's not the way it was supposed to be set up at all. So lawyers, as I say, who, again, are under the sway of the big-money boys at the top, are given their marching orders as to how to push for something. And they get what their Masters want. So it makes a farce out of everything altogether. Another thing that makes a farce of government too, and constitutions, is that if the government does not have control over corporations, and the money system entirely, the creation of it and so on, then it cannot possibly be sovereign. In fact, the opposite is true. The corporations and the money boys own the

government. That's how it really works, in all countries of the Western world today.

Most folk in the US are kept blissfully ignorant of their past, their real past, their true past. In the 1820s, for instance, you'll find that the US states, the different states had uproar from the general populations over the corporations and what they were doing. Therefore they agreed that corporations must have a charter to exist. And the charter was a limited charter to be reviewed for renewal, if it was going to be renewed at all, according to any benefit to society and the community they had, as opposed to the detrimental effects they'd have and so on. That's out the window today, completely. Corporations are immortal; they live forever basically.

And that's all wrong, regardless of what you think. Because the corporations have more sway in what you're eating, for instance. Even though most of what you're eating, in the bread, any fiber that exists at all in it is taken from wood pulp today and chemicals are added galore; it's all waste products as well. Most of the taste you get of what you think is food today comes from chemicals, the chemical industry. Many byproducts, again, are chemicals and they're put in there to improve the taste quality. In other words, to create some kind of taste to make you think you're actually eating food. And folk have become accustomed to it so they eat all the packaged foods and processed foods with all the different enhancers, flavor enhancers they call them, added to them, to make you think you're actually having something awfully good and nutritious. And in actual fact it's often very poisonous and the nourishment value is pretty well zero.

Now, it doesn't matter how many restrictions you put on anything to do with corporations or whatever. It all ends up being decided by lawyers, not by the actual law that's written. They will reinterpret everything to suit themselves. Everything is always being reinterpreted by lawyers. All laws always are, to suit the times, to suit the bosses that they were employed by and it's a bit of a joke to even think of it as being something static whatsoever, some rule of law.

I always think about the emotive topics, the way that they're pushed upon the public, by repetition of course – it works awfully well through advertising and slogans and so on – for you to accept all of the changes that have been decided by your betters, those way above you that you'll never, ever hear of or never mind meet. You don't know it's even happening to you. You go along with the quotations that the multicultural thing is all good. And I'm not saying it's good or bad. What I'm saying is, the motive of pushing it upon you is not because they want to help anybody anywhere, believe you me. It's to bring in the society that they want with a massive global workforce, which is going to be awfully cheap down the road of course, and it's also going to create massive inequalities too. By the time it's really here, where those who are working and earning a decent salary are negated by the masses of unemployed people, the people on welfare, the people on governmental assistance, because they can't afford to even survive.

This is the Brave New World that's being brought in of course. And neuroscientists, behaviorists, psychologists, and again too, different techniques and even technotronic techniques are being used upon the general population, along with all the massive authorized drugging of society through the authorized pharmacies and the authorized doctors that are nothing more than pushers for the drug companies, all working together with what you think is your government, or governments, because they're not yours at all. It's all one big system. It's out of your control, out of your hands. And it's planned this way. It's not by chance, and it's not always just for pure profit. Never fall for that one.

I always laugh at Hollywood when it says, well we're only reflecting society... Meanwhile when you go and listen to the top people talking at the big, big shows that they have, amongst themselves, in the awards, they quite openly call themselves, 'The Culture Creators'. They are well aware of what their job is supposed to be. And they're all prostitutes because they go along with it. They have no social conscience; that's part of being a star. That's a quality that you definitely need.

So as I say, we don't live in the projected image that's projected to us. A lot of people try to conform, they try to go along with the image that's pushed to them. But of course it ends up that you have neurosis and internal conflicts where you blame yourself for not being happy. But don't worry, here's the pharma to help that. That's all planned out that way. And if you don't have that, you have even what you think is the underground system of drugs, illicit drugs, etc, which are all controlled by the same boys at the top. You'll find, for instance, a lot of the illicit drugs are created in big pharmaceutical companies, like ecstasy and

things like that. These are not little handmade pill things. These are perfectly-formed machine-made things that come out of big, big production units. So the boys have you trained one way or another that whatever is wrong with you, take a pill; they don't really care if it's illicit or authorized, you see. They're both technically authorized. That's why in the welfare systems, and societies too, there's a certain restriction only, by almost name, by coming down on the occasional little street runner that's not authorized to sell them, where the big boys go totally free all the time.

We know that governments are heavily involved in bringing in these various drugs too, illicit drugs, etc. etc. We know that Afghanistan has the troops, our troops guarding the opium crops and heroin is flourishing more than ever before today and being brought into the US and Britain and elsewhere. There's been too many exposés in the past of the CIA bringing in this kind of stuff and along with the cocaine from Latin America. Right up to the government level, we've heard the exposés in the past, and the so-called inquiries that go nowhere, when the Oliver North scandal came out with the guns for drugs and things like that, scandals like that. We tend to forget it all. But what it's showing you is that nothing is as it seems. Nothing is as it seems. But for those at the top, they're perfectly aware of what's happening, why it's happening. Because they're generally behind it all.

We're managed completely at all possible levels. Today with the Internet you're monitored more than ever before. They've got your complete personality profile. They know your behavior, your projected behavior for the future. If you deviate from that behavior they want to know why, immediately, and you'll tell them immediately by chatting to your friends and so on. Total information network is well underway. Well here, it's a permanent thing. It's here. It's not going to change. And it will get worse and worse in fact. One day you'll get called in, or get a visit, when you deviate from your routine norms and they'll want to know why. If you're looking into an area that they don't quite want you to go into they'll want to know why you're doing this. This is pre-crime basically, one day you might go into a radical sect or something like that and they're nipping you in the bud before it happens. This will definitely be authorized, and you'll be mandatorily drugged or whatever it happens to be, and have psychiatric care until you're formatted back to the person they want you to be, fit to live in the society that they've created for you.

But I've rambled on tonight, as I say, off the top of my head as I always do and this is just to let you know that, always question what's going on. Don't be overwhelmed by things. Don't be caught up in the fear mode that's always pushed, because fear is a good seller for those that push it. Always be very, very careful who is trying to get into your head, and by whatever means you think you're choosing that are going to get into your head, it's often not your own choice at all; you're being fooled. Beware of those that say we, us, etc. because... Are you really part of the we or the us? Who is using you? Think about it. Be very, very careful. Because there is only one you and the mind has no firewalls. You have to guard everything that comes into your own mind, and think about it too. No matter what you're told, question it, intensely. That's what freedom is. You see you still have the freedom to do that, if you wish to do that; at the moment you can still do that. As I say, down the road I really don't think you'll have the ability, because they'll nip you in the bud when they catch on that you're actually thinking for yourself. And that can be a dangerous, dangerous thing in the world that they're shaping around you today.

Those at the top become very nasty, believe you me, when the fake society of peace and security that they often project is threatened, at all, from a source, even a great idea. That's what terrifies them, more than anything else. All the other authorized areas, which they clamp down on, are often led by them in fact to make you think that you really need these organizations to keep you safe, that they actually create their own problems. They put them out there, and they get lots of patsies that are available, and the techniques are perfect for creating them today, perfect in fact. But that's an old technique.

Now, I'd like to say too, remember folks, that I'm not sitting here just dishing out information for nothing. I have to live too. I have to pay for all the websites I have, and I have to pay for all the other things you pay for along with the websites. People seem to think I live in some kind of happy mansion somewhere where I smoke a pipe and drink brandy or something with a dinner jacket on. Nothing is further from the truth. I live at a lower standard of living than many of you out there. I really do. Because what I get goes into what I do, and it's not a lot of money at all. So I'd really appreciate it, for the learning you're getting, and lots of folk are getting a lot of teaching, and they write to me. But I really do need folk to help out. You can donate, remember, by either donating straight donations, by PayPal or cash or whatever. You'll find it all at

cuttingthroughthematrix.com as to how to do it. Or you can buy the books I have out there where I go through different things and different techniques of control, that have always been used. There's much more than just control in it, I show you how people think, I show you how you think. And I put a way in there, too, of the writing that enables you to think as you're actually reading through it. So there's a lot in those particular books. So you can help me out too so I can tick along here. Because I'm not really even ticking along right now.

Now from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

June 12, 2014 (#1442)
ALAN WATT
"CUTTING THROUGH THE MATRIX"
(GUEST ON REALITY BYTES RADIO WITH NEIL FOSTER)
Originally Broadcast Jun. 12, 2014 on awakeradio.co.uk

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Neil: Welcome to Reality Bytes on the 12th of June 2014. And our monthly chat with Alan Watt of cuttingthroughthematrix.com. Are you there Alan?
Alan: Yes. I'm here.
Neil: Load and clear, great. Well I like to stick to one topic on these shows these days and the topic this week is Royalty. In the UK the last couple of weeks we've had D-Day and we've had the State Opening of Parliament. And anybody who watched the State Opening of Parliament and thought we lived in a time of austerity, you know, dream on. I think there was an article appeared in one of the papers about one of the bolts on the wheel of her carriage was worth about three grand.One bolt, because it was gold plated of course.But it makes such a mockery of the people standing in the gutter where they belong waving their little flags and they just keep doing it. They just keep doing it.
But anyway let's start right at the beginning. In your depth of reading as it were is there any point in history we can actually go back to and say oh this was the first king, this was the first queen, the first emperor or you know where did this idea ever originate?
Alan: Really we go back to, you can find it in Sumer and Babylon of course where they had the Kingu. They called him a Kingu, he was the top honcho, and he was a kind of God king. And later on of course, well he was also, this is the thing you always get with the idea of wealth or money combined with rulership and the rulership always centralizes itself. And in those days it was a king it was centralized around and his advisors and the money men. In ancient times they used to weigh out the gold and the silver, and etcetera, in powder form but eventually they coined money around about the 9th century B.C. or so. And you find that from then on it was a long-standing process to always have kings. Democracy, the idea of democracy, didn't arise till centuries and centuries later. And even in the Middle Ages no one ever thought about democracy, it was an alien concept except in Ancient Greece where they tried different systems of rulership. And of course Greece had its kings too at one time. And then they went into a kind of a noble rule of senators, etcetera – Rome copied that – but they also occasionally had a tyrant king. And a tyrant king even though it sounds like a tyrant, he simply took over when things weren't working and functioning. And he'd become the king. So this is an ancient idea of rulership but it starts really with one family, a big family that becomes a kind of clan, and they slaughter those around them and take over their lands and they rule by fear and terror and eventually they protect the people from the next big bunch, the next big family of clans. That was the ancient idea. So that carried all down through the ages and the same thing happened in England of course.

It mainly really took off big time when say William the Conqueror came in, the House of Orange, and so on, to spread this new idea, this new type of kingdom. Eventually they brought in a form of democracy, but it was more like a senate because nobility made up the parliament. It wasn't till much later that they brought in more, again merchant classes to become the congress or the parliamentarians. And it was only about the 20th century that they really brought in other folk to represent different parties from the lower classes. So even at that though Britain was the only country that really hung on big time, Denmark too I think and a couple of other ones, hung on to the idea of a monarchy and over the democracy. So it's a good con of democracy, but it's still a monarchy in a sense. And the monarchy represents the plutocracy that rules the nations. So it's a very ancient idea and as I say the idea of democracy has never come into fruition. Ancient China apparently tired all these different techniques. They had plutocracies, they had socialism, they even had communism about two and a half thousand years ago. They tried all of these ones out but they always reverted back to a form of local kingdoms and so on for a long time.

So it's a traditional thing that goes along with the money boys because the money boys are generally an alien people who come in to the different countries and lend out and keep the king happy with lending to the king and they get the right to tax the people. They were called tax farmers. So they, the men who lent to the kings and nobility, got the right to tax the peasantry. And because they were a different race often from the peasantry they had as much in common with the peasantry as the king had with the peasantry. They hated them in other words. That happened all down through the Middle Ages across Europe. Tax farming was big up until the early 20th century in fact. And it wasn't till the governments formed their own taxation revenue, then they could actually recoup the money to pay back these moneylenders by using a kind of income tax system run by the government.

Neil: Well that was a going to be my next question because I was wondering did the king employ the moneylenders or did the moneylender employ the king?

Alan: It often, it was an odd situation; sometimes it was one or the other. It could reverse itself. And sometimes the king too would kick them out because he would owe so much to them, he couldn't pay it back. But also the moneylenders were taking over lands because they were lending also to peasantry at compound interest. Germany was a big, big symbol of that whole technique, Poland and so on, and the peasants couldn't pay it back. The moneylenders knew it so they were taking over the lands. And the nobilities realized these moneylenders would become more powerful and wealthier than they were so they kicked them out often. And they went back and forth to different countries doing it.

Neil: Yes, I mean, in terms of the money, it makes you wonder if the king's perceived as the highest lord in the land as it were, why he bothered with the moneylenders, why didn't he take over that system himself?

Alan: Well here's the odd thing, you can go back to Christianity, early Christianity that really was against usury. In fact early Christianity was very communistic, pre-Marxian communistic, a natural communism because everybody lived in communities in those days, so they were in communes, communistic. But the whole idea was to lend, give people what you had extra – two coats, give them one, etcetera. Don't collect stuff here on Earth, etcetera. And that was all changed eventually because the Catholic Church formed out of a power, a political ruling power, an empire. And so they were married to politics from the beginning. And even though they were against usury they still needed money and the ones who brought the money into the country of course they allowed them to use, and they were not Christian, they allowed them to use usury because they wanted the money. They wanted the money to circulate amongst the people and they themselves would collect it back in their boxes, collection boxes and so on. And then that would end up going, a certain portion would go back to Rome.

So money has been the key to all of this system all along. We live in nature; nature is not a pleasant Walt Disney thing; nature is cruel. Everything has to survive. And of course in this system everyone is terrified of being at the bottom, dirt poor, because when you're dirt poor you're the first to go under if there's a collapse of any kind or a war or whatever, you can't get out, you have no money to bribe your way out. Those with money always survive. So the money system itself literally creates a mentality of, if you like, survival of the richest or fittest. And therefore those who get the greatest rewards will often survive and go on while the rest die off through poverty, starvation, or whatever it happens to be. This has been like this for thousands of years and no one's come up with a better system supposedly.

Neil: So in a way they, the king or queen or emperor or whoever it is that's at the top is actually shielding and disguising the whole function of the moneylender.

Alan: They are the ultimate symbol of the whole system of money lending and taxation to pay back to the moneylenders. Today we call them international bankers but it's the same thing that's going on. And again the difference is so as the moneylenders don't take the heat, which they used to do, because they had to go out and collect the taxes off the peasantry; they were given permission to recoup their loans but they'd take

it from the peasantry, not from the king. Now you've got, they have the income tax bureaus to do it for them.
Neil: That's what I was going to say there. They use the government now to do the dirty work and collect the taxes.
Alan: That's right, so the government takes the heat then you see.
Neil: Yeah and we pay the government to do it. {Laughs}
Alan: That's right, so it's saving all around for them you know.
Neil: So you say that this kind of whole system as far as we can determine started back in Sumeria or Babylon. And how did the system like immigrate to America, South America, and those kind of places? Or was it a separate kind of organic thing that developed there?
Alan: No. It was the same thing there they had. In Latin America, it's nonsense that nothing happened until Columbus came along. There is no doubt about it there was trade even from the Middle East to South America thousands of years ago. They've found the coins, the ancient coins, Proto-Iranian ones too in Canada, parts of Canada, and in Latin America and the States. They've found graves with inscriptions on it in Latin and so on. So there was definitely occasional trade coming in and out of these different countries. But it wasn't really until Cortez and the bunch came in of course from Spain that they really introduced this new system of domination by empire expansion for Spain. That and then again too Spain kicked out a lot of its moneyed class who moved to Latin America and many of their descendants still rule parts of it today in fact. But they brought the money system with them and it has never really changed to the present time. Very powerful families run the Latin American countries, very, very powerful families, often intermarried in fact.
In the U.S. of course the Puritans brought the whole idea over with them of working hard supposedly and being very thrifty, meaning a good schemer with money and investments and all the rest of it. And the U.S. took off with that because they had less impediments for taxation. They didn't have the size of governmental bureaucracies to demand taxes off them and that's why they took off. When you take off the restrictions on income taxes and various kind of taxes, and you don't have the bureaucracies to put all the impediments to working any kind of business, all the laws and regulations, and etcetera, then they can really steamroll ahead very fast and become very powerful quickly. The U.S. did that in its early days. And actually in the late 1880's I think they said that the U.S. for the first time overtook Britain for raw goods and exports and things like that. So they took over and expanded well up until about the 1960's.
Neil: So are you saying that, well I spoke to two American Indian chiefs last week, Native Americans, and they talked about the cultures that had been there well before Columbus as well. And the artifacts that have been found and proven to, you know, the Chinese...
Alan: There are good books by professor Barry Fell, who uncovered a lot of this stuff, he was from Harvard, and he and his students would go on these digs and they dug up so much. They even found three, the tops of three pyramids in Algonquin Park by the way and the CBC did a documentary special, a series of tapes you can get on it. And they found these priestly quarters of people who again wrote in what they call Proto-Iranian language but it was the tops of three pyramids they uncovered, and then those digs were closed down. They didn't want to go any further with them – they did, the professor did, but the governments didn't.
Neil: I've been to Mexico and there was one, we're getting slightly off topic, but there was one pyramid that had just recently been discovered. And you were allowed to actually climb on this one so up to the top I went and you could see across the jungle and there were mountains everywhere, as far as you could see. And I spoke to the young lad that was doing some of the archeology there and he said oh yeah this, the whole place all around here, it's all, it's like a city, a city the size of London, it's just, it's everywhere, but they won't let us dig it up.
But yeah I mean in terms of the, you said that the Spanish brought the money system. Presumably before that it was genuine trade between these nations that obviously traveled across the Atlantic and the Pacific.
Alan: There was trade but trade you know from the days of the Phoenicians, and they were a big player in all of this, the Phoenicians were quite an amazing people because they traded but they also put countries into debt, in areas, small tribes and all that, but really the precursor of big countries. They put them all into debt by lending to them. And what they would do, they would take over countries as well because of the debt and then the king would owe them big time. And they would make it a deal that if you go to war with this other group who don't use money, our money, which we're introducing, because the Phoenicians were also standardizing their currency, a silver coin, a particular weight and so on. And the countries that didn't use their coin, didn't want to trade with them, they'd get a country they had already taken over through debt to use their armies as part of the deal for repayment, and they would go and conquer those countries. And

then the Phoenicians would get a deal where they could enslave the people and have them working like factory towns with free labor for a long time. So it wasn't just happy free trade with them at all, no. There's much more to it than that.
Neil: It's the same system today, isn't it?
Alan: It's the same system, free trade. They called it free trade back then by the way you know. And of course that's why you had the wars against the Spartans and so on, hundred year wars, because they wouldn't adopt this particular moneyed system run by these people. Because it always ended up with the complete debt of the nation to these people who would then move their own advisors in to rule the countries.
Neil: So from, the system's spread out, and you mentioned that it started from one family or two families, or one tribe.
Alan: Well we can trace it back as I say to the days of Sumer, early Sumer, you know 5,000 years ago or so, or longer actually.
Neil: So basically did they kind of lay out a map of the world at that time and say right, similar to how the Rockefellers built up their empire by sending one son into this, one son into that. Is that the kind of system they used do you think?
Alan: That's the kind of system they would use. And again tribal leaders, that might have started off as chiefs of a tribe, saw the wealth of the next tribe of course because the guy was getting money lent to him and all these riches brought into him and fine robes for himself and his wife, and all that, and he'd want it too. So generally they say that power corrupts and when you get power with money it always corrupts. It can't help but be the same thing. So envy would be part of it too on the part of leaders and chiefs, etcetera. They would want the same thing and they'd bring in these guys to do the same thing to them.
Neil: So, okay. So they set up their system across the world more or less, apart from countries that they haven't conquered. So they must, you know, get together somewhere or have ambassadors or something of the day and get together and say, right, how do we secure this kind of system. And then is that where they started, how can you put it, tying all the people together through marriage? And is that how they?
Alan: Yes, exactly. Even in Ancient Egypt, they had the myth of the royal blood and all this. And in Egypt we know that they even sometimes married their sisters to keep it as close as could be. And other places were the same way. They would marry their immediate relatives basically, like the Rothschilds still do today. They marry their nieces by tradition in the five big families; there are different branches of them now. But you find the same thing with all the big families. They keep the money inside the family by intermarrying the family. Royalty was the same and the old blueblood concept.
And we find of course the Habsburgs and all the ones, Saxe-Coburg-Gotha, a lot of these kings and queens that are across Europe today, including Britain, came from the Germanic areas before Germany was a true big country. Small kingdoms, etcetera, the Saxe and Coburg and Gotha were separate princedoms and Germany was the first country through debt to actually start electing kings and princes. So the ones that you have today, through King George that came in, really were not traditional lineage ones. Although they later marry ones, earlier ones, preexisting royalty, even if the royalty was poor, just to get that blood into them to say we are the true heirs of say the British throne for instance.
Before that the Normans brought in the system big time. Normans, the Norman Invasion wasn't just a bunch of wild guys running across Europe taking it over. It was the most organized, almost the ancient world, it was a world war in a sense in its day. And when they came into Britain for instance they brought prefabricated forts. They built them on the French side, and they'd pull them across the ocean in ships. They'd erect them in the Isle of Wight and Man, as halfway stops for all their supplies they brought in. It was done with incredible military precision and then from there they would bring them across to Britain and erect these prefabricated forts, massive things. This wasn't just a bunch of wild guys out on a killing spree for looting and booty, they literally were run like World War II or something like that you know. So they brought in that system with them, which was the church behind them to cow the people. I always call the churches the softening-up crew. You'll know in warfare the barrage they used to give them from the naval gunships were called you'd soften up your enemy fortifications by blasting them for about an hour before you sent in the troops, and technically the religious aspect of it. That's why they've always backed this later brand of Christianity, not the early one. And they would tell the people not to fight, not to kill, etcetera. That was the softening-up crew. They might spend years in that before they'd send in the hard troops. But once they send in the hard troops, they take it over with absolute merciless precision to obtain their objectives, put fear into the people.
William the Conqueror, when he came in of course, people don't realize that William the Conqueror had copied, it's never been explained why, but he copied the same techniques as the ancient Middle East had of

the Hamites. Where he'd get prisoners, he'd put them in front of the city towns, he'd gouge their eyes out so as the ones watching down from the battlements could see what he was doing to them, and do terrible things to them, even impale them through the rectum up through the mouth with staves and have them hanging there. And this was to terrify the people inside to give up and put up no resistance or else this is what was going to happen to you. And when he looted cities that would not surrender he also did what the Hamites did, he'd cut the hamstrings on their legs so that you couldn't, for the older folks, the ones they were not going to sell as slaves because they were selling the rest as slaves by the way. And they'd have to die, they couldn't crawl anywhere, they couldn't move. So utter cruelty was always a technique to take over and install your king through what became up to the present the modern methods.
Neil: Well it's through conquest I suppose. In terms of Columbus and Cortez, I mean would you call them kind of, not ambassadors, but they were sent out there to explore, to find these countries for the royalty to conquer and...
Alan: Well what they've given us in the basic history is nonsense. We know that Columbus or Colóni, Cristóbal, he married the top honcho of Spain's daughter. And this guy was a high, very high, early Freemason we call them, of his day, a big Kabbalist too and extremely rich, the father in law. And he had maps of this stuff. They weren't going off to find some alternate route to India; they knew exactly where they were going. And where they headed for was where they knew from previous excursions where gold was stored, massive amounts of gold. And they went right to their destinations. And the second ship came in too and they knew what they were after and they went in and got it. So, no, they knew where they were going. They didn't land on say British Columbia and get eaten alive with mosquitoes or anything, or go off to Nova Scotia on the east coast, no, they went straight to where the gold was all stored by the various Aztec nations and so on.
Neil: Well of course because other civilizations had been there before.
Alan: Well the Indians even have records of it, and the Catholic Church that went in too with them. They also had, they took a lot of the records of the Aztecs and the different tribes there back to Rome, or to the Vatican. And they still exist today. And they said that they had visits from white men in the past.
Neil: Well you mentioned earlier as well that the people married their sisters, brothers, whatever, to keep the good bloodline going and I read something the other day there and I'll probably read it out later when you have gone but you know Queen Victoria was the great, great-grandmother of both Philip and Elizabeth so I mean it's there in black and white if people want to look at it, the Saxe-Coburg-Gotha family tree. People can see it you know.
Alan: Well that's why they changed it, their name, in World War I because if you take the posters of World War I, for the German recruiting and the British recruiting posters, you'll see the Kaiser on one and you'll see the King of England on the other. And they were first cousins and they looked identical, identical, except the different hats on, that's about the only difference. But the thing is the British ones had a German name and it didn't go over too well with the propaganda they were using back then so they changed their name to the House of Windsor. That's when that was formed, the House of Windsor, to make them sound more English you see.
Neil: I've got 1917 they did that I think. I wondered if they did that because they realized that Germany was going to lose the war. And they thought uh-oh we'd better change our name now because if we don't we'll be out of here.
Alan: Well I think what it was, is that the public had basic education by that time and I mean very minimalistic, but the general public had a basic education for the first time at that time and they knew a bit of the history and realized that the kings were always sending off troops to fight their cousins across in France or wherever it happened to be across Europe. And they thought well if it's another German king sending off the British troops to fight Germans it's the same old thing all over again, so they had to do a whammy on their minds and try to make out that he was actually English. I mean the actual present royalty had no blood relations to the House of Windsor; that's even more comical.
Neil: I've just got a question here about financiers. Frescobaldi (or boldi), have you heard of him? He helped finance and support European royal families.
Alan: Yes. There was quite a few actually, not all of them either were the same people. But the royal family have their own private bankers as well and their private investors because they're part of what's called the establishment. That's what they all call it, the establishment. And we found one of the scandals happened a few years back when one of the investors had kind of looted their private bank, remember that broke into the papers in fact. But they also are intermarried with the Rothschilds as well by the way, the royal family of England, over the centuries they intermarried with some of their cousins and so on too, and across Europe it's pretty well much the same.

Neil: Well I think, is it Prince William's wife or concubine or whatever you want to call her, Katy, she's Rothschild related anyway. Okay we'll go to a short piece of music and then we'll come back. I wanted to talk about how it is that we've all been conned into believing that these people are somehow better than us, after this piece of music.
{Break ♫}
Neil: Welcome to Reality Bytes Radio with our guest Alan Watt for our monthly chat and talking about royalty. And we just had a little chat off air and Alan mentioned Price Philip coming over from [Greece] and the money that came out with that. Do you want to just tell our listeners about that little scam?
Alan: Yeah I mean what happened was at one time they had so many excess cousins and all the rest of it and royalty in Europe, they tried to drop, the term is, it's just like politicians, you parachute them in, in other words, to a country to make them the king over the country. Well Greece didn't have one at one time and so they popped them in there and for a while they'd ruled it but they were hated for gouging the public who were living in poverty and he was kicked out. And it wasn't till the 80's, the 1980's, that it leaked out that the British taxpayer was paying for eighty of his relatives that came with him from Greece. They were all of Austrian, Hungarian and Germanic background. And none of them were Greek of course but that's the way it is, just like in England too, they're not really English. But they brought them into Britain and they were given each a salary of something like a million pounds a year each to keep them living in a decent lifestyle. So we didn't know that and that's when they brought it out for the first time that the queen was going to take a salary from the tax, from the purse, to cope with all her demands and ribbon cuttings and all her boy scout things she signs and things like that. So that was to try to calm the public thinking that she was just an employee, which is such a joke of course because she is the biggest landowner on the planet. {Laughs}
Neil: Yeah of course. A little question about Prince Philip, I think it might be Prince Charles this guy is talking about, but he admitted that he was of Transylvanian blood, not Germanic. But I think that was Charles that said that, was it?
Alan: Charles said it but yeah they're all mixed with the... again too, some of it's a con because as I say later when King George was brought in from Germany before the American Revolution, he couldn't speak English for most of his life you know. Modern English, this is another little sideline, but modern English, BBC English and Oxford English, came from the courtiers copying because they always emulate the king. Don't forget too in the British parliament for a long time they spoke French. That was the dominant language during the Norman period for centuries. And then when George came in, his type of English, the way he pronounced the English, they would all copy that at the top and that got put out at universities and then they would all emulate it and copy it and mimic it until you end up with what you call Oxford or BBC English today. But he was Germanic and he really wasn't so related to the old, old royalty of Britain as they tried to make out today. What they would do once they get in is intermarry present royalty – William did the same thing, with the House of Orange – to try to validate it.
Now you will find if you go down through history, Winston Churchill's mother was sent over. It's an interesting story, the mother came from a New York family, a very wealthy family, and often these wealthy families would send them over to Europe to marry failing aristocracy who had no idea about business or anything and their incomes were failing. They were land owners and they had tenant farmers and that's where their money came from, but they couldn't really run, their lifestyle was just so high, exorbitant, extravagant. And so they married them off. Well Winston Churchill's dad married one of them because he was a big heavy gambler. He liked the high life, he was a bit of an alcoholic. He died of syphilis eventually but he married one of the daughters of this New York family and that was the Spencer line. So you had Winston Churchill, really technically his mother wasn't British at all. But that same mother became the mistress of the king for many, many years as well, at the same time. She really worked hard to make sure this dynasty was going to take off big time. And it certainly did, right down to Lady Diana Spencer you know.
So these people really are foreigners. Diana Spencer, that line of the family had married into the Stuarts to try to validate their kind of royal lineage. And that's what they would often do, they would come into a country and intermarry but try to get into eventually marry someone who was descended from old royalty. That was the idea behind it, to validate them.
You find the same thing in ancient Egypt because when the Greeks and Macedonians came in with Alexander, he appointed a couple of his generals to rule different parts and one of them was Ptolemy, and he was given, he became the head or the Pharaoh of Egypt. And how they did it with him was also a nice little con, he had a dream one night, his priests advised him you know have a little dream and you'll have the previous pharaoh who's deceased, his spirit talking to you and his spirit coming into you to rule so that if you didn't have the bloodline but as long as the spirit of the pharaoh was in you, you were a bona fide pharaoh.

{Laughs}
So history is quite funny when it comes to kings and queens and all of this stuff. You'll find too that it's been validated as well that even in the Tudor Dynasty sometimes it got broken and illegitimate children were put in, in their stead when they didn't have the proper lineage to fill it. That did happen on a couple of occasions.
Neil: Okay I think in the old days I think it's fair to say that, you mentioned tyrants earlier on, that the king ruled by fear more or less. I mean how did we ever get to a situation where the peasantry were living in kind of abject fear of their lives basically, where the lord could come up and you know take the wife on the first night and basically cut their heads off in Japan or wherever if they didn't bow low enough, to actually the people getting on their knees and you know adoring them. I mean how did we get to that?
Alan: Well the Normans brought that in with Prima Nocta, that was the first night. And they brought that custom in with them but they also, right through the Middle Ages from the time of the Normans right onwards, they ruled across the whole of Europe the same way, up until really the early 20th century. But what they did was if you go through the castles in Britain, and go through the dungeons, you will find these dungeons, they're tiny little awful things. Honestly it would terrify you to look at them. They would just round up certain people every so often in a village or a town and they'd lock them up for years and then let them go when they were emaciated and white and almost like albinos. And they let them live so that they could wander amongst the people terrifying the people that this might happen to you.
Now, what they would do as well is when they were losing what they thought was power, or people were getting resentful towards them, they would start executing people publicly, public exhibitions. And it would be a kind of fair day in a sense, they would have a fair on the go at the same time, the peasantry would come. They would hang the people, they would draw them, that was between the two horses, and they would quarter them as they called it. They'd cut your stomach open when you were still alive, they'd pull out your intestines while you were looking at them, to terrify the onlookers that this can happen to you. That was happening right up until the early 20th century for folk who don't know that.
And what they did in the 19th century around the coastline when you had smugglers coming in, they'd the tar the people literally until you were dead and they'd hang you and tar you, and hang you on gallows along the coastlines. And some of these tarred humans, that's where the old story of the tar-babies come from, but these tarred people, they would re-tar them and some of them were hanging up there for sixty years, you know, to warn the public this can happen to you if you disobey the king's law. That's how it happened. It was pure terror. And the problem is too you can always hire mercenaries to enforce this and every generation from the working classes too, there is always a 10% psychopathic group who will join the winning team and they themselves get extra privileges and power. They always have brothels around castles, etcetera, massive amounts of brothels, beer and all the rest of it to keep them happy but they're basically the lowest scum you will have. And they're the same across the whole planet, this group. But this is the problem. They always recruit these characters who will go out and slaughter the people when they're told to do so. That's how they rule; they keep their power. And when they do go into a village or a town to collect taxes and take the pigs or the sheep or whatever, if you didn't have the right quota they would slaughter so many of you as a warning to make sure you had the right quota of pigs and cattle the next time.
Neil: Well I suppose if they slaughtered a few of the villagers then they wouldn't eat as much. So they should have enough for the next time.
Alan: You will find too what's an interesting little piece in history, Queen Elizabeth I of England, there was no Queen Elizabeth II of Scotland, remember. I don't know if people know that or not, the present Queen is not the Queen the Second of Scotland because at that time Queen Elizabeth I was of England. Scotland was an independent country. But the fact is when the Spanish Armada came in you'll find that the Queen had to get men to man the ships. You know they were really low on crew and they would just grab them out of the ale houses and stuff and stick them onboard the ships. Once they'd fought the battles against the Spanish, the Queen's advisor, the money man, her best advisor, who was also in charge of the treasury and the taxation system that they had then, he advised the queen that if she brought those ships in they didn't have enough money to pay the crew, so she ordered the commander of the fleet to leave them out at sea, to anchor off. And every month they would send ships out to see how many were still alive. And once enough of them had died off they brought the ships in. That's a true part of history, that. That's how they see the ordinary folk. We're just peasants to them you know.
Neil: Yeah, of course. So how did the peasants get around, well just forget all about that and decide to at every opportunity get out in the streets, stand in the gutter and you know.
Alan: Well eventually the peasantry is taught – this is the great trick of psychology, if you understand basic

psychology and tribalism etcetera, it works awfully well regardless of how you've been treated by those that you'll eventually come to worship. They will always, people will always gravitate towards a strong, what they see as a strong ruler with an external threat and that ruler is defending you against an external threat. That's why they used these occasional prearranged wars with France and different, for kings against his cousins, so in both countries the people would draw towards their kings and their power structure for safety. And during those periods the propaganda would come out and people would say it's our king versus that king. It's like watching some ridiculous football match or whatever and you take a side. And that's human nature unfortunately. And then the more wars that you have, the more the people will start to almost worship what you see as the symbol of the protection of you and your country, regardless of the conditions you're living in.

It doesn't work quite so well with the lower classes, but with the rising middle classes it always did. And once they came up to World War I for instance it was pretty well perfected by them, they had a long time of the so-called British Empire. Even at the bottom level where guys will worship football teams and soccer teams and so on it's the same thing. Even at the bottom level during long empire building days where Britain's for India and united India, and now it's theirs. And Britain's for that country and now it's theirs. You will tend to side with that power structure even though you're living in misery at the bottom level. It's as though you had won something. It's like watching a game, you get nothing out of the game, you're still the same peasant as you were before, but that's the psychology of human nature. We worship power unfortunately.

Neil: So this was, well I suppose, I don't know, a couple of hundred years we go back and they started turning this around when they had the troops going off to Africa to fight the Zulus and all that kind of thing?

Alan: That's right. It was only in nineteen, I think it was nineteen – Lord Kitchener was the great, so-called the great, commander they sent over with the British troops for India and Africa and different places, the Great Lord Kitchener. They've got statues all across London for him. But it wasn't until I think it was the 1990's, the early 90's, they first disclosed under the official secrets act. They had it sealed for a hundred years how Lord Kitchener managed to quell different peoples across different countries. It was quite simple, he would go into the countries, into the nations, and he would go around, send his troops around the villages and towns, he would select a good portion of the young men who were of fighting age, line them up and have them shot as a lesson to the rest, this will happen to you unless you buckle under. So from the days of William the Conqueror up till then nothing had changed really.

Neil: So well in terms of strong leaders for the life of me I can't imagine anybody looking at today's royal family and thinking they're strong leaders. I think they're trying to possibly build up this William character to be a strong leader because his father certainly isn't.

Alan: Well the thing is again propaganda, World War I is when propaganda took over and it could really use tremendous propaganda with all its slogans that they dish out for you and our king, our country, etcetera. And that's been perfected a long time ago that whole technique of propaganda. And with William, I mean it's like any movie star or even a pop musician, whatever you read about them, even what you think is a real interview, is made-up nonsense. It's made up. I mean I've been with groups where you make them up, the story up. The Rolling Stone magazine sometimes will phone you up, can we do a piece on you, oh sure, and then you have no time to see them, you're on tour or whatever, and so you tell them just go ahead and make something up. And they do. And it's the same with royal families, the propaganda teams do all this, the marketing teams do that. The Royal Family since I think 1980 has had their own private propaganda company living and working in the palace there, professionals.

Neil: I remember seeing a couple of interviews with the so-called Prince Harry when he was out in Afghanistan apparently or Iraq or wherever he was supposed to be. And the helicopter's in the background and he's sitting on this chair and he's got his foot up and you can see the sole of his boot and the boot had never been walked in, never mind being in the desert.

Alan: They have him way behind the lines; it's a propaganda piece. Some stuff snuck out of there too, photographs with him doing things that apparently he shouldn't have been doing actually and it would have caused an awful embarrassment if it had got out. I know this for a fact from some of the reporters that were there. But I know the same thing happened with the war that they had against the Falklands and they sent one of them over there. He went up in a helicopter, he went up a bit, Andrew, he went up about thirty feet and then they brought him back down again and that was his PR stunt of him taking part in the war and they had him surrounded by ships, in fact two of them got sunk protecting them. They were really shields for the exiset missiles that were getting sent in against them. And two of the British ships got sunk because they were shields so as his ship wouldn't get hit. Once he had done his little helicopter thing they got back out of there very fast and that was it. There is nothing you can see today that's real on television.

Neil: Not at all. It was so obviously a green screen. I mean that's how bad this piece was. And the helicopter in the back was kind of a bit fuzzy looking. And then he jumps out of the deck chair and runs off into the distance. It just reminded me of "Wag the Dog" where the lady is running out of the bomb site kind of thing with a kitten in her arm or a dog or whatever it was. It was pathetic. And people fall for it.
Alan: They do fall for it and again it's our king doing what we do best which is going and killing folk and slaughtering people. I mean that's really what it symbolizes. It's very primitive but it works awfully well in psychology.
Neil: Well I think he was actually doing that interview at the time when he said he was out there killing the Taliban. The next minute he's at a party with a Nazi uniform and he's over in Los Angeles with women getting them put on videos and stuff and snorting cocaine, but people forget all that. People forget all that.
Alan: They forget. They do forget it all. All they remember is when the official authorized history books come out with the authorized photographs and it works awfully well. Just like World War II was the same and World War I.
Neil: I mean do you see any point in history where there was a real threat to any of these people or the whole system in fact?
Alan: No. I don't.
Neil: So presumably you don't see any threat to them in the future either?
Alan: I don't see why, no, a dominant minority that isn't just the royalty, they're a symbol at the top of the system. A dominant minority never gives up power; it never will give up power peaceably. It just simply won't happen. In fact they use all of your tax money, a lot of your tax money, to work up systems to make sure that you're so monitored that no one could possibly ever overthrow anything.
Neil: I mean we mentioned austerity earlier and the gold gilded carriages and all this stuff. I mean most people in Britain are just totally ignorant of the fact that every law that comes into the country she has to sign it, to validate it. And we're living in a time of austerity and she signed all the bills to bring that about and yet here she is in her carriage with all these limousines, all these hangers-on as you say getting paid millions a year just to sit in a parade basically and all the horsemen, all the police, all the barriers.
Alan: Oh I'd love to see the bill for that, just for that one parade, I mean I'd love to see the bill for it.
Neil: Well I think I'm going to put in an FOI for that because we talked about that last week.
Alan: And folk don't know too that Britain was the first country to use CCTV cameras during the queen's actual inauguration way back. And those cameras became a fixture. The public never knew about that at the time. They had CCTV cameras all around there and they put them up for the first time for the first inauguration and they've kept them and added to them ever since very quietly, to monitor the people. And most of the folk remember in London are not English and they weren't born in England either. This is the joke about it as well, that's the ones that are waving all the flags and that, you know they don't even know what they're waving flags for, half of them, it's just a big show, but they turn up for the show.
Neil: It's funny you should say that. I thought it was quite interesting because when you saw the pictures on the BBC or wherever it was, SKY, I commented to my colleague, I said, there are not as many people there as you'd imagine there would be.
Alan: That's right.
Neil: There are very few English folk and I said most of them are tourists you know.
Alan: Most of them are tourists or they're working there temporarily or something like that. But there was a Russian guy that came over, he was an author and a newsman and his whole thing was to meet the Londoner. He wanted to desperately meet Londoners and he was so disappointed he said the only few faces he saw that he thought might be English were actually foreigners as well. So that's what London's comprised of today.
Neil: Yeah, well unfortunately. Well it's not just London of course, it's everywhere. I don't know how we ever turn a system like this around but you know you just mentioned it that most of the people that are waving flags are not even English. There is even an apathy amongst British people for the British Royal Family and yet you know they will carry on paying their taxes, you've got her majesty's prison service, you've got her majesty's revenue commissioners.
Alan: You are detained at her majesty's pleasure it says in the courts.
Neil: And her majesty's armed services. I don't know who people think they're fighting for.
Alan: I know and even why you even bother with the farce called democracy.
Neil: Yeah well I remember being seventeen and going into the RAF recruitment office and doing the psychometric testing as it was in those days. And they said you can go in as a warrant officer. And apparently that was quite unusual at the time, so, I don't know, I must have filled in the questions right or something. And I got to the bottom of the page and it had the oath to the queen. And something in me, I

just, I couldn't sign it. I said no and I left, that was it, that was the end of it. But I could never understand why you would sign up to defend a country and yet at the bottom of this piece of paper it says you're fighting for the queen.
Alan: Well the reason is, when you join the military you're no longer a member of the public. You start as a private, you're owned privately. That's what it means, that's why they call it private soldier. You're owned.
Neil: And then corporal.
Alan: That's right but you're owned by a private organization that's got a charter to exist.
Neil: Yeah well it's not going away anytime soon, is it, this system?
Alan: It won't go away; that's why all this anti-terrorism is the latest con to monitor everybody to make sure that no one could ever come up with an idea or create a system to get any alternative or even true democracy in. It simply won't happen. The total information network system is monitoring everything you say, everything you do, everything you write, and it's constantly analyzed, masses of, mounds of data. And that goes for everybody. People will say well I don't care; I've got nothing to hide. Well the fact is you've lost something that people did fight for in the past, they'd rebel, because privacy was something that folk fought for, for an awful long time, centuries, trying to get privacy. It was so important. If you have no privacy, you have no security. You're a nothing, you're a pawn, you're owned. And this is where it's gone back to, to the same thing again where they must know even the person's thoughts. It's the one that says well I've got nothing to hide, they must know how you tick, your personality profile, you must be predictable. In a predictable society they have no fear of anything upsetting the apple cart. That's the idea behind it all.
Neil: Yeah, well it's got to the stage here where social workers are taking people's children, just in case they are emotionally abused in the future.
Alan: That's correct.
Neil: That's where we are now. I suppose we have reached the end of our hour and we'll have Alan back again I think it was the 17th of July I've got down here. And I think somebody in the chat box was keen on talking about Freemasonry and something to do with students. I'd have to go way back in the chat box to see that but there is some program that the Freemasons are running regarding students and universities and infiltrating that, so we might talk about that next time.
Alan: There is a long history on that in fact.
Neil: Right, okay. Well, there you are, whoever you are in the chat box, that's next month's topic then sorted out. Okay Alan, thanks again for your time.
Alan: It's been a pleasure. It's a lot, there is too much to cram into an hour in any detail, but at least you can skim over it.
Neil: Yeah, okay, great and we'll talk again in July.
Alan: Okay. Good stuff.
Neil: Okay, thanks a lot.
{End}

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

June 15, 2014 (#1443)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Understanding's the Antidote You Seek to Propaganda's Technique"
© Alan Watt June 15, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - June 15, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on June the 15th, 2014. I often talk about the way we think and how we perceive things, and how we live in an age where it's well understood how we think and how we behave and why we behave these ways. Because we're basically formed by the system to behave in these ways and think what they want us to think about basically. If you take your standard newscasts, most of it's propaganda especially when it comes to wars and so on, the rest of it's generally lies, about gasoline increases and the reasons why they give it to you, things like that. We're given it by straight-faced people on television, the news anchors and casters; and we're taught from childhood that somehow this is an official authority on truth. Of course it's nothing to do with truth whatsoever.

Society is based on a system of control. They talk about negative liberty, positive liberty, the same thing as negative freedoms and positive freedoms and so on. But the fact is, you're guided to think what your big boys at the top want you to think. And they have an endless amount and supply of guys to do this on you, professional behaviorists and so on working on you all the time, for what they call, at all ages and all stages of the ages, they call it, the good society. Now, technically a good society is where there's an amount of individual freedom which allows you to pursue things or even your own creativity in a sense, and get ahead on things without impediments; it's always been a myth that it's always been that way at times. It's never been that way at all. Because money comes into the factor here and when money comes in you have a system based upon opportunity through financing. If you have lots of financing and daddy is well known in the financial business, regardless of how you do at school you're going to get up into the best universities; it's just going to be that way. And it's always been that way of course. So there are many factors that influence what we call freedom, personal expansion of ourselves, the progress of ourselves and so on as individuals as opposed to groups and crowds and all the rest of it.

Because those at the top want what they call a good society to serve them at the top. Therefore it always comprises a system of behavior, of behavior modification, self-policing, which is a big thing today. Through incessant propaganda, through all radio, television, everything, you get all these little tips on how to behave

and so on, and you're taught what's taboo and what behavior is taboo etc. and what's okay. Today of course we live in a society where you're taught that things that used to be incredibly taboo are now the best things to do, just don't have any critical opinion about them and you'll be all right. If you have a critical opinion, even when you see the negative things that happen, the fallouts in society, you'll be condemned by the establishment. That's how you're run today. We're always run by those at the top who give us the ways to think, what to think about, the topics to think about, especially the topics of course, which really are just passing fads or phases and so on, or parts of political agendas, or geopolitical agendas across the world, trade agendas and so on. They want you to think and see and behave in a certain way towards this. Uniformity of opinion comes along, strangely enough, with liberalism.

People think liberalism is the kind of liberalism ideas that they had in the days of Thomas Jefferson. And it's not whatsoever. In fact, if you look at where liberalism took the world, and opened up the ability to talk about, say, evolution, or Nietzsche to dethrone God sort of idea, and what can you do... Look at all the impediments that are suddenly gone when God's dethroned. What can stop you from doing anything you want basically? And Nietzsche was right on a lot of things because it meant that the state then could become supreme. All the old taboos about mass slaughter, real mass slaughter were out the window. Even things that could be done on the general public, physically or whatever, when you think about it, were all open for the go-ahead. If you have no taboos, no restrictions you can do whatever you want when you have power. Therefore, the good society is always defined as the good society by those in rule.

But liberalism also opened up the door, as I say, of getting you away from religions, the standard religions that had given you what used to be called the good society in the Middle Ages and so on, at a time when, hopefully, it would stop people slaughtering each other or their neighbors and robbing each other and killing each other out of envy or whatever it happened to be. So it gave a form, a semblance, enough taboos not to break. Through that conformity you have something that just ekes along for the general population, but does awfully well for those who run it at the top. And that's always the way of all ages, basically, always the same. So you can't really have true freedom in a society when you're living amongst other people, obviously. You have to have some kind of rules to stop you from destroying each other and plundering each other and all the rest of it, at the bottom that is. The only plundering that's allowed today is by governments and so on, on the people themselves, and it's done through official means so it doesn't seem like plundering at all.

So we're conned in so many, many ways because it's a perfected art today of how to rule what's called the masses. But liberalism also, under the guise in the 20th century, it got to that people could then come out and say what they thought; and then they got really hot-headed about it and carried away about it. Socrates even warned about that. He said, it can be dangerous for the youth to grab an idea without understanding the idea in the first place, and the consequences of the idea. So what you've got really today is this steamroller which you think is liberalism and under the guise of liberalism you have different freedoms, sexual freedoms, all these different things you can go ahead and do, as long as the masses pay for all the fallout, which we do of course. We pay for the abortion clinics. We pay for foster care. We pay for all these different things that come out of each so-called freedom they give you. And when you're doing all of that, because you're allowed to do all these things, you're not watching what the state is doing on a bigger, bigger scale, to all of you, of course.

So everything is used. All the so-called freedoms can be used against you as well. Then you complain about all the taxation you have and the welfare systems that you have, but then you have to look at the fallout from all the freedoms that you're allowed to do, so there are many, many factors involved. And because of liberalism you find it opened up the door for communism to be discussed and then proclaimed and then pushed at the top in some countries, the Soviet Union, when we saw the massive slaughter of whole classes of people, and even religious people, slaughtered by the Bolsheviks and right through a good part of its history in the Soviet era. In Russia, China and elsewhere under the guise of initially collectivism, under the guise of pushing equality and collectivism and so on, and equality and collectivism negate each other in actual fact. But that's how propaganda works. You push that with idealism behind it and then of course it never works out that way in the end.

So I always warn people about the groups they belong to. And when you think you're on a winning team be even more careful. As Socrates said, don't grab the idea and run with it, because someone's using you. And

if it's someone, they can be either authorized by the state or from the state itself. Or from those, I should say, that really comprise the state, which is above the political level, who work with all these think tanks. They come in and listen to lectures of these big massive tax-funded think tanks that you'll never get access to. They get all the feedback, all information from the studies and so on, on us, you see. Because everything comes from us; all wealth really comes from all labor, etc. etc. So be careful of what you grab ahold of and run with.

We certainly find the same thing with the Nazis. The Nazis was a new idea, pretty well new, you see. Lots of countries were into national socialism in a sense, or at least the idea of the nation and empire building and Nazism was part of that, expansionism, empiricism, that kind of thing. They never put that label on Britain for expanding across the world. And Britain, what is Britain? It's a corporation. The people who comprised Britain, outside of the elite that dominated it, hadn't really any say in the matter at all. When they wanted more guys for the military they simply made sure more foodstuff was dumped in Britain. Farmers went out of business, sons went off to war, so you had a cheap plentiful supply of soldiers. Either that or they literally put factories on go-slow or shut them down, to make sure there's enough people to go off and fight their wars. But that was never called Nazism. Even though those at the top of the British Empire strutted around like any Nazi. That's quite interesting to see how we don't perceive things in the same way, primarily through the indoctrination we have after the events, even during the events. But as I say, Nazism opened up the idea for this whole new concept, again, very socialistic, communistic in a sense. Equality, you're all going somewhere as a massive group, etc. But it was liberal thought that allowed that to come out in the first place, you see. Liberal thought, in other words, was breaking away from the old norm, which was a rigorous set of understandings.

Today we find that under the guise of liberalism, you find that the Liberals, the actual liberal parties and so on, are the most intolerant of all. They tell you what the normal is, and if you don't agree with it, oh, by God, they can even imprison you for saying certain things, having an opinion of your own. A very dangerous time to think you have an opinion of your own indeed. Unless... Most folk, actually, when they put out opinions are along on the path of the liberal agenda, so that's okay. But it's not their opinion in the first place; they're simply parroting it and putting different wording into it.

I always find it fascinating to watch, in your own lifetime, movements begin and people joining them very quickly because they seem radical in some way. And those who give over their passions, their individual passions to the collective passion – and that's what it's called, collective passion, where they give you a reason for being in the group and what the purpose of the group is and where it's supposed to go – they lose their individuality in the process. So you lose your individual passion for things to the collective, and therefore you're being used. You will always be used, and you're unable to think critically about anything you see, hear or whatever. Your ability to actually function individually has been taken from you. If you do try to profess any individual thoughts that go against the grain of the group, you're out, you're expelled and ostracized right away. There's nothing new in this. This also happened with religious societies in the past, where you've heard of shunning, for instance, where your group would shun you. It's been studied so well by the guys who study psychoanalysis, for instance, psychiatry, social dynamics, these kind of things, interactions, that it's now a perfected art by those who control human behavior.

And they can create groups that sound awfully radical. They say the youth are always the ideal ones to jump at, because they will jump for you when you tell them to, when they think it's actually for themselves. And they see things in black and white, especially through the indoctrination they can get when they're very, very young at school. They'll believe what they're told. They're told, these people are bad, they're cutting down the forest there. They can show you selected clips, and the voiceover in the background telling you how bad it is, and a bit of music there, very somber music, and you'll see everybody doing nasty things to little animals and so on, and that gives your general picture of everything. What you're getting is a selective little part of something, but they're not showing the whole story. But you'll think it is the whole story and you can be radicalized awfully easy, and often by very big businesses by the way, who want those particular forests, for themselves, way down the road. If you go into the history of national parks you find out that big foundations and corporations started up the idea a long time ago, for future use and exploitation, for themselves. But they didn't want any other competing interests, they wanted it for themselves solely. And they even had the laws written, often by their own foundations, representing the corporations, which were handed to government and passed right into law. So everything is basically a con, unless you can figure it

out for yourselves, what things are really going on, why it's going on and who is using you.

Some people get awfully depressed, they've gone through so many movements, one after the other, when they get to the stage where they realize they've been used by every single one, or the premise has been false – it takes them a long time to realize this – and they've been used. And their whole life has been used up by other peoples, other experts' programs and they were completely unaware at the time that they were the useful idiots. But that's the way of humanity, it's always used, always used for a minority at the top, the dominant minority that runs it. They know where they're taking you; you don't. They'll tell you one story but they'll do another. Politics itself works on that premise, of course; all the promises come out initially, what they're going to do, and it never happens. It never materializes. And you're always upset. But you don't vote in a new party, you're voting the old party out, you're so sick of them. That's why it keeps going this way. People never learn, though, they keep voting all their lives, because they're told by the state to do so. And it's a bad citizen, of course, who doesn't vote; that's what they tell you.

But as Professor Carroll Quigley said, the topics eventually all become the same when it comes to election time. It's jobs, it's health, it's this, that or the other, schooling, welfare, the usual things. So all the parties talk about the same things. Quigley also went on to say that every leader of every party – back in the 60s he wrote his main book – he said, it's been like this way for 60 years, not just in the US but in Canada and the old British Commonwealth countries. And it still is today obviously. So they're preselected, for the leaders – it doesn't matter about the ones down below them – their advisors are often members of the CFR too, for instance, and the Royal Institute of International Affairs. The ones down below, the smaller politicians are allowed a little bit of competition; that's what he said. They're allowed a little bit of competition because they don't really have the say, but they can show their stuff and if they have the right stuff they'll be selected to go higher, and asked, invited to join the CFR/Royal Institute of International Affairs. And not all of them do get into that of course. So it's a rigged system. As I say, what you vote is for the last lot to get out. Other ones of course, that are doing a bit better than most folk will vote the same way they did before, if they think it's conservative or whatever it happens to be; they'll vote that to try and keep things the same because they're benefiting. The ones at the bottom of course will try out all the, what appears to them more Marxist or liberal. But in fact it's all the same. All the same.

I followed and did some studying on the NDP in Canada, the National Democratic Party, and some folk say it's a bit left of Karl Marx. But they've been trying for a long, long time to get into rulership over the feds basically, the federal government. When you go into some of their top leaders, what fascinated me was that they're often put into departments of the United Nations, for a while, outside of politics, especially to do with the International Monetary Fund which of course was set up by the Royal Institute of International Affairs, this private organization that helps run the world; they own The World Bank too. Some of them get put into these positions, the idea being that they use your tax money. When they get into the Overseas Economic Development Corporation for instance, to use your tax money to put businesses across the world in Third World countries, it's generally funding the setup of factories owned by the international corporations that already exist, but you pay for it all. Then your country, because they borrowed the money to pay to this for this purpose, you then have to pay the IMF back and the World Bank back on their behalf. So it's a big, big circle of con games that go on under many guises and the public never figure it out at all.

So we're living in the con game world. It's always been this way. It's more effective today because all the think tanks which produce the advisors and put them around presidents and prime ministers and so on, they're all well-trained in how we work as the mass, as they say. Those at the top always want a standardized society if possible. And the more this technique becomes effective, the more standardized they want it. They become afraid of the individual. So you get all the condemnation about the occasional individual who still won't either shut up about something or who asks questions which are taboo in this day and age, because they've been deemed taboo by the top. And the taboos are reinforced not just through newscasts and documentary specials, but all through movies and dramas, etc., even comedies will put them into it too. You must go along with the flow, the authorized way of looking at today's taboos, and do what's called the right thing for the right time. Then you're accepted, you get a pat on the head and folk don't shun you.

But very few folk have the gumption or the gall anymore to completely be themselves. It's very difficult. And it's so perfected, in fact, the propaganda techniques, through novels, movies, everything. Don't forget, the

writers are given cash grants by governments for inserting into fiction, all fiction from all sources, the PC updates, politically correct updates, what should be taboo, what's not acceptable, etc. etc. And they write it into their stories to make sure that this becomes a meme throughout society. And it works awfully, awfully well. Awfully well indeed.

What I'm saying is, beware of groups. If they're well-funded and they get a lot of media time and so on, then be very, very careful because there's something else behind it. Even if it appears to be for you… If it appears for you it will say some of the right things but take you off on a premise, or take you off into trying to reconstruct a past, to bring it back up to date and live in the past. It will never happen. You never go back to the past, it just doesn't happen at all. And if you really critically analyze the past, people were just as brainwashed in the past by the dominant minority and the intelligentsia of their day who understood these things as they are today. They're actually better today of course. It was much more simple in times gone by when you taught folk very little. That's all they were taught and you reinforced it by mandatory church attendance or whatever it happened to be. And I'm not saying it was all bad. I'm saying that gave you a type of good society where you weren't killing each other or stealing from each other so much, that kind of thing.

But the so-called good society of today is an incredibly well scientifically designed organization running everything in society, including not just the way that you're thinking but they're already working scientifically on a generation just going into even kindergarten, because they have bigger plans for them, going further with all of this, than you are. You see. That's how it's done. And that goes back to, as I've mentioned Beria in the 1930s gave a Comintern meeting in Russia and he talked about that, how, he said, it used to take 70 years to make a change in societal direction, 70 years of propaganda and work, and work and work. And he says, today we can upgrade it every four years with scientific techniques. So never, ever dismiss the fact that there's scientific technique behind pretty well everything, everything at all.

Even regular talkshow hosts for AM across the country, you tune in for their discussions or their early morning shows or whatever it happens to be. In Toronto they have great ones there who, for instance, are very much like New Yorkers in a sense in that they pick a topic that's emotive, and to get everybody arguing with each other, right off the bat, and they play the devil's advocate, you see. Oh, a man jumps on the back of a bear that was attacking his dog, what a stupid man is this, the mutts only live for a few years anyway, etc., what good are they, blah, blah, blah… And immediately the reaction comes in with all the phone calls. Oh I've got dogs, I love them, etc. Then the ones that will play the devil's advocate phone in and go the other way. Oh yeah, they're stupid, that man had no brains at all, he should get a psychiatric evaluation, yada-yada-ya. And every topic is like that. You're given the topic off the bat. You're given the premise by the tone of the guy who announces it to you. And you'll get the people pleasers as well who will always try to people-please the guy who brings it out, the talkshow host that they see as the expert, the kind of grovelers, the guys that would bring the apples for the teacher, and they'll phone in and agree with them and so on and so on.

So it's interesting just to study the different types that fall for the traps that are laid out for them to fall into. But then again, why get up in the morning and have someone else's thoughts, and irrelevancies, put into your mind in the first place? But folk today have been trained not to sit in silence and think for themselves. They're terrified now of silence; they become fidgety and anxious. There's always noise. The radio is on first thing, their cell phone is blaring away with something that's preset in it, and they can't stand silence. Thought and thinking for themselves actually is scary to them.

Now, collective propaganda, throughout all the means I've mentioned before, when they're used will overwhelm the individual, especially your critical faculty, your ability to critically analyze anything. If you join the group that critical faculty is out the window, because the emotions are then amplified in the group and you become almost fanatical. In fact, you might become completely fanatical inside the group.

Now in the past, as I've mentioned before, about good societies and so on, you'll also find that those who control it and laid it out for you to follow always use propaganda of many different kinds. But when it's all the same then it's to make you all feel the same, and again you'll suppress your critical faculty. But sometimes it will work out for at least peaceful coexistence. And there's less neurosis they find out too, when there's a uniformity. Whether it's in the past with religions or whatever, there's a uniformity, a feeling you're all in this going off in the same direction, you have a reason for existing; all of these things are given to you

and you don't worry so much. When that falls apart, as we've found, as religion diminishes, for instance, and scientific systems take over more and more, statisticians, all the different cons that go on, there's more neurosis because people feel that they're lost and they don't know where to go. They'll try... Often they'll go shopping for religions or causes or beliefs or philosophies, and they shop from one to the next, all through their lives. You've probably met lots of people like that, all through their lives they're constantly shopping. It was very noticeable during the so-called hippie era, and they went from one meme and theme to the next. Just jump, jump, jump, looking for reasons for living, existing and so on, things that would give them answers. But when they become unfulfilled they jump to the next one, and the next one and the next one. And they don't realize they're being guided by those who give you all these things along a certain path. And they all go collectively on that path. That's why terminology goes along with it. They're given the terminology to use, just like a doctrine, and all the catchphrases. Then they're given the new ones for the new meme that they're given to follow. But it's basically shopping for meaning, for a way to live, and also to be involved and be part of some movement or group or whatever.

You'll also find when you join groups, big groups that are authorized from above, especially, that you become predisposed to further manipulations and suggestion down the road. Sometimes, through the big think tanks, if you follow their strategies and so on, they talk about creating a society for the next generation, or the future, and you're being primed, primed for the suggestions that will be put out along the way, that shall lead you along the certain path to be the desired citizen that they wish to create, who will accept the changes that they have planned for you down the road. So you're primed by predispositions and ready for it when it happens. You think nothing of it. Your critical faculties, remember, are destroyed in the process. You don't think critically about things or say, whoa, wait a minute, that doesn't... You seldom hear that anymore from people. They simply go along with it, because they have lost their individual critical faculty along the way.

What goes along with propaganda isn't just the visual. You'll find, and I've mentioned this so many times over years, that it used to be called psycholinguistics. Now it's neurolinguistics, and the big neurologists are involved, and behaviorists and so on. So we live in a verbal universe where we're persuaded into things by the way words and sentences are constructed and put across, like a computer. Because if you are basically the computer, as they often use that analogy today, then you live and make your decisions on all the information being fed into you, which you think is your own basically. Or you're being selective, you think. But, no. You're taking all these different things purposely put out there, fed into you... Remember, a person who creates a computer program, he understands that computer. He understands its language. He understands how it works and so on, and its processes. And when he feeds a question in, ideally, the great programmer knows what answer it must arrive at. The conclusion must be logical, according to its program and the system which runs it and so on, its logic, if you like. Therefore when you're fed all these different facts, statistics, etc. you will come to the conclusions desired by those who designed the premise in the first place for you to arrive at. So it's very simple, but it works awfully effectively.

We live as I say in a verbal universe today. That's why phrases, for instance, little phrases, Lenin said the same thing, we shall win by phrases, slogans. That's why you're given these things to repeat over and over again. Global warming... Now it's climate change since the warming fell flat, etc. And the chem-spray trails are all over the skies; they deny they're doing it. But you go into the geo corporations that are involved in this, they're obviously doing it and they admit to it in a covert sort of way. Because it's getting to the stage there's just too many folk, at last, at last, after years and years of being sprayed, looking up and seeing this mush being created above their heads and they're watching the manipulations of the weather constantly.

So we live in a completely controlled system and environment, and nothing is put out there, really, except lies. And trivia. The trivia of course is meant to make you think that you live in a little colloquial cut-off area in the boonies or something and this is how you all behave. They give these little personal stories that mean nothing to you, except they're telling you that this is a good person. The state is always telling you what a good person is. It's a person who doesn't cause ripples or waves in any possible direction, who is involved in things which don't really matter as far as change goes, and that's the good person, the little personal stories that you get. Think little emotional things that keep you going ooh and aah, etc. Just like the little stories on animals they give you in some newspapers, even though they pose the photographs and things like that to make you go ooh and aah and talk about it. It keeps you away from thinking deeply or critically about anything that really matters.

Now, religions never die, they often change and they adapt. Even when those who come out against religion bring in their new theories of society, under various guises and terminology and so on, in the scientific age they're still religious. They're religious in that they decide what the good society is to keep everything fairly stable for the existing structure at the top. They never talk about the destruction of the monetary system as it stands, for instance. That never happens, it doesn't matter who gets in. And of course, they have religious premises. All of them do. Even the science community... Look at all the scientists who are employed by the International Panel on Climate Change at the United Nations, getting awfully well funded through all our tax money, from national tax monies and international tax monies, and the money from the United Nations that all comes from our taxes too. So they're not going to go against the premise that this is happening. Because after all, they catch on very quickly too, it's a social program here for real change in the way that we're to live and be under more control. They understand that perfectly well.

And you never, ever separate sciences today from politics; it cannot be done. It can't be done; there's always an agenda at work. There's no true science anymore. If there is true science they would be discovering everything, lots of things, all the time, that are really of no use at the moment to anybody. But it doesn't happen. Lots of studies go on, thousands of studies go on, all funded by foundations, private foundations that really run the big international world, but they keep the information to themselves, for their own use. But we're given statistics, etc. to follow, which is simply a way of conning you to go along with some theory or whatever, for the present, even though they'll disprove it down the road; there'll be a contrary theory. And science today is often made up of nothing but theories, what they call science today, not the true sciences.

But they take on religious premises, that's the important part. Because whatever is politically correct today is put across in a religious way, with real condemnation by authorities in media, etc. on the person who says something, or asks a question, or perhaps knows too much and doesn't understand why they must keep their mouth shut. So a religious technique is used upon them. You must be a good member of society, which means you believe what you're told to believe. And you will, very much like George Orwell's 1984. If you're caught out because you don't really believe something, which has been put across in a fanatical religious zealous way, then you will be sent off for reconditioning, at the very least. Being a religious technique you'll find that, as I say, it's authorized by the state. Here's what you believe, you're a bad person... Or they'll use a lot of derogatory terms, which you're used to hearing, putting slurs on certain groups of people or whatever it happens to be in the past, and you'll apply it yourselves to them and think, oh my God I don't want to be seen as that, so you shut up and go along with it. You must believe. It's very, very religious in the technique of course that's used. And it's artificially induced.

Now, much of the world's news is really faked. Really faked. But what's interesting is the way that it's put across, much of the fakery is put across, it becomes truer than truth itself in the minds of the public. Because they all agree, they've all heard it and they know that they should believe it, therefore it's truer than reality itself. If you check into it in any depth at all you'll find it's untrue, of course. But that's what you often find with propaganda, the information will seem truer than fact. A lot truer... Be very careful there. Be very, very careful indeed.

Now, many big think tanks that study this and use it against us, through their experts which are employed by the powers that be, talk about us living in a hallucinogenic world. Our reality will be partly hallucinogenic because of all the fake news, in rapid succession, and the memes changing in rapid succession, to suit the ruling minority, the dominant minority, and how we lose our grounding, etc. Again, a cause for neurosis. And hence, people run off for analysis or drugs or whatever it happens to be, either legal or illegal, it doesn't matter anymore because the effect is the same. It dulls the mind. It quietens the mind and puts you out of worry for a long time, maybe permanently too in some cases. So this transitory reality that we're living through is constantly changing and being changed by experts as they guide it and update things and so on.

Remember, the effect of propaganda and the purpose is to work on the unconscious, the subconscious you might say, and to leave you feeling that you're making your own decisions. And that works awfully well.

It was said long ago in fact, by those who studied society and philosophies, etc. and history. It was said long ago that the democratic systems wouldn't need so much fakery in the news to con the public, to go along for the so-called good cohesive society, workable society, but the time would come, if one particular superpower

arose, or another, or maybe two, then that would tend to break down and the masters of efficiency, the high priests you might say of efficiency would not hesitate to use constant propaganda. Well, we are there today, especially since we're moving into what's called the global society where a minority, and the financial minority too, will dominate the whole planet. We're pretty well there in fact, to be honest with you. So you're being programmed in preparation for all of this by incessant upgraded and updated propaganda techniques. Many folk think they can escape it and avoid it by not listening to certain things. But if you watch television at all, or even listen to media all the time, or read media all the time, you're being affected by it. You can't help it. And you become inured to it. Once you're inured to propaganda techniques, there's no going back. It's got you. Quite simple.

I've often thought about power itself. Power, from the dominant minority all the way down, because there are many categories of power structures, all working for the dominant minority in one way or another, and benefiting of course, more so than those at the bottom. That's how the whole system in society is worked out. And it doesn't matter what kind of society, as they've tried in the past, it's always the same structure. Under different guises it's just exactly the same. The psychopathic types that really crave power, who love sports, who love the winning, winning, winning aspect of things gravitate to the top where power is. They always try and get up there by any and every means possible. And they're master manipulators to begin with so they see nothing wrong when they get up and they can use big marketing companies and specialists to put out propaganda upon you. Therefore they're now in the structure that controls the system.

And the system itself is based on keeping you feeling inadequate in a sense, and fearful. The average person goes to work, if it's man or woman it doesn't matter, at some meaningless job. Whether it's in an office, or there's very few factories left, they're all in China, through free trade of course, or they're stacking shelves in grocery stores or supermarkets. Meaningless jobs, and they go home at night and they really sit and wonder what their life is all about. They often think back to their past when they were adolescents and they did things more radically, different, spontaneously, etc. and from then on it seems like everything's been ordained for them. They go with the flow in a world that seems insecure. They're always worried about wars, as they're told to be worried about wars, or price increases, or gasoline increases, whatever it happens to be, or the economy falling or whatever. They keep you living in fear all the time, until you feel like a complete non-entity. Once you feel like a non-entity you belong to a group, a big group by the way, that then will encourage you to vote a certain way or do something a certain way. You're fair game to be used, again, because you have lost yourself. And the whole point of life, really, is to reclaim yourself. Because believe you me, most of you have lost it, as soon as the propaganda starts, which is as soon as you understand the first words the people speak.

If the system gave a system to you which was stable, then propaganda itself would begin to lax off as well, because everything becomes lax during a long period of stability. Even the propagandists themselves become lax and inefficient. And people would start to think for themselves, that's the one terror that those at the top have. Therefore they can make sure through hiring and firing they always have the most ambitious people working for them to keep us all on edge all the time, the top economists, etc. that come and go. They must keep you living in fear and worry for your whole length of your life.

I used to be amazed at people who'd been in the military and in wars and things. And you're always recruited when you're young because you're easily molded to become part of the mob, or the military; that's what you are. You lose your individuality, you're taught to obey, obey, obey, en masse, and jump to it. And that's what you do. And they go off and do things that are not allowed, they're not allowed to do in civilian life, like slaughter people, and get little medals for doing so, things like that, lots of praise from their peer group. But once they're outside that peer group and they're back home in civilian society, they're into the same mundane life of compliance, living in worry and fear... Will I have enough money to pay for all these things, and so on, or is the economy going to go flat and I'll lose my job? So they constantly look back on the one time that they felt they had some kind of freedom, even though that freedom was directed into benefiting a plan which they really had no idea what it was about.

It's like the guys who go off to fight Gulf War one, and then the continuing... It really never stopped, Gulf War one, to the present time. It's like the only time they lived, at one time, and when they come back into civilian society they fall apart. Because suddenly they're a nobody again. There's no peer group there to pat them on the back, etc., say all the comforting things that make you feel accepted. You're just one of the

faceless masses you might say, and they've lost it all. So even when you think you have lived at one time, even though you've joined the military and fought in the greatest causes and all the rest of it, you're being used. And you are allowed to do very primitive things which, as I say, you would not normally be allowed to do. You would be severely punished for going around slaughtering people any other time in your own society.

When people have got to a stage where they feel they're living in a void, and a spiritual vacuum, and even a meaning vacuum, it's meaningless in a sense, then of course they have a hard time even living with other people, in families for instance. The television takes the place of conversation. It avoids all friction, unless they're fighting over what station they want to watch. But now they all have different television sets in all different rooms now so they can go off and coexist in a sense, without ever existing at all with each other in the other sense. Therefore, this vacuum is filled by escapism, which also contains propaganda as I've said before, but you're allowed to do things in a movie setting that you can't do in reality as well. They're taboo and it would cause chaos if you tried it of course. And of course your families would all break up because everyone would be promiscuous with everybody else, for a starter.

In the end too, the hero always has a purpose in a movie, and he generally wins, especially in American movies. Which is vastly different from any kind of truth that there is. Look at special raids of special services, on raids on different compounds, lots of them often get killed in doing it. But not in the movies because the bad guys can never shoot straight, and the good-looking guy always wins. That's how it happens in the movies. But you look around you after the movie, in your own life, and what are you, and where are you? And do you have the blonde hanging on your arm? Of course you don't. Only the guy in the movie has that. And the same with the blonde, is she going to have that hero type figure? Of course she's not. But she's always going to be looking for it. So it creates dissatisfaction. And dissatisfaction creates further nihilistic tendencies within societies, and you get, especially, family breakdowns. But it's very interesting to watch families literally stay together to an extent for maybe the raising of their children, up to the university age or beyond, and very little is ever really talked about in the home. Because television and radio takes the place of having to converse with each other. There's a form of escapism, constantly available to them. There's also intense indoctrination being put alongside the fantasies which they all drink up.

Now, the reason that great writers, for instance, that were futurists as well wrote great books back in the 1930s, like Aldous Huxley. You find that his associates too put out good books about that period. Because they were all involved, at a very high level in fact, with working on the propagandists of their day. And the dominant minority, some of them belonged to the dominant minority in fact, some of these big authors. They talked of the future to come where a form of nihilism would come with all the pressures of the technical society we live in today. They were well aware of the techniques they would have to employ to keep us all distracted, constantly distracted from the self. That's really what entertainment is of course.

Many examples came out of that era. Even in the 1930s, 1938 I think it was, you find a man called Butlin in Britain created the first sort of holiday camps which even many working-class people could afford. The idea was to constantly distract the people, from the day they went in, all through that day, with distractions and a guided kind of tour of distractions, so that every day was different from the last day. And it always kept them from stopping and thinking for themselves. That was the whole point of it. And that's why it was such a great success. Many of the other camps and tourist camps employed the same techniques, by copying that. And even the Soviet system copied that, because every worker in the Soviet system was guaranteed a holiday every year, and often to the Black Sea area, massive resorts. Every day was laid on just like the Butlin's camps in Britain, where you were never left to the self. You were always distracted by something until for one or two weeks you were totally distracted from the self, and you were spinning by the time you came out, with all different kinds of concepts, which really didn't change anything at all in your life, you'd find out down the road as they wore off.

Today with television it's much easier, there are always new concepts. You can just go through all different stations and channels and be distracted by whatever it happens to be, as long as it keeps you from thinking about the self, and that's very important, under the system which dominates you.

One of the reasons that various techniques work on most people is because of peer pressure. People belong to groups. They want to belong to groups, the Great Society, whatever they want to call it, and therefore

they want to be accepted by their peer group, especially. Of course even when their peer group is being altered, gradually, by very clever indoctrination, and even change their opinions on certain things because of it, then the average person wants to go along with them rather than be an outsider or cling to the old taboos or the old way of looking at things and adapt to the new way of looking at things, and be concerned about things you never thought about before, because you're told to. That's constant in propaganda. Therefore, in a sense, everything that's natural to humans is used against them. The need to belong to some kind of group, just a community or whatever it happens to be, even your neighborhood community, just people you bump into on the streets and so on and know them, it's used against you. So that your topics of conversation will be changed for you, you'll be politically correct on different things, and have all the correct statements, slogans and so on, and you'll react the same way, of disdain, because of Pavlovian conditioning when someone else brings up something that you have now adapted into a different kind of point of view, the acceptable point of view. So you're constantly being worked upon.

So it's very difficult for the individual to retain their own sense of critical thinking and individuality in this particular modern system where everything uses propaganda. Everything out there uses propaganda. Including all of what you think are the good things, are used for propagandistic and often socialistic change purposes, and change by power elites above them all, that you know nothing about. When you look at all the big charitable groups, for instance, and you see who helps to finance them, and even government money put into them too, you got to question what's the real purpose, what's the real motives behind all of these big groups?

You'll find, for instance, that so many agencies were set up even through the 50s, 60s and 70s and onwards, just through, say, even one organization, the Rockefeller Brothers foundation. They were involved in creating the politics of the US, the foreign affairs departments of the US, the military of the US, the CIA of the US, and their foreign geopolitical strategy. Because they literally guided the CIA to go along with their strategies in the Far East, and all through Latin America where their own particular businesses were either already founded and set up, or they had plans for the future to put more businesses into those countries. They wanted a stability put in, enforced by military doctrine and political systems of the US, to ensure their own personal benefit.

But they also shaped a world society and a culture to go along and back up this particular idea for themselves, of what kind of future they wanted to bring in. Then they worked with the other foundations, because they all work collectively. Just look at the IBM annual meetings and look at all the participants. Anybody who is anybody in the corporate world, they're there. They're there; they're all working together. They can't work against each other, they must work together. Many of them have the same minority of shareholders, dominant shareholders running all of them. So we're living in a very, again, fragmented reality. It appears to be fragmented because we're given the fake reality and the fake reality won't cover all of the edges that are sticking out underneath it, of reality at all. It tends to poke itself out.

You've got to think for yourselves to figure anything at all out in this day and age. And don't just jump into groups. Don't follow them because a leader comes along and says the things you want to hear. Ask about the motives, where are they taking you and so on. And since everyone can pretty well read the exposés on the political system and the fact that most, in fact, all top politicians in the so-called Western countries and so on are members of one organization before they go into politics. They're selected, and they follow their orders. Then you should be above all of that. So therefore, when they tell you to just simply go and vote for a party or the guy they put out in front to distract you for a while, you're being led up the garden path. It's not going to work. Obviously.

The general Joe will never break through into reality. He is a creature of conformity, and the propaganda has worked very effectively on him. He doesn't want to rock the boat that he lives in basically. His sphere of influence, he doesn't want to rock it in any way. And he's made his mind up and accepted the opinions that have been given to him. That keeps him out of trouble, he knows this, and he'd do anything for a passive life. It's a shame that we're all born with so many potentials in us but that's how you end up, for so many, many people. They don't want to rock the boat. They want a passive life, and they go out of this existence unfulfilled. They also die without ever knowing what reality was, or is. And that's pretty sad in a sense.

Other folk of course who manage this whole system say it's not sad at all. They literally say, scientifically,

what does it matter if a person knows what truth is? As long as they conform and do what they're told, and serve the system. That's the sad state of reality. And I am always aware, wary I should say, of people who suddenly come out and present themselves as leaders, and lead you in circles forever, you know.

Think for yourselves. As I say, you must think for yourself. And if you don't do that then who is doing the thinking for you? Someone is. Lots of people actually are. And don't just parrot their opinions in conversation, like a clone, a programmed clone. You have a great mind, so use it. And if you use it, it won't solve all the problems. But it certainly makes you free in a sense, you understand what the problems really are and the conology, as I call it, that we're born into, and the techniques that are used to make us go along and conform, and even get updated when it's time to change the system to a little degree to suit the Masters. We are upgraded as it goes along. Always be aware of these techniques being used upon you.

When someone says to you, oh you can't say or you can't think that, or you can't even ask that question, there is something vastly wrong, not just with the person saying it, but the system that allows them to say that, and even promotes them to say that to you. Because surely general inquiry is the only way you can learn anything at all. And you have to ask questions. A child asks all kinds of questions, because they haven't got it through their head yet they're not allowed to. They learn that as they grow up. And they learn that in school. You must socially conform to the status quo, whatever it happens to be at that particular time.

But that's the only way you can possibly learn and come to conclusions and be a more complete human being, is to understand what's happening, why things happen, why you are the way you are. And look at all of the influences working upon you, who do they serve? It's not you. It's not you at all. So start using your minds, for goodness sake, and take that adventure. Now, many folk, I'm well aware, don't want the adventure. They have chosen what seems to be the easy way out, complacency. They can't handle stress that comes from conflicts which arise from understanding different things. Because the conflict can arise when it's in opposition to your indoctrinations. And that sometimes arises in people and they can't quite handle it. Some have a different pace of going forward than others. Don't overdo it, so don't have too big of a meal of it all at one go if you can't handle it; take it a bit at a time. And think it through. In silence, preferably. You can't think with distractions going on.

Well that's a brief run through of something. I had nothing planned again tonight, I just opened my mouth and yapped, so I maybe scratched the surface on a few things. Now remember too, that I can do with your assistance if you like what you're hearing. It certainly is educational. You could possibly help me out by sending something in, you'll find out how to do it at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. I'm not asking for you to send your big donations here; anything at all will do. Because lots of people across the whole planet listen to my talks. Many other people have taken it and put it in book form, for themselves, never mentioning me at all. That's just the way things are in this system and this life we live. But you could certainly help me out too, because it costs a lot of cash, as I say, to keep all the websites going, and everything else going that goes along with it. Also too, I'm amazed at how many people I've never heard of before who simply write in to ask questions about things who are also writing their books. They never think about... They think you're a walking library, doing nothing else, so they give you this to pass the time I guess. But it would certainly help, as I say. Because people have used much of what I've talked about over the years, in universities even, some universities, and professors have written to me to ask me if they could use some of the talks I've given. And other students, I've gone through the universities with them in a sense and they have used my talks for their own thesis in various subjects, etc.

So it would certainly help me if people realized I'm not stinking rich. I don't sit in a smoking jacket and sip brandy. I have to work and live, and I don't expect much in life financially, but enough to certainly get by. And when things get bad you're not getting by. I have to remind people, who become complacent at times too, because folk think they can turn on you and you're on, just like a TV show. Well, I'm not selling ads and that's how television shows can finance themselves, and radio shows, constant advertising, and many of them even have cuts and shares in the things they're selling too. I don't have any of that. I prefer to give you something straightforward without breaks and interruptions, because that's how you truly learn. And I'm not doing it for any other purpose.

So from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

June 22, 2014 (#1445)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Scientific Transition into No Opposition"
© Alan Watt June 22, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - June 22, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on June the 22nd, 2014. I like to read the letters that come into me and the emails because it gives you such a cross-section of society, and it tells you how they're thinking. It lets you know how they think, what's brought them to conclusions, even phases they go through in their lives, as they search for different truths and the answer to everything. It always brings me back to the basic essential that mankind is always a prisoner of his own age, regardless of the age you're born into.

In ancient times we think we know so much about, through history, about ancient peoples and the trends and so on. But people really were thinking in a mythical way much of the time too. Because they didn't understand basic education – they had none, most of the people – and they were easily swayed by early religions, the paganistic kinds of religions, right down to even sacrifice. Because they were terrified of nature in itself, or bad crops, or disease coming in, they would put it down to a curse or something they'd done wrong, offended their deity. And they would make what they thought was recompense, the ultimate sacrifice was generally human life; in between of course it would still come down to animal sacrifice to appease the God or gods.

One of the more frequent events, that happened in ancient times of course, and through many, many centuries up until the last few, were invasions from neighboring tribes and peoples and subjugation of the public into slavery or serfdom of one kind or another. But for long times in between, peace in between, you would have fair peace regardless of the conditions that you were forced to work under or live in. People do adapt, into the most horrific circumstances at times. And we are adaptable, as Darwin said. That's a survival mechanism to get us through hard times, maybe even for centuries at a time, it depends where you happen to be at that time, what you're born into.

But you find too, the rise of the super religions that came along, again, products of their time, their area, civilizations and so on, and the theology built from the cultures of those areas as well, and added to it. And it

didn't really become powerful in a sense until they could use armies. Armies were used to really reinforce on the majority to accept the rule of a particular religion; the armies are then used to enforce it for a long time. That's often forgotten today, as the present religions that are survivors of earlier phases, they alter and change with their times as well, naturally. We also have the so-called progress of science, which has a tremendous impact upon the way we live, our conditions, the system in which we live, etc.

During the revolutionary phases, especially during the industrial era, we find that countries were overthrown because of the conditions the peasants were forced to work under, radically different from their previous generations where they had lived on the land, at least, even if they were still serfs, or slaves you might call them, because you were bought and sold with the land. But for most peasants it was a fairly serene life. They were left alone. They had less bureaucracy interfering in their lives than we have today in fact. They were generally left enough to live, although occasional famines came along and you took your chances and you might perish or not. Plagues, all of these things came along and went as well. So it depends on the time that you live in.

But during the revolutionary phases, they led to nationalism in fact, which most of them definitely did. Nationalism was always the outcome of big revolutionary phases in the 18th century, and onwards as well. Nationalism in itself brought a whole new way of living. It brought the capitalistic systems to the fore, where capitalists then ran the countries at the top, they ran the governments. Often they were one and the same thing; they put their own boys in, and even themselves, into politics. And the serf was simply moved, as I say, in the industrial age from the land to the overcrowded cities and forced to do 14 hours a day sometimes, of cheap but very hard labor. The revolutions came out of that too.

Don't ever forget, during these periods the intelligentsia at the top, the well-educated intelligentsia – lots of leisure time, you need that to be part of the intelligentsia. They could look at everything and look at history itself and look at their present conditions and realize they couldn't hold onto this system forever, unless they began to modify it and give the appearance of justice and the appearance of some freedoms for the general population, then they would allay a lot of the reasons for causing revolution. So they gave you democracy, in other words, too. You always find the class that rule through any particular structural system, regardless of what it's called – even really religions to an extent – are a political class. You can't separate the two. Power comes with total rule and total adherence. If it's a faith or politics or whatever, it's always the same product at the end. It's a form of power.

You can also get minority groups, religious groups who are also incredibly wealthy. Because being an in-group they can work tremendously well with each other. They trust each other totally, almost like a secret society. And they could take that course by increasing wealth and gaining power through their wealthy status, etc. Other ones used the military style, especially when they got big tax bases to use over the general populations. But then you've always got the chance of rebellion because you're always taking more and more money from the general public.

So this is the cause, you'll find, of wars, part of the cause for wars. Many of the reasons for wars too, and even mass movements... And you can't really separate the two. Because the first thing a nation must do, or the leaders of a nation must do to get a country on board for any kind of war, or massive change, is public support. That's where propaganda, of course, comes in. Mass persuasion. But you have to have the reasons behind it, where the mass persuasion will seem to work, otherwise it will simply not take off at all. Today we have scientific propaganda, tremendous sciences involved in persuading the general population. It's pretty well perfected. So they have less chance of outright rebellion, or loss of support from the general public for whatever happens.

That's why we can have so many wars, ongoing, perpetual wars they call them from the military academies today; this is what it's called, 'perpetual wars'. And this is the age for perpetual war, where most of the public don't mind. They don't see the amount of money being squandered to fight various wars. They don't see or even meet those in the big corporations that benefit from the wars. They have simplistic propaganda as to the reasons why the wars are being fought in the first place, which they often believe completely. It's very simplistic and propaganda is always kept that way, very simple, for the people. If you make it too complex people can pick holes in it quite easily and find out that it's all a scam.

Over a hundred years ago people who worked, intelligentsia that worked for the big nations, the big superpowers, realized that as they pushed towards globalism – which was always their goal – they would have a lot of kickback from the nations that they had created to be nationalistic. People get used to having their own nation. You have slightly more protections to do with food and prices, at home for instance. When you go global you lose those protections and you lose whatever identity you think you have with influxes of people from abroad, of different cultures, etc. This was all understood over a hundred years ago by the countries that had already formed leagues, like the League of Nations for instance. These are big superpowers that knew where they were all headed. They knew they had to work in concert to get where they wanted to go, and they had to bring in new policies. Hence the societies that worked behind the scenes, and ran politics from behind the scenes, like the Alfred Milner group, and his group that joined with the Rhodes group and became the Royal Institute for International Affairs, also called the Council on Foreign Relations in other countries.

But they had their big think tanks working all the time, from then to the present time, on how to keep people fairly happy as all these massive changes took place. They understood the causes of nationalism because their earlier founders had been responsible for creating nationalism in different countries, super-nationalism. It had to then be destroyed, gradually, very gradually, by massive, a hundred years of propaganda, gradually, and even wars to get the point home. You couldn't go on being nationalistic in order to get the super international system going. So now the global citizen idea is spoken about openly, amongst the general population who don't even know what it means or who originated the idea, and the big foundations behind promoting it or anything like that. It sounds a good idea. In fact, it's very religious in the way that it's put forth, that we'll all get on well happily together. That's the same kind of old idea. This has been pushed. It started off in primary education, even kindergarten education onwards for quite some time now, to ensure they get the right crop of generations growing up, where those ideas have been inculcated.

History is often cut up for us, or divided into different segments to give us a common understanding, as we talk to each other, about eras in history as we know it, or at least the authorized versions. But in all versions we have today, pretty well, are the authorized versions by whatever system ends up dominating and winning in the end. You'll find that during the Enlightenment phase in history for the first time they floated the idea, again, of individual freedoms and individual liberty. Individuality was the key. That didn't last too long because individuality, it really is a fairly new concept and it never had much of a play. We think today we can be individuals because we can take one of many choices, in hobbies or whatever we want to do or think or believe or whatever, and we think that is individuality. And that's not what it is at all.

Individuality, as it was understood a long time ago, was to be completely out of context with everyone else, at times, but being left alone to think as you wished to think, or speak, or whatever. And that will never be allowed, as I say, in systems of rulership, regardless of the kind of rulership that you think you're living in, whether it's democratic, as we're trained to think of it, or totalitarian, fascist, whatever it happens to be, or communist. In fact, in communism the whole idea is to lose your individuality to serve the common good, the whole good; that's the front that's used to persuade the public to go along with it. We'll find too in some forms of Christianity, that's also used there, to serve the common good. And yet the original Christianity, if you read the little bit that we have by the originators of it, it was also for the person themselves to attain a oneness, to be brought into the presence of God to an extent, even if those around them were not, which is a form of individuality.

Once a concept is a great concept, an idea that rings a bell inside of us or strikes a chord, people tend to lose their individuality and succumb to an authority of those who know how to use movements of any kind or create movements. You can't really create them; you have to come in when the conditions are ripe to create a movement, otherwise you'll get no followers whatsoever. Winston Churchill, for instance, he was a guy who wanted to make his mark in history. Maggie Thatcher was too and she said Churchill was her hero in fact, he led Britain through a war and she couldn't think of anything more great in her lifetime than to be the proud position of leading Britain through a war. So she got her little war, called the Falklands War, but that was to get her name down in history.

But Churchill himself, during the early 1930s, couldn't make a mark for himself; he'd even change parties often depending where which way the wind was going. He was ignored until World War II really came to a conclusion, an inevitable conclusion, for the beginnings of it, and suddenly, because he was the man on the

spot you might say, who had verbalized so much and been listened to and got so much propaganda put out, he was the man they put in charge. You don't need to be intelligent to be what's called a great leader. And you don't need to even be a fanatic. You simply have to have those people around you, the officer class if you like, to believe in you as though you're some kind of holy guy. They are the guys who put things into shape, they get the plans into working order and make it happen. But the person at the top doesn't really have to do that. So things are more complex, often, than they're portrayed to the general public in very simplistic forms.

Globalism has its backlashes from the public too because they're feeling the pinch of their standard of living in the West, and they have for a long time, plummeting like a stone. That's all part of globalization. Because those at the top have their peer groups in other countries and so they all collectively see the people beneath them as the masses, or the peasants you might say. And for austerity's sake, for so-called sharing the wealth and how much they want to allow the public to share, or have the public share in the wealth, the standard of living has to drop globally across the planet. Rather than bring other countries up to a higher standard, equivalent to say the standards they had in the US during the late 60s and early 70s, we must all plummet down and get to some sort of unhappy or happy medium in between, for the global system.

And as they bring the system in you're being trained constantly into authoritarianism under the guise of the war on terror, which is constantly expanding to really go after people who have contrary opinions on things. That's enough to be labeled as a terrorist, for having contrary opinions. Everything is coming into play, as some of us knew it would do a long time ago, because it's a repetitive pattern in history especially to do with the control over masses and the mass movements that come out of them. So I tell people, always be very careful about what you simply jump in to. Most folk truly are reacting to things that are happening today, as opposed to understanding it and then planning tactics of speaking out in a proper fashion to convey the proper ideas to people. And things will take time, obviously. Because it takes time to get messages out to the public so that maybe down the road, who knows when, the public will demand, and that's what it is, demanding, the proper kind of change, not the guided change we're used to, the manipulated guided change that we're used to of the same intelligentsia that already rule us, but something that's more original from the general public themselves. And the one thing that they do lack today is a common philosophy.

There's a lot of irony in even the student protests that you often see because students have always been used, via the professors who are deliberately inserted into a lot of universities to get protests started, to get the big ideas started, which are always eventually controlled and very often lead nowhere. Or where they do lead is exactly where the powers-that-be wish them to end up. And they do. They always think it's from themselves. Every generation, especially the young, think they are behind the big changes. But they don't realize, no, you're simply the agent being used to implement the changes and to push for the changes, which are sold to you as some kind of excess freedom which you never had before.

I remember, as again regarding the fact that they lack a common philosophy, when they were pushing the anti-globalism agenda through university students, so for the general public there was a show of protests on television at the big globalist meetings that they were having, with the G8, G20 and all the rest of it. The students would turn up en masse. And these big meanings were all... It was like a circus. People were dressed like clowns with drums, all the different... old hippies turned up as well, and lots of students. And I remember at one of the globalist meetings, it was in Canada, when the protests were going on, one of the dignitaries, as they like to call them, that attended, went down to the front steps of this big huge building and he said, what is it you want? And they couldn't even verbalize, the students couldn't verbalize... It was like they were all stunned that they'd been asked a question. They knew how to protest but none of them had ever thought about verbalizing anything specific that they actually wanted.

At that time the big thing that was radicalizing them too was supposedly the concern for Third World countries being used as cheap slave labor, which of course they always have been; they forget that their own countries were used that way too for a long time. But it was ironical when one of them with a poster kept waving this placard, about fair wages for China and so on, and they're standing there with their Nike shoes on and their T-shirts on with their slogans on, all made in China. The irony was incredible. I don't know how many folk caught it, very few, even the person that probably wore them. But I thought, this is just ridiculous. Because the reason that they can afford to even be out that day, protesting and so on, in a society like this is because all the stuff is coming from the slave labor in other Third World countries. And

they like to wear the things that are produced for them, with their brand names by the big corporations and all the rest of them that own the slave labor and the new factory plantations.

They all have their cell phones today, all their cheap electronic gear. But no, they don't see the irony at all. They themselves, if the people over in China were paid adequate wages, wouldn't be able to afford those cheap cell phones and their cheap entertainment that they have today, without the slave labor in China. I'm not saying it's right, I'm just pointing the facts out. That's all I do, is point out facts.

Now, this is what you'll find in the 21st century, especially; it was already happening at the latter part of the 20th century. But so-called big movements and protest movements and so on were actually advocated from the top, not from the bottom. The bottom were always used, unknowing to the bottom bunch. They were often financed, the groups were financed by big foundations. The foundations are simply the alternative political means, or one alternative of the political means to get things noticed and discussed in politics, which the big foundations and the guys who own them also own, they own the political establishment as well. Therefore, these protests movements are authorized by the governments, which they're protesting. I found that out a long time ago.

Incredible wealth that was put into the NGO groups, the authorized ones. They were all authorized to exist, by government. They got funding from government. They got funding from foundations. Their leaders were trained professionals. And how could that possibly be a grassroots movement? There's nothing... You don't find grassroots movements today. If you did, actually, and they were not authorized they would be banished very quickly, or taken over and then authorized and licensed. Today we really have well-funded, authorized opposition groups, of all kinds, well-managed so that they never end up really doing anything or changing anything, and definitely never to the extent or even the direction in which they're supposedly there to exist for, unless it's authorized from the top.

The West studied the Soviet system carefully, in fact they were heavily involved, as I've mentioned so many times, in the creation of the Soviet system, and we funded them, and fed them during the entire Soviet era; they couldn't even feed themselves. But it was a big laboratory for getting away with things, and testing on the individuals, and small groups, and large groups, and the whole population. A fantastic laboratory. Lots of behaviorists and psychologists and scientists went back and forth to the Soviet system, all through the Cold War, with no problems at all in travel whatsoever, no restrictions. Because they knew where it was to end up down the road. We know that from the Reece Commission in 1953, to merge the Soviet-style system with that of the West. And that's already happened. In fact, it's happened awfully well. Many of the things that the Communists wanted to get through have already happened. Remember, in communism you basically sacrifice, you sacrifice of yourself, the individuality for the good of the whole, and for a future. There is always a utopic future down the road, where we all live happily ever after together in bliss. An old, old theme and it's gone under many guises in the past but it always tends to work, especially, again, with the idealistic youth. It's a natural thing in youth, is a form of idealism.

The mass movements you'll find too, they want obedience, obedience, basically a submergence of your own self and blind obedience into the whole system. You must control impulses of selfishness or personal goals and so on to the whole, the greater good. And that's being more and more forced down our throats today as the big boys understand the tactics so well and they use this oneness idea. And hence the New Age comes out for all those who are looking for answers to things and they channel those energies into the universal consciousness, and the unified field theory, to all these things that they run off and grab ahold of, never realizing the very clever minds way above them in very expensive suits and ties dreamed all this up for them and helped promote it all, and put the authors out there to churn out their books, mass-produced books and so on, to fascinate the mind and give them the sense of the ideal.

Therefore all mass movements, all of them, put obedience amongst the highest virtues and on a level with faith; it's a holy thing. You find it with Stalin. You found it, too, with Lenin, and Trotsky to an extent as well. Trotsky gave some leeway to the individual, and that was his downfall. He couldn't get the lieutenant class around him, as the rest of the Soviets did and Stalin did, or Adolf Hitler for that matter; his immediate followers around him, his coterie, had blind faith in the man and they could implement ideas and make them work. So this is how these mass movements work.

Remember, there are always followers and there are leaders. You'll sometimes find the leader of a mass movement who's propagating some new idea, philosophy or whatever it happens to be, has less belief in his own philosophy than the followers. It's important to him that followers go along with it and truly believe. They are the true believers. Where he himself is more of an individual because he enjoys getting it started, he has the power of a CEO or even larger, and he gets all the acclaim and fame that he wants, the glory, so-called glory as they call it. In another field he may have gone into being a cattle rustler; many of the British aristocracy and European ones began as cattle rustlers, and thieves, and plunderers. And the next generation, once they're in a position of wealth they put their children into the best schools and they become a new kind of aristocracy. In the US you can look at the Rockefellers and other big families of course who had sometimes less than honorable beginnings to get started. Their descendants are then worshiped by the general public as being successful people, because they now run, in a legal fashion, things which may be immoral, in their tactics, etc., to gain incredible monopoly power over whole vast fields of energy and resources and so on. But that's the way things are today.

I've often mentioned, the psychopath smells the wind. He smells the times in which he lives, and the moment in which he lives, and he will seize the chance when it occurs to him or appears to him, he'll grab it. And he knows which side to join to get what he wants, the position he wants to be in. He will say all the right things; he'll feel your pain. He'll verbalize everything that's been discussed amongst the general population, the disaffected peoples you might say.

Mass movements need genuine grievances to take off, otherwise they'd go nowhere; no one would get any converts to it. Therefore there has to be true grievances there where people are frustrated and there's lack of opportunity for even work, never mind upward mobility. So many things can cause the grievances to be prevalent throughout society; economies fail, this kind of thing. This was a great problem just before World War II in the Western countries, the fear of communism, and revolution, if they couldn't get out of the Great Depression which was worldwide at that time, and in some countries more so than others.

But there's one form of mass revolution we don't think about today and that's when the system you're in and which you think is yours gradually transforms itself in such a way that it in itself is a mass movement, from within, at the top. And really, that's where it's all gone today. Because professionals have studied this phenomenon for centuries, of the rise and fall of nations. Nations generally arose in the past through so-called great leaders, men of action as opposed to men of thought or intellect. They would use force and conquest and create blind followers for whatever was their mottos, their slogans and so on, and they would find those followers as long as you were winning. But eventually you'd run out of enemies, or you'd be beaten yourself and that was the end of that, some other person from your own country or another nation would take over and form its own empire, create its own empire by the same techniques.

We seldom think that your system, that you think is democracy, can be taken over from the top, by elites and intelligentsia who have studied this phenomenon and who understand it perfectly well, that they can't allow this to happen in a time where even though we have these wars across the world we don't have the all-out great wars, as they call them, or world wars going on forever. We do certainly have perpetual wars, there's no doubt about that. Therefore they came up with ideas to manage the public in a more scientific manner.

I've mentioned about many of the big players in the past that helped to decide what kind of system they would bring in, and how to manage it and manage the general public, and in such a way that incrementally, and through training and education, generation by generation, they could hold onto a society as they were taking away the rewards that that society had from their work and their labor and their adherence to the system. That's what we're in today. Therefore, going into a global society and a system, you have to be constantly monitored; that's one thing about it, no doubt about it. Force is always used in mass societies. Coercion and force, and the force can take many guises today of course under the guise of terrorism or antigovernment or antiestablishment, whatever it wants to be called, whatever. That's a technique that's used today to nip things in the bud before they can get out of hand, as the system, that you're still being trained and told is yours, even though the general public, the average person can see that somehow this is not the system that's propagated to them through propaganda – it's not the same system as actually exists; it's something beyond that. There's many names for it of course. We call it fascism, where corporations rule, and they rule governments too. And it's very, very true. It's closer to that than anything else. But the same

fascists give you a form of collectivism down below; this is what they call this new system of austerity and communitarianism for the global society. It's very, very clever.

Because there are countless think tanks working full time, and they have access with the intelligence networks of all our data. Constantly they keep the pulse of the public. They know what the topics are, the themes that are coming around the public and what they're chatting about and so on, and they know how to nip it in the bud and try to counter it. This is the delicate process that's going on today. Overplaying their hand at the top would require excessive force and they don't want to show excessive force because that's exactly what the Communists tried to use from the Soviet Union on the West. If they could goad the West into coming out with outright authoritative force on the public, that would help to foment rebellion on behalf of the Soviet type system, the communism. But today it's very well-managed. This is the scientifically-controlled society in which we actually live. And don't forget for an instant too, that they understand that if people who became leaders were to possibly arise, they could be a threat, therefore they'll often supply you with the leaders.

As I've said before, the NGOs that seem to be all for you – or segments, that's more correct actually, segments of you, which is a red herring in a sense, or a caveat – you don't realize that they're not yours at all. They're being funded, as I say, by you, your tax money funds them, and the big private foundations which are front organizations set up by the big giant international corporations and very wealthy families. If you truly lived in a democratic society you would all have the same rights. You wouldn't find… What you're finding today is under the guise of democracy they're putting on a show of helping certain groups, distinct minority groups, of all kinds, and elevating them up to special status. And people are misguided, thinking, well that's democracy in action. If it was democracy in action, no, you'd all have the same rights for employment and everything else and housing and you name it. But that's not what's actually happening. That's not democracy as you're seeing it. It's a technique of divide and conquer in order to rule over all of you. Because with each special right a group gets, more than the whole, it causes dissension and anger among certain segments of society.

This is called the Century of Change. The changes that they've talked about of course are bringing in not just the authoritarian system, eventually it's an even better scientific control over the general population. Not just complete monitoring over every individual and what they do in their spare time and so on, but also the indoctrination in a more perfected form from birth, right through their lives, to death. That's what they mean by the scientifically-controlled society, where you're finding it being implemented in certain ways – a very old idea, by the way – through psychology and psychiatry and pharmaceutical agencies working together, by the drugging of young children and the mandatory testing of young children throughout their lives from about the age of two years old, or even younger as in the case of Scotland with the GIRFEC program.

So this is to make sure that there's fine adjustments given to the child and upwards through their lives, constant psychological evaluations, testing them for opinions to make sure that they're standardized. If they're not standardized they'll have to be rectified or drugged or whatever else, and go through therapies, to be properly adjusted. And this is their scientific control. And it's not to end there. We also know that massive amounts of money have gone into fields, not just big Pharma to do with active mind-control substances. But also we find it in the electrical systems as well of the body, where they can be manipulated, fine-tuned and so on from outside the body, and manipulated by electrical magnetic waves. We know that the body cells work on very minute currents; you don't need a lot of strong signal to do so. Therefore single antennas in certain areas can cause our moods to change, very simply, and cheaply too, for the authorities, and make you passive, and passivity also makes you more suggestive to propaganda, things like that.

So you find there are many techniques at play right now to ensure the rule down through society without some great massive upheaval as we've seen in the past with great mass movements, what's caused massive bloodshed etc. etc. In fact, these are the reasons they give at the top for quietly and secretively implementing these techniques upon the general public today, without their consent… For the greater good, in other words, for stability.

Something else that those at the top have learned, and I don't mean temporary rulers; what you see is temporary rulers. But this perpetual system that's always there, generation to generation, what they also see and understand is that anything which is too overt will be resisted by the public in some way or another,

at least by a segment of society. Therefore they've put so much money and incredible studying into us, how we tick, how we work, etc., human nature you might say. They've found of course that if they make things attractive and appealing we can actually swallow the poison, you might say. Because we get some benefit, even though temporary, in the actual taking of the poison. And by that I mean, if we look at the effects of electrical radiation from cell phones and so on, almost everyone's got the cell phone today. Because from the cell phone they find a gratification there of things which they wouldn't have without it. So this is how they plan to implement all the different techniques down the road, as making things desirable. We desire, to an extent, our own demise because of the temporary gains we think we're getting from the gadgetry, which are being supplied, and where they want to take it down the road as they say.

It was interesting to me, for instance, that many of the studies they've done on hidden cameras, CCTV cameras, which they're using in some of the elderly homes where they're occasionally looked in upon by workers. Sometimes if they're fairly ambulant they're not looked in so often but they have the cameras there and they're monitored. They found out the people wouldn't behave, or relax properly if they knew they were being monitored. So when they could hide the cameras the people would know they were there but accept them and gradually forget their presence altogether. It's much the same with the way things work today with society and where science is taking people. It's very, very effective indeed.

The Pentagon has put out papers, other universities have put papers out on, of the electrical phenomenon, the way that the eye perceives things for instance with high definition and high pixels, etc. The Pentagon did publish papers that they could weaponize – they had tried it and it worked. They could weaponize the screen by simply using certain colors and frequencies and subliminal flashes which could alter brain patterns, and even if they wanted to they could cause electrical signals to turn off the impulse that goes to the heart and stop the heart. Totally weaponized. Very scary stuff. So that's where most money goes. It's not going to help people. It's going to control people.

This is a phase in history which we have never seen before, because generally plots were uncovered and the public would have a righteous indignation. People of different persuasions of faith or whatever could come together and agree that this was all bad and do something about it. But today, with the techniques of psychology, behaviorism and neuroscience all working together, then it's much more difficult, especially when the general public still are addicted to certain things like entertainment, constant entertainment. Now it's instant communication and perpetual communication; they can be influenced much more easily by things which are invisible to them, but in their possession.

This might seem far-fetched to some people, because you've been trained to be skeptical about things like this, that's not made a big deal of by the media. The media tells you what to think about, what to even worry about, because you've come to accept it as an external part of your own reasoning. And it's not there for that reason whatsoever. But you've got to understand that medicine is specializing, and has been for a long time, in certain areas to do with electrical impulses. They've been checking out the frequencies of each type of cell, tissue cell in the body. And every organ is made of different tissues, they give different frequencies off and so on. Even the nourishments that pass along the body on enzymes and proteins have a frequency too and when it matches the particular one of that tissue it transmits across to the actual tissue cell that uses it, other frequencies are bypassed altogether and ignored. So this is a spinoff of the weapons industry. All medical so-called revelations that come along come as an offshoot of the weapons industry. And weapons, remember, we've got to stop thinking of just basic weapons like cannons and all the rest of it, and think of it as overall structures of control. Because that's really what weaponry is. And it's being used on the general public in ways which they don't understand or even know that exist.

Even during the early days of radar they understood perfectly well, very quickly, the effects of people who stood on the transmission paths of radar, the health effects. They also understood that those around the frequency, because there was always a scatter off a beam, there's an outside scatter they call it, and those around those areas of particular scatter would become ill, and in very specific ways which were soon understood, the common symptoms, etc. But the same thing is happening today with all the Wi-Fi and microwave energy in the air. There are over, I think, 700 to 800 new types of frequencies in the atmosphere, electrical frequencies which did not exist in nature. And we live with them every day. And as I say, since we're chemical/biological/electrical beings it's quite easy to see how that can be manipulated. And that was the idea of the warfare industry when they understood that an awful long time ago, that they could

manipulate whole populations by certain types of weaponization of these frequencies and directions of them, etc.

This touches on psychotronic warfare which Brzezinski talked about in his book Between Two Ages. He said, shortly a technique will be used, can be used on the public, which obviously it was already working then for him to say that; they had it and they knew it worked. And there is lots of documentation that has come out from people who were involved in these projects, actually, since then. Where, he said, we could put beams across a whole continent and influence the behavior of whole populations. And that was in the 1970s that he wrote that particular book. So it's not far-fetched at all. People say, well they would never do that. See, 'the unthinkable' is always the safeguard the elites count on. People think, that's unthinkable, therefore they'd never do that. But there's nothing which has ever been invented, and there's no weapon that's ever been invented that has not been used. And when so much time and care has gone into maintaining power and control, even when that form of power is obviously changed, at the top, then people still don't want to think it could be used on them. But that's the one thing that's paramount in those who understand the control of societies in scientific ages, they must use science upon the general public, more advanced sciences, beyond persuasion and coercion as they've done in the past.

In the past those things which worked often took a long time, a period of time, to defy and have altered or stopped, nipped in the bud you might say. Today, is there that much time? because the so-called progress or rate of advancement of scientific technique is extraordinary, extraordinary in its speed. There's so much money and wealth and power going into so many industries involved in it today too. Many universities are doing studies and doing experimentation for the big Military-Industrial Complex, that they're so far ahead; do you really have time to educate the people over two or three generations to stop something from happening? It doesn't really seem that way. And it's even worse, as I say, when youngsters are trained very early on, they've even now got toy cell phones for babies basically, making sure that this is a normal thing to have in their possession from the earliest of ages, just to get them used to the idea. And that's only one part of a process which they plan to have you follow up throughout your lives.

So it's rather scary, as I say. And there's never been a time in history where such technique has been used on the public, and plans to be used, on a massive, even a world scale eventually, for supposed world peace. World peace means the absence of all opposition, remember. And opposition means free thought, absence of free thought. It's a very scary time indeed to live. It's not a time to panic and put your head in the ground either. Because those who don't speak out can only blame themselves down the road. They can't blame themselves for it all happening. It's happening because you do, we've always had rather evil people in power. I don't care what kind of regime it's called, there's always been evil people that work their way in. The psychopaths will do it in any type of regime and eventually take it over from the inside if they possibly can. And that's what of course has happened some time ago.

I used to wonder why many of the philosophers of the 20th century who were all for this system that I'm talking about right now, blamed the general public. And they did. Not the leaders, not the manufacturers of weaponry. They blamed the public for being so willing to follow armies into war, or follow leaders for revolutionary purposes. And as I say, they've been working steadily ever since to ensure that could never happen again. And I mean, never happen again. If your mind can't work properly, if it never has worked properly from your birth, because of the different techniques used upon you, what chance would you possibly have? But at the same time since there's a slim chance today of understanding these things, we should definitely be talking about them. Because that's how ripples spread. And that's how things can be challenged down the road, if we have time to challenge anything at all, in open forums, etc. And it has to be done rather quickly.

The problem is, counterintelligence is always involved. Leaders are supplied, who start talking the talk, that you're talking about, and then they'll take it off into outer space, or into finding your inner being, or your vibratory self and you can vibrate out, all the nonsense they bring into it until they can discredit actual fact. They're awfully good at taking basic facts and helping to discredit them, and you get lumped in with those who bring out the fantastical. Remember too, it's not UFOs that are doing this; that's another great ploy that they use too... Oh it must be aliens, because humans would never do this to us. Nonsense.

The history of humanity, really, has been quite a horror show for much of its existence. And the solution, as

the elites see it – who understand the histories, etc; they're well taught and versed in all of this; they get lectures all the time by top philosophers and historians; they understand it well. They don't plan that happening again; in other words, rebellions or any change from the public whatsoever down the road. Be very, very wary. Humans do this. Humans are doing all of this.

Our money, our sciences, our education, academia is on board with this, for world peace as they want to call it. And you better ask yourself what they mean, what they mean by peace. Never think you have the same definition as the term would imply. Because they have their own definitions of pretty well all of the slogans they put out there for you to follow and chant.

Just a thought, as I say. I never really prepare any talk. I just talk off the top of my head depending on what's in my head at that particular moment. So you can always check into these things I'm talking about. You can get the sublime to the ridiculous, because as I say, there will always be counterintelligence out there. But stick with the basic facts, the provable published facts, and there's lots of them out there on these particular topics, especially the electronic type warfare technologies. There's lots and lots of information out there.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

June 29, 2014 (#1446)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"No Utopian Passes for the Masses"
© Alan Watt June 29, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - June 29, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on June the 29th, 2014. Right away I'd like to mention the massive geo-engineering which is going on and has been continuously now since '98. It's been pretty well daily across a good part of the planet and of course the weather, you can obviously see, is well-controlled in most parts of the world today. So we're going through massive changes. We won't see summers in places like Canada like we used to have, or even in Europe for that matter where at the end of the fall a lot of the grass in parks and so on would turn a kind of hay-colored brown as it was kind of burned by the sun. Those days are all over. Today of course we've got more and more rain in a lot of parts of Canada and other countries, and Europe too is getting it as well. We are well under weather control.

Of course it has many other effects apart from controlling the weather, believe you me. Because the big boys who've got massive access to your massive amounts of taxation, in other words an endless supply of cash, have no problems taking the cash for all their different projects. And they like many bangs for one buck sometimes so I'm sure they're doing a lot more with the spraying than just altering the weather. But here where I am in Canada we had six months solid of snow, no thaw and straight into rain. Then every so often, if you get one sunny day or partially sunny day, you'll always have the trails around and the milky sky with the trails by the spraying. But you'll definitely get four or five days of continuous rain if you have one half-decent day. That seems to be the pattern, and has been for at least five years now. It's getting worse and worse, until it's pretty well miserable.

But that's the way it's planned to be, to save us all of course, from global warming. [Alan chuckles.] Meanwhile we've have the coldest temperatures all through the winter that I've ever had in Canada and a lot of the old-timers say that they've never seen it since the 60s and maybe even before that. And today we have temperatures going down to the 40s, sometimes 40 degrees at night here, in the middle and the end of June. So that's how bad it is.

Now, for the last few talks I've given I've mentioned power and control and those in control, and how

everything that's happening today was planned generally before most folk were born, by big committees, big think tanks, international committees working with these think tanks, the foundations behind them that supply many of them with money and they're matched dollar for dollar with your tax money as well. Because the control and the manipulation of the masses is nothing new. It's a very old idea and it really took blossom and came out openly, I'd say, in the 1800s. In fact, perhaps more so openly because back then they didn't have the so-called nice etiquettes to conform to; they could simply go ahead and do things from government right down to local levels and the people obeyed. And most of the folk were illiterate actually, or semi-illiterate at the very least, and they had no idea. They just were taught to obey, obey. Authority used brute force when they had to, with impunity.

It wasn't till really after World War II the people started to see what they thought was for the first time a semblance of freedom – and even liberal freedom in its true sense, not its political party sense today – where they could do things, say things and discuss things that were perhaps forbidden before. But that's been taken away gradually too under different guises, including terrorism which of course expands on its books; once it's on the books it expands to take in lots of other things which the governments don't want. And I mean governments, plural, because they all work in concert. We really do have way more than just the embryo of global government here; it's in place and it has been for a long time. The transatlantic deals that they've been doing for, even since World War I, and working on before World War I to the present day, were all part of that; and I've given talks in the past about that.

So as I say, here we are in the 21st century and we're going full-steam ahead for the Century of Change. And this is it, where all the big changes, of training the people now back into simply obeying authority, don't think about those in power, just obey. If you notice in fact many politicians now are pretty well hidden from the general public. You get the occasional statements put out by their marketing departments, the Prime Minister or President said this, and it's a little paragraph somewhere. This is training you not to be involved, to leave this super elite at the top to do their serious work while you do your little stuff at the bottom. That's basically how you're being trained into the authoritarian society.

As I say, the big players that helped form this present culture, and planned these present changes including the cultural changes that would have to take place to bring their big plan through, the mass immigration of all countries in Europe and North America, literally they said would be, it would have bigger movements of people eventually than even that of the Industrial Revolution, when people were uprooted, by the millions, and forced into the big cities to work in the slave factories.

With scientific techniques being used on the general population of massive propaganda, incredible marketing, psychologists working with the marketing companies, and the PR machines too for all levels of governance including even your local police in fact – that always comes through a police spokesperson, and it's generally someone who's trained in marketing – how to lessen the impact of a truth by omission, etc., to make it palatable. Palatable to the public, that's how it really works. It's quite a simple technique in fact. And it works all the time and it's given all the time. Daily you see nothing but a public relations spokesperson said this or that, whatever it happens to be. And we're just used to it, we've been trained to be used to this kind of thing.

Now, the elite back in the 1930s had already been studying the Soviet system intensely. They were also studying the rise of what they called Nazi Germany, or socialist Germany, National Socialism. They looked and watched these big propaganda techniques being used, and the Soviets really used it first, from the very beginning, by brute force, by the terror, the red terror which they used. They really tried that of course in the French Revolution, the reign of terror. And that's the first thing that they do after the revolution, is to make sure people obey by simply being terrified of disobeying and the consequences and penalties it had, which were always extreme and well-publicized, which cowed everybody else into conformity.

Now, when we look too at, say, people like Aldous Huxley, the brother of Julian Huxley. Aldous Huxley had written Brave New World, and he also wrote Brave New World Revisited; in the 1950s he wrote that second one. He went through it in the scientific way, rather than a story form, to show you why such a system can be brought in, how it can be brought in, and he shows you the techniques which of course were well understood even back then in the 1930s. It's still the same techniques today because these truths are really eternal. what works, as Plato said, in one generation, the techniques that are used to make the people do

something or comply with something, can be reused over again if you use the same sequence and the format, because it's all formula, you see. Introduce it without changes, that kind of formula, even though the topic can be different or the purpose can be different, and you will achieve the results that you want.

So in other words, humanity in itself doesn't really change, the human mind hasn't changed. And we're human creatures and we share a lot of things in common. The big boys of course study us intensely through behaviorists and psychologists and neuroscientists. There's hidden cameras; now it's even fantastic with all the CCTV cameras everywhere on streets and cities and we're intensely studied in every situation, for professionals who then use these techniques to manipulate us. It's rather amazing, really. And the public have been trained now to be used to being on camera wherever they are, in cities especially, and it's now in towns of course.

Now, this part here is in Brave New World Revisited; it's page 54 when he talks about democracy. Now remember, these guys, as I always say, they speak with a forked tongue; they speak to their own class. And they also publish these books that anyone can read, knowing that most folk will only see the bits that they want to see and they don't take in the bits between the lines you might say, that are of vital importance to those who are in the business of controlling nations and continents even. But he said here that...

Democratic institutions are devices for reconciling social order with individual freedom and initiative, and for making the immediate power of a country's rulers subject to the ultimate power of the ruled. (Alan: Now, that's the ideal form of democracy he's talking about which really hasn't been in existence. And the little bit we had came in after World War II, as I say, a little bit after World War I under social reforms but it was more of a show. The same elite were still in power; they don't have to get elected into power because the politicians aren't the bosses and never have been.) The fact that, in Western Europe and America, these devices have worked (Alan: ...and this was written in the 50s, remember.), all things considered, not too badly, is proof enough that the eighteenth-century optimists were not entirely wrong. Given a fair chance, human beings can govern themselves, and govern themselves better, though perhaps with less mechanical efficiency, than they can be governed by "authorities independent of their will." (Alan: And it's true, we can be IF we have all this incredible training and indoctrination taken off our backs, and you have other economic conditions and so on.) Given a fair chance, I repeat; for the fair chance is an indispensable prerequisite. No people that passes abruptly from a state of subservience under the rule of a despot to the completely unfamiliar state of political independence can be said to have a fair chance of making democratic institutions work. Again, no people in a precarious economic condition has a fair chance of being able to govern itself democratically. (Alan: And here's the key too, he says...) Liberalism (Alan: ...and I mean old-fashioned liberalism, to speak your thoughts and your purpose of mind without being stoned by a crowd or an opposing group or something like that.) Liberalism flourishes in an atmosphere of prosperity and declines as declining prosperity makes it necessary for the government to intervene ever more frequently and drastically in the affairs of its subjects. (Alan: ...and that's very important to understand that. A little paragraph but...) Liberalism flourishes in an atmosphere of prosperity and declines as declining prosperity makes it necessary for the government to intervene ever more frequently and drastically in the affairs of its subjects.

So we're seeing this today of course. Because the same boys that planned the Soviet revolution, they planned and they financed Nazi Germany by the way, to rise up, and they financed into being the United Nations, NATO and all the other different groups of course, and they're still running the world today. They're running the present wars today. These guys are still in control, and their descendants are still in control, and they have their big, big plans for the future. And they don't plan to have a democratic society. I've mentioned before many times that the Club of Rome, one of their major think tanks, has stated over and over again that democracy would never work. And they have some truths on their side in that there are so many different groups now all clamoring for power and money and so on, and tax money, for more power, that they could never, ever peacefully coexist. And there are radical groups out there too on the political fringes of the different political spheres. So we know that's true to an extent. But that's all been encouraged, again, by the same power elite who helped to fund all these groups, in fact create them, these particular groups that are all opposing each other, to show you, to prove that democracy won't work. It won't work if they keep funding new radical groups that demand power and rights, and special rights, because today it's not equal rights they're after. Everyone who is after rights is for special rights, above the ordinary person. And that's why they get so much funding too. And once they have their rights, the funding

keeps going, so why would you keep funding them if they have their rights, etc. etc.?

These same people brought you, as I say, the free-trade idea. They've been on the go for a hundred years with this whole idea, pushing it and pushing it and planning it, and figuring out all the different fallouts from free-trade, including the nations that would be forced into it. The same guys drafted up the integration for Europe, a non-political party, a group actually, a private group, a club you might call it, drafted up the plans for the integration of the whole of Europe, and for the European Parliament to eventually be set up. They also drafted up the one for the NAFTA agreements, and the same boys worked on the free-trade, the transpacific partnerships, etc. etc. It's always the same groups that put them out and they draft up the big agreements and hand it to the governments to sign. And they've actually admitted that in Canada in 2006 or 2007, I think it was. They admitted that when they came on television, a private group, non-elected, unknown by most of the general public, drafted all of that up.

They also, as I say, understood that with free-trade and so on that you would be de-industrialized. That was always the plan, to deindustrialize the Western countries and replace it with what they call a service economy. They talked about it in the 70s that a service economy – where you buy things, import stuff from abroad and resell them, pass them around you might say – could only survive for a limited period of time. Well, welcome to the end of the limited period of time. Because when you take just one factory for instance, one manufacturing company, and all of the subsidiary companies that supply it with different parts, etc., materials, that's a lot of work just for one place. That's all gone today. And the boys that brought you free-trade, and gave all your trade to China and made the deals to import all the stuff from China, knew this would happen to all of you across Europe and North America and so on. That's the real world that we live in today. Never ever believe that they just bungle their way through and mistakes occur and they regret it after the fact. It just simply doesn't happen. As I say, think tanks plan every piece of the strategy. They go over repercussions of all kinds and they find ways to, again, calm the people and get them over that hump, until they hit the next hump, and on it goes and on it goes.

Now, Huxley goes on to talk in Brave New World Revisited about overpopulation. His kind... I call them, their kind, because he was of a class. His brother called his class, that he belonged to, the Huxleys belonged to, the scientific elite. There was an academic elite, a scientific elite, and there also was the financial elite, and then the aristocratic elite too. So he belonged technically to the aristocratic elite and also the scientific elite. They saw the people as a mass of people, and that's why they talk about the populations as the masses, in fact, over and over again. Their problem for a long time was to see what would happen in the future... How could they control the masses who are always pushing for more rights or democracy or something, and how could they control that and still keep power? How could they... Could you give so much freedom to the public, what would they do with it? Would they start to lose control of their own classes which they had to have the public listen to and obey all the time? Whenever you hear the famous names of the old families everyone suddenly goes into subservience; would that continue or would it not? But he goes on about overpopulation because, again, they've always been terrified of too many people on the planet, till eventually there simply would be too many to ever manage; that's under their management.

Over-population and over-organization are two conditions which, as I have already pointed out, deprive a society of a fair chance of making democratic institutions work effectively. (Alan: What we have today, definitely, is the over-organization of all government departments, that expand like cancers, constantly. Whenever you create them they very quickly try to take over more powers, each one of them does, every branch does, right down to your police in fact. And the more power they take on the more indispensable they think that they are; that's the whole idea of taking on more power.) We see, then, that there are certain historical, economic, demographic and technological conditions which make it very hard for Jefferson's rational animals (Alan: ...meaning human beings...), endowed by nature with inalienable rights and an innate sense of justice, to exercise their reason, claim their rights and act justly within a democratically organized society. We in the West have been supremely fortunate in having been given our fair chance of making the great experiment in self-government. (Alan: I don't know when that happened; I think I must've blinked.) Unfortunately it now looks as though, owing to recent changes in our circumstances, this infinitely precious fair chance were being, little by little, taken away from us. (Alan: Now, who, what "us" is he talking about here?) And this, of course, is not the whole story. These blind impersonal forces are not the only enemies of individual liberty and democratic institutions. There are also forces of another, less abstract character, forces that can be deliberately used by power-seeking individuals

whose aim is to establish partial or complete control over their fellows. (Alan: Now that part is true. From any class whatsoever people can rise up, through bully tactics, over-shouting other peoples tactics, that kind of thing, and they tend to get immense followers, actually; and there's reasons for that which I'll go into as this talk goes on.)

Fifty years ago, when I was a boy, it seemed completely self-evident that the bad old days were over, that torture and massacre, slavery, and the persecution of heretics, were things of the past. Among people who wore top hats, traveled in trains, and took a bath every morning such horrors were simply out of the question. After all, we were living in the twentieth century. A few years later these people who took daily baths and went to church in top hats were committing atrocities on a scale undreamed of by the benighted Africans and Asiatics. In the light of recent history it would be foolish to suppose that this sort of thing cannot happen again. It can and, no doubt, it will. But in the immediate future there is some reason to believe that the punitive methods of 1984 will give place to the reinforcements and manipulations of Brave New World.

In Brave New World they called it 'a painless imprisonment,' where you would be drugged, you had massive constant propaganda, to keep you in a state of limbo, of emotional limbo, just be fairly contented regardless of the conditions you were actually living in. And that's what we're getting today in a sense. As we go down the tubes for years now they keep telling us how good it is, and keep giving us happy little things on the news even. They had meetings in the US and Canada about that. Rather than give them all bad news, meaning the realistic news, they would give you all the little trivial things that have got nothing to do with reality, or your life in fact. Now it says here in the book too...

But in the immediate future there is some reason to believe that the punitive methods of 1984 will give place to the reinforcements and manipulations of Brave New World. (Alan: The techniques would be all used on the general population.)

There are two kinds of propaganda -- rational propaganda in favor of action that is consonant with the enlightened self-interest of those who make it and those to whom it is addressed, and non-rational propaganda that is not consonant with anybody's enlightened self-interest, but is dictated by, and appeals to, passions, blind impulses, unconscious cravings or fears. (Alan: And they understood enough back then of the human mind. Believe you me, they understood the human mind all right, of the primitive part that's in all human nature. He says...) ...blind impulses, unconscious cravings or fears. Where the actions of individuals are concerned there are motives more exalted than enlightened self-interest, but where collective action has to be taken in the fields of politics and economics, enlightened self-interest is probably the highest of effective motives. If politicians and their constituents always acted to promote their own or their country's long-range self-interest, this world would be an earthly paradise. As it is, they often act against their own interests, merely to gratify their least creditable passions; the world, in consequence, is a place of misery. Propaganda in favor of action that is consonant with enlightened self-interest appeals to reason by means of logical arguments based upon the best available evidence fully and honestly set forth. (Alan: And that's propaganda that comes along to try and persuade you of what's the best, or better things, or course of action to take. They call it 'nudging' as well; even on your computers, the computer actually will try to nudge you to look at particular stories and things like that. That's to make sure that you have the proper indoctrination as you think you're just passing your time.)Propaganda in favor of action dictated by the impulses that are below self-interest offers false, garbled or incomplete evidence, avoids logical argument and seeks to influence its victims by the mere repetition of catchwords (Alan: ...slogans and so on...), by the furious denunciation of foreign or domestic scapegoats, and by cunningly associating the lowest passions with the highest ideals, so that atrocities are perpetrated in the name of God and the most cynical kind of Realpolitik becomes a matter of religious principle and patriotic duty. (Alan: In other words, authoritarian in a sense.)

In John Dewey's words, "a renewal of faith in common human nature, in its potentialities in general, and in its power in particular to respond to reason and truth, is a surer bulwark against totalitarianism than a demonstration of material success or a devout worship of special legal and political forms." The power to respond to reason and truth exists in all of us. But so, unfortunately, does the tendency to respond to unreason and falsehood -- particularly in those cases where the falsehood evokes some enjoyable emotion, or where the appeal to unreason strikes some answering chord in the primitive, subhuman depths of our

being. In certain fields of activity men have learned to respond to reason and truth pretty consistently. The authors of learned articles do not appeal to the passions of their fellow scientists and technologists. They set forth what, to the best of their knowledge, is the truth about some particular aspect of reality, they use reason to explain the facts they have observed and they support their point of view with arguments that appeal to reason in other people.

So he's talking about the difference between using reason to persuade. But again too, he's always hinting, and telling you actually, that only the intellectual can understand and respond to reason. And he's trying to tell you that the more primitive people will respond to passions, blind passions and lose their individuality in the herd, as they say. And that technically is a truth as well. But also too, there has to be a truth to appeal to the herd in the first place to stir them up in one way or another. Something has to be... They can't just constantly tell lies to them and yell at them. If you're trying to stir them up you have to respond to things which they're actually talking about and complaining about, obviously. But this, again, is what Jefferson said...

"If a nation expects to be ignorant and free," said Jefferson, "it expects what never was and never will be. . . . The people cannot be safe without information. Where the press is free, and every man able to read, all is safe." (Alan: Now that's not really true either because we know there are self-interested groups of people who can be awfully wealthy, even collectively or individually or both, and they can put incredible amounts of money and take parts of the press over. And you still think it is free but you're getting someone else's self-interested thoughts put into yours basically, and their spins on things as well. So even if the government steps out of the way you've got private organizations and groups to think about too. He says...) . . . The people cannot be safe without information." Across the Atlantic another passionate believer in reason was thinking about the same time, in almost precisely similar terms. Here is what John Stuart Mill wrote of his father, the utilitarian philosopher, James Mill: "So complete was his reliance upon the influence of reason over the minds of mankind, whenever it is allowed to reach them, that he felt as if all would be gained, if the whole population were able to read, and if all sorts of opinions were allowed to be addressed to them by word or in writing, and if by the suffrage they could nominate a legislature to give effect to the opinions they had adopted." All is safe, all would be gained! Once more we hear the note of eighteenth-century optimism. Jefferson, it is true, was a realist as well as an optimist. He knew by bitter experience that the freedom of the press can be shamefully abused. (Alan: ...and that's true, as I say, by special groups and so on.) "Nothing," he declared, "can now be believed which is seen in a newspaper." (Alan: ...and that was at the present time that he lived in! Everything back then, in Jefferson's day, was propaganda.) And yet, he insisted (and we can only agree with him), "within the pale of truth, the press is a noble institution, equally the friend of science and civil liberty." Mass communication, in a word, is neither good nor bad; it is simply a force and, like any other force, it can be used either well or ill. Used in one way, the press, radio and the cinema are indispensable to the survival of democracy. Used in another way, they are among the most powerful weapons in the dictator's armory. (Alan: Now remember too, what applies to a dictator can apply to an oligarchy as well, who simply run government, generation after generation.) In the field of mass communications as in almost every other field of enterprise, technological progress has hurt the Little Man and helped the Big Man. As lately as fifty years ago, every democratic country could boast of a great number of small journals and local newspapers. Thousands of country editors expressed thousands of independent opinions. Somewhere or other almost anybody could get almost anything printed. Today the press is still legally free (Alan: ...and this is back in the 1950s...) but most of the little papers have disappeared.

Now, I've gone through the big private institution that's got a lot to do with this, the Royal Institute of International Affairs – and the Council on Foreign Relations which is the same branch for America and other parts of the world – and how the Rockefellers who ran them, they helped start it up in the States, the US branch, and they also monopolized industry, also monopolized the media. They brought in top experts to do surveys, to do their statistics and studies and all the rest of it in think tanks, and they found that if they could control, at that time, only 30 newspapers across the whole of the US or North America then all the other papers would follow and copy what they said. So as I say, you cannot be utopian about anything because believe you me, there are always other parties with their own particular self-interests who have their own particular agendas, and don't want the public to be free to read any bit of real true news that happens to be obtained. Huxley goes on to say in regards to propaganda...

In regard to propaganda the early advocates of universal literacy and a free press envisaged only two

possibilities: the propaganda might be true, or it might be false. They did not foresee what in fact has happened, above all in our Western capitalist democracies -- the development of a vast mass communications industry, concerned in the main neither with the true nor the false, but with the unreal, the more or less totally irrelevant. In a word, they failed to take into account man's almost infinite appetite for distractions. (Alan: Actually there was a group that did certainly not forget that, and supplied them. That's the culture industry of course. Because they well understood from ancient Greek philosophy and so on that if you put people in the cities you must give them lots of entertainment. Because even back then the city-state was an artificial creation; they couldn't produce anything; everything was brought into it.)

In the past most people never got a chance of fully satisfying this appetite. (Alan: ...for entertainment that is.) They might long for distractions, but the distractions were not provided. Christmas came but once a year, feasts were "solemn and rare," there were few readers and very little to read, and the nearest approach to a neighborhood movie theater was the parish church, where the performances, though frequent, were somewhat monotonous. For conditions even remotely comparable to those now prevailing we must return to imperial Rome, where the populace was kept in good humor by frequent, gratuitous doses of many kinds of entertainment -- from poetical dramas to gladiatorial fights, from recitations of Virgil to all-out boxing, from concerts to military reviews and public executions. But even in Rome there was nothing like the non-stop distraction now provided by newspapers and magazines, by radio, television and the cinema (Alan: ...movies.). In Brave New World non-stop distractions of the most fascinating nature (the feelies (Alan: ...as he called them...), orgy-porgy (Alan: ...they've got lots of sex and so on...), centrifugal bumble-puppy) are deliberately used as instruments of policy, for the purpose of preventing people from paying too much attention to the realities of the social and political situation. (Alan: As you go down the tubes, in other words, as I was saying, financially, economically, you get more and more, cheap, cheap, cheap, never-ending entertainment, just churned out like machines churn them out.) The other world of religion is different from the other world of entertainment; but they resemble one another in being most decidedly "not of this world." Both are distractions and, if lived in too continuously, both can become, in Marx's phrase, "the opium of the people" and so a threat to freedom. Only the vigilant can maintain their liberties, and only those who are constantly and intelligently on the spot can hope to govern themselves effectively by democratic procedures. A society, most of whose members spend a great part of their time, not on the spot, not here and now and in the calculable future, but somewhere else, in the irrelevant other worlds of sport and soap opera, of mythology and metaphysical fantasy, will find it hard to resist the encroachments of those who would manipulate and control it.

In their propaganda today's dictators rely for the most part on repetition, suppression and rationalization -- the repetition of catchwords which they wish to be accepted as true (Alan: ...and that goes for all the movements that you hear, and special interest groups, they all have their catchwords and phrases and so on.), the suppression of facts which they wish to be ignored, the arousal and rationalization of passions which may be used in the interests of the Party or the State. (Alan: They use that for war, you know; you find that Saddam Hussein was supposedly bayoneting babies in their incubators, when they invaded Kuwait, and all that nonsense. But it works every time.) As the art and science of manipulation come to be better understood, the dictators of the future will doubtless learn to combine these techniques with the non-stop distractions which, in the West, are now threatening to drown in a sea of irrelevance the rational propaganda essential to the maintenance of individual liberty and the survival of democratic institutions.

Now here you find that Huxley goes on to talk about the Nazi tyranny of Germany in the 30s and 40s. He never goes into so much the propaganda techniques that were learned by them, from the Soviets because Hitler studied them awfully well and they had lots of communications and go-betweens and advice from the Soviets in their early days, remember. So the truth is the truth regardless of who uses it. It says...

At his trial after the Second World War, Hitler's Minister for Armaments, Albert Speer, delivered a long speech in which, with remarkable acuteness, he described the Nazi tyranny and analyzed its methods. "Hitler's dictatorship," he said, "differed in one fundamental point from all its predecessors in history. It was the first dictatorship in the present period of modern technical development, a dictatorship which made complete use of all technical means for the domination of its own country. Through technical devices like the radio and the loud-speaker, eighty million people were deprived of independent thought. It was thereby possible to subject them to the will of one man. . . . (Alan: Now remember too, there has to be a problem there to begin with to even get the people to listen to you; and he kind of glosses over that.) Earlier

dictators needed highly qualified assistants even at the lowest level -- men who could think and act independently. The totalitarian system in the period of modern technical development can dispense with such men; thanks to modern methods of communication, it is possible to mechanize the lower leadership. (Alan: And here's what he sees about mechanization of the lower leadership...) As a result of this there has arisen the new type of the uncritical recipient of orders." (Alan: And that is really what you find in all, when tyrannies come in, those who do all the nasty, dirty, dirty jobs and are put on trial later say I was just doing my job; they are the recipient of orders, the obedient uncritical recipient.)

In the Brave New World of my prophetic fable, technology had advanced far beyond the point it had reached in Hitler's day; consequently the recipients of orders were far less critical than their Nazi counterparts, far more obedient to the order-giving elite. Moreover, they had been genetically standardized (Alan: ...that's eugenics coming into it.) and postnatally conditioned to perform their subordinate functions, and could therefore be depended upon to behave almost as predictably as machines. (Alan: They were specially bred in incubators basically, and churned out, mass-produced people in different classes for different functions. That's what they call 'the division of labor' but here they use eugenics to do it.) As we shall see in a later chapter, this conditioning of "the lower leadership" is already going on under the Communist dictatorships. The Chinese and the Russians are not relying merely on the indirect effects of advancing technology; they are working directly on the psycho-physical organisms of their lower leaders, subjecting minds and bodies to a system of ruthless and, from all accounts, highly effective conditioning. "Many a man," said Speer, "has been haunted by the nightmare that one day nations might be dominated by technical means. That nightmare was almost realized in Hitler's totalitarian system." Almost, but not quite. The Nazis did not have time -- and perhaps did not have the intelligence and the necessary knowledge -- to brainwash and condition their lower leadership. (Alan: ...completely.) This, it may be, is one of the reasons why they failed. (Alan: So in other words, a technique which could brainwash everyone right down the ladder, to the very bottom, would be far more effective.

Since Hitler's day the armory of technical devices at the disposal of the would-be dictator has been considerably enlarged. As well as the radio, the loudspeaker, the moving picture camera (Alan: ...the movies...) and the rotary press, the contemporary propagandist can make use of television to broadcast the image as well as the voice of his client, and can record both image and voice on spools of magnetic tape. Thanks to technological progress, Big Brother can now be almost as omnipresent as God. (Alan: And it's true, you see the pictures everywhere in the movies, for instance, of 1984, of Big Brother.) . . . Since Hitler's day a great deal of work has been carried out in those fields of applied psychology and neurology which are the special province of the propagandist, the indoctrinator and the brainwasher.

Remember too, your cameras and all that that you're being used to seeing everywhere, are part of this technique too. You're simply not seeing the face of Big Brother. What you're seeing is basically his eye, his camera; it has the same effect on you subconsciously. You're being watched everywhere you go and your behavior will alter. They've done a lot of, again, secretly recorded documentaries on people's behavior changing when they know they're on camera being watched in the streets and so on and so on and so on. So subconsciously you're all being trained, and it works very, very effectively without the picture of Big Brother staring at you. The camera is Big Brother, the system. He goes on to talk about these guys who helped to indoctrinate you and change your minds and prompt you and so on...

In the past these specialists in the art of changing people's minds were empiricists. By a method of trial and error they had worked out a number of techniques and procedures, which they used very effectively without, however, knowing precisely why they were effective. Today the art of mind-control is in the process of becoming a science. (Alan: Well, it has since then. Incredible tax money has gone into this kind of thing, into the top research to find out all about how we tick and how we think and how we come to our conclusions and so on. In fact, most of the conclusions you have are not yours today.) The practitioners of this science know what they are doing and why. They are guided in their work by theories and hypotheses solidly established on a massive foundation of experimental evidence. Thanks to the new insights and the new techniques made possible by these insights, the nightmare that was "all but realized in Hitler's totalitarian system" may soon be completely realizable.

But before we discuss these new insights and techniques let us take a look at the nightmare that so nearly came true in Nazi Germany. What were the methods used by Hitler and Goebbels for "depriving eighty

million people of independent thought and subjecting them to the will of one man"? And what was the theory of human nature upon which those terrifyingly successful methods were based? These questions can be answered, for the most part, in Hitler's own words. And what remarkably clear and astute words they are! When he writes about such vast abstractions as Race and History and Providence, Hitler is strictly unreadable. But when he writes about the German masses and the methods he used for dominating and directing them, his style changes. Nonsense gives place to sense, bombast to a hard-boiled and cynical lucidity. In his philosophical lucubrations Hitler was either cloudily daydreaming or reproducing other people's half-baked notions. In his comments on crowds and propaganda he was writing of things he knew by firsthand experience. In the words of his ablest biographer, Mr. Alan Bullock, "Hitler was the greatest demagogue in history." Those who add, "only a demagogue," fail to appreciate the nature of political power in an age of mass politics. As he himself said, "To be a leader means to be able to move the masses." Hitler's aim was first to move the masses and then, having pried them loose from their traditional loyalties and moralities, to impose upon them (with the hypnotized consent of the majority) a new authoritarian order of his own devising. "Hitler," wrote Hermann Rauschning in 1939, "has a deep respect for the Catholic church and the Jesuit order; not because of their Christian doctrine, but because of the 'machinery' they have elaborated and controlled, their hierarchical system, their extremely clever tactics, their knowledge of human nature and their wise use of human weaknesses in ruling over believers." Ecclesiasticism without Christianity, the discipline of a monastic rule, not for God's sake or in order to achieve personal salvation, but for the sake of the State and for the greater glory and power of the demagogue turned Leader -- this was the goal toward which the systematic moving of the masses was to lead.

. . . The first principle from which he started was a value judgment: the masses are utterly contemptible. They are incapable of abstract thinking and uninterested in any fact outside the circle of their immediate experience. Their behavior is determined, not by knowledge and reason, but by feelings and unconscious drives. It is in these drives and feelings that "the roots of their positive as well as their negative attitudes are implanted." To be successful a propagandist must learn how to manipulate these instincts and emotions. "The driving force which has brought about the most tremendous revolutions on this earth has never been a body of scientific teaching which has gained power over the masses, but always a devotion which has inspired them, and often a kind of hysteria which has urged them into action. Whoever wishes to win over the masses must know the key that will open the door of their hearts." . . .

Hitler made his strongest appeal to those members of the lower middle classes who had been ruined by the inflation of 1923, (Alan: And that was a deliberate inflation of course. When Germany lost World War I in 1918, at least that's how it ended up being, and there was a revolution that started within Germany too of communism, then of course they had the big convention and then the big treaty was signed and so on. But Germany had to pay massive reparations which brought on incredible inflation. And in 1929 of course too they also had the depression starting. So they already had massive inflation, then a depression.), and then ruined all over again by the depression of 1929 and the following years. "The masses" of whom he speaks were these bewildered, frustrated and chronically anxious millions. To make them more masslike, more homogeneously subhuman, he assembled them, by the thousands and the tens of thousands, in vast halls and arenas, where individuals could lose their personal identity, even their elementary humanity, and be merged with the crowd. (Alan: The same techniques, by the way, that are well understood in the music industry, and big halls, and outside and indoor concerts, huge, huge things, where folk become hysterical but they're guided by the manipulators of course, not just on stage but those around them too. Lights, etc., all these techniques are used upon them to get a kind of behavior out of them. But they definitely lose their individuality, as you know. You find the same thing happens when there's been a mass excitement, preceded by great advertising on a super sale somewhere, and they crowd in and they even stamp over each other in the rush. Normally they wouldn't do that, naturally, but when they become a crowd they lose their individuality.)

A man or woman makes direct contact with society in two ways: as a member of some familial, professional or religious group, or as a member of a crowd. (Alan: ...and that's so important.) Groups are capable of being as moral and intelligent as the individuals who form them; a crowd is chaotic, has no purpose of its own and is capable of anything except intelligent action and realistic thinking. Assembled in a crowd, people lose their powers of reasoning and their capacity for moral choice. Their suggestibility is increased to the point where they cease to have any judgment or will of their own. They become very excitable, they lose all sense of individual or collective responsibility, they are subject to sudden accesses of rage, enthusiasm and

panic; in a word, a man in a crowd behaves as though he had swallowed a large dose of some powerful intoxicant. He is a victim of what I have called "herd-poisoning." (Alan: It's also called 'collective poisoning'. And it's also called 'collective contamination'; the Communists called it that.) Like alcohol, herd-poison is an active, extraverted drug. The crowd-intoxicated individual escapes from responsibility, intelligence and morality into a kind of frantic, animal mindlessness. (Alan: ...which you all know as mass hysteria.)

During his long career as an agitator, Hitler had studied the effects of herd-poisoning (Alan: ...or collectivism, the collective mindset you might say.) and had learned how to exploit them for his own purposes. He had discovered that the orator can appeal to those "hidden forces" which motivate men's actions, much more effectively than can the writer. Reading is a private, not a collective activity. (Alan: So is thinking of course.) The writer speaks only to individuals, sitting by themselves in a state of normal sobriety. The orator speaks to masses of individuals, already well primed with herd-poison. (Alan: ...they're conditioned for it.) They are at his mercy and, if he knows his business, he can do what he likes with them. As an orator, Hitler knew his business supremely well. He was able, in his own words, "to follow the lead of the great mass in such a way that from the living emotion of his hearers the apt word which he needed would be suggested to him and in its turn this would go straight to the heart of his hearers." (Alan: So he could convey emotion straight to them you might say.) Otto Strasser called him "a loud-speaker, proclaiming the most secret desires, the least admissible instincts, the sufferings and personal revolts of a whole nation." Twenty years before Madison Avenue embarked upon "Motivational Research," (Alan: ...as we know, behaviorism is part of it in marketing.) Hitler was systematically exploring and exploiting the secret fears and hopes, the cravings, anxieties and frustrations of the German masses. It is by manipulating "hidden forces" that the advertising experts induce us to buy their wares -- a toothpaste, a brand of cigarettes, a political candidate (Alan: ...or whatever.). And it is by appealing to the same hidden forces -- and to others too dangerous for Madison Avenue to meddle with -- that Hitler induced the German masses to buy themselves a Fuehrer, an insane philosophy and the Second World War. (Alan: But again too, there had to be a basis for it. It would never have happened if it wasn't for this massive inflation and then massive depression, and again massive immigration coming in during the depression too and they felt like they were all losing their home basically or their country even. So it all worked together for the man to come along and say all these things and agitate them and become the leader. It was like an accident waiting to happen you might say.)

Unlike the masses, intellectuals have a taste for rationality and an interest in facts. (Alan: Remember too, the Huxleys were incredible snobs but they also spoke a lot of truth because they sat in on all these big top think tanks and world meetings.) Their critical habit of mind makes them resistant to the kind of propaganda that works so well on the majority. Among the masses "instinct is supreme, and from instinct comes faith. . . . While the healthy common folk instinctively close their ranks to form a community of the people" (under a Leader, it goes without saying) "intellectuals run this way and that, like hens in a poultry yard. With them one cannot make history; they cannot be used as elements composing a community." Intellectuals are the kind of people who demand evidence and are shocked by logical inconsistencies and fallacies. They regard over-simplification as the original sin of the mind and have no use for the slogans, the unqualified assertions and sweeping generalizations which are the propagandist's stock in trade. "All effective propaganda," Hitler wrote, "must be confined to a few bare necessities and then must be expressed in a few stereotyped formulas." These stereotyped formulas must be constantly repeated, for "only constant repetition will finally succeed in imprinting an idea upon the memory of a crowd."

Such as for instance, you have weapons of mass destruction... etc. etc., over and over again. You must remember too, that the leader doesn't have to be incredibly, highly, what we call, educated or even intelligent. But he can be wiry and wily, a very wily person who is streetwise in a sense, who understands these things innately. We know that psychopaths have the same ability; they have that very, very well. And they can read people's minds. They can read the feelings of individuals, or crowds, and they can manipulate the masses quite easily too if they put their mind to it and they have something to benefit or self-gain for themselves. But the sad truth is too, that an intellectual is often neglected by the people. And the person that is seen as the man of action, who is loud, bombastic, etc., is the person they will follow, often to their detriment. But it says here...

In Hitler's words, the propagandist should adopt "a systematically one-sided attitude towards every problem that has to be dealt with." He must never admit that he might be wrong or that people with a different point of view might be even partially right. Opponents should not be argued with; they should be attacked,

shouted down, or, if they become too much of a nuisance, liquidated. The morally squeamish intellectual may be shocked by this kind of thing. But the masses are always convinced that "right is on the side of the active aggressor." (Alan: ...very important to understand that. And Huxley went on to say that...)

The survival of democracy depends on the ability of large numbers of people to make realistic choices in the light of adequate information. A dictatorship, on the other hand, maintains itself by censoring or distorting the facts, and by appealing, not to reason, not to enlightened self-interest, but to passion and prejudice, to the powerful "hidden forces," . . .

Now remember, that equally applies to oligarchies IN democracies, that run democracies, because you're seeing all of these techniques used today... Constant repetition, withholding of all points of view, and all the facts. I've never ever seen all the facts presented on anything, any argument, put out by the press. You're always told what to believe and what opinion to conclude; it's given to you basically, you're led to it, you're guided to it, again, scientifically through the way it's put out, in psycho- or neuro-linguistics as they call it now. So I've never seen it. And the big boys today don't believe they're ever going to allow you to have what they call real democracy. Democracy isn't looking around for somebody else you've missed out to elevate up to a special position, some tiny, tiny fringe of a minority, or even the cut-off fringe of a fringe of a fringe of a minority – and that's how bad it's getting today. That's not what democracy is. That's the pretense of what democracy is. Democracy is supposed to be for everyone, literally everyone, and that means even those collectively, who are the majority, in any particular democracy, to have their say just the same as everyone else, and their beliefs and their opinions too. We don't have that and it looks like we never shall have it, today, with the techniques being used upon the public, on all of us.

Now, Huxley also talks about the incredible strides that advertising and marketing have taken, because they're able to go so far today, especially, even back then, because of their understanding of commerce and persuading people to buy, whether it's a political party or whatever. They exploit the subconscious fears and sell you promises and hope. The same thing is done when an economy goes bad; the politicians use the same techniques and when they go for election they always talk about the same things, jobs and so on and so on, the basic fears of the public. But we all have fears built into us because we're human, and these are exploited to the maximum, by all parties, whether it's political or advertising or marketing companies for big corporations. He talks about, for instance, the simple – for many, many years – simple lanolin was used for facial cream for women. Lanolin comes from sheep; it's from wool fat and water which they beat up into an emulsion, and you can give it all the fancy names you want. But here's what he says...

They give it some picturesquely voluptuous name, talk ecstatically and misleadingly about feminine beauty and show pictures of gorgeous blondes nourishing their tissues with skin food. (Alan: In other words, very young.) "The cosmetic manufacturers," one of their number has written, "are not selling lanolin, they are selling hope." For this hope, this fraudulent implication of a promise that they will be transfigured, women will pay ten or twenty times the value of the emulsion which the propagandists have so skilfully related, by means of misleading symbols, to a deep-seated and almost universal feminine wish -- the wish to be more attractive to members of the opposite sex. The principles underlying this kind of propaganda are extremely simple. Find some common desire, (Alan: It can be sexual, whatever it is...) some widespread unconscious fear or anxiety; think out some way to relate this wish or fear to the product you have to sell; then build a bridge of verbal or pictorial symbols over which your customer can pass from fact to compensatory dream, and from the dream to the illusion that your product, when purchased, will make the dream come true. "We no longer buy oranges, we buy vitality. We do not buy just an auto, we buy prestige." And so with all the rest. In toothpaste, for example, we buy, not a mere cleanser and antiseptic, but release from the fear of being sexually repulsive. In vodka and whisky we are not buying a protoplasmic poison which in small doses, may depress the nervous system in a psychologically valuable way; we are buying friendliness and good fellowship, (Alan: ...and you're also buying party time and sex too; that's how they advertise it.) the warmth of Dingley Dell and the brilliance of the Mermaid Tavern. With our laxatives we buy the health of a Greek god (Alan: ...and not just a laxative... [Alan chuckles.]), the radiance of one of Diana's nymphs. With the monthly best seller we acquire culture, the envy of our less literate neighbors and the respect of the sophisticated. In every case the motivation analyst has found some deep-seated wish or fear, whose energy can be used to move the consumer to part with cash and so, indirectly, to turn the wheels of industry. Stored in the minds and bodies of countless individuals, this potential energy is released by, and transmitted along, a line of symbols carefully laid out so as to bypass rationality and obscure the real issue. (Alan: And

that's what visual advertising is too. It's all symbolic of something. It sells you a symbol, of health, beauty, whatever it happens to be.)

Sometimes the symbols take effect by being disproportionately impressive, haunting and fascinating in their own right. Of this kind are the rites and pomps of religion. These "beauties of holiness" strengthen faith where it already exists and, where there is no faith, contribute to conversion. Appealing, as they do, only to the aesthetic sense, (Alan: So they're talking about ritualistic visual signs and so on, and parades.) they guarantee neither the truth nor the ethical value of the doctrines with which they have been, quite arbitrarily, associated. As a matter of plain historical fact, the beauties of holiness have often been matched and indeed surpassed by the beauties of unholiness. Under Hitler, for example, the yearly Nuremberg rallies were masterpieces of ritual and theatrical art.

Of course we saw the same thing with Red Square in the Soviet Union too, massive pageants and the rolling of the massive armaments industry, and again, the masses, the masses marching in step, of men who had lost their individuality by being in the military. That's one of the first things that the military teaches you, is that you're not an individual anymore. So you find that it's been well understood, well used. It works awfully well on the subconscious and very few folk can fail to be impressed in some way or another by these mass demonstrations, which the state is perfectly aware work so well on the people.

So I've always tried to make people think for themselves. And don't just attack religion either, by the way, because remember, man is a spiritual creature in some way or another. He's always asking all the questions as to the whys of things, and often the whys we're given simply aren't enough. We have to look further. But remember too, as they said too, Jesus said, the kingdom of God is within you. In other words, you have to look for it yourself. He's not talking to a crowd; he's not saying it's in all of you. He's saying, he's telling you there's a path to thinking and finding out the answers for yourself. And I think that's the only way any of these particular religions can possibly work, is thinking for yourselves, on your own path, and not simply taking all the precepts of a religion, all the doctrines and believing in them because you're told to, even the things that don't make sense to you; that's rather silly of course.

So remember, think for yourselves on every possible thing, be careful what you buy, and don't keep buying promises. Today too, the advertiser, even in pharmaceuticals, and even in the herbal industry, use the same techniques of giving you a lot of promises of utopia in you, that simply never come true. Whatever they're going to sell you, it's all basically based on hope, and they're very expensive too.

Remember too and think about everything that you read and hear and you see. Think very, very carefully. Don't simply take anything at face value, especially the media, or any of the stories that are certainly put out today by the media on some war or whatever. They're all much the same, they never tell you the truth, NEVER tell you the truth of the wars being economic wars. And it's not for countries to benefit, it's for the big private international corporations that form the present new international feudal system along with the very old, old aristocracies, etc. You cannot believe anything that they tell you today. The people have always been useful idiots and it's always from the bottom ranks that they get the masses into their big militaries, the cannon fodder, the pawns in the game. And nothing has changed from thousands of years ago to the present time on that matter. You take home your little gold tin medal at the end of it and that's you, whether you lost a leg or whatever, or even your hope for living. It doesn't matter, you've done what you were paid to do and that's all you were paid to do. The big corporations end up with the resources that you helped to acquire for them, on their behalf, by using the taxpayers' money of course. Nothing changes. It's a disgusting system. And it's far, far from democracy. And personally I cannot see democracy ever coming in because you'll always have those organizations, powerful organizations with incredible amounts of wealth, that can network together, take over whole areas of information, and eventually even education, and indoctrinate all of you.

It's a very difficult thing to find the truth today and you've got to leave no stone unturned. As I say too, remember that the West and big powerful bankers in the West financed the rise of the Soviets and also the rise of Adolf Hitler too. Don't forget that all the so-called tyrants that we've been knocking down across the Middle East and Asia were put in by the West and they were their boys for a long, long time. The only problem is, the West wanted eventually all the resources, and of course there were other reasons too, by other outside countries that were highly interested in those particular regions, that are very powerful and

very, very wealthy indeed too. So there's always much, much more to something than is put across in the simplistic one-sided propaganda that we're given.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

July 6, 2014 (#1447)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Most are Unable to Find Who Makes Up Their Mind"
© Alan Watt July 6, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - July 6, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on July the 6th, 2014. Over the years on various radio broadcasts I mentioned many times the techniques used on mind control. Mind control is a very prevalent thing, more so today than ever before. But it's always been used in some form or another down through the ages. I've gone into even ancient religions, very ancient religions, and how they knew back then, working with the monarch, how they could control the public and give them the correct views of seeing their world by altering their perceptions of everything. Really the whys that things happen were suddenly changed into a religious viewpoint and by that method you could control them. Because by putting in taboos, along with the perception distortions, you could get them to behave in certain ways and never rebel and so on. Very common thing down through the ages that advisers to kings, and of course now to governments, have used to make sure that people are raised properly for control purposes.

Today, as I say, it's so... It's all-pervasive today. It's all over the place. And it starts with your education too. That was one of the primary reasons, by the way, that governments got into mandatory education. You can't get your subsequent propaganda to be instilled properly unless you get the basics instilled at early schooling. So it's all around us today. It's in movies. It's in novels. I've gone over this so many times, how novelists are even paid to insert the new updates, the politically correct ideas and beliefs and ways of looking at certain things in their novels. They get paid extra by the department of cultures, and every government has a Department of Culture, run by the government.

I've also talked about, even a few years ago, when voice-to-skull was used, electronically, in New York City. I read the article as it was printed a few years back, where they were installing these particular instruments on towers in New York and as people were passing by certain stores they could beam into your head, and you would hear it audibly, go into the store and buy whatever it happens to be. That was supposedly a test at the time to see how the public would react. But remember too, that nothing happens on that scale without government involvement because everything is licensed, including anybody else coming in to put mind control over on the public, has to be authorized by the government. So the government and the

military-industrial complex would be involved in these experiments as well. They always have been of course, naturally.

We find with Wi-Fi today and with the microwave through all the air basically today, so many different frequencies, that it cannot only alter the way that we think, or even how we're thinking, or even if we can think at all in fact, they can switch you off, but they can also alter you biochemically as well, very easily, by specific frequencies that are targeted at certain cells on their frequencies in the human body. But people think that all this is happening today. Now, a great trick of media and even all the so-called fad, the fad, whatever fad you're into, whether it's popular mechanics or popular engineering or whatever it happens to be, popular electronics, you think they're all out there to give you the latest, the latest, the latest, and that's how it's presented to you. Nothing is further from the truth. Whatever you're allowed to know was always done first for the military boys, the military-industrial complex boys and what you've got afterwards is spinoffs. Often many, many... sometimes 20, 30, 40 years later the public are given some of the spinoffs, but it's always presented as though they're just now experimenting in this particular field. Nothing can be further from the truth. Always remember that.

So the idea is to make you believe that you're on the cutting-edge in your era, your time, your generation, of all there is to know. That's the first step. That's the first big lie to do with mind control. And that's what mind control is, making you believe that. And that's why it's harder of course to get through to those involved in academia because even many of those professors have no idea that all they've learned is really obsolete in various fields, very obsolete. And you'll never get that through their heads. Now, some eventually break through and understand what I've just told you, that they have been conned, and they rather despise it. But if they often break through then those who are watching them bring them up to a higher level and into the more secretive areas of things too. Then they're allowed into archives, real archives, not the ones that you might get access to, and they're given more pertinent information depending on their classification.

I've read from various authors over the past and the idea... I've done many up-to-date things as far as we are allowed to know; I've given a lot of information for modern times but it will definitely not be the latest by any long shot. But it's good to understand, and it's really imperative to understand how old these tricks are. And once in a while we get authors who are authorized to come out and tell, really, their own class, by published books, certain information that they can then use, again, in their own particular fields over on the general public. But it's interesting to get some of them and I've mentioned Aldous Huxley who classified himself along with his brother Julian as part of the scientific elite. There's a financial elite near the top, then there's a scientific elite and that also involves those higher professors in academia, some of them, not all of them, in fact a minority of them, really; the rest of them know what they're supposed to do in a PC fashion to get advancement in that field and so they don't rock the boat. How you get promotion in this world is by not asking questions, and really, what questions definitely not to ask. It's the same in politics. When the person runs and they get into politics for the first time they listen a lot, they agree with everyone who talks to them, their superiors, etc., but they never ask certain questions because that's how you get advancement. It's very much like Freemasonry, you never question an order from above, you simply obey it without question. So that's how things really work in this world.

Tonight I'll talk about, from Brave New World Revisited, the nonfiction version, where Huxley, I think in 1959 he wrote that one, when he went over his book, he revised his book from 1933, the fictional version, of how the future would be, to do with people who were completely controlled by governmental forces, by agencies that they would never know about or even understand. That's pretty well here today. But in 1959 he talked about how things would come in. And it's good to read these books because he shows you how old some of these sciences really are and how their updating of understanding of these sciences change along the way with certain people doing experimentation on the public. You'll find in Brave New World Revisited he talks about a particular Dr. Poetzl, he calls him. He says...

Dr. Poetzl, an Austrian neurologist, who had recently published a paper describing his experiments with the tachistoscope. (The tachistoscope is an instrument that comes in two forms -- a viewing box, into which the subject looks (Alan: You'll see them in very old movies, how would they would put these things over, kind of like binoculars, over their face and they would see images. But this particular type of viewer gave them a fraction of a second on each view, you see, so they were trying that back then, like the subliminal experimentation. So every advancement in science, remember, is immediately put to use for control

purposes. Always remember that. So first they come out with forms of viewing scopes, and then of course immediately they have this tachistoscope, they called it...) (The tachistoscope is an instrument that comes in two forms -- a viewing box, into which the subject looks at an image that is exposed for a small fraction of a second; a magic lantern, (Alan: That was another name for the early pictures. You'd go to the picture house and they would show you, really, images on a screen basically, like a projector...) with a high-speed shutter, capable of projecting an image very briefly upon a screen.) In these experiments Poetzl required the subjects to make a drawing of what they had consciously noted of a picture exposed to their view in a tachistoscope. . . . He then turned his attention to the dreams dreamed by the subjects during the following night (Alan: Now most dreams, that's really where dreams come from. It's your present life and it goes into the subconscious, then what they call the great unconscious while you're sleeping, this mass of knowledge of all kinds stored back there, and it gets mushed around and so on and you get these dreams. And often you don't know what the dreams are, or you'll give them a magical significance. But in reality it's how your subconscious will interpret all the mass in this big sea turmoil of the complete unconscious where everything is stored.)

He then turned his attention to the dreams dreamed by the subjects during the following night. It was shown unmistakably that those details of the exposed picture which had not been noted by the subject (Alan: ...that's consciously...) provided material for the construction of the dream. (Alan: So all the little bits of the pictures that were seen for a split-second on, say, the perimeters of the main images you were looking at, all have an effect on you, whether you know it or not. That's why high definition, by the way, is so important for control. Because you're getting a lot of subliminals through all of the background stuff, in very clear detail, even though consciously you won't remember it at the time. Now remember that Poetzl's experiments were in 1919 that this was done.)

With various modifications and refinements Poetzl's experiments have been repeated several times, (Alan: And he goes through the different people who have experimented with him...) most recently by Dr. Charles Fisher, who has contributed three excellent papers on the subject of dreams and "preconscious perception" (Alan: ...it's called...) to the Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association. (Alan: And that's why psychoanalysis REALLY came up and big money went into it, again, by governmental forces, massive grants and so on, to study. Because they understood that they could use techniques, anything that could give them more control over the public was vitally important to those in power who want control, more and more control.) . . . Confirming Poetzl's findings, their studies have shown that people actually see and hear a great deal more than they consciously know they see and hear, and that what they see and hear without knowing it is recorded by the subconscious mind and may affect their conscious thoughts, feelings and behavior.

Pure science does not remain pure indefinitely. Sooner or later it is apt to turn into applied science and finally into technology. Theory modulates into industrial practice, knowledge becomes power, formulas and laboratory experiments undergo a metamorphosis, and emerge as the H-bomb. (Alan: Everything comes from theory.)...In the present case, Poetzl's nice little piece of pure science, and all the other nice little pieces of pure science in the field of preconscious perception, retained their pristine purity for a surprisingly long time. Then, in the early autumn of 1957, exactly forty years after the publication of Poetzl's original paper, it was announced that their purity was a thing of the past; they had been applied, they had entered the realm of technology. The announcement made a considerable stir, and was talked and written about all over the civilized world. And no wonder; for the new technique of "subliminal projection," as it was called, was intimately associated with mass entertainment, and in the life of civilized human beings mass entertainment now plays a part comparable to that played in the Middle Ages by religion.

In the Middle Ages religion put on, in fact that was almost all the entertainment you could have, as traveling licensed troupes went round village to village, town to town and they were given a charter by the king or queen, and they would put on passion plays to make sure that religion was foremost and that all morality that spun off from the play was supplied to the general public, and hopefully, and generally it worked. They would incorporate that behavior of the play into their own personal behavior and their morality, etc. That's why the more sex they push today – of course, it's incredible, it's everywhere, you can't watch anything without it – the more people will emulate that, and affairs skyrocket and everything else does too and marriages get dissolved; it's all done in a weaponized fashion. It's very perfect today. It's perfected.

I've also gone into Edward Bernays in pretty good detail over the years, where he was given authority by

governments, and he worked with many US presidents, he lived a very long life and he was brought in all the time. He gave you the consumer society for Americans. He changed the habits of Americans, how they used their money, spent their money, what they just had to have, he told them what they just had to have. And he put the methods across, which actually worked very well with them; he got women to smoke and even drink certain booze and all the rest of it. It was all done through applied psychology, you might call it, behavioral psychology and adding to it a lot of sex appeal, etc. etc. But he also used his methods to get people into World War I in the US. It wasn't until about 15 years after the end of World War I that he and some others wrote a book that came out that boasted of how they had basically fooled a whole people into being pro-war when before that they were all against having the war in the first place.

Now, Huxley goes into the age of television and movies and so on and he says...

In such an age the announcement that Poetzl's pure science had been applied in the form of a technique of subliminal projection could not fail to arouse the most intense interest among the world's mass entertainees. (Alan: He also includes government too later on in the book.) For the new technique was aimed directly at them, and its purpose was to manipulate their minds without their being aware of what was being done to them. (Alan: And that's so important, you're not aware of what's being done to you, especially in entertainment, you see. That's why a lot of politically correct updates, just like a computer, are put across to your brain in methods of entertainment, where your guard is down.) By means of specially designed tachistoscopes words or images were to be flashed for a millisecond or less upon the screens of television sets and motion picture theaters during (not before or after) the program. (Alan: And they'd put across things like...) "Drink Coca-Cola" or "Light up a Camel" (Alan: ...that's a smoke.) would be superimposed upon the lovers' embrace, the tears of the broken-hearted mother, and the optic nerves of the viewers would record these secret messages, their subconscious minds would respond to them and in due course they would consciously feel a craving for soda pop and tobacco. And meanwhile other secret messages would be whispered too softly, or squeaked too shrilly, for conscious hearing. (Alan: You will find that too even if you study the old movies for instance, the rather shrill sound that came across them. When the screaming was on, and there was a lot of fast talking going on in a high-pitched vein, you think you're missing certain things but you're not really. Other things are being flashed across in those particular times during those particular old movies, when you study them.)

In Britain, where the process of manipulating minds below the level of consciousness is known as "strobonic injection". . . (Alan: Britain is way ahead of other countries because as I mentioned before, it was important to use religion to modify the behavior of the general public, which ALLOWS them to be manipulated and controlled, that's the purpose of it, by the authorities. And religion worked together with the kings and queens, you see, who all wanted the same thing, which was power over the public and control and peace. That's still the same today; the governments definitely want more and more peace, but now they're trying to edge out religion. In Britain that was solved, that problem, by merging the head of the Church of England with the Monarch who also was in control of government; that was the idea behind it. So Britain was way ahead of other countries for centuries.)

Investigators have stressed the practical importance of creating the right psychological conditions for subconscious persuasion. A suggestion above the threshold of awareness is more likely to take effect when the recipient is in a light hypnotic trance, under the influence of certain drugs, or has been debilitated by illness, starvation, or any kind of physical or emotional stress. But what is true for suggestions above the threshold of consciousness is also true for suggestions beneath that threshold. In a word, the lower the level of a person's psychological resistance, the greater will be the effectiveness of strobonically injected suggestions. The scientific dictator of tomorrow will set up his whispering machines and subliminal projectors in schools and hospitals (children and the sick are highly suggestible), and in all public places where audiences can be given a preliminary softening up by suggestibility-increasing oratory or rituals.

Now, it's fascinating to see that the techniques today are beyond all of this because they can actually do it by frequency manipulation. They know exactly how the frequency within the brain, and how it works on the average person, the certain frequency range, very, very small range, and so pretty well everyone can be affected by it. It's quite simple. And now that we're all in this big massive field, that guys like Professor Persinger talk about today, who thinks it's all wonderful, for control purposes, then you can see how governments are incredibly interested in this, and don't forget the military-industrial complex. We're living in

a feudal system today. It's a new feudal system where corporations are really the bosses, as they say, at least what you think are the bosses. Above them of course are the guys who manage all the money, because money runs everything in the system, I don't care what it is. So at the very top of the tree you have a few families involved in the lending and the collecting of cash.

Anyway, suggestibility is very important and your PRE-preparation, your preparation, really, for indoctrination. In other words, if you're brought up in a certain mindset, no matter what religion you're in, you'll always try to... whatever information is fed to you is filtered to then agree with your present understanding via the religion that you get it through. If you believe in evolution you'll also alter it to fit your beliefs in evolution. You're already prepared, that's the important part, on how to accept something for yourself, so as you can have personal and mental stability for you. Anything that shocks that mental level for you, that happiness level for you, will really upset you. So therefore we avoid pain and seek pleasure; that's something else the present generation especially have been taught, that happiness is best all the time, if you can get it, and so we head for happiness all the time, which is a false way of living. It's impossible to really be happy all the time, unless you are on drugs all the time or you're being manipulated constantly by certain frequencies just for you. So again, this fake happiness thing was pushed out by Freud and so on. Getting the person cured means getting you back into society, working in the society that broke you down in the first place. Work like crazy, spend your money, to be happy, have lots of sex and supposedly you're cured. Which is nonsense.

I should mention too, as I've mentioned already actually, that if you're already prepared for something, or you're already in the fad or the lane for the particular event you're going to see or watch or listen to, whatever it happens to be, or you're sitting at home and you're already prepared for your particular hobby or belief or whatever it happens to be, you're prepared to believe a lot more by very slick salespeople. And you'll find them especially in, say, the Protestant religions were you're geared towards the belief, and if you want to make money and you're awfully good, and an extrovert too, you can get yourself into televangelism, incredibly lucrative, especially in the US. The audience is already there for you, they're prepared for you. You learn all the buzzwords and the praise God and hallelujah, etc., etc. And you learn the body language, how to put body language across very successfully, like any performer, in fact, stage performer, get the lighting properly done. And these guys in televangelist shows, the traveling ones too, have incredible Hollywood lighting experts come on, and sound guys, and the music comes in at a certain point and so on, the emotions are already heightened, and it works perfectly well. It's fantastic.

Politicians wish they could have this method too but we still tend to be a bit more on the lookout for politicians, etc. Then other folk are already geared for them, especially in the US, more than any other country. When they see the symbols... Symbols are important too, remember, for getting ideas across. When you use the right symbols of their country in political races, etc., they get all excited as though it's a real, like a boxing match or a football match, and it works perfectly well too for the slick, trained con man.

I should mention too, that guys like Cass Sunstein have been heavily used on secretive panels, working against what they call the counter movements in the US, to infiltrate and even use them and even create new ones in fact. For many years, even before he came along they were at this, the US government. Diffuse things; lead, say, the patriot movements off into sidelines, and always get them to vote for the next person that comes along. Because the US are more geared towards voting, and for the right guy who says the right things at the time. Of course they do something completely different once they're in office, but it doesn't matter. So it's a safety valve, it lets off the steam, by saying all the right things, and that's what politicians always know. They get the feel of the public, they listen to the gossip in the bars and the restaurants. Today of course they have it all at their fingertips with the Internet. They know what's happening in the public domain and they simply repeat it... I feel your pain, etc.... and they voice it during election time.

It's the same with those who are in the counter movements. They're infiltrated, and many of them actually were started up by those in control to make sure the countermovement goes off in a diffused direction basically. Always remember that. So if you're predisposed to something already don't be fooled by someone coming along saying the right things. It's the little things that don't make sense that you have to take NOTE of; that's so important. That's SO important. And if they go into the bizarre or the fanciful, then be very, very careful.

Now remember too, ritualistic movements, and parades, etc., are very, very important too for this. The same with rock concerts, open-air ones, where you see them going crazy, etc. Easy to manipulate mass audiences, and I went into that last week or the week before, on the kind of mass movements and how to control the masses, the crowd, etc., and how you lose your individuality by being part of the crowd. So he goes on to say here...

From the conditions under which we may expect subliminal suggestion to be effective we now pass to the suggestions themselves. In what terms should the propagandist address himself to his victims' subconscious minds? Direct commands ("Buy popcorn" or "Vote for Jones") and unqualified statements ("Socialism stinks" or "X's toothpaste cures halitosis") are likely to take effect only upon those minds that are already partial to Jones and popcorn, already alive to the dangers of body odors and the public ownership of the means of production. But to strengthen existing faith is not enough; the propagandist, if he is worth his salt, must create new faith, must know how to bring the indifferent and the undecided over to his side (Alan: ...that's awfully important to understand that because you can be getting used and you don't even know it. So if you're undecided or indifferent to it you can get conned into going along with it.), must be able to mollify and perhaps even convert the hostile. To subliminal assertion and command he knows that he must add subliminal persuasion.

Above the threshold of awareness, one of the most effective methods of non-rational persuasion is what may be called persuasion-by-association. The propagandist arbitrarily associates his chosen product, candidate or cause with some idea, some image of a person or thing which most people, in a given culture, (Alan: That's most important because different cultures vary. In a given culture... so they put the right symbology there and by verbal speech or it can be through pictures or symbols. And a lot of symbology is used today, especially in the US where they've had far more indoctrination into the nation, the nation, the nation, than other countries that gave it all up a long time ago because they went through so many wars from the 1700s, or even before that, onwards.) in a given culture, unquestioningly regard as good. (Alan: Of course even when a country is NOT good anymore the image still stays in their mind that it IS good, especially when it's repeated over and over by people in authority.) Thus, in a selling campaign female beauty may be arbitrarily associated with anything from a bulldozer (Alan: ...and it's true, they'll sell anything, cars, everything, with female beauty...) to a diuretic; in a political campaign patriotism may be associated with any cause from apartheid to integration, and with any kind of person, from a Mahatma Gandhi to a Senator McCarthy. Years ago, in Central America, I observed an example of persuasion-by-association which filled me with an appalled admiration for the men who had devised it. In the mountains of Guatemala the only imported art works are the colored calendars distributed free of charge by the foreign companies whose products are sold to the Indians. The American calendars showed pictures of dogs, of landscapes, of young women in a state of partial nudity. But to the Indian (Alan: ...who live there in the country...) dogs are merely utilitarian objects, landscapes are what he sees only too much of, every day of his life, and half-naked blondes are uninteresting, perhaps a little repulsive. American calendars were, in consequence, far less popular than German calendars; for the German advertisers had taken the trouble to find out what the Indians valued and were interested in. I remember in particular one masterpiece of commercial propaganda. It was a calendar put out by a manufacturer of aspirin. At the bottom of the picture one saw the familiar trademark on the familiar bottle of white tablets. Above it were no snow scenes or autumnal woods, no cocker spaniels or bosomy chorus girls. No -- the wily Germans had associated their pain-relievers with a brightly colored and extremely lifelike picture of the Holy Trinity sitting on a cumulus cloud and surrounded by St. Joseph, the Virgin Mary, assorted saints and a large number of angels. The miraculous virtues of acetyl salicylic acid were thus guaranteed, in the Indians' simple and deeply religious minds, by God the Father and the entire heavenly host.

This kind of persuasion-by-association is something to which the techniques of subliminal projection seem to lend themselves particularly well. (Alan: In other words, you're predisposed to a certain thing. And today every people are so well understood and studied and studied and studied over and over again, even the changes in the culture are constantly given to you, and studied too, to see how they really take effect. So the advertisers who market for big corporations and products also work for governments too, work on us all the time. And too, the military-industrial complex, as I say, are heavily involved in ALL of these areas.) In a series of experiments carried out at New York University, under the auspices of the National Institute of Health, it was found that a person's feelings about some consciously seen image could be modified by associating it, on the subconscious level, with another image, or, better still, with value-bearing words.

Now, it's interesting, that, because today it's gone even further with neuroscience and neurolinguistics, what they used to call at one time psycholingual techniques as well. You'll find that great hypnotists like Brown, Derren Brown in Britain, use these techniques too. If you watch how he works on his subjects he spaces certain words, and they're always particular words, often highly symbolic words too; in other words, they create images in the mind. And he'll also, as he's saying certain things, use gestures of his body and his hands. You see Obama doing it too because he was trained for his speeches in these techniques as well. With Obama he will say something, and in a measured pace – he's a good reader; you see, all these politicians do today is read what their scriptwriters write for them – and they match it with the gestures. So when he mentions certain other political rivals' names, he'll space it in a certain way, slightly turn with his arm crooked you might say across his chest, and he'll do almost a karate chop movement with his hand. That literally means to cut someone off, this is a bad person, cut them off. Many gestures like that, it's a perfected science today. So you're being constantly manipulated by everything you watch today. Nothing is spontaneous. Nothing comes out of the person's mouth, in a high level of power, without being really rehearsed and written for them by specialists. And neurolinguistics is very important.

So he talks about value-bearing words...

Thus, when associated, on the subconscious level, with the word "happy," a blank expressionless face would seem to the observer to smile, to look friendly, amiable, outgoing. (Alan: So again, regardless of what you're actually seeing, they can also change it by what you're hearing, just by associating it with word association, happy, so you will subconsciously, in your mind, put on a happier face than the glum one that you see.) When the same face was associated, also on the subconscious level, with the word "angry," it took on a forbidding expression, and seemed to the observer to have become hostile and disagreeable. (Alan: They use these techniques too in the media when they're trying to blacken a person's name or a group's name; you'll see the same kind of thing happening. And by the way that they're saying something, and you actually see the little clips they'll give you of a person or the people involved, you're immediately given the opinion you're supposed to have OF them, because your mind will follow the words too, written by the spaced tone of the person giving it to you, a person in authority.)

(To a group of young women, it also came to seem very masculine (Alan: ...if a person got angry.) -- whereas when was associated with "happy," they saw the face as belonging to a member of their own sex. (Alan: ...their own gender.) Fathers and husbands, please take note.) For the commercial and political propagandist, these findings, it is obvious, are highly significant. If he can put his victims into a state of abnormally high suggestibility, if he can show them, while they are in that state, the thing, the person or, through a symbol, the cause he has to sell, and if, on the subconscious level, he can associate this thing, person or symbol with some value-bearing word or image, he may be able to modify their feelings and opinions without their having any idea of what he is doing. It should be possible, according to an enterprising commercial group in New Orleans, to enhance the entertainment value of films and television plays by using this technique.

(Alan: This is, remember, an old book, and it's way more advanced today. I've also mentioned too, that in one of the Latin American countries, I think it was Brazil, they came out, the big companies that were designing all the soap operas, and they were getting paid by the government to insert all things like contraception and even abortion and so on like that, changing the people's opinions of things, through the dramas. They talked about how effective it had been because they were taking note of the increase in abortions etc., etc. And it works awfully well.)

People like to feel strong emotions . . . (Alan: ...when you're being entertained, naturally you do. In fact, the better the entertainer or actor the more you're going to like them. That's why it's hard to find good actors today. They're like peas in a pod – Hollywood decides what's a good actor – and they all look much the same, facially, male or female, it doesn't matter, and they don't really have the great character acting abilities of the actors of the past.) . . . For example, in the film version of A Farewell to Arms, the death of the heroine in childbirth might be made even more distressing than it already is by subliminally flashing upon the screen, again and again, during the playing of the scene, such ominous words as "pain," "blood" and "death." Consciously, the words would not be seen; but their effect upon the subconscious mind might be very great and these effects might powerfully reinforce the emotions evoked, on the conscious level, by the

acting and the dialogue. If, as seems pretty certain, subliminal projection can consistently intensify the emotions felt by moviegoers, the motion picture industry may yet be saved from bankruptcy -- that is, if the producers of television plays don't get there first.

In the light of what has been said about persuasion-by-association and the enhancement of emotions by subliminal suggestion, let us try to imagine what the political meeting of tomorrow will be like. (Alan: And this was written, remember, in 1959.) The candidate (if there is still a question of candidates) (Alan: Because eventually, we do know... He already knew then that the Club of Rome, that came along a bit later, and others, had already decided, the precursor to the Club of Rome had decided, the same bunch by the way, that democracy wouldn't work and they'd have to bring in authoritarian systems. That's what we have under the guise of the war on terrorism, etc; it's all behavior modification. But anyway, he goes on to say that if there's still candidates...), or the appointed representative of the ruling oligarchy (Alan: ...and that's more like what we have today.) will make his speech for all to hear. Meanwhile the tachistoscopes, the whispering and squeaking machines, the projectors of images so dim that only the subconscious mind can respond to them, will be reinforcing what he says by systematically associating the man and his cause with positively charged words and hallowed images, (Alan: That's what you REALLY have today, especially... It's great to watch the ones in the US.) and by strobonically injecting negatively charged words and odious symbols whenever he mentions the enemies of the State or the Party. (Alan: That's a perfected technique today. Very few folk notice it. But I study everything I see, I always have.) In the United States brief flashes of Abraham Lincoln (Alan: ...and it's true enough, "government by the people", he says...) and the words "government by the people" will be projected upon the rostrum. (Alan: ...as long as you put the right symbols in there it has the desired effect on the people that are already conditioned for it, preconditioned.) In Russia the speaker will, of course, (Alan: ...this is during the Cold War...) be associated with glimpses of Lenin, with the words "people's democracy" (Alan: Democracy was a fairly recent term, by the way, used by governments, especially by the US, a much more recent term, when it's supposed to be a Republic but the people have forgotten all about it. But it's been merged with the ex-Soviet system to create the new system for the future. That's of course what the Reece Commission came out with in the US, the commission that was ordered by the Congress to go into the foundations and why they were pushing all the far left movements and funding them inside the US, and even organizing them and training them. And these big foundations, charitable foundations, the biggest ones, actually told the Reece Commission guys at the top, Norman Dodd was one of them, their orders came from the White House – and regardless of those apparently in control of the White House this went on and on – And their job was to merge that of the Soviet system with that of the US until basically it was seamless. And that's what's happened since then. Huxley knew this of course. And it's interesting here, he says...) . . . Because all this is still safely in the future (Alan: in 1959...), we can afford to smile. Ten or twenty years from now, it will probably seem a good deal less amusing. For what is now merely science fiction will have become everyday political fact. (Alan: It's way beyond all of that too.)

Poetzl was one of the portents which, when writing Brave New World, I somehow overlooked. There is no reference in my fable to subliminal projection. It is a mistake of omission which, if I were to rewrite the book today, I should most certainly correct.

And remember too, that it's way beyond what he's telling you here. Because the Pentagon even came out a few years ago, and I read it from their own papers, that they had different types of, they called it, viruses – interesting term – because they could project certain, through the pixels and the groups of pixels on the screen and colors and so on, they could actually affect the way that your brain will literally work. They could trigger certain chemicals to turn off or on, and so on. And they even said they could do such a thing, just by influencing the brain in this way, give you a heart attack if need be! Quite fascinating stuff. I really knew when the big push came on for high definition, and when governments ordered the companies to produce these high-definition TV sets, that was part of it; it was a very important part for massive, more perfected control purposes. Everyone now is completely hypnotized by television, the ones who have these big screens with the high pixel rate and so on, high definition.

Now Huxley, he talks as well about Hypnopaedia; it was a big thing at one time. You still find a lot of partial quackery involved in Hypnopaedia, where by listening to tapes in your sleep, the idea was it could teach you anything. Of course the great slick salesman could convince anyone that it could cure anything during your sleep, and make you learn anything, like foreign languages, everything, when you're absolutely asleep. Such

techniques only work on certain people and to a very minimal extent actually. But now with electronic manipulation even during your sleep they can certainly work, and I mean direct-to-your-skull basically, inside your head. But back then, as I say, they hadn't got into that much at all, at least not openly. They have definitely gone into it I should say but they haven't done it openly at all. But he says here...

In the Brave New World (Alan: in the fictional version...), no citizens belonging to the lower castes ever gave any trouble. (Alan: Well, number one they were specially bred, remember, genetically bred to be of the IQ level for the particular job they had in mind. So those with the lower repetitive jobs were much lower down on the caste system and the intellect system, and they were given lots of entertainment regardless. But he said, why wouldn't they rebel or cause trouble...) Why? Because, from the moment he could speak and understand what was said to him, every lower-caste child was exposed to endlessly repeated suggestions, night after night, during the hours of drowsiness and sleep. (Alan: As I say, just change this over to electronic stimulation, directly into your head...) These suggestions were "like drops of liquid sealing wax, drops that adhere, incrust, incorporate themselves with what they fall on, till finally the rock is all one scarlet blob. Till at last the child's mind is these suggestions and the sum of these suggestions is the child's mind. (Alan: And as I say, remember, that's all done at school too today, that's imperative in every country, for control purposes over the people. When I say the people it's really for all... they see you all... See, the greatest fear of governments is the individual, so they try to create you all the same, standardize you all to be the same.) And not the child's mind only. The adult's mind too -- all his life long. The mind that judges and desires and decides -- made up of these suggestions. But these suggestions are our suggestions (Alan: ...they're not ours...) -- suggestions from the State. . . ."

To date, so far as I know, hypnopaedic suggestions have been given by no state more formidable than Tulare County, and the nature of Tulare's hypnopaedic suggestions to lawbreakers is unexceptionable. (Alan: That was a prison experiment.) If only all of us, and not only the inmates of the Woodland Road Camp, could be effectively filled, during our sleep, with love and compassion for all! No, it is not the message conveyed by the inspirational whisper that one objects to; it is the principle of sleep-teaching by governmental agencies. Is hypnopaedia the sort of instrument that officials, delegated to exercise authority in a democratic society, ought to be allowed to use at their discretion? In the present instance they are using it only on volunteers and with the best intentions. (Alan: That was way back then.) But there is no guarantee that in other cases the intentions will be good or the indoctrination on a voluntary basis. (Alan: Today it's not voluntary at all.) Any law or social arrangement which makes it possible for officials to be led into temptation is bad. Any law or arrangement which preserves them from being tempted to abuse their delegated power for their own advantage, or for the benefit of the State or of some political, economic or ecclesiastical organization, is good. Hypnopaedia, if it is effective, would be a tremendously powerful instrument in the hands of anyone in a position to impose suggestions upon a captive audience. A democratic society is a society dedicated to the proposition that power is often abused and should therefore be entrusted to officials only in limited amounts and for limited periods of time.

(Alan: Now you're in a state of perpetual war, under the guise of terrorism, and you're going to live your whole life... generations will live their lives in this now; it's never going to go away. Everything's changed. And it's not simple hypnopaedia that's used, it's directly to your skull because you're in a field of Wi-Fi. And these techniques have NOT been overlooked by any means, and they have been well exploited, and they're getting better all the time. We're all enveloped in this massive field now of electronic impulses, that did not occur in nature. No government, especially today, would ever fail to use or abuse these powers. Always remember that. It's so important to understand that part. What's interesting too about what he was talking about back in 1959...)

In this context an article by Theodore X. Barber, "Sleep and Hypnosis," which appeared in The Journal of Clinical and Experimental Hypnosis for October, 1956, is most enlightening. Mr. Barber points out that there is a significant difference between light sleep and deep sleep. In deep sleep the electroencephalograph records no alpha waves; in light sleep alpha waves make their appearance. In this respect light sleep is closer to the waking and hypnotic states (in both of which alpha waves are present) than it is to deep sleep. A loud noise will cause a person in deep sleep to awaken. A less violent stimulus will not arouse him, but will cause the reappearance of alpha waves. Deep sleep has given place for the time being to light sleep. A person in deep sleep is unsuggestible. But when subjects in light sleep are given suggestions, they will respond to them, Mr. Barber found, in the same way that they respond to suggestions when in the hypnotic

trance.

And that's interesting too, because remember that with the heavy aerial spraying and all the electromagnetic waves that they're using along with it sometimes, you'll find that people report all kinds of things, an increase in sleeplessness and so on. In other words, you're closer to a different sleep state, and if you're closer to the wakening state then any electronically stimulated suggestions will definitely have more effect upon you too. And I mean straight into your skull basically, or into your brain through the means they really have been working on for an awful long time, an awful long time. Because remember, we're made up of nerves and nerve endings, neurons and so on and they all have electrical currents, minute ones, tiny electrical currents but within certain frequency ranges for different tissues, and they know them all exactly now. And they can send them out exactly to turn switches on and off basically in your brain; and I've gone over this in the past before in other talks. He says...

Many of the earlier investigators of hypnotism made similar experiments. In his classical History, Practice and Theory of Hypnotism, first published in 1903, Milne Bramwell records that "many authorities claim to have changed natural sleep into hypnotic sleep. According to Wetterstrand, it is often very easy to put oneself en rapport with sleeping persons, especially children. . . . Wetterstrand thinks this method of inducing hypnosis of much practical value and claims to have often used it successfully." Bramwell cites many other experienced hypnotists (including such eminent authorities as Bernheim, Moll and Forel) to the same effect. Today an experimenter would not speak of "changing natural into hypnotic sleep." All he is prepared to say is that light sleep (as opposed to deep sleep without alpha waves) is a state in which many subjects will accept suggestions as readily as they do when under hypnosis. For example, after being told, when lightly asleep, that they will wake up in a little while, feeling extremely thirsty, many subjects will duly wake up with a dry throat and a craving for water. The cortex may be too inactive to think straight; but it is alert enough to respond to suggestions and to pass them on to the autonomic nervous system. (Alan: And this is old stuff. They're way beyond all of this today. But they use all of this knowledge in the present day as well, even when it's electronically stimulated.)

As we have already seen, the well-known Swedish physician and experimenter, Wetterstrand, was especially successful in the hypnotic treatment of sleeping children. In our own day Wetterstrand's methods are followed by a number of pediatricians, who instruct young mothers in the art of giving helpful suggestions to their children during the hours of light sleep. By this kind of hypnopaedia children can be cured of bed wetting and nail biting . . . (Alan: ...and so on, so he claims anyway, here. But as I say, they're way beyond all of that today.)

For a would-be dictator, the moral of all this is plain. Under proper conditions, hypnopaedia actually works -- works, it would seem, about as well as hypnosis. Most of the things that can be done with and to a person in hypnotic trance can be done with and to a person in light sleep. Verbal suggestions can be passed through the somnolent cortex to the midbrain, the brain stem and the autonomic nervous system. If these suggestions are well conceived and frequently repeated, the bodily functions of the sleeper can be improved or interfered with, new patterns of feeling can be installed and old ones modified, posthypnotic commands can be given, slogans, formulas and trigger words deeply ingrained in the memory. Children are better hypnopaedic subjects than adults, and the would-be dictator will take full advantage of the fact.

Now remember, as I say, your later propagandic indoctrination will not work properly unless the basic settings for them to take have been instilled in childhood through early education. That's why it was so important to get people into early education, and even kindergarten and so on; the earlier the better. And the earlier in fact... When the government says, we're making them more sociable, to each other, more social... by getting them to mix with each other at a very young age, government's really behind it all, wanting them all to be the same, the same, the standardization is what they mean, and all ready to take the same propaganda of the future instantly without question so they'll all have the same opinions about things. In other words, their indoctrinations are working and their updates are working properly on them. That's what it's all about.

Whenever anything is said to you from authority figures at the top, and it's put through by law, is mandated by law, be very wary. Always question things. Because there's always a good reason, that's the one that you're given, and then there's the real reason, which you're never given. But there is always a real reason,

never forget that. People forget these things all the time but it's imperative you remember these things.

What's interesting too is that Huxley touches on another part, between the difference of the indoctrination of the general public, that's now called civilians by the way, and the politicians and the authority figures that run us all. He touches on that too. He says...

Children of nursery-school and kindergarten age will be treated to hypnopaedic suggestions during their afternoon nap. (Alan: As I say, today it's much more advanced in fact; they don't need to have tape recorders playing or anything else; it's electronically done.) For older children and particularly the children of party members -- the boys and girls who will grow up to be leaders, administrators and teachers -- there will be boarding schools, in which an excellent day-time education will be supplemented by nightly sleep-teaching. In the case of adults, special attention will be paid to the sick. As Pavlov demonstrated many years ago, strong-minded and resistant dogs become completely suggestible after an operation or when suffering from some debilitating illness. (Alan: Do you understand why all these guys existed in the past? It wasn't because they were simply curious. They were getting well paid by those in control, and those who want control for the future, including Pavlov.) Our dictator will therefore see that every hospital ward is wired for sound.

Because it's true. That's how cults work too, remember. A person who is feeling lonely and lost in the world and hopeless is completely vulnerable to the slick guys out there, and cult leaders understand this perfectly well. So no matter what the condition or how they're dressed or whatever it is, they get love-bombed. When they walk into one of these cults they're brought in and everyone is right over them as though they're special, oh, they're special, and they feel that for the first time they're wanted by people and needed and loved by everybody, a complete love-bombing. But then comes the rules, and they go along with them because they're getting a benefit from the love-bombing, acceptance. And the rules state that you get very little sleep, or you get up very early in the morning and you work hard during the day and so on, and only a certain amount of caloric intake, to get you weak, so the suggestions take a more powerful control over you much more easily and it's very hard to break away afterwards. These things are perfectly well understood by all government authorities at the top, the specialists who work for them.

Now, that's all I have time for today, unfortunately. I'm putting in my well pump right now and time is against me, the weather is against me. And finances are always against me actually. But I should remind you too before I go off, that it's good if you can donate once in a while to me too, more regularly if possible, and it doesn't matter how much. Because it helps me to tick over. I don't sell advertising. I don't have shares in all the products that are going to cure you or make you live forever, or stop you from getting irradiated to death or whatever it happens to be. I'm not into the slickster conology, as I call it. I simply give you information. And many folk have used this. Many hosts use this information too. Other ones put it into their book forms as well. And I get nothing back from them either. But anyway, it's good if you can help me out too because I'm trying to help you out, and if nothing else another generation to take over and carry this kind of light forward, as we get increasingly dark.

Because that's what's happening today. We're going into a darkened age of total control and it's so perfected that the public think they're free. Even when they're losing rights all the time they think they're free. And they accept every part of the slavery by the benefits they see they're getting from it; they accept being tracked and traced everywhere with their cell phones, because of the fun they can have on the phone. It's rather sad isn't it? Who would've thought a long time ago that they'd give up everything, including something that people had rebelled and fought and had revolutions for in the past, for freedom, personal freedom and safety and security, which was independence from authorities, and they're giving it all back again so easily, through entertainment and fun. It's so convenient, isn't it?

So if you can help me out financially I'd really appreciate it. Because things cost a lot here. I've got all these sites up and I pay for the big satellite feed; it's more expensive than cable, way more expensive. And I know for a fact, through the emails, etc., that people all over the planet are happy with the information I'm putting out and using the information too. So it would be good to get some kind of reward back to help me tick along and at least eat a bit better than I already am. So thanks for listening in and from Hamish and myself – Hamish, remember, is my dog – in Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

July 13, 2014 (#1448)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"The Standardized Society
Eliminates Variety,
Individualism Must Mend
Or Go 'round the Bend,
Past the Meridian
Into Oblivion"
© Alan Watt July 13, 2014

Title/Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - July 13, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on July the 13th, 2014. I hope you're all succeeding to adapt to all the new normals which have been put into place over the last few years. Actually, it's been a lot longer. We're always getting new normals as the masters who plan the future decide to train us into acceptance of things, which we used to not accept basically, from massive weather, precise weather modification, which is daily today; some areas are destined for famine and other places are destined for massive floods. We see this especially in Canada, and Ontario, where even Saskatchewan and different places, Ontario had floods and so on. Massive downpours... which ties in with us being, especially where I'm living here up towards Sudbury, you find that we're on a jet stream and it loops right where I am, comes up through the Chicago area and it loops right where I am.

And in all the documentation about geo-engineering, as they like to call it, they talk about using the jet streams. Loading doses are put in it where it loops and therefore they get heavy weather modification – that's where I am, lots of rain here. In the winter now it's the masses of snow, more snow than we used to get. And that's the new normal. And I accept that will be the way for the rest of my life here – if I stayed of course, I don't really want to because you get maybe one good sunny day now out of five if you're lucky. But anyway, in other parts of the US they're getting massive droughts. Well these areas of course will encourage mass evacuations over many years as people move out of them.

Years and years ago they talked about how to get populations to move out, for sustainability. Today it's the Millennium Project/Agenda 21, many new names for it, Smart Growth, etc. It's all the same thing. The reason they give them so many names is to confuse you. When you start to learn the one major name they

actually change them quite commonly and quite often. So we're trained to adapt to changes. People will come to decisions, most folk, about even moving out of areas. When it gets too bad, too much drought, too much wet, whatever, too many mosquitoes where I am because of the incredible amounts of rain water, and you'll find that people will gradually adapt and simply move. Subconsciously they don't even know they're adapting, they just know that things are bad and they want to move. That's how it's done.

A long time ago, back in the 50s even, they talked about how they would get people to move out of concentrated areas, or even massive rural areas. The United Nations has written about it too. They said in the future only the very, very wealthy, only a few very, very wealthy people will eventually live in the country, the rest will be concentrated into selected big city-states basically. And that's where they're pushing all of this. And way back then, a long time ago, they talked about either burning them out, and different methods of doing it, or even diverting water supplies in the dry areas so that they'd have to move; they can always do it. But today of course it's done by the fantastically well engineered and improved weather modification, which is a daily ritual up where I am. I watch them doing it every day. Especially in the mornings, it's interesting to watch them. But they've got rather callous now and they do it all the time, even throughout the whole day and at night as well. And if you ever see a full moon, once in a while you'll see them doing it under the moon as well at nighttime. So this is the massive project to alter the climate, which it's done awfully successfully.

As I say, the drier areas will get more and more drought, as they withhold the rain. And remember too, they admitted in all the HAARP projects, and there's many different stations of HAARP across the world today, they can alter the jet stream's directions and take clouds and so on away from your area, quite easily, and even bring the jet stream right down to the ground level, which it never did in nature before. So we're living in a high-tech scientific manipulative society and the folk don't really know what's happening. And they will never know because the mainstream will never, ever come out and tell them what's really going on. That's not what it's there for. But folk never clue into that. They're addicted to what they think is information and they tune into their mainstream news, every day, to get the latest updates on wars etc. etc., the economy, the Dow Jones, which makes no sense to anybody, and that's what their world consists of.

But anyway, you're getting trained into austerity, as I said many years ago, that was the agenda, the post-consumer society. Because the big think tanks which worked for the big boys, and still do, so many of them, published their findings a long time ago, still do publish the updates on them, to see how we're adapting. And they have all the statistics to prove what they're talking about, etc. Masses of information they have today at their fingertips, at the keyboard, and they talk and discuss and debate how it falls in with their plans. Is it working properly? How can they improve their plans, get us to accept more quickly or adapt more quickly? And the mainstream, again, takes those suggestions and psychologically indoctrinates us into going along with things. Remember that the World Trade Organization was set up a long time ago to really bring in a global society, run in a scientific manner, to benefit the few at the top that finance everything, and where your taxes all go to to pay off debts, etc. So nothing's really changed in that respect but there's a massive scientific elite underneath them which makes it all come into being, on behalf of those who rule over them. And it's working awfully well.

You're being trained into austerity. Your food is up on the global market today and it's going to be expensive to get good protein in the future, except for the awful GMO soya stuff nonsense that sterilize you and give you cancers and all the rest of it. That's just the truth of it. It's not that I'm giving you bad news. I don't dwell on these things and get terrified and neurotic about them. I simply accept what the big boys talk about at the think tanks and the big foundations and know they will implement them and that's basically it. You're living in a football match in a sense. You're the spectators and spectators at a football match come away either feeling good or sad depending on who won, what team won. But in this game of course there's no point in complaining about it, just bitching about it, because there's only one side with an agenda; the other team has no agenda at all. The other team, really, is you. You complain and you bitch but you feel powerless, and that's the big problem. When you start organizations up they're so quickly infiltrated, taken over, and before you know it some of them even are pushing the same agendas that the big boys want.

Because you always use existing crowds, or groups. As Bernays said, don't necessarily create new ones, use what's already there, take them over and lead them up the garden path. And that's how it's really done. The Communists of course knew Bernays awfully well; he had a lot of connections with all sides of course. The

Communists in the USA had many front groups and they were comprised of already existing organizations; many of them were Christian organizations and didn't even know they were all being used.

Austerity was planned long before the World Trade Organization, with the signatories of all your countries signed on to it. They knew there was going to be massive austerity and job losses and so on after they gave all your businesses to China. They also knew, and kept it quiet for a long time, that your tax money would transport whole factories over there and pay for them setting up in China. Your factories are international corporations; they'll take money from everywhere, and they do. They're not yours. It's interesting to see even American companies that make trucks and things in China, and elsewhere, and they adapt their ads from the American with his Stetson on, getting out of his big, big truck that pulls a tree out of the ground, etc., in the ad that is, because it doesn't really happen in reality that easily, and how they adapt it to other cultures. It's quite funny to watch. They use the same patriotic thing depending on the country, they adapt it to the country, and it sells just the same. So you've got international companies being financed to go abroad to China and set up there and sell the stuff back to you, even though you've been basically supporting them with corporate welfare taxes and so on for an awful long time. So it doesn't really matter.

But you're always told to support your country. Because patriotism is something, a very powerful force to use; leaders have known that since ancient times to the present. Today it's even better because you're getting constantly bombarded in certain countries with patriotic themes, that are snuck into movies and so on and so on. And people in the good times, when things are better and your currency is worth a few bucks more, in purchasing power that is – than it is today, it's really dropped like crazy, it's still dropping like a stone – then it's easier to be patriotic. It's only when money really starts to fail in certain countries and things are going sky high in prices that they have to work overtime to keep the patriotic angle working. That's why in the US especially, since they are the main army for the world order, and it's their tax money that pays for it all, they have to try and keep all the movies churned out, and the Pentagon is involved in so many fictional movies with all the themes incorporated within it.

Don't forget that all information out there, especially funded information through movies – and they all get your tax money to back them up by the way, if there are politically correct themes in them – that's how you get your propaganda inserted into you, mainly through fiction. People, when it's given by politicians, they tend to ignore the politicians; they've heard it all before. But in movies your defenses are down because you're so convinced you're being just entertained. Down come your guards and you're being indoctrinated, because you're following the characters in the movie and you're identifying with them. Then they slip in the PC stuff, all the sexual stuff, which constantly is changed as times are changed and you're programmed to go to the next step, and the next step, and the next step.

It's been awfully successful. Even Yuri Bezmenov back in the 1970s, the ex-KGB spy, basically, or operative, he worked in the cultural change department for foreign countries, especially the US and some parts of Europe and India. He mentioned that it had been so successful, in the 1970s, that the destruction of the old American culture, through their indoctrination, through movies and fiction and so on, that it was almost all over back then. You find too that even Brzezinski talks about that, and Kissinger too, how during the Cold War it was much, much easier to get the West, Europe and the States, etc., on board in the Cold War. Today it's much, much harder, even the Cold War is over. They have to do a lot more indoctrination to get them going rah-rah-rah, and paying up for all these wars across the Middle East and Asia etc., and the ones still to come.

So we're constantly being tampered with and manipulated with and so on. Very few folk, as I say, really think through things, as to why the things are changing, and prices are going up. They simply adapt; they might bitch a little bit. The lower classes are hit first of all, but the lower classes are bombarded with the cheapest entertainment, and they're really addicted to it all, that that's part of their escape. In some areas it's lots of drugs along with that too, and that's been working awfully well. Remember, the boys a long time ago, back in the 30s even, talked about drugging the populations; Big Pharma could be involved for the legal drugs that would drug them, make them kind of happy or out of it, you know, most of the time, and the illegal drugs would do the rest. So it's quite interesting to see the merger of the two of them as they decriminalize various drugs in certain areas of the world and in some of the states in the US too. It's quite funny to watch it. Because it's all done for a purpose and the purpose is to make you accept more readily the changes you're going through. Because if you can't fight anything or beat anything or change anything,

and you're getting hard up, more and more hard up, you can always have that escapism. And it works awfully well. It's been well and truly tried in the past, when the US and Britain especially – actually it was a clique that ran the US and Britain – dropped all their bales of opium into China in the 1800s and conquered China that way. It works everywhere in fact, the same way.

I've given many talks on techniques that are used on us, and propaganda, all kinds. Very skillful propaganda is used, all the time, through all sources of information and media. Governments are famous for it of course; naturally they have lots of skillful think tanks working for them. They use the experts to put it across to you. We're indoctrinated through school and universities, and all the politically correct updates to change our behavior and what our masters see is the beneficent nature, what we're supposed to adopt for the future, to make it easier for them to rule over us of course, naturally. But also to destroy old culture, as that's one of the main goals as well.

Old culture had more rights for the individual. The individual would stand up for them, in every situation; they didn't need a crowd necessarily. But that's gradually disappearing as they're being altered themselves through many different methods and avenues they don't even suspect. The average person today is assailed by a number of skillful propaganda actions, acting upon your system. There's new methods of probing and upsetting your subconscious mind as well. So that you're living in a constant state of tension and fear in many ways, which you're unable to dominate anymore as you used to be able to do it. And it also clouds your thinking ability as well. You've been forced into this game that the masters want you to believe in. And that's what's so important.

Because many years ago I knew, when the wars started and so on, I would avoid even looking at news articles. I knew the overall agenda, the real reasons behind the agendas of war. I just simply had to read the big masters, who were involved financially, who wanted pipelines, things like that and I didn't have to see... or listen... It was like listening to an old football match on the radio as you get a blow-by-blow account of what's happening; that's all the propaganda you're getting. And I purposely avoided it, the radio or through mainstream media as well that would all go along with the same propaganda. I would avoid it because they would try to interfere with your logical assumption of what's really going on. So today it's very hard for you to avoid that altogether and people will have their ability for critical thinking overcome and they're dominated by the masters' method. It's working well upon them of course and they live in a constant state of fear.

Fear is awfully important for rapid change. That's why especially since 9/11 they've ramped up the whole fear aspect. You never even had that during the Cold War, for instance, when you were supposed to be going to be annihilated at any minute, every day. Today it's constant fear, constant fear, and people are scared of everything basically, the economy, more wars to come, the present wars; they've got this terrorism. As I've mentioned before, reading from the Army documentation on it, their own magazines, they said, this war is to last forever, the war on terror will last forever, folks. And it's really upon you to make sure that you go through all the changes that are planned, that are already underway but also the ones they have planned for the future too, and if you don't comply you'll be called the terrorist, as they expand the meaning of what a terrorist is.

Direct propaganda, I should mention too, is used in totalitarian states; the state is the only source of propaganda, no one else is allowed into the game. And it doesn't work too well there because everyone knows there must be other parts of the story, or sides to the story, therefore they're rather skeptical. But in so-called democracies, groups can actually take over, which happened a long time ago, the whole media enterprise and the movie industry and so on, and find fantastic methods to put it across, their propaganda. It seems to be coming from different sources so it must be true. But really it's all from the same agreed-upon source; that's how it is. So it's much, much easier in a democracy for any particular, very powerful wealthy group to take over all propaganda, put it across in a slick fashion, that appears from many sources, so it must be true. So democracies definitely are weaker from that point of view.

So we have people who use techniques of propaganda in democracies and they're all-powerful. It's a powerful aristocracy. Bernays touched on it too in his various writings on propaganda when he said that the power in the hands of the propagandist – in other words, the manipulators of the mind basically, who use your normal instincts and motivations, and they can use them against you without you even knowing about

it or why you're buying things or doing things or changing your mind – they have the real power. The good ones are really sought after by all the big democracies; they have whole think tanks staffed with people who are trained in this stuff.

A long time ago the nations realized they were caught in a Catch-22 situation, a paradox in a sense. One of the main principles of having a nation, a sovereign nation, is the ability to defend itself. That's always abused and you go on the offensive at different times in your history. But you'll find too that as early as World War I they knew the cost of war, total war they call it, was just too incredibly much for any one nation to do on its own. So they formed the various leagues, etc., the League of Nations and the United Nations, and NATO and the transatlantic pact and things like that. So to share the burden of the financial costs, because the technology was going ahead so quickly, more and more people were going into universities and doing engineering and specialization in sciences and then they got jobs in the military-industrial complex, which was burgeoning from World War I onwards; since then it's just skyrocketed up and up and up and up. That's why they began pushing for a world government a long time ago, in fact, because the only way to eliminate war would be to eliminate nation-states; that's what they claimed. And of course that's what they've been working on for an awful long time.

However, the military-industrial complex boys – and you find most of those big corporations are actually owned by a handful of big banks – they also want to rule the world and there is your dilemma as well. So it's not going to be some happy hands across the sea kind of deal where we're all smiling, all different kinds of people and creeds and races and so on. It's to be a domination, for austerity for all of you and incredible wealth for those who are living way up at the top. And most middle classes will simply be the bureaucratic classes running everything. We're pretty well there today. We also know too, since then on, the power of politicians has been plummeting, the real true power, until today, the politicians are really there for the show. They keep the game going and the belief that you have rights and freedoms and so on, or that they're standing up for you, when actually they're not the bosses at all. And they haven't been for an awful long time.

But at the end of it, in World War I, when you see the old, old photographs of them, for the Battle of Mons and different ones, you'll see the empty cartridge cases, whole football fields full of them basically, masses, all stacked up high too. Huge shells they were firing from all their heavy guns and their artillery, just stacked up, all used up. And each one of them back then cost an awful lot of money to make as well, with their special warheads that exploded above the troops and rained down as shrapnel and ball bearings and all the rest of it. So it's gone up and up and up. World War II came along and then the aircrafts really came into it and so on in a more powerful way and fighters and bombers would become obsolete in about a year basically and you had to buy new kinds, new types and so on. So the money into research and development alone could bankrupt you, never mind the actual production of all the machines to keep it all going. They also came along with the atom bomb and that made them rethink everything once more. Of course land armies are still used, because the thought of using nuclear weaponry is unthinkable especially with retaliatory powers that other nations have today. So you're still left with the massive land armies and the cost of transporting them with their very expensive equipment, updated, scientifically-created equipment, and it's phenomenally expensive. So war today basically bankrupts you to start with.

As I say, most folk back home, in those countries that are sending troops abroad, think it's to keep them free and safe. But it's not at all. It will bring in the world order by eliminating competition, for a world order, for world government, and you'll be left with the tabs for it all of course while those who really want to hang onto power, to ensure their own progeny go down through the ages, still in command positions, will be living like you can't imagine today. You really can't imagine. Kings of old couldn't imagine how the wealthy elite can live today.

The idea of technique, and I'm talking about many kinds of techniques, things that work, in other words, under a plan... How can you overcome something, make it better, improve something? There are many kinds of techniques. Techniques at one time were meant to serve humanity. But today of course they've overcome the serving part and they're more interested, in fact their sole reason for existing is to further themselves; they have taken a life of their own until we're reduced to statistics basically. Humanity is left out of the equation. Human nature, emotions, all of these things are taken out of the equation altogether.

Along with that, techniques influence schools of thought like psychology and psychiatry, etc. In fact, they were born out of eugenics in a sense and how to basically create the perfect societies. Early psychiatrists were quite blatant about their right to be involved in selecting politicians. They wanted to be involved in all democratic countries, and they would have the right, ultimately, to select politicians according to the specific criteria that the politician would fill according to a chart basically. So they have many, many reasons, they have political or social reasons for existing outside of that which they pretend to exist, especially when there are big organizations behind them.

Many years ago in fact I remember reading from the American Psychological Association, an article that was published in many universities in fact, and it talked about the future, as they were planning it of course, with their own involvement of psychology and behaviorism, etc., again, techniques that were of manipulating public opinion and public behavior in fact, that they already were implementing at that time. They were implementing them. They worked very well. The movie industry is a big example, and television and drama of all kinds is a terrific example. But they said that if they could promote what had up till then been the Communist agenda – for mass promiscuity, the end of the family structure because of promiscuity, introduction of abortion which would stabilize the population of the planet, etc. – they said that they could achieve their goals. So they were along the same road in their ideas as the Communists were.

The articles they published along the lines of promiscuity advocation were identical to the Communist Party of America's writings as well. What they said was that with promiscuity the fallout from unwanted children would be so great that those who were even against abortion would eventually be turned around to be for it basically, coupled with governmental and economic action to make having children too expensive to have. So there are many forces which compel you or manipulate your behavior and you're unaware of them at all times basically. Again too, the psychological association and the Communist parties pushed the idea that democracy was the freedom to do whatever you wanted to do, and have no inhibitions whatsoever. Of course that really would lead to chaos if left to itself because all kinds of people would do whatever they wanted to do; you couldn't even live with neighbors at all if that was the case. But what they were really after was this destruction of the family unit. Again, the idea that Orwell foresaw, and Brave New World foresaw, a long, long time ago, where the state would be totally in control of all functions, including the raising of children from birth till death, the programming of each individual. That's where they've decided a long time ago that they must go, including all of the democracies as well, believe it or not.

Democracy is a great handle to use, as they introduced various what you think are freedoms. And you ask different people what having freedom means to them... One lady said in the US that it meant the right to shop and buy whatever she wanted. There are many definitions according to individuals of what freedom actually is. The pedophile would say it's the freedom to do what he wanted to do with children. So don't ever forget that, under the guise of giving you more what you think are rights, and breaking of all the old social taboos, there is a big agenda underway. But the psychological association did say also that by the promotion of explicit sex, through movies and everything else too, and even so-called sexual education in school, which the real motive of it is to promote experimentation with even pre-pubertal children, they'd never marry down the road; they'd have many, many partners and be happy with that for the rest of their lives. And that's been pretty successful too.

They said It would get to a stage eventually – this is back in the 70s I think that particular publication came out – they said, that sex would be so plentiful, and people would become gluttonous of it, that mores would change and men might even, many men would become un-interested in women, and women would become disinterested in men to an extent, and try all kinds of new sexual, even same gender sex, etc., as a means of trying to satisfy the various whims of a drive which had been distorted. So you watch all these things come to pass but the average person thinks it's all to do with choice and freedom. They don't realize they've been programmed, heavily; you can't watch any movie today without sex coming into it, even though it's got nothing to do with the actual story whatsoever. It's always there until you just kind of yawn and that's what you're meant to do. You're becoming jaded in a sense as well, you see.

Everything in our society is done for a reason. Everything. Conformity, remember, is on the rise and it's not by accident either. Because conformity of opinion, not just dress, modern behavior and so on, is to do with the state. The state wants people to conform to whatever it wishes them to conform to. Even if it's 180 degrees from where it was before, it must conform. Because individuality becomes a problem. Individuals in

the past really were the true libertarians, who could think for themselves and didn't get in anybody's way, didn't become a problem. But they wouldn't be stood upon and walked over so easily as they are today in fact. Today it's instant obedience of course that the conformity means, and saying the right things, which are politically correct, in all conversations. The training of the mind not to think for itself but to simply adapt to what's the current politically correct attitude to have about any particular topic, and that's all-pervasive today. It's really drummed into them, especially through college and universities now, and even in early schooling, and it prohibits people from really flourishing and becoming individuals as such and thinking for themselves. So freedom is really taken away under the guise of liberating people into new attitudes, that serve the state and the state's role in the future, as opposed to being there to protect the rights of individuals.

Today under the guise of anti-terrorism anyone who complains about government policy, in any area actually, is often classed as anti-government. Which would really mean that any opposition party is also anti-government if that truly were the case. But people don't think that far. They react, they respond Pavlovian-style to the term, if it's used against them, like a smear word, and they either conform very quickly or they serve the consequences basically. That's happening all too frequently today as society is more totalitarian under the guise, as always, of saving you all.

I personally don't see a battle going on between the collective society, the standardized mass man idea and the individual. It's not a battle at all. It's a conflict. It's always to see who's winning and who's losing in the conflict because power, by technique, of groups, mass groups is far more powerful, and the financial backing coupled with it too, and the propaganda, and the lobbying that they can do to get policies put through government through education, etc., are so incredibly powerful that there really is no ability for the individual to completely have a battle with this kind of system at all.

Everything comes down to the techniques which are used. Social work itself is a technique. It's created for a purpose but like all techniques it must then survive for its own purpose, which it always expands. Because with the expansion of power more security sets in with those who are involved in it, who make their living off of it, and whose egos want more and more power, so they must expand the powers they already have on charter. That happens all the time. And they do that too by using the other techniques of advertising and study groups and so on, and professional spin merchants who can put forward the studies to say that they need more power, more power and rights to take children or whatever it happens to be, or indoctrinate children in a certain way. And the same thing goes in schools and universities where they, being a group with common causes and purposes, they have their own policies, they want everyone to be the same and believe in the same things that they all identify with themselves.

So you don't have many choices whatsoever at all in this day and age, except to live inside your own head; I've said this for years. What do you do? The people will say, they'll ask me; what do you do? Do you go around evangelizing? And I say no, be careful what you say to whom because you can destroy your acquaintances very quickly, and especially relatives, who are often perfectly adapted and brainwashed to an extent through accepting all of the reality as it's been presented to them since birth; they've never questioned it. And you will alienate them from you. And we all need help one day and you will still need their help and cooperation simply to survive.

You will find too, that the propagandists of today will label certain past organizations, like Communists, Nazism and so on, as having all the bad tendencies so we must go along with these tendencies to create this mass man. But really, when you look at all the policies, they all arrive at the same conclusions. They're all following the same course of creating the mass standardized man to serve the state, and be obedient and follow orders without question, and to have groupthink and group response, so that when an individual speaks up and says something which is taboo to the indoctrinations, they all turn on that person.

In the old days, you know, not so long ago, up to the 1800s, you'll find in most countries, the US especially, that there were no permanent police forces. It's interesting to look at the history of police forces in the US. I think they were at about 1830 that a police force came out on the East Coast of the US, and even then it was temporary. Before that you'd have occasional justices of the peace or a sheriff appointed, as a temporary thing to deal with a particular problem. And about 1830, as I say, you find that a police force was established. Then a permanent police force was established, I think in Boston, about seven years after that,

after 1830. So anyway, today it's an institutionalized part of society.

And the institution, as always, never stays with its actual lawful path of what it's designed to do. It expands its powers. Lawyers come into the act too, another technique of law, and they exist to serve themselves basically. The more power they can get can only come through having more work to do, and becoming wealthy. And you can do it by simply creating new types of crimes, expanding the definition of crime and broadening it all over the place, until most folk in the US today are locked up for nonviolent crimes. With the war on drugs and various other kinds of wars, it's normal now for SWAT teams to invade places with even a rumor coming out that there could be drugs in that home. And society, again, is adapted through entertainment; lots of movies with SWAT teams in them, and it's a part of routine, and you simply accept it as a natural course.

Much easier, isn't it, than the old Soviet system where, as I say, the propaganda came from the state; there was no conflicting propaganda. And the people were rather skeptical. And the KGB, and before that the NKVD, stormed into people's apartment buildings, grabbed somebody and pulled them out in the middle of the night. The people would stand around in crowds and be very angry, initially, until it became normalized. We see the same thing across the Western world. So what I'm saying is, your systems are the same by the techniques they all use, to have the conformist worker who causes no problem, who belongs to the group at his employment and works with the group, and doesn't have much of an individual expression whatsoever. This is the ideal citizen, to an extent, that we've all been trained into. Psychology, behaviorism, these are all parts of different techniques that work towards the same goals of course, and that's altering you. And that can only be done by creating the masses; the individual becomes submerged and eventually vanquished. That's the ideal citizen.

And police forces, I should just add to that too, if you listen to the news every day, in most places, especially localized news, you'll always get the police report. Police can put these reports out which creates a feeling of fear in an area, with so many robberies, so many of this and so many of that. But when you take the population at large even in that area, it's rather large, and they say, therefore, crime is pretty low. But the appearance given from the news is that it's all around you and the streets aren't safe, etc. etc., and police demand more powers. This is the nature of groups to begin with, organized groups that become so-called lawful or written into law as a necessity.

In reality choice-making is limited in this particular system in which we live today and it's becoming more and more limited. You have the choice of watching this movie or that movie for instance, yes. But choices in changing this system and bringing back some aspect of humanity into it – because technique itself is really nonhuman – then it's a very difficult thing, almost impossible thing to do. It's rather overwhelming when this system, as I say, is so well-funded and designed and organized, that you don't have the ability to fight it in any possible way or get your say in and actually do something constructive and bring in some humanity into the thing. So your choices are severely limited.

You're forced to go along with things in some way, if you're conscious at all. Most folk don't know that they're actually being coerced along the path of, say, the cashless society, or whatever it happens to be that is getting pushed upon you right now; you're really being coerced into it. You're coerced into it under the guise that collectively we have happiness, and people go along with all of these things for the happiness delusion basically, that never seems to materialize as promised. But the idea is if technique is to get the most productive qualities out of you, it's far better if you believe in something and give your consent to be manipulated, rather than being ordered to; it's far more productive. Folk will work more happily towards something, a future utopia, or even a temporary hardship to get to a utopia, than in a realistic fashion. Because as I say, it never materializes whatsoever.

Remember, World War I was called the Great War, after the fact, because the propaganda they used for World War I, the globalists, was meant to be the war to end all wars, a massive sacrifice, supposedly, to end all wars. But in reality it was brought about by globalists who wanted a world government, which they would rule over and not for the benefit necessarily of all humanity at all. Nothing has really changed in that aspect because with the techniques employed of mass manipulations, those who seek power, the psychopathic types, are so far ahead in the game of manipulations that it's not funny at all.

Now remember too, that belonging to big groups has advantages, in that you can lose yourself. You can lose your own critical judgment, which can be cumbersome at times, if it scares you. The conclusions you might come to individually might scare you, so collectively you're given the answers, you see, of a better life to come, something better out of it. So you lose your individuality. You hand it over willingly. And that's why we've had such disasters in the past histories of this technique being used on nations and then you end up with dictatorships, etc. We're pretty well there today in fact. Because folk, as I say, are much happier with all the conflicting and terrifying news they get, the bad news they get about the future, the economy and everything else, that they're willing to give up themselves collectively, just for a release from having to think for themselves. If you have what you think is a cause, you see, then it's much easier to get up in the morning and work and do whatever you have to do to get through the day, because you're also putting energy into what you think is some kind of cause.

I've gone through in previous talks about how those in power don't miss anything or any area of propaganda. They set up especially the foundations. They were the first to set up front groups, that sound so nice and helpful to humanity, but in reality they become forces that then lobby government, supposedly on behalf of the people, these NGOs, and demand that the laws that the big boys want passed are actually passed, which always tend to restrict our freedoms, and get the policies through for sustainability etc. etc. I'm sure you're well up on that. I've done as I say many talks before on these particular subjects.

There are other propagandists too, remember, that pretend they are you or speaking for you and they lead you around in circles getting nowhere. They diffuse a potential situation by becoming leaders, etc. And people are so happy to follow when the person says the things which they're saying themselves at the bottom level. This is an old political ploy as well too. They send out the surveyors to do surveys on the public, what are they chatting about, what are their complaints? And they say, I feel your pain, and they discuss the different topics which you're already discussing. So be very, very careful. You can be led up the garden path, and you always are, actually, today, unless you retain your individuality. When you agree with anybody, actually, that becomes a leader of any kind, or seems to be a leader, be very careful because you give up your individuality, you're a follower; you have lost your identity as an individual. So you have to start from scratch, hopefully, and start thinking for yourself, again. You can't obviously agree with any particular person on every single thing they believe in.

It's interesting to study the effects of the Internet in fact because those who gave us the Internet – remember, it was the military-industrial complex which used it, the computer, long before we heard of the Internet system, for instance; they used it all through the Cold War. And eventually they gave it to the public, not because the public would simply use it to go against the existing system in any way, which of course they promoted thinking that folk could because it's a form of communication. But in reality it's a diffusing mechanism too, because if they're into the forums of all the different so-called freedom fighters and so on, they turn out to be more or less just bitching sessions. And they don't seem to be conscious of the fact that what they're using, in fact, is all scientific technique, the computer being a product of that in the first place, the very programs that they're given and so on. And they know, and they're told constantly, so that they'll accept it and acquiesce to it, to give all their data up on the Internet all the time. They do it voluntarily, once again. They consent to it because they have payoffs. And they're being studied and monitored and personality profiled and updated and so on with any changes they do. But they still think that that's what they have to lose in order to be free.

It's interesting, that all your freedoms you give up, including all the thoughts in your head, and the basic you, the spiritual you, you might say, everything that comprises you, you give up in order to use the net in the first place. And their forums end up being, as I say, simply safety valves or bitching sessions, as they collectively, as a group, again, go into the same little format, like a hobby you might say, and that's really what it is. This is well understood by those who gave you the computer to begin with. But with all the electronic communications today and the storage of it all they have tremendous data on every single one of us. Even the cops don't have to go into a person's employment now looking for them, to arrest them or whatever. They know where they'll be at any time of the day, things like that. So they seem to be more unobtrusive in arrests, etc. So when things become this way people forget the kind of system they're truly living within.

Everything really comes down to perception management. Perception management can never be

underestimated. It's tremendous. It's how your reality is given to you. Not real truths and all the truths on any particular topic, but selected facts and distorted facts, etc and you're told how to perceive them by authority type figures, and people you almost worship sometimes. So be very, very careful of what you follow. Never lose yourself in the process. That's all you have... is yourself.

Now I would like to mention too, and you can always remember this, I hope, that I don't sit here with a brandy glass, a smoking jacket on and have my feet up all day long. I put a lot of work into what I do. I try to make it as simple as possible for you to understand because there are many complicated topics that I go into. And I try to get through to as big an audience as I can. You can certainly help me out too by supporting me financially, and even a dollar or whatever once in a while would certainly help. Because many thousands of folk listen to me constantly and folk even use the material and use it in their books. So it would help if they'd remember that, no, I'm not independently wealthy and I have incredible costs here in fact. And everything that breaks down here is a major crisis trying to pay for something to be done, and even when you're doing it on the cheap and doing it yourself, like even putting in a new pump in a well outside, things like that come along and I really have to struggle to get through. So it would help me and to even do more work, far more work, if you could certainly, once in a while remember where you're getting the information from.

And believe you me, talk show hosts and everything and lots of other people use this material that I come out with all the time. So I would be very, very happy to hear from you. And I hope you're doing well enough... as we go into austerity, as we are adapting to austerity, and everything is going up in price, and we're being taught to simply adapt, adapt, adapt, change your habits of eating and everything else and having less protein because it's so unaffordable, etc., and on and on it goes.

So anyway, I should really finish up for tonight and get on with other work I have to do to survive here. So from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

July 17, 2014 (#1449)
"CUTTING THROUGH THE MATRIX"
WITH ALAN WATT
(GUEST ON REALITY BYTES RADIO WITH NEIL FOSTER)
Originally Broadcast July 17, 2014 on awakeradio.co.uk
sovereignindependentuk.co.uk
communitypressgroup.com

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

{♫ - You are listening to Awake Radio, straight talk for the awake and aware. -♫}
Neil: Welcome to Reality Bytes Radio on the 17th of July 2014. Tonight we have our regular monthly guest Mr. Alan Watt from Canada. Well he's from Scotland but he is based in Canada. And the last time Alan was on we had some people in the chat box asking about Freemasonry and that's going to be our subject for the first hour tonight. Are you there Alan?
Alan: Yes I am.
Neil: Loud and clear. Okay basically I suppose you know we need to start at the very beginning of where Freemasonry started from, its origins, the people involved in it, and basically its history up to the present day and its influence on decisions made by whoever may make decisions these days. So you know I mentioned in the email I sent you that I tried to plow my way through "Morals and Dogma". I've actually tried to do it twice and I just got about halfway through both times and kind of gave up. I shouldn't have, but it wasn't my book and I had to hand it back to somebody so that's why I hadn't got through that. But I distinctly remember getting part of the way into it and then you know it all sounds all very, very fluffy and nice. And they're all out to help everybody and then I can't remember if it's the 6th or 7th degree or something and they turn around and basically, well Mr. Pike turns around and says well basically we've lied to you up to now and this is what it's really about.
So is Mr. Pike the originator or does it go way, way before that?
Alan: Oh it was way before that. And he was the grandmaster of what they call the Scottish Rite of Freemasonry for the U.S. But the Scottish Rite wasn't developed in Scotland. The one that they teach in the U.S. came from the Grand Lodge in France and they were given their charter from France. So they were a kind of revolutionary type and everything else is run from the Grand Lodge in England basically, the York Rite you know. But the one in the States definitely is a revolutionary type flavor. But in the recent century or so, they've adopted a lot of other degrees from the other lodges, including the Royal Arch Masonry and so on that came from Scotland. So they're combining together as they're meant to do eventually.
What they do is a dialectic, you create say a Grand Lodge in England for instance and then in those days, back in the 1700's, they were still fighting Catholicism basically and there were many factions that joined masonry in those days, the disaffected and all the rest of it. And even before they called it Masonry as such,

and established it in 1717, you go back further and you find the Rosicrucians in France were agitating for revolution, the end of the monarchy, this kind of utopia they'd bring in, this brotherly love stuff, international. It's still being followed today by the way. But you'll find a lot of it really came, seems to come from France in its earlier days under different names and so on and then developed into Freemasonic institutions. But today they're all working together with a common goal.

Basically they're all working today towards the end of sovereignty for nations and creating a global system. They do believe, like Plato did in his book, "The Republic", that there should be enlightened masters who rule the world. They're always referring to the Fisher King, like Solomon's Temple and Solomon himself, who would select the successors for the guys at the top to keep running the world rather than leave it to politicians and the usual psychopaths. But again underneath it all don't forget there's awful lot of psychopaths go into Freemasonry because they all know that's how you get ahead, even in politics.

So you go way, way back into ancient times and empires, Rome had different orders too. They had the equestrian order for the knighted cavalry they had there. And they had the collegia for instance, another bunch for all the legionnaires and their centurions who were all sworn to defend each other and help each other in times of trouble, etcetera. They were brothers and then as you go down through the ages you end up with the Templars. And even in Britain you had the early Druids and the Chaldees and so on like that, that were brotherhoods as well.

Modern Masonry is starting to debunk a lot of the myth that was a continuous process all down through the ages from Solomon to the present. That was very popular in the 1700's to get recruits into it but today they're dispelling those myths. And basically Masonry as it came to be known really was formulated and standardized to an extent in the 1700's.

Neil: Okay so if we move into the modern era from the 1700's onwards if you like, how did these secret societies (for want of a better word) establish themselves within not just the establishment but in the wider kind of political systems across the globe I suppose?

Alan: Well you'll find if you go back actually to the 15 and 1600's, and especially in Germany where so much was happening at that time, and Holland as well, you had the beginnings of the Protestant religion coming out there. And even before that came out there were many factions competing as an alternative to the Catholic church which was very powerful and hence the need for secrecy of course, naturally, because back then you could be burned as a heretic if you were caught trying to get subterfuge going to bring down the Catholic church.

So you had different factions fighting that too, on both sides by the way, and you find that disaffected groups as I say, and minority groups, had got together and came up with the idea of a religion. Everyone at that time was religiously minded remember. They weren't into a scientific age as we know it today. And so they had to get a substitute religion, or the one that they all knew, which was Christianity, and alter it a little bit to take the power away from Rome and to do subterfuge and so on.

So Holland was a big base for it, and then into Germany, and you find that there with their different lodges. They started to go into more revolutionary work. And they had big ongoing battles for a long time with the various authorities to try to destabilize the system at that time and bring in a new system which they themselves would rule.

England was very famous for it in fact because England, in Freemasonry you must take an oath today in modern times to defend and stand up for the nation that you live in regardless of its policies, but it was different in the 1700's and the 1600's, England was sending out revolutionary Freemasons to Holland to ensure that the revolution across Europe was going properly. And but back home the masons were sworn not to revolution because England was already taken over, including the monarchy. So they wanted to bring a kind of parliamentary system in, what they called the beginnings of democracy, and which they themselves, the higher masters, would rule. That was the basics of it.

And they also wanted the abolition of private property down the road because again they followed Plato's"Republic", the book, where Plato said if you're a king you always have the worry of keeping up palaces, castles and so on. Why not get the public to pay for it, and pay for your servants? And then they can refurbish things that are stolen or lost or broken and you can simply live like a king without having to pay for it all. Well that's the system of what we're going into today, the New World Order. The bureaucratic system we're in today, you have people living like kings, actually better than kings of old, in high bureaucratic positions as they tend to run our lives from childhood to death now. That's where they're going with it all and the abolition eventually of private property.

You've got to remember too that the Scottish Rite of Freemasonry that Albert Pike was the head of in the U.S., he was also in charge of the Masonic World Revolution Society. And he passed that on to Mazzini. And Mazzini spread it over to Europe, and Italy, and other places to get revolutions started all over the place.

Again it changed hands a few times with successors to Garibaldi and different people and then eventually turned up and it was bestowed upon Lenin and then they called it the Bolshevik or Communist party.
Neil: So I mean this is always a global phenomenon if you like, for want of a better word. And I mean how did these guys communicate back then? I mean did they have some kind of secret network as such back in those days?
Alan: Oh yeah. Even in the ancient orders that they used to have in countries, the fraternity groups in different countries, like Rome as I say, they had the Mithraic group. The Mithraic Society was a competitor to Christianity for centuries, very similar in many ways too. And the initiate had to go through the blood being poured from a bull that was above a grate above him. They slaughtered the bull who represented the power of the universe or the sun and he was drenched in blood, he was born again. And that was the Mithraic cult. Many of the legionaries belonged to that cult for centuries and they were sworn to secrecy. But they used symbols, words and symbols, lots of symbology to transmit their craft as they call it.
Neil: Yeah. So I mean for example say an idea originates in England, I mean how long would it have taken these guys to kind of coordinate the next step in that kind of plan or idea, you know to implement it all at the same time as it were?
Alan: Well the whole point was lodges and in ancient times and even through the British Empire days it was often done with traveling lodges that went along. Each regiment of troops had their own traveling lodge and in fact that's how the Orange Lodge really took off. They were sworn to the monarchy of England and they took their traveling lodges with them all through the U.S. in fact and elsewhere, even into India.
So wherever they went if there wasn't a lodge there already, they would establish one. If there was one there they would transmit the new orders and charters and so on. The whole point was total efficient communication between different branches and lodges across the world. Today it's perfect.
Neil: Well I mean just coincidently I'm sitting here just south of Belfast and we're in the marching season here and we've driven through a few towns and villages where the Orange lodges are and the Union Jacks are waving all over the place. And you know I've seen it in Scotland as well. I mean anybody who thinks this is a purely Irish phenomenon only needs to be in Scotland around the 12th of July to see that, about twelve to fourteen miles west of Edinburgh, there is an Orange lodge from there to the west coast of Scotland.
Alan: That's right. It was only a few years ago that they stopped their marches through Scotland too. You know they used to march through the streets.
Neil: Yeah there are still some little ones that go on in some of the villages around about West Lothian and places like that where you have politicians talking about the West Lothian problem. Well that suggests that a lot of it is caused by these guys.
But yeah, I don't know if many of the listeners know that I lived in the south of Ireland for many years and the 2nd largest Masonic Lodge in the world is one hundred meters from the Irish parliament. And I've heard that from many people, including official journalists and stuff, that there is a passageway below that which goes under the street that connects it to the parliament building. I don't know if you've heard anything about that but it is officially the 2nd largest lodge in the world so I mean anybody who thinks that Ireland was ever given independence only has to look at that building. I can't remember the exact words of the placard on the door but it's really quite sinister when you look at it and understand what the words mean. But you have mentioned many times before and I think it was Albert Pike that said it that we never speak so plainly as when we speak in public.
Alan: Absolutely.
Neil: Is that a paraphrase or was that his exact words? I can't remember.
Alan: That was his exact words pretty well. It isn't just what they say; it's what they do with their hands and so on in public as well. And you can go into say if there is a branch meeting on, or even the Masonic hall itself, and a stranger comes in with his wife for the meal, some party meal that's going on there, a dance or something, if you watch the guys who are at the door especially, a stranger will come in and then he'll watch and he will go up and he'll introduce himself to the guy there in a Masonic fashion. And there are different little gestures even with their hands, even pulling their pants to an extent too, things like that. It's quite funny to watch them as they pass and communicate to each other.
But they'll say it quite openly you know. People won't catch on to what they're actually saying you see. You'll think they're saying one thing but they're actually saying another.
Neil: Yeah I mean there's a lot talked about, about the handshakes and the ceremonies and rolling up their trouser legs and all that kind of stuff. And I don't know how much of that's true or false or whatever but you know it's certainly put out there as something to watch out for or something that's done behind closed doors and all the rest of it.

Talking about gestures, I mean I see politicians all the time, I think I watched that speech that's going around now by Christine Lagarde about the number seven and all of that. I don't know how much of that is put out just to have a laugh at us all really, but this hand gesture where the hand is horizontal with the four fingers closed and the thumb sticking straight up. I've seen every politician use this hand signal and I mean I don't know you've studied symbology and all this kind of stuff, is that a specific gesture?
Alan: It's a gesture too. Even the "V" sign is a gesture like Winston Churchill used and so on, but in fact even, in vogue with the youth today with the pop culture, rock culture, is the devil's horn as well. That also comes from the old societies. In fact you will see that gesture used by paintings of monks in the Middle Ages using that gesture.
Neil: Does it have any specific meaning or is it just a kind of sign?
Alan: Yeah it does. It also tells the kind of lodge they're on because there is a little secret to do with the left hand path and the right hand path because Masonry uses a dialectic process to get things done. To get something changed one group will come out and oppose say the standing traditional group, whatever it happens to be, or system. But it's not good enough. You need two opposing systems; if that initial system won't fight back no change is going to happen. They don't get anywhere. They need conflict so you must get debates started by having two opposing groups you see. And then you get your resolution that they come to. They always come to agreements where they will lose some ground on either side but they're really going forward into a definite plan by the ones who organize it. And that's called the dialectic. Today we call it the Hegelian dialectic. So it's thesis, antithesis, and then they come to synthesis which is the goal they want to arrive to in the first place.
So the left hand path and the right hand path sometimes are sworn even in the same lodge, two masters were brought together and be sworn to in public oppose each other to come to get to the particular agenda that they want to get to, the change that they want. It's always for progressive change they call it.
And so you'll see them often putting up the right hand and doing the sign, or the left hand, and that tells you their role in that particular thing. But they personally have no animosity towards what you see as their opponent because they're both brothers.
Neil: Yeah. I mean it's a sign, I mean anybody watching any major political figure or leader or the head of the IMF or whoever it may be, they all use this sign, it's very easy to spot it because it's not a natural gesture. It's not something that you would do naturally. So it's obviously you know well practiced and delivered.
Alan: Well you'll see the five points of Masonry. You'll see them all when they meet, especially leaders of state and so on, when they meet publicly. You'll see them coming up to shake hands, right? And they have to shake hands and they're all smiles and so on and then one hand will stay on the guy's right hand and then your left hand goes up to the elbow, so they both clutch the elbow. And then if you watch them they step in with the right foot. It's foot to foot, then knee to knee, and then it's chest to chest or heart to heart. And the hand goes around the back and that's your five points of Masonry.
You see they all do that. It doesn't matter what country. Even during the Cold War you would see it with the Soviet leaders doing it to each other and the western politicians.
Neil: Yeah. I've had a few of my colleagues talk about Putin and wonder if he's on, if he's on the side of the good guys, if he is helping us out and all the rest of it but I mean it's got to be obvious that none of these guys are on the good side you know.
Alan: That's correct.
Neil: As you say, and to use their own terminology, they're all in it together. I mean in terms of the, I mean the recruiting process nowadays; I mean how is that implemented? I mean previously as I understand it, it was kind of based upon the kind of, the masons, the builders, and the tradesmen and stuff and getting into this kind of private club and it goes on from there. I mean obviously it's a lot more sophisticated nowadays. I mean what is the just the types of recruitment processes they go through nowadays?
Alan: Well nowadays, in the old days it was essential in the guilds, and that is true. It was a craft in other words. And like any trade craft and if you were a carpenter or a stone mason you didn't want any interloper coming in and saying that he was, he knew a little bit but not enough, and con you, so they had to have passwords for every level from entered apprentice all the way up to the master stone mason. And so they had the proofs, if the person would question you, and you would have the proofs that you were a fully qualified one because you knew the passwords for every level that you would pass through in your apprenticeship. That's where it all came from. So they were called operative masons because they were actual craft masons and then the speculative masonry came in with a different agenda, they copied a lot of the old rites and so on because they're technically building the world.
First you start with man, you build man himself. What is man? man is a temple, you see. And so then you

go on to build a circle of friends. And your tie, the necktie by the way that everybody wears, is a Masonic emblem you know. That's your tow rope. It goes around your neck, that's the noose, and the idea being in the lodge that that gave you your circle of influence, your capabilities within a specified circumference, just like the dividers when you use the compass. That was your sphere of influence on what you could do and influence everybody in your life towards the great work.
So today you find that from the 1950's especially there was a monthly magazine that came out in America and elsewhere in the world, it was called the Scottish Freemasonic Journal. It was the Scottish Rite and actually, the name of that magazine by them with their name on it was "The New Age". They said we are bringing in a new age and the new age for the Aquarian Age was to bring in this perfect utopia. The abolition of private property, everything run by the state basically, properly as they said, by the intelligentsia or hidden masters you know, etcetera, things like that. And they said they would change the youth through revolution, cultural revolution. They would destroy the old religions by bringing in the new, which is really a combination of them all including a lot of Hinduism and then they brought in eventually Wiccanism.
With the young people today the old-fashioned stuffy suit idea of Freemasonry doesn't work so well so they brought in Wiccanism which also has its three main degrees you see. So they have many, they're very cleaver on what to do and keep recruiting people, etcetera. Those who go into Wiccanism of course as they get older eventually go into the upper lodges.
Neil: Yeah. This may be a silly question but does Wiccanism have anything to do with Wikipedia?
Alan: Well I'm pretty certain that it's a little tongue-in-cheek joke, sure, because you understand, what is Wikipedia? It gives you what's called the word, right? You're looking up a word or whatever you're looking up. And that's the old search; it's the lost word you're looking for and so if you want to know what this means you look up Wikipedia. So it's a Masonic thing.
Neil: Sorry I just had a chicken on the table here. It's just going off now. {Laughs} The dog's chasing it away. Yeah somebody asked in the chat box here they were talking about the degrees and I've heard you many times talking about, and somebody's mentioned it here, the squaring of the head when you graduate. Obviously that's got something to do with it as well.
Alan: That represents the builder's hod. If you see the builder going up with his bricks, that's where he puts his hod in. But the good strong masons of old used to have it on their head, and they put their bricks in it and they'd climb the ladder. And so it means you're squared, you've been squared. The natural stone is a rolling stone, right? A round stone. And so you're really natural, so what they're doing is making you artificial. They're in a way being blinded. If you talk to Masons that are 33 degrees, and above by the way, who come out of it they will tell you that it takes a long time to start seeing the world in depth, whereas before they saw it in a kind of tunnel vision because it was shaped by Freemasonry.
If you notice too on top of your little hat you have the little hanging tassel. That's a limp penis by the way, if you don't know what that means. {Laughs} And so to an extent, to an extent you've been kind of neutered. That's another tongue-in-cheek joke in high Masonry. You've been created to serve their system. In other words you've been dumbed down enough to serve their system with all of its taxations and cons and all the rest of it.
Neil: It's almost I suppose like when they put it on your head you're putting a round peg into a square hole. I don't know but somebody... What does it mean when...? Somebody is asking does it mean anything when they move the tassel from one side to the other? I'm assuming left to right.
Alan: Well generally it's an unconscious thing even when they turn it can move, so it doesn't matter. It depends on the length of it of course but generally it can go right around to the back or the front even you know.
Neil: Okay. Okay we will go to the first piece of music and then we'll continue with this afterwards. I think this is dedicated to Steve who is house ridden because he went to the wrong airport this morning to pick us up. But this is from Maps and "You Will Find a Way".
{Break ♫}
Neil: Welcome back to Reality Bytes Radio and we've got 25 to 9 in the U.K. here in the evening. We still have our guest here, Alan Watt, and we were talking off air here about the revolutionary aspects of Freemasonry and basically how they go about the world well for many centuries I suppose and creating havoc and two sides of the dialectic I suppose to get what they want. Do you want to discuss that a little bit Alan?
Alan: People really have no idea of the many wars that spread across the whole of Europe. Even the time that Luther came out and just before him too how various groups had tried different things, a kind of pre-Protestant revolution you might call it. And they amalgamated with other disaffected groups, there were even some high rabbinical groups involved that helped fund some of these revolutions and worked with

them.
So different sects or different people within sects would often come together to form a kind of coalition brotherhood. And what they realized is that the Protestant idea, especially when it went to the Puritan idea, and Cromwell actually was part of this, it was more Judaic than it was Christian because they followed the Old Testament so much, the Protestant religion at that time, the Puritan type, because people always want laws and rules of life and you find them all more hammered out in the Old Testament than in the New you might say.
And so those who were more fanatical gravitated towards the law side of things. And the early Protestants were actually called Judaic by the Catholic and there were two or three people, or high rabbis in Amsterdam, who tried to get, against the wishes of many of their own congregations and followers, they tried to get groups going, a kind of brotherhood if you like of revolutionary ideas, and they were all for this idea of England becoming Puritan under Cromwell.
So there were an awful lot of different factions as I say involved in this. There were also precursors of the Protestants. You had the Bogomils, the Cathars, etcetera, from Southern France who had their own societies. Many people say they go all the way back to the Templars but nobody really knows. But these guys definitely had a brotherhood on the go, the Cathars and the Bogomils. It was a kind of parallel Christianity. As I say the whole idea was to throw out this idea of a dominant Catholic centralized authority over Christianity. And bring in the one thing that folk could still understand, because that's all they knew was Christianity, and bring out this new so-called benevolent system. And maybe even down the road end Feudalism to an extent. So it had a lot of good things going for it back then in a sense for the ordinary people who were all serfs and peasants. A serf really is just a slave that's bought and sold with the land.
So a lot of folk joined it of course but they had to join the secret societies with their passwords, codes and so on in order to escape detection. And that way they had some kind of backing. There is nothing worse than being on you own as a revolutionary. If you have other brothers or help around you where you can go into hiding or whatever and these people must take you into hiding because you've sworn to help each other, then there's more security in that for sure. So that's how the secret societies really took off big time.
And then Switzerland was a big, big base for dissenters in England. And they brought in lots of Catholic dissenters who were getting persecuted before that with Queen Elizabeth I, under her reign they killed thousands of Catholics in England. They had concentration camps set up and about fifteen thousand of them were starved to death in different camps throughout England under the first, it was almost like a Stasi system that was set up by Queen Elizabeth I. And a lot of them fled, the wealthier ones could flee to Switzerland which became a hotbed of counterrevolution. They'd send their agents back over to England to try and bring in the Catholic Church again.
So there were all these things on the go all over the region at that time, the whole part of Europe. And dissenters on both sides went to Switzerland as a base where the fraternities flourished.
Neil: I read a book about the Cathars a number of years ago, and yeah as you say they fled to the south of France. Am I right in saying that they had quite a big kind of underground following down there?
Alan: Yes. They had more than just an underground following, and you'll find that the Cathari and the Bogomils, it's all really the same group really in different areas you know. And they went all the way through into even Bulgaria. But they had their own townships and intergenerational religion and their own churches. They copied the Catholic Church in some extent in that they believed in last rites, etcetera. So their particular priests would go out and deliver the last rites over any kind of conditions, mountains, whatever, even in the wintertime. They were really dedicated but they were also wealthy as well because they were trying to get exempt from taxes that went to the Catholic Church.
Many bishoprics, across the whole of Europe, would appoint bishops and people vied for bishoprics because they could then tax all the people who worked in them. And the Bogomils and these people were exempt taxes so a lot of them became very wealthy merchants and so on. And plus they accepted different faiths into them as well, including the Jewish faith.
Neil: Okay, if we move up to the present day, I mean obviously these societies, not just the Masons; I suppose there are many others possibly affiliated, possibly not. They've obviously become very, very influential. Would you say that they are at the peak of what's going on? Or are they somewhere kind of down below a little and there are other societies above them?
Alan: Yeah, it's like Pike said, and this is the trick with Masonry too, most Masons are taught that there are three main degrees and once you become a master Mason that's it all. But it's not true. There are side lodges you're pulled off into if you have the right stuff you might say to go higher. And so they groom people for jobs in politics, in high bureaucracy positions, diplomatic corps, things like that. It's a grooming procedure. They select different people out of it to go higher.

It's quite interesting too that in the lower degrees and that includes up to Master Mason, in the lower degrees, Pike called them the outer portico, in other words they're like a cover for the true Masonry. The ones at the bottom think they know it all and all their acquaintances know they're Masons and they seem just like you, the good old boy type of thing, but they really don't know much at all. They do get a lot of favors for being Masons. They can escape petty bureaucracy, they can get instant bank loans generally, things like that as Master Masons, but they really don't know the higher things.
So as you go up the degrees in Masonry what they do technically is atheise you along the way. And if you go up high enough then they bring in the religion they believe in you see. And it's a very old idea, the religion, and that does go back to ancient times whether they revived it or copied it we don't know. But there is a higher religion there. And that's where they talk about the hidden masters, the superior ones, and all this kind of thing.
A hidden master basically is someone in the old reincarnation business who doesn't have to come back, he's been perfected. This all goes back to Gnosticism even. But he's perfected so he only comes back into the human form to help humanity as you might call it a Fisher King or a benevolent dictator, a Solomon type figure. And these are the hidden masters that they say eventually they will bring forth to the world when the world is ready. In other words when they have conquered the world and used democracy and then thrown it away, discard it once its use is over, they will bring in a new authoritarian society basically, a benevolent dictatorship as they call it.
Neil: Yeah. I suppose, I mean the ones we see on the televisions, the Lagarde's of this world, the Blair's, the Cameron's, I mean they're obviously not at the top. I mean obviously not.
Alan: No, no.
Neil: But do you think they actually believe they are?
Alan: Oh, that's the beauty of it, as you mentioned before Pike said himself you can go through different degrees and secrets and all the rest of it only to be told way down the road now we've told you one level of secrecy, now here's the real reason for these things happening. And that goes all the way up to the top. You keep getting told, not necessarily that the ones were false but they're low meanings, here is a higher meaning, and then there is a higher meaning after that, and so on. That's what… As they're testing you because once you get near the top you start to clue into where it's going. And where it's going for a lot of people wouldn't be very nice, appointing this new system over the world of expert management you might say, and tossing complete democracy and rights right out the window on the way.
Neil: Yeah so I mean obviously there is a kind of filtration system if you like and the higher you go the more they may ask you to do or the more you actually do willingly.
Alan: Exactly; they'll bring in the confidence. The one thing you're taught in Masonry is to instantly obey a command by a superior; any morality you have over what they ask you to do must be put to the side all together and not enter into your action. You must do it immediately. So it's instant obedience and do not ask questions.
Now you ask any politician, you watch any politician and how they get up the ladder, I mean they keep their ears open as they start and they go up the ladder, but they know what questions not to ask. That's how you get ahead in life. It's the same with the police and the police force or anything like that. You don't ask your superiors for answers, obey, obey, obey, and then you're a good Mason you know, basically that's it.
Neil: Okay. A couple of questions in the chat box here. The Knights of Malta, are they Masons?
Alan: Yeah.
Neil: They are, yeah?
Alan: Well they go all the way back. Even when you go back into the Catholic… Remember too there were orders before we heard of the term Masonry, there were orders of, like Knights of the Garter is an order for instance. There was also the Catholic Church that had orders of monks and they had the orders of the Knights of Jerusalem you know, that were sent over there initially, the warrior type monks and the Templars that were sent over to protect travelers going to the Middle East when at that time the Catholic Church had its seat or a seat in the Middle East in Jerusalem once again. And that lasted for quite some time after the Crusades.
So those orders still exist. They were warrior monks. And the Templars eventually fell out of favor gradually because the other troops that were over there from all of Europe noticed that when it came to two or three major battles storming castles held by Islamics that the Templars were delaying this breaking through the walls and they seemed to be almost working for the Muslims. And of course they found out later that the Templars brought everybody in—and modern Masonry does too, it doesn't matter what religion or ethnic group or whatever you come from, they will take in someone who swears to be a brother and takes all the oaths. And they had done that; the Templars had done that in fact.

Neil: Well I mean like you just said there about you know instantly obeying orders. It's almost a military kind of thing anyway, you know don't ask questions, and forget your morality. You know we're going to shoot that guy over there, go shoot him and that's it, no questions asked.
Alan: There's no questions asked and the key to success as I say is not to ask, even when you're really dying to know, don't ask why. And if you do that then you can be trusted to go up higher you see.
Neil: Okay. Somebody is asking me about the thirteen families, I think that I'll mention them here, House of Borgia, House of Brickspeer, House of Somalia, House of Osini, House of Conti, House of Chigi, House of Colona, House of Amazi, House of Medici, House of Catani, House of Hamphilly, House of Estim, House of Aldobrandini. I mean the only one I would have heard of, well I guess a couple of them I've heard of, Borgias, Brickspeers and Medici but the rest of them I wouldn't have heard of at all. I mean would you go along with that as them being kind of up there as it were?
Alan: They'll be up there. You see a lot, in the past, you got to remember too Freemasonry at one time was not for the common man. It was really for the middle class and for knights, etcetera, that's why they were dying to get knighthoods, etcetera, to get into it. It wasn't until they needed the numbers for revolution that they gave it to the working class man. And they lied to them too. They said we're all equal on the lodge floor. Well that's fine but outside you're not. If you're poor when you go in there, you're still poor when you come out. So they promise to help, etcetera, etcetera, but I know many Masons who left it and that was their complaint is that they were hypocrites and liars and they helped the upper echelons as opposed to helping the working class people. Now what they did do is bring out a lot of unions to help the working class and that did work for a long time until they destroyed the unions. But if you look at all the old union emblems, and marchings and so on, you'll see all the Masonic emblems on their banners, all the old unions. So it did a lot of good too in those days.
Neil: Somebody mentioned earlier the emblem of Donegal as a Masonic emblem as well. And of course that's the only county north of the, if you draw a straight line across from Belfast I suppose it's kind of north of there. Which is the only northern county that's actually in the south as it were. But in terms of today, how can I put it, I mean do you think that the whole thing about Freemasons will come to a point where it's no longer necessary and the people way above that will just say okay we've achieved what we've achieved and we no longer need these people? As you say they lie to them anyway and they think of them as just there to be used as such. I mean do you think that day will ever come where another society comes to the forefront as it were? I mean Freemasons are put forward and everybody seems to think that that's the be all and end all and that's who is running things. But I think that it's fairly obvious that they're not or we'd never have heard of them.
Alan: Yes. Well eventually it's possible that they might not need them, the ones at the top, the real society at the top I'd say that does have the real histories of it all and knows its whole history and archives. Those guys will keep going I'm sure because there must always be a band at the top of what they call wild men, meaning that their brains haven't been tampered with by massive indoctrination as we all get. They run the starship Earth you might say and so they must remain wild and have their capabilities for decisive action. But those, all the helpers down below them, it's possible in the future as things become more electronic, even voting and so on, they won't need them. I mean if you go into Canada to vote you'll find it's mainly the women who are behind it at the ballots and so on. And they all have their Eastern Star badges on you know. So they count all the votes. So the Masonic guys all count the votes regardless of where it is across the British Empire. Things like that.
So right now they're awfully handy, the same with the police force. I mean you know the police really today is a standing army. That's what they are. They're a military force. And it's got worse since 9/11 of course and the excuse of terrorism to build up this military force for the great change which is to come. And the great change is where they eventually, since they've had a great time using democracy and getting us all to go along with it and work for it and strive for it, now they will toss it out of the window because you can't have that in a terroristic age where authority must act quick and decisive without public complaints, etcetera. They're bringing in an authoritarian age so you'll still need the police forces and the people in the army. And but definitely in the police forces to go along with any order they're given. And plus it's a great insurance thing because you've got, I mean the cops always rig their books together to make sure they all have the same story when something happens. And so it really is a great insurance knowing your brother policeman is going to stand up for you and lie if necessary.
Neil: You mentioned the ladies behind the counter counting the votes, and of course it's often been said that it doesn't matter who you vote for, it matters who counts the votes.
Alan: That's what Stalin said.
Neil: Yeah. There you have it. Somebody is asking do they really worship Saturn, and kill babies? I

suppose that's a basic way of putting it, pedophilia, etcetera. I mean is that the thing or is that something different all together?
Alan: It's a curious thing. You understand that a lot of Masonry is taken from, it was taken from Talmudism and Kabbalah, it was a Jewish thing. They took a lot from that. The Kabbalah is esoteric Judaism, a kind of mystic Judaism. Not all Jews are into it but lots of them are too. Mainly in the 60's and 70's that came to the fore when the whole New Age really was exploded across the world. The balance they call it, the balance, there is no such thing as good and evil, there is only a downside and an upside, etcetera, etcetera. And for every downside something benefits, even from the downside, so it's Kabbalistic in origin.
John Dee talked about it because he said that he studied Hebrew from three Jewish guys from the east. And that's another symbol, a lodge brother, you know. And he was taught Hebrew and he went into what he thought was demonology at that time, a very esoteric tradition of calling down demons. But also some of the laws that they had adopted too came from Talmudic instruction which is a... Modern Judaism is not old Judaism or Hebrewism, it's a much later development. But a lot of that went into the origins of Freemasonry to do with the Entered Apprentice, that was the building of Solomon's Temple, when Hiram the King of Tyre sent his builders to Jerusalem to build a temple and he then initiated into the craft, it says in the Old Testament, the apprentice Jews. And that's why even today the nickname for an Entered Apprentice is a Jewish Apprentice in Masonic lodges. So that's one of the claims they used to make as to how old it was, but regardless you will see little hints of it in ancient times to the present.
So the building of Solomon's temple required secrecy to learn the craft of building and so on. And then but the higher speculative Mason of today believes in building yourself. You are the temple. To an extent you are Solomon you know. Solomon is three suns, it's sol, om, and on, in three different languages. Sun, sun, sun, the triple sun, you are Hermes Trismegistus, three times great. So that's the whole idea behind it. You're building yourself, you're perfecting yourself.
In the alchemic age and the outer portico of alchemy, everyone knows what they were into; they were turning base metals into gold. That meant that the base metal was a rough unhewn rock which was you, the peasant sort of thing, and by the magic of chemical transformation they would turn you into gold. They would perfect man himself. That was the whole secret behind alchemy.
Neil: So just to finish off, I mean who in your opinion today apart from Michael of Kent, would you say is at the forefront of the modern Freemasonic movement?
Alan: They all work together. Today one of their main lodges is in Israel now. And I noticed a few years back the Grand Master for the Toronto lodge, who is Jewish, he is the Grand Master over there and for I think it was Ontario or Canada, I'm not quite sure if it's both. But they have a big lodge there and many of the lodges, and French lodges, and English lodges, and so on, ones that used to appear to oppose each other for revolutionary strategy are all working together today. In fact many of them in the York Rite will accept the Knights of Malta in for instance or the John of Jerusalem that used to be supposed bitter enemies at one time. They can bring them into lodge meetings now. So they're all working together.
Neil: I was up in Edmonds about a year of so ago, six months ago maybe, and there is a Masonic lodge there with a nice obelisk outside it and the building was up for sale and I'm thinking where they were going to go next. {Laughs} They were moving somewhere but there was no kind of indication of where they were moving to. But the building it was interesting, there were quite a lot of windows on the ground floor, and they were all blacked out, you couldn't see anything.
Alan: Yeah, that's it. They call it the church with no windows.
Neil: Ah well, there you go then. We've come to the end of the hour and thanks very much Alan for your time and your knowledge and we shall hear from you again next month I suppose.
Alan: Sure.
Neil: I don't know what the topic's going to be; nobody's suggested one. But we'll come up with something I'm sure.
Alan: As I say it's a vast topic to even cover in an hour. You can't really do it. But it's got such a long history. If you go into the revolutionary aspects of it down through the ages you'll be fascinated though. Yeah, it's fascinating.
Neil: Yeah now we're talking about trying to do kind of short half-hour programs on Awake TV Network basically as an introduction to the you know multitudes of subjects there are and then let people take it themselves from there because as you say it's vast, you couldn't do it on the radio for three, four hours because people would just switch off. But they have to really do it for themselves.
Alan: You could even take different people in Masonic history as spies for instance and do a ten-hour show just on one. I mean there is so much information there.
Neil: Okay well we will call it a day there and we'll go to the next piece of music which is for Paula who is

over from sunny Florida and listening in the other room there. This is Imagine Dragons and "Radioactive". {Closing Music ♫}

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

July 20, 2014 (#1450)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

Evolution of Doctrines:
"Evolution of Doctrines says the Sage,
True at Advent, Supplanted with Age"
© Alan Watt July 20, 2014

Title/Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - July 20, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

HI folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on July the 20th, 2014. It's one thing to feel bored... People feel bored even when they're working, because work is often very boring in the first place, isn't it. But we find that we're bored even with all the entertainment, masses of entertainment which is churned out incessantly for us to lap up. Through entertainment today primarily we get the moral changes, you might say, that we're supposed to adopt. And most folk do. It's very simple, monkey see, monkey do. I've gone through in many talks before the art of cultural manipulation, you might say, or the directed culture that we're all prone to and always have been prone to, from the early days of course, to the Greek tragedies and things like that, into the early Christian morality plays, and on it goes into the Enlightenment period and then out came more dramas, more secular type dramas, until today.

We think we're seeing more choices and ideas, but in reality they're being carefully selected by those that select what you're going to watch and see, and believe and emulate too. Yet for all this massive variety of things to pass your time very few people are aware of the significance of the big boards and committees that select what will be shown and what will not be shown to the general populations, and the heavy editing that goes on in all scripts and movies and so on to make sure we all have the same, in common, repetitive agendas pushed in our faces basically: the correct way to think, the correct topics to talk about, the terms not to use anymore, things like that. And we're constantly being trained by our betters you might say.

So that got me thinking this evening as to what to talk about and I thought, well, since this is so prevalent and so powerful a technique that's used on us all, we can go into some of the histories of the past. Why not go back even into the ancient times and go through that, into the Christian eras and what the early Christians adopted from the previous, say, Greco/Roman eras that existed and brought into their culture and so on, and how that developed down through the ages into even revolutions in some sense and into the changes that came along the way.

There has always been conflict of some form or another down through the centuries right to the present day between what is sometimes called the church and the state. It can be a variety of churches and the state, it doesn't matter. The state often has its own agenda, but at one time it had to listen to the churches an awful lot more to do with morality, etc. But the more secular a society that we have the more atheistical we happen to be. And with the rise of science, we now have science, the church and the state, and we have these three choices.

Science, since it's so well-funded and so well promoted through funding, has taken over from a lot of the other institutions which make up humanity in itself. And we must never throw churches and religions out the window because, as I say, down through the ages, even pre-Christian, there were still state religions of a sort which gave some form of moralities to the people. That was in essence the reason that a lot of them existed in the first place. Because people need a common code of ethics to survive if they are not to kill each other and steal from each other and plunder each other, etc. So you need some code to follow, a universal code that makes sense.

Now, even in tribes which didn't have any complex religions you found similar codes of behavior and ethics to function. There's always a basic set that makes it work, even in a small tribal situation. Otherwise, as I say, they'd have so many affairs and promiscuity, children wouldn't have any parents to look after them, and poverty would increase and so on. So they had simple rules and regulations to try and prevent most of this kind of thing from happening. Therefore the family was promoted as a very powerful institution which had to have a lot of respect given to it at all times. Because if it's not for the family taking care of their own and their offspring and their in-laws and so on, then you have a welfare system where the state takes over all those responsibilities, the family fades off into the background and the state becomes the major domo you might say.

The ancient Greeks, for instance, the philosophers often talked about the restlessness in man, that there was something in man, this spirit in man that made him restless, never really satisfied with everything he knew or was told or his circumstances, constant restlessness. Of course you can subdivide that into station in life, occupation, income, etc., which could add or detract from the various problems. So it's interesting to notice that we've always had this restlessness in us. But at one time of course, in ancient times, you were allowed various opinions on what it was that you were seeking and therefore religions were kind of waiting in the wings to happen, when they came on the scene, when there was something new in them. And that was the key of everything, new revolutionary type religions. And people don't see them as such; they think they're perpetual and unchanging. But there hasn't been one yet that had started off without changing its concepts as it got older and older.

The Greeks also talked about the difference between the state and the religion, or the spiritual life of the public too. Aristotle said that, in imperfect states there was a difference between the good man and the good citizen. He says, such a difference would disappear in the best state if the state worked upon it; the differences would disappear, and their, what they call, virtue and loyalty to the state and to each other would coincide basically. There has always been a conflict between the state and the people, or religion, in all ages actually. They form two different kinds of moralities, and one is of the state where you've got a station, you're born as a citizen, in a class and all the rest of it, your station in life. And that's a duty that they put upon you, to follow that station, very much like Hinduism in India where nothing much changes. Then the state also wants you to follow its duties, being a citizen, etc., of the state. And that conflicts often with morality of what's called grace and perfection of religion, you see, and all religions seek some kind of personal perfection – other ones are more communal, mind you, and they don't allow differences – but generally that's what's always been this thing within everyone that pushes them onwards, makes them ask questions, the spirit you might call it, this wandering spirit that makes you question everything and delve into things and so on, also has conflicts, as I say, with the state. Because obviously looking for perfection means change. Then the state has its own ideas of change, especially in this day and age where it wants to guide all of us to be good citizens, obedient, etc.

Our problem too with looking at the past is that many people have limited concepts of terms. They think that Gnostics were all the same, for instance, Gnosticism and those who were seeking perfection along a particular path. And certainly you would have groups of communal Gnostics, you might say, who lived together, agreed with basic tenets of their society and they became standardized after a while, and almost

fossilized too. Things that don't keep seeking perfection will become fossilized and more often an in-group type thing and very strict and rigid etc., even though they might start off as revolutionary.

There's another code you might say too, the code of nature, which is in conflict, again, with many of these either spiritual or religious movements and that of the state too. They're all pushing for certain particular changes, the restlessness within humanity itself, etc., the injustices and so on that go on all the time. We must always remember that there is no perfection, I would say, in leaders because leaders, especially in an economic system, tend to be of a very similar type of ilk. They have more of the psychopathic traits within them. They are out to aggrandize themselves, to gain lots of wealth and power, and publicity, etc; they love publicity. And they tend to be of a common ilk. And they can; psychopaths, by the way, can work together for their own personal betterment, as a gang or a group or a cartel or whatever it happens to be. They have a lot of sway once they become awfully powerfully rich, over governments too. So you get lots of different things in conflict as we go down through history to the present time, all competing.

You find that the law of nature is regarded basically as a code of morality and it's binding on everyone, and reason is how you come through its code, by reason, which does not come through revelation, it's just reason itself basically, the law of nature. Roman law really was a form of reason, or law of nature and it was called jus gentium basically. And Christian countries took Roman law for their law, especially in the States, so their Constitution was based on a form of government to do with Roman law. They thought that was the best kind possible for a form of republicanism, with elections possible, and the electional part was the only democratic part really there is in it. It still stands true today across most of the world. Even when the elections become a farce, much like the Soviet Union had Politburo A, B, C, or D, vote for one of them. It's much the same today. Regardless of the type of party you're voting for, they all have the same platforms: welfare, jobs, etc., healthcare and all these things, education. Always the same topics so they're basically the same. But they themselves today are at the power and the sway of the big powerful organizations, private institutions, massive cartels, international corporations and so on, which constantly lobby them, get them into power by funding them into power, and then of course there's always payback in one form or another for getting you into power.

But in Roman law, as I say, the jus gentium, you found it was a standard to which positive law had to conform, an agreed code of morality on which the state could be based basically. So therefore, that's your form of legislation/law that you have today, which never works perfectly either. It's often rigged and biased and so on; there's many other things to it. You'll never have real perfection. It only exists within the heart of humanity, not in the practice of humanity, when it comes into conflict, the law of nature. The law of nature too, remember, is for survival. Everyone must survive. And when your choices of survival are severely limited, as they are today because we're all rushed into the same system, we must earn something called money, and with that money you're then taxed back. Before all the taxes came in, especially income taxes and hereditary taxes and so on, then you could survive outside the money system on the land if you were left alone. And no matter how you lived, or what standard you lived in, you at least lived according to the way that you wanted to live basically. It was enough for you, you were happy with it. Today that's gone and you're forced into a standardized tunnel, I would call it, of conformity.

You'll find too that the Middle Ages is not as tidy as our very thin history books, especially the ones they give today, would try to make you believe. There's no doubt about it, there was always a conflict between nationhood and the king or queen, Empire. You'd find the Papacy too, the Vatican itself, always conflict. In the Middle Ages there was never meant to be total unity, in fact. There was an agreement that there would be a combination of different forces working together and sometimes coming into conflict with each other, but as I say, it was never as tidy as they would try to put out today. But there was a practical and theoretical synthesis of them all, at the time, for that particular period, which brought perhaps for the most people, for a while anyway, as they called it, the greatest good. The fewer wars they could have by a uniform religion, the better. But unfortunately when another branch of the religion springs up, a new revolutionary part of it, you're back into conflict and war, etc. again. And along with all wars, most wars in fact are never done idealistically. They're for economic purposes, and so again the psychopathic elements get in, on board with it, when they smell success and fortune for themselves on one side or the other.

Various Saints wrote about these problems in fact. And St. Thomas, if you go through his writings, made the accommodation between Aristotelianism and Christianity by producing an intellectual synthesis, as you would

call it, corresponding to the practical synthesis of law of nature and the ethics of perfection, which of Christianity was initially based around, perfection. So he tried to create the synthesis for that too, to make it practical to live by these laws in a physical world. The ideas of order and unity and synthesis are dominant mainly through his writings, and partly too because they were so badly needed at that particular time of conflict and upheavals and so on.

Now, there's also another side of all of this and that is the side of the closed world, where intellectual construction of humanity, you lived in a closed system, which is the completed world you might say. And you could feel secure because all your questions and problems were answered for you. Here's what I'm thinking about, what's the conclusion I must come to? And it must conform with what's already given to you to conform to. Therefore, it's a fixed system. Now, in a sense we're going back into that today, with a closed system, where we're told by scientific techniques what to believe, what to think, and you must parrot experts' opinions all the time, not so much to think for yourselves. With all of these different areas of scientific technique, I include the morality and culture industries etc.; they're all part of it. Educational and so on, they're all indoctrinational and they all teach a form of conformity, a universal... That's what 'university' means, universal belief or code of behavior and understanding, and therefore in practical ethics, etc.

Now, this idea of a synthesis of natural, the state, natural religion applied, nature you might say, for living in a physical world. And religion broke down eventually; it was upset by the Reformation when Protestantism came to the fore and questioned and attacked everything that existed. Very much like later communism came along. And even the idea of... basically the same kind of idea as we know as the Hegelian dialectic comes into play. It seems to be a common thing, this, in all revolutions, is that you get the thesis, the antithesis, and the synthesis comes out of them. It happens over and over again. And that was the whole doctrine basically of some types of communism and Marxism and Trotskyism, was that the young ones who took over would be more revolutionary than the older ones. You'll find that even in the Old Testament where old Hebrews or their newer Jewish religion that came along, would stone the old prophets basically; that's what they were accused of in the New Testament. So the old revolutionaries were stoned, they were obsolete, and they weren't radical enough for the new; this is a common theme in all revolutions down through the ages.

So the Reformation, you might say, in all its forms of Reformation, attacked separation of the secular and the religious life. Monks were supposed to come out of their monasteries and nuns out of their convents, not giving up the challenge to perfection but to meet it basically in the ordinary affairs of secular life. So in other words, bring that spiritual aspect out of recluse into the open and make it work as an active thing in life itself in the natural world. That was one of the main tenets of the Protestant religion, when it first broke out; it was a protest against legalizing morality, which the medieval system had involved. And it was an assertion of the supremacy of grace over law, that the spiritual aspect would be the vanguard for the changes that had to come.

It also helped destroy the old basis of the social order and political order, of a form of feudalism, which was awfully prevalent too. Not completely, not altogether at the same time across the Western world, but it did succeed to an extent; it caused a revolution. Now, this created naturally a dilemma, and conflicts of how to be governed, and how to govern yourself, and how to survive as societies without all going off into anarchism and do your own thing basically where everything would break down. You find that some of the philosophers came along of course, that are held up today, such as Hobbes, or the Rastian solution which basically decided, claimed that the state should dominate religion and keep it in its place; that's what they came up with basically. And it could tolerate it if it confined itself to a pietism which has no impact on society. That's what's happened today with the secular state. They allow you to have your religion as long as you don't try to dominate the system altogether by your own particular creed and you do charitable works and things like that. That's tolerated today. So that part kind of won out you might say.

The other side of the Hobbes doctrine, you might say, is that men should believe and worship in what the state determines they should believe or worship. I would call this political correctness, the moral guidance by the culture industry, and again a whole host of neuroscientists, psycholinguistics, or neurolinguistics on top of that. All the things that hammer us all the time on how to behave, how to react on certain topics, etc., what the state has determined today is the good and what is now the bad, often completely reversing what used to be good and bad. You can also find that if the spiritual side or a dominant church takes over then

you can have conflict again. Because they believe that those at the top, those who are declared to be saints of the time in that particular religion, should rule and dominate all the courts as well, and court decisions, etc. And you end up with a kind of form of stagnancy and a stifling of thought or exploration of thought by such a religion. And they tried it in some countries and it eventually died out because the folk got fed up with it too.

Even in a lot of the puritanical areas of the world and where Puritans ruled they had enough of this indoctrination, heavy, heavy indoctrination where the rules had been preset and carved in stone you might say, and had no leeway for free thought or examination, or even applying to separate different individuals. Yet you will find in the early ideas of Puritanism, again a revolutionary spirit before it became fossilized you might say. If you read some of the writings of the Putney debates – they were really interesting to read at school a long time ago. They went into some of this, how many of the writings and statements of the early Puritans, who really believed they were the revolutionary force of the day, the experimental spirit they called it, and they believed there was more to be learned and more to be revealed all the time by following the Christian ethic, but also they combined it with the Judaic ethic which again is more fixed. So there was a conflict inside of it and eventually the law side of things, the legal side of things won out and it became kind of fossilized.

Early on one of them said, he says, I think it was one of the leaders of the Puritan society... John Robinson it was. I am persuaded, he said to the pilgrims who were departing for the Americas and so on, the Lord hath more yet to break forth out of his holy word, he says, more yet to break forth. So it wasn't a done deal, what was in the Scriptures, there was more to be revealed the more you studied them and you would act upon them through the way that you lived and so on. So they believed that to be a good Christian was always to be working, like the sea and nature itself, things that were always in movement, not stagnant and fixed basically, and they were always pressing forward. Truth had to be sought, and eternally sought. And even when you found a new truth that cast the old one out because it was imperfect, your understanding was imperfect, then you had to adopt the new truth with its new perfections you might say, or understandings which brought it to a better perfection.

You'll find of course in all revolutions that the youth, especially, are prone to adopt these revolutionary ideas either from various philosophers or whoever it happens to be at the time, who are pushing a new way. Because they don't see the gray areas the same way. They haven't lived life for one thing. They haven't had their ups and downs through life and the problems in life. They're also great to recruit for that reason for armies as well, for warfare purposes... Here's the enemy, they're terribly bad people, go off and kill them. And they'll do that without knowing the background of those people that they're going off to kill, and what led up to the fact that they were set off to kill them in the first place. So they'll adopt new movements in the same fashion. They don't become tempered and understanding until they're older and wiser.

But truth initially in the Puritan movement was what you were supposed to constantly dwell upon, the seeking of truth, in life itself, all the understandings of life, and the law of nature, which also combined with what they saw as the law of God, and coming to all these different perfections through truth. It was in religion in fact that the notion of progress to infinite perfection appeared first of all, as I say. In the 17th century, the 18th century and onwards, science kind of took over, that man would be perfected through understanding of man and how man ticked and worked, and science would eventually have a dominant position over society in the social sciences, and the new moralities which would be introduced because of their claims or findings.

For a long time now you'll find that through the education, the standardized educations, authorized educations remember that we have, free thought really is not a prerequisite at all. It's not encouraged, free thought. You get your degrees and so on by going along with the preestablished thinking, ideas and theories more than anything else; you conform. But what are the political implications of these conceptions of change? And it's assumed that the most precious things in a society's life won't stay put. You see, that's one thing that it pushes out there, that change is normal, perpetual change, and that they're bound to grow and develop in a way which can't be foreseen, because of progress through scientific techniques, breakthroughs, etc. That causes an awful lot of... Again, it's the opposite of the Middle Age man where his system was fairly closed, it was very simple, they felt secure, and he didn't have to do too much worrying about anything at all in a spiritual sense; it was all laid out for him.

Problems always arise with followers and leaders. Followers often, long after the leader is dead in fact, will reorganize their belief structure and change it to conform and cause uniformity and force it upon other people too in a particular setting if they can get that power to do so. Then it becomes fossilized and often hated, because it constantly changes not for the good of the general populations. History is replete with this kind of thing if you really look into it.

But you'll find that the assumption that the true democratic experience is comprised of, say, voluntary organizations and religious organizations too with all its beliefs in open discussion and agreement through discussion and so on, and distrust of compulsory organizations and its force. You'll find that really with true free organizations, they have a distrust of compulsory organization, which again, is in conflict with the state. The state wants you to be uniform basically. So the democratic state as it's called today is an analogy of a democratic religious congregation to an extent. And that's all it can ever really be, an analogy of something, an ideal which the state itself will never allow to become reality.

The US came closer to the end product you might say, or part of the end product, this endless product of what Christianity was supposed to be, in that no one particular religion would dominate the state and there'd be a diversity of religions, although they'd have common agreements among certain things to do with religions. But it created a form of freedom and equality endowed within it; you were not supposed to hate someone because they were different from you in a religious or political persuasion.

You'll find that some philosophers, one of them, Thomas Hobbes for instance, had a big impact on many of the youth of his day by what he talked about, about man himself and this restless spirit of man which was always seeking something, never feeling totally secure and anxious, etc. And from that perspective it agreed with the Puritans who also agreed with that part of it. But Hobbes went so far as to say that this was an evil thing, this desire, this restless spirit within him. He of course put a lot of his thoughts down in Leviathan, if you ever read it. You'll find that he was wrong in many things too. He's studying insects, etc., communes of insects... It cannot be applied to humanity. That was a big Masonic thing too. Even later on, even the Royal Society, which is a Masonic institution, you find that their first project – after they established themselves, in the open that is, they already existed prior to that – was to create a glass beehive and study bees, everything in its proper place you might say, all the classes doing the proper thing for the class and so on. So that was a common, common thing.

But you'll find that Hobbes was wrong on so many things too. He thought that this fear of everything, fear of death and poverty and all the other things, which have always been there and more so since the advent of money and those who rule over it and decide what it is, and keep their own power by the use of money over everybody else. He said that the fear which imagination induces, induces him to a restlessness. He thought it was all fear based and fear driven, and the desire of power, after power was something that all men have, which really isn't true at all. The psychopathic types definitely have that in them but most folk are not the psychopathic types. But Thomas Hobbes believed that man couldn't feel safe until he has everything in his hands. He even believed that anarchy and war were the result of this restlessness in every single individual, rather than look upon those who tended to use the crowd, as we call it today, using the crowd. And really, this restless imagination is the only thing infinite about him really. Because he said that man was still selfish and that their sympathies, desires and passions were limited, just like other animals. Therefore he felt that basically you needed a driving force over the general population almost in a totalitarian way, you could say.

So this is a perpetual theme, not just with him but many others down through the ages, much, much earlier than him as well, that man is infinitely bad, all men are infinitely bad. You find that even in the Old Testament with the stories, etc., and many of the old Greek mythologies as well where people can be tempted to do the wrong thing but for their own personal gain. But Hobbes also believed that these fears, especially that of death in itself, which is well used by governments, you're all going to die or get bombed or nuked, we'll have to take all your rights away. He believed that that would be the end of this restless spirit, when all your rights and freedoms are taken away from you, you might say, and given to a Leviathan, this big monster, this system, that you might even say we're in today if you really look at it properly.

Many really good novelists understood this well. You'll find that Dostoevsky's The Brothers Karamazov, for those who have read it, a quote from it says...

we shall persuade them, the people, says the grand inquisitor, that they will only become free when they renounce their freedom to us and submit to us. And shall we be right or shall we be lying? They will be convinced that we are right, for they will remember the horrors of slavery and confusion to which This freedom brought them.

He goes on to say that,
Freedom, free thought, and science will lead them into such straits and will bring them face to face with such marvels and insoluble mysteries, that some of them, the fierce and rebellious, will destroy themselves, others, rebellious but weak, will destroy one another, while the rest, weak and unhappy, will crawl fawning to our feet and whine to us: "Yes, you were right, you alone perceive His mystery, and we come back to you, save us from ourselves!"

Now, it's really profound, that, because the social scientists at the top, the ones who work for the governments – really above governments, and massive think tanks that have more to say on how you're governed than the actual governments do themselves – have been promoting this idea for a long time, that all of you, all of you are not fit enough to govern your own lives. So under this guise of socialism they're putting through GIRFEC in Scotland, and other names for the same program in other countries, the idea that the state must rule from birth to death and guide the child right through into adulthood and throughout his whole life, and constantly readjust you along the way if you happen to have certain thoughts, or even ask certain questions, too curious perhaps on certain areas, which are now taboo, and you'll be readjusted all the way throughout your life. That is really what the humanists, etc, have brought us to today.

Now, Hobbes was correct in that the new sciences, the understanding of man himself especially, would give more power to powerful institutions and those that sought power over others. Karl Marx also quoted Thomas Hobbes and said, Thomas Hobbes is the father of us all. Because he, again, thought that understanding and using scientific techniques and mathematics and so on, they would divine what human nature was. And by using physics and various other things too they could then control each individual for the perfect state, which would be a rather horrible place to be. If everybody was the same we'd be like clones of each other. And to an extent too that's even encouraged, in the idea of the cloning of humans, into the standardized humans, Huxley's Brave New World idea.

Now, Hobbes too, tried to apply physics, what they thought was a new science, physics, to politics. And many came after him with the same idea, you might say, with their different interpretations of this new science of physics. They held what they thought to be true through the use of mathematics, especially. You can go way back to Plato's time too, he talked about mathematics as well. The Greeks were heavily involved in mathematics and geometry and so on to try to find out the applied or real constant unchanging things in this world where everything else seemed to change. Those things that change, Plato used to call the world of coming into being and then passing away. And through mathematics, as I say, there's always a constant. 2 and 2 is always 4 regardless of how they try to change it in this present time with various kinds of relativism and so on. But things pass away, that was the key to it; most other things pass away and are liable to change. We're born, we grow, we're zestful, youthful and so on, and then you age and things change with you, you break down, and death, you decay.

The Greeks, in some of the schools, Socrates talked about the three different worlds in this world, three existences or systems in this world. One that Plato talked about was the world of knowledge, the second world, because of its very nature couldn't be known, it can only be the object of opinion or belief or seeming, as he called it. You find that later on of course those who were into physics thought they could break down the distinction of objects, but they didn't conceive that the nature of knowledge or science had altered, even when they were doing it initially in the ancient times. Plato thought that knowledge was distinguished from opinion or belief, not in being what we would call true but in being infallible. Infallible is the key. Man's always looking for infallibilities, something that's perfect. And unfortunately that idea of this perfection of infallible, the perfection, the total perfection of man is a scary thing. Because it would mean it would be applied to everyone, eventually, and we would literally be like robots in a sense. There would be no dispute, and remember, through dispute you really have good change at times as well; ideas come from disputing things. And we are full of ideas. Man is supposed to have ideas all the time. It doesn't mean you follow them all, but you look at all the different possibilities, and it also helps to teach you where these possibilities would lead, good or bad.

But what the ancients were talking about was probabilities. They tried to apply mathematics in some esoteric schools to everything in life, right down to Bertrand Russell's day. He talked about the pure logic was mathematics. He really believed in this. You'll find that with Hobbes as well. Hobbes didn't go into mathematics. He saw a book open in Euclid's theorem I think it was, when he was middle-aged and he was so astonished by how this formula was worked out he was like a convert to a new religion and he tried to apply mathematics to everything, right up until he died much, much later in life; a devotee to finding truth through mathematics.

But in the 17th century the early physicists were, they were revolutionary. It's very different from today with definite formats and laws to do with physics, etc. Then there were many paradoxes in physics, and ideas and theories etc. Descartes also talked about that. He was into the senses of man and he said that, they completely delude us about the nature of the natural world around us. Partially it's true. Some of that is true. Your eyes don't see what you're actually... or you don't interpret what you're actually seeing. We do as best as we can through the eyes, through the nerves, etc., and reformulated in the brain like a TV camera to the screen. But often it's clouded by what we want to see, what we're thinking at the time, that doesn't coincide with the thing that we're seeing, can distort it too. And it's very much apparent when you get to the scene of a crime and there's 20 witnesses all with different descriptions of the culprits and the events and so on and so on.

Therefore modern science, they say that our perceptions of the world are imperfect in a sense. Again, they're all into the same old revolutionary idea which began with religion, or expressed itself through religion, into the perfection of all things. And Descartes said that Cartesian first philosophy, this is what he called it, first philosophy, is the indispensable foundation of Cartesian physics. All the philosophies to do with that area must be founded on an absolute truth, an infallible truth, or else's it's false, all later deductions are false. That tends to go along with much of modern physics today.

He believed that Each separate proposition of science must be by itself distinctly apprehended and seen to follow inevitably from a previous proposition itself thus clearly and distinctly comprehended. It takes practice and care to know when we are "conceiving clearly and distinctly," and to learn to know the difference between such conceptions and unclear and indistinct apprehensions is the first essential of a scientific training. But when we do know the difference, we have only to be faithful to it to avoid error. Error arises because it will introduce us to give rise to answers to questions when we have not got the clear and distinct apprehension required.

But modern science, when you look at modern science today for instance, you can see... how completely erroneous this account of it is, and how entirely Descartes failed to understand what he as a physicist was doing. For Descartes' science was closely dependent upon and tied up with philosophy. ...at the time. But as the new science progressed, it cut loose from philosophy. Philosophy had to be left behind for modern science to come into its own.

For it was in practice found that scientists holding opposed philosophical views could co-operate in scientific discovery. Discoveries in optics were made harmoniously by scientists some of whom held the Cartesian doctrine of the nature of light and some of whom held the Newtonian, and it did not in practice seem to matter. Hence science cut loose from philosophy until gradually the scientist came to regard the philosopher with a kindly and courteous but ineffable pity, and when anyone mentioned metaphysics it recalled a story about a blind man looking in a darkened room for a black cat that wasn't there. So you can see how the so-called sciences have altered and changed as they came along, first from religion and then from philosophy and then to what they call today the supposed true and pure sciences. But it's never ever really been truly pure, even today, to be honest with you. I think you can't... You definitely can't, if you look around you today, see the distinctions of science in a completely different light from politics and political direction, cultural direction, and the way that we're guided to go. They are definitely combined together.

Now another thing that's awfully important is the conception of truthful change. if we say that a proposition of modern science is true, then we no longer mean that it is clear and distinct or that it is self-evident or that it is intellectually satisfying; we mean that the anticipation of experience implied in it are verified in the event. Truth becomes correct prediction, as falsehood is only incorrect prediction. That means that when we

get scientific truth by the very method which Descartes announced as the source of error: by going beyond our evidence to a hypothetical assertion which only the future can confirm or reject.

And it's so true, things are held up in science as literally a religious gospel truth, only to be discarded down the road. And many folk, remember, along the way, have got their degrees by following these various hypotheses, etc., and they were pretty well fake at the time. The problem with science too has for a long time been the specialization, you might say, of different areas of it, where they were closed shops. But now there's interdisciplinary forces at work and there is more communication; therefore discoveries in one area can affect another area. So a true scientist will throw out his old ideas, that he held as gospel truths, to bring in something updated according to the new data, or new facts that have been discovered elsewhere to do with his particular field of investigation. So it doesn't matter how much mathematical equipment they have and all the rest of it, new facts pop up which can discard so much that's gone before and were believed to be true. And that's a true scientist.

Today scientists, again, have unfortunately taken the path of being involved heavily in politics. We find the big organizations that are dealing with global warming, who are so well-funded, they live on grants, remember, scientists; they depend on grants. They smell the wind, where it's going, where the grant money is coming, and they get on board and they become prostitutes for someone else's causes. But they are well benefited for it financially and prestige-wise, that they don't want to admit the truth that they're a bunch of prostitutes. It's so sad to see that but it's true. They cannot be called true scientists when they have literally got on board with a new kind of doctrine, a religious doctrine, done through an idea, someone's idea. Because it's based on humanism, there's too many people, how do we cull them down, etc. etc? That means the state must, and the world state for that must take over more and more responsibilities over the individual. In fact, the individual becomes the enemy. Never forget that, the individual becomes the enemy. You're all guilty of something, say those who decide that they're better than you.

Blake, when he was talking about scientists in fact, said that… Humble to God and haughty to man. And that's been the attitude of the scientists for an awful long time, that he would accept certain things he couldn't know from a creator type situation, a God, he'd accept that could exist, but everything else in the world he was dead on because he was a scientist, you see.

And In spite of his repudiation of certainty and infallibility… You find that Men nowadays say "Modern science teaches us," what "The Church used to teach us." The infallibility doctrine basically. We're taught to obey scientists and believe them, even when they're put out there for political reasons, we parrot what they say.

The layman's attitude to the pronouncements of science is well described in Hilaire Belloc… for those who have read it…
Oh, let us never, never doubt What nobody is sure about!

So This is a revolutionary view of knowledge itself. You can never have complete knowledge on any particular thing. You're only a product of your own time.

Now remember, all this started with religious ideas and metaphysical ideas. And down through history big changes happen but there were stable periods where people at least had an understanding of their place in things and how they related to things in the world; to them it felt a bit more secure in some ways, and a common culture. In the Christian world especially there was a kind of common culture. But that was really upset and went into upheaval during the industrial revolution basically and things changed with the advent of science coming in and experts coming in and all the rest of it. It threw us into a form of chaos, which in themselves too led to big experimentation, experiments like Nazism and Communism and communitarianism and all of these kind of things too, collectivism, that are still on the go yet in some places. They called this, this reconstruction of society, this reordering of society and upheaval, the great adventure.

Out of that came the idea too of democracy and a form of democracy based on old English law, common law. And there are some differences between that and the American law, for sure, to do with democracy but they have the same fundamental view which they took from the Puritans, that the state is only an instrument to serve society. That's how it's been for a long time but it changed over the last 30 years, maybe even since

World War II, into a more aggressive all-powerful state unfortunately. So it's supposed to be an instrument to serve society. Today it's run by experts, and they keep telling us that experts run it all, etc., and we should do what the experts say. Community and the values of community really should be free, but the role of the state with its organized force is supposed to serve that freedom and now it's destroying it, or dominating it through the destruction of old values; that's fundamental.

So all great ideas, it's like the road to hell basically is paved with good intentions, end up as institutions that first are lauded and approved and do the right things to the best of their abilities or restrictions, and then they fossilize into institutions which lose touch with the general population. That's really what I'm driving at. But you find in the States, for instance, there were many people who wrote about this idea of the republican form of democracy and how the people had rights, rights, rights. That was pretty new actually for a country to have it written down what the rights were. In the British version it was more verbal, which can be changed all the time by lawyers and the meanings changed to suit the times too. But in the States they tried to put it down into a form, which would exist and be used for as long as the people could remember it and remember what they were. They were also aware that government tends to lose its revolutionary spirit, and its decency and its goodness, and become narrow in its thinking and more fossilized as it gets older. That's happened too of course.

With all these different philosophers' ideas that combined into the fact that the state, the governments don't believe that man has the ability to govern himself, which is the ideal state of things to be, a form of self-government where you can decide what's right and wrong. You don't come in conflict with other people and make their lives hell, those around you, because of your belief. But you can have differences of opinion and accept that without coming to blows. So the government believes that man will never have a harmonious system and therefore they want to literally bioengineer all of us. And that's what they've been doing for quite some time. They can't ask for volunteers, naturally, because no one would come forward. So it's done in ways that you don't even know it's happening. That's the sad part of it.

It's become more rigid in its view of this particular thing since World War I and II and into the present day, as they blame the masses for all the problems in the world. They never blame the fact of the big entrepreneurs that want the wars and profit from them, and from the psychopaths who helped to organize these wars into being. So it's a rather sad situation that we find ourselves in, that you can have as many philosophers as you want giving new ideas out, or even regurgitating old ideas and updating them for the time, but until the state gets off the back of the people you can't really have any real true freedom of any kind. We're stunted now. We're limited in what we can say, do, think and so on, as they pretend to give certain minorities, approved minorities, rights, that they're really taking the rights away from everybody else at the same time. And that's the important thing to notice, not what they claim that they're doing, but what are they really doing, when everyone is limited in what they can say and do.

There's never been such a monitored society as we have today. Every individual, it doesn't matter who you are, even if you're conforming perfectly to your indoctrination, you're still monitored with your daily chitchats on your phone and your emails and everything else you do. You've been trained to put up all your information on different sites and that's a good thing. You've been trained that's a good thing, a normal thing to do, and it really has cut all the problems of the totalitarian state into a tiny, tiny fraction; they've got not much left at all. Because in a totalitarian system everyone must be predictable, and they do that by updating your profile, constantly, and eventually you will have knocks on the door when you change your habits and your routines. They want to know why. If they can't find it from you by you volunteering to put things up on your net and saying why, they want to find out why you're changing. What are you thinking about between this time and that time that you used to go to this club or whatever? What are you thinking about now? It could be dangerous, you see. This is what happens in totalitarian states. And today the totalitarian state has the advantage of having incredible, omnipotent powers almost over all of us when it comes to information gathering and spying upon the people. Spying is spying, there's no nice way to put it.

American and English democracy, as it used to be called, if we can call it that, was...
the function of the State is to serve the community and to help to make it a community by removing the disharmonies and corruptions which hinder the common life. But we have to deal with a certain paralysis of will – and that's what happens when the state becomes powerful – which is largely due to men holding on to an antiquated interpretation of freedom. Freedom, as it was always recognized, needs power, to an extent.

You need the power to be left alone. But if we think of freedom as merely being let alone, then freedom and power are thought of as opposites, and power as a necessary evil.

In the US...
Roger Williams and his successors had recognized that the State was an instrument of power, and had sought to preserve the freedom of the centres of inspiration and growth by using power for a strictly limited purpose and keeping it in its proper place and at a distance. Power came to be thought of as a necessary but ugly thing, not pertaining to the beautiful free life of the churches and the colleges. In time some of their members came to thank God that they were not as other men are, even these soldiers and politicians. No doubt the soldiers and politicians did their moral dirty work for them but it was dirty work, and its existence was one of those disagreeable facts it is better not to see.

So behind all of this there's always the corruptive forces too, and dirty and nasty forces. Power can't help but have those particular forces. The toleration of society is, what degree of the dirty power are you willing to put up with? That really is what it comes down to. And the answer to all of this came with the Cold War and all the massive debates that went on, between those on both sides of the Cold War, on how to control future societies. And they've been implementing it for an awful long time, this changing of the human, each individual human themselves, to a form of conformity beginning with indoctrination, using the psychological sciences, etc., the training, and also bioengineering through food, water, and probably inoculations too, which definitely affect more than just your immune system with the high sciences that go into them.

So therefore the answer to disharmony in the world is to completely alter humanity itself, to be a good obedient servant. You go back again to Hobbes' idea with the insects living in harmony. Well, insects can't help it because they're genetically programmed; they don't have abstract thought and the choice of moral right or wrong. They don't have that. They have no choice. They're the perfect slave you might say. There are those who work today in the high echelons of society that have the same view of humanity, that that's the society they want to bring in, where you're all completely predictable because you're genetically programmed to be so.

I'm trying to cram a lot of stuff in, really, to something that just came out of the top of my head tonight. But I hope you get some sense out of what I'm saying and understand how this applies to today, how things are becoming, the way that they've become, those involved of course, how they have come along this path to think as they do, the ones who want control over you, and to give you an insight too into the ways that we think. We all do think certain things in common, about certain topics. We have certain drives too, that all of us have, and these also influence our behavior as well and so on.

But there are also those who will not only use your questioning against you, if they can grab it and they can guide you into the correct or the authorized way to think and perceive this thing, but they can also use your own drives against you as well. A very, very perfected technique today with hyper-sexualization through the culture industry and through your training at school even. So you become a collage, you might say, by the time you come through schooling and university, of all the indoctrinations from the different sciences and how they've been brought to affect you. They churn out rather than freethinkers, they really churn out conformists who think because they're disagreeing about certain things in public, they can disagree, that they're actually freethinkers. They're not freethinkers at all. They have far more in common with each other in their ideas and perceptions and deductions than they'd like to admit. And these are the people you should really start to fear because they have powerful institutions and they definitely think they have the right to do as they wish with humanity... always for the common good, remember, for the good of all, meaning total peace because no one can think for themselves, except the free men at the top, the wild men as Charles Galton Darwin called his own particular class.

So anyway, I hope you get something out of this and from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

July 27, 2014 (#1451)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"By Following The Human Agenda
You Could Become Both Ma and Pa"
© Alan Watt July 27, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - July 27, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on July the 27th, 2014. I hope you're all doing well and not flying into blind panics at all the hyper news. Because we live in a hyper news society; it's part of your training. Constant fear, terror, worry, look to government to protect and defend you, and the cost is only that all your rights are taken away in the process. It works awfully well, doesn't it? And most folk do acquiesce to it and go along with, well what else can they do, we need them to protect us against... And they've got a whole host of countries and bad people that they can bring up to tell you who they're going to defend you against.

But this trick has been used for thousands of years. You wouldn't have an elite class, at all, in anywhere in the world, if early on certain families didn't catch on they could actually become the elite classes. How can you live better than everyone else, who's scratching a living, in any era in fact, how can you live better than anyone else if you're going to bring home the same as everyone else, so many cabbages and lettuces or whatever it happens to be? The way that you live well is to live off of everybody else. And to do so you need a leisure class, you see, and to bring a leisure class in you need slavery to begin with. That's really how it starts. Because people don't voluntarily put themselves into slavery, not until you're trained to do so and then it becomes much easier; you think it's all quite normal, especially when they tell you you're still free.

But in ancient times big, big families, and families had, especially when they were in polygamist societies, they could have big families which were tribes eventually and they could go off conquering and taking over slaves, and the slaves were their wealth. Money comes from counting men, 'mon', you see; you find that in the Middle East. So nothing has really changed except our perceptions and our conditioning on how to perceive things have been changed. We're given our perceptions, you see, every day in fact, through all the newscasts that you get; they give you the conclusions you're supposed to arrive at, you see. And why work on it yourself when some expert has done it for you already? And it's become so easy. It never dawns on most folk to check into any story they possibly hear and find out the real whys and wherefores and who

benefits, who gains, etc. Someone always gains out of it. Always.

In fact, I think never, to be honest with you, is ever a war carried out in any way whatsoever for a moral purpose. I don't think so. They might use that once in a while but it's completely non-true. But it will always be used as long as we fall for it. And the average soldier too, like Kissinger said, and Kissinger has said this in one of his own books too in fact and I've mentioned it many times. He said that the average soldier, the soldier is an animal who is used for foreign policy. That's what he said. So that tells you how they think of the people they tell you to become, to protect or defend or go off to war for your country. That's what they really believe you are. He actually said, dumb stupid animals who are used for foreign policy.

And that's the psychopath talking there, you see, and we have been ruled by psychopaths for an awful long time. An awful long time. It's even worse since ancient times when some of the top psychopaths got together, the families, for arranging marriages because they like to arrange wealth transfers basically, amalgamations of families for wealth purposes. They could then have more slaves, cumulatively, etc. etc. and power over others. But eventually they had to always... If nothing happened much except slavery, the slaves would tend to get rather ticked off and maybe rebel, and it would fall apart. So they always had to find wars and skirmishes, or threats of wars to keep it all going. And through these wars the elite families always enrich themselves with more slaves, property, gold, silver, jewels and everything else you can imagine. It's quite interesting that really everything that's tangibly got value, according to those who rule, even today's money system, is technically useless for any other purpose; your emeralds and all the rest of it, and your diamonds and gold. You're better with some good steel or something like that that you can use and make a hinge or something practical and useful. But you see, nothing really is what it appears to be. And those who are in control of these things decide what things are going to be valuable and those things which are not.

I mentioned last week, I think, I was thinking about society in general, again, and how down through the ages once established religion comes in, which always gets co-opted by the power wealth establishment and then they get a healthy symbiosis going together, which dominates the people and keeps them placid and happy and gives them a reason for the meaning of life and all that and the purpose of things. You find that the purpose of a religion changes into changing you. The whole point of religion really is to make everyone uniform in a certain moral code, in a way of living, in a particular place and people basically. Some are universal religions which take recruits in from all over. All religions have been universal at one time pretty well and some have retreated back into being simply for themselves; they are special, it's their God and they should dominate and so on. Other ones have, especially when they're failing, try to recruit more and more people in altogether to boost their numbers, which also boosts their confidence in their own belief system; the more numbers they get the more the story of whatever it happens to be must be true. That's how simple it is. Because authorized religions are always authorized by those in power. And when they become accepted by those in power they've lost their savor, as it would say in the New Testament, you've lost your revolutionary power when you're accepted by the ruling establishment, the powerful wealthy people, the overlords, etc.

But you find that it's been an ancient plan, always, to change humanity along someone's idea of thinking, or way of thinking, or direction of thinking as to how it should be done, changing the base material into gold you might say. And it's no different now today with the scientific age. In fact, it's accelerated through the scientific age as bioethics come into it, and eugenics come into it, all to do with supposed improvement of humanity, to suit someone's idea of the perfect society. There's no vote given out to the public on this kind of thing, never will be and never has been. It's simply the elite have got together and along with the elite they use academia. Because they're all trying to understand all of you all the time.

That's why the total information network has been ongoing for years, to get everyone's profile, an updated profile daily basically, on every single person, to see if their indoctrination is having a universal effect, to see if we're all changing and complying and updating the PC terms and using them and discarding the old bad terms, which used to be good terms, etc. etc., to see if it's working. Because it's to do with control. Everything eventually comes down to control. Truths are often used to start things off but they're soon taken over as I say by power establishment elites and the psychopathic type of course, for their own ends. So this is the unfortunate part of simply existing in any particular time or era.

Today it's far more perfected, the art of standardizing people and creating this homogenous people who all believe the same things, and say the same things, and watch the same shows, and watch the same movies, and get updated along with everyone else at the same time with the new terminology that they're giving you to keep repeating to yourself. Because the more you repeat things to yourself the more you start to believe it. George Orwell went through the process with his various vocabulary and doublethink, etc., the ability to hold two opposing views in your head at the same time, without breaking down. It was shown very well even in the movie version of that too, the ability to have those two opposing views. Today if certain topics come up in conversation, your Pavlovian conditioning will set you off from default into complying with what you're supposed to say, as opposed to what you might really think, and you'll even feel guilty about what you really think; it's a very simple technique of creating guilt in people. But you'll say the right thing because your default position will kick in immediately; you've been trained that way.

So what I'm saying is, the sciences are so perfected today. And there's nothing you watch, nonfiction, fiction, or read a novel, that doesn't have all the updates in them. Because these novelists and writers get paid money by the Department of Culture – every country has one, from the government – and they pay to have all these things inserted, as we get updated into the standardized human being. And people will think, well I'm different from everybody else. But you're not really at all. You have all the same basic desires. It wouldn't matter what year you were born in, you have the same basic desires and needs and so on and drives. But you're really... Even those who have been promiscuous don't realize they have been taught to be promiscuous and have a free reign over what they think is their lives. It seems to be lots of choice out there but it's not really lots of choice. Because there's nothing that you can do in life that doesn't have a comeback upon you. For every action there is an equal and opposite reaction; that's a very true saying. And that goes along with everything that happens to be.

But if everyone's being promiscuous at the same time, how does that make you different? Especially when guys like Julian Huxley and Russell and other ones said they would create a society that would do this, and there would be no taboos whatsoever, as long as they didn't have offspring. You see. So it's kind of like someone constantly drinking, drinking, drinking, and what they're drinking wouldn't get past into their bloodstream or their body as a fluid to keep them alive. They're doing something that's a defunct, a defunct ending, if you know what I'm saying.

Academia is so vastly used today to train future leaders and bureaucrats and so on and scientists, who work together towards this common cause of changing all of us. All the anthropological associations, and you have your sociological associations and on and on it goes, psychiatric, psychological, various other institutions all working towards creating the perfect individual. But what do they mean by the perfect individual? Of course in academia they bring in evolution, this strange phenomenon which you would need an awful lot of faith to believe it – because it is a religion. But they bring in evolution, that it's somehow their destiny to train mankind and to alter mankind, physically as well, down the way, to make the perfect human beings for society to have peace, you see, and to be compliant with each other, and compliant to their masters, because they will always give you masters.

Because those at the top believe that they should themselves remain unaffected by all the conditioning and all the genetic tampering that goes on, because they have to steer planet Earth and make decisions, like a wild animal with all of its faculties working. Whereas you will not need that because the State will be rearing you from birth to death and training you, whether you know it or not, and they have been, and they will give you your values and so on, and you won't have to make decisions. They'll give you a choice of maybe five things, all approved to do, and you go and do it. You think you're making your own choice but you're not, you're actually limited to go into those five avenues. And the big boys achieve their goal. Because the state is making all the big decisions for you, you see, so you don't need your own wildness, your own survival capabilities intact. They want to blunt them and make you domesticated.

As they have the big, big plan of course to go into trans-humanism and then bring out new kinds of functioning human beings, which are genetically created from scratch basically, like Brave New World. You can go back into the 30s as I say when they wrote about this stuff, and the world meetings they had through the League of Nations, it ramped it up during World War II because of the war itself, saying, see, see we can't allow people just to do what they want. Well the people don't do what they want, they do what they're told. And when you get drafted to go off in a war, you go off and fight it or you go to prison; that's

your democratic choice, right. So you find that people really are given no choice at all to do things; there's always a repercussion if you say no to the demand that governments make upon you. And you must also believe in the reasons for the wars, like World War II, that you're given and you must also believe all the standardized versions that come out immediately afterwards. It's the same with everything else that happens in the world, the 9/11 event and so on, you must believe the authorized versions or you're a kook, they say.

So we live in a world of mass manipulation. Just going through all the talks I've given on Bernays, the so-called father of marketing, how to make people buy anything at all, from toothpaste to cars to whatever, or even to get women to smoke or drink beer, etc., in bars. He's the father of it supposedly because he knew through mass persuasion, the right kind of persuasion, supposedly using Freudian psychology, how to get to the basic instincts and hyper-sensitize them and hyper-drive them, into making them buy certain things that were going to make you happy. And that's still used today in advertising; they sell you dreams and fantasy. They tell you nothing basically about the quality of the product or even how long the transmission is going to last in a car, or guaranteed, etc. You're sold the fantasy. Everything else out there on television is the same thing, you're getting sold fantasy, including the news by the way.

Going back to the 40s, 50s and 60s you have no idea how many world meetings they had and many of them were held especially in the United States. They had the big tax base. They weren't hurt so much at the time with the cost of World War II, where other countries were totally in the hole, to the banks of course who profited from the wars. You find that they were concerned about the future, too many people, overpopulation, lack of resources, food, etc. So the 60s were an immense time for governments and departments to merge completely with academia in the various social sciences, and psychological sciences, on how to handle people, how to manipulate them even more, to make them the perfect citizens, as perfect as could be, good taxpayers who would go home and watch their TVs at night and do what they were told to do basically. And when it came to war they'd join up immediately and do what they're told and go off and get killed. And the rest of course would be left to pay off the debts incurred during the wars.

So this is the perfect system they wanted to bring in. That's when they got into schooling in a very big way of course, was to rear them from childhood in the schools – using the techniques worked out by Bertrand Russell in the 1930s through experimental schools – so that the parent would simply be the caretaker, the economic provider of the child while the state, and also with the use of the media and entertainment, would give the new values to the children. And that was very successfully carried out too. So academia is really up there in all of this. And I've always found that birds of a feather fly together. You find that the psychopathic types can certainly work together. It's not true that they cannot. They can work together very well on a certain level, if they're getting their personal needs and interests met, and their craving for power and status and approval etc. is all being met.

They can work together in combinations, or international corporations; they can do very little actual work as such but they're figureheads to an extent. They're very glib, they're likable and presentable, and they can put things across in a way that they know the people will accept it and follow it too. So don't ever think that psychopaths don't get up there. They really do get up there, into politics, and into the heads of big cutthroat organizations on Wall Street, places like that. They thrive in those places where they can stab each other as they claw their way up to the top. Once at the top, they're approached by even higher psychopaths, who formed their clubs and say, if you want to go any higher or even continue to exist, do what we tell you or you won't be around for very long. And they get the message loud and clear and they can conform and comply, etc.

Other ones work in academia and various sciences, all to do with repeating experiments on animals, and then on humans of course, in the psychological areas, to see how we behave, how they can change behavior and so on and so on. A lot of that goes on and yet you know nothing about it. You're constantly being experimented upon. The CIA of course is a big part of this, believe it or not. What I'm trying to tell you is, nothing that's out there is really what it's there for, what you've been trained to believe it's there for, including the CIA. The CIA when they were doing the MK Ultra, and other allied programs at the same time, they hired thousands and thousands of prostitutes, over many years, 20, 25, 30 years, and trained them to an extent, to give certain drugs and test drugs out on Johns that they'd bring home to their paid-for apartments, paid for by the taxpayers of America; and they were studied under cameras with the effects of the drugs and so on. But they also wanted to see if the hypersexualization of the younger generations, over

that long span, was having a different effect too, and of course they were intensely interested in seeing that it was all working awfully well.

So we're constantly being experimented upon. You are even if you think you're not. On the Internet you certainly are with the memes and themes that are put out there for you to follow. The nudges you get constantly, when you look up some news article, oh others also looked at this. You're being guided and guided and guided to read things which will leave a conclusion in your mind about a particular topic, or topics, all the time. This is a big, big thing today on your ongoing training. I used to wonder what they meant in the old communist and socialist organizations in Britain when they talked about 'lifelong training'. And this is what they meant. For your entire life you will constantly be retrained, upgraded and upgraded, with new thoughts, new opinions, as you discard the old opinions and you're given the new PC ones that your masters have decreed are the ones for you today. It's quite fascinating when you stop and think about it all.

But to show you how academia and the psychopathic types got into it, and remember, psychopaths have different traits. Some of them are awfully, awfully likable. That's how they get through life, people never suspect them of having psychopathic traits at all. And it isn't until long after things are over they look back and say, God that person manipulated me so darned easy, because they were so charming, or whatever it happened to be. The same with gurus as well. Gurus can do the same thing, they can see the weaknesses in everybody, immediately, and exploit it for their own ends. Well, the psychopath is a master at that. They can be well-known people in public or on television and so on and so on.

Anyway, getting back to one example, and this is from a book; I've mentioned this book some years back. It was written in the 1960s, I think '68 it was, by Roderick Gorney M.D.. He was into psychology, psychiatry, etc. etc. He said that in the writing of his book, the words of his old mentor came back to him where he said that the M.D. is the true psychiatrist because the M.D. sees the general population more so than the psychiatrist, where patients are sent to him, more select patients, but the M.D. practices this form in his office as well, but he's trying to do it through drugs... altering behavior, not just helping to allay illnesses. I don't say cure illnesses because allopathic medicine is not in the business of curing an illness; they want to treat symptoms for as long as you live, because it's more profitable that way; that's why it was set up this way in fact. But the GP also is able to give out psychiatric drugs today, and tranquilizers and things too, which some do with rapidity and they're rather copious in their doses too.

But the fact is, they enjoy experimenting. This little power that they feel that they have, they take for granted now and they love to experiment on the general public. They feel a sense of power when they can change the behavior in someone. And never forget that. It's so far removed from the old days of the Hippocratic Oath you can never get back to it in fact, and very few folk, especially the doctors, ever read the Hippocratic Oath and what it talks about. Do no harm is one of the prime motifs of it basically, the mottos, do no harm, and so on. And plus, confidentiality of patients. At that time a doctor was like a priest to his patient, whatever happened there was totally secret between the patient and the doctor. Today that's out the window, with everything's on the computer, the doctors put it up, especially in nationalized health countries, and all the person's data is added to all their other data so that the government can know all about you.

Now, this Roderick Gorney that wrote this book that's called The Human Agenda, he said...

This is the most marvelous moment of man to be alive. (Alan: You see, and it is for these kind because it's exciting opportunities for the psychopathic types. He says...) Neither blindness nor optimism underlies this judgment. For the first time in our 2 million or so more years we have the possibility of enough to go around. (Alan: That was a big thing in the 60s they were saying, Oh there really is enough to go around at the moment, but... Then they get into the 'buts', you see, and I'll get into the 'buts' later. That's how you get an audience around you, you tell them what they want to hear, don't you, the same with these authors, there's enough to go around.)

Although destitution still torments us, scarcity could be replaced at last by abundance as the central condition of human life. (Alan: That sounds wonderful. Wouldn't you want to go and hear a guy like that? Lots of folk would, you see. These are the groupie types that run from guru to the next guru, you see. He

says...)

The new condition promises more than a sufficiency of things, although we may shortsightedly reject the opportunity for the first time, the future promises that all human beings may look forward to a fully human life, the right to explore, to be and to become potentially whatever they desire. (Alan: Sounds wonderful doesn't it? That's how these guys catch you, at the beginning, to make sure that you'll follow them; they give you what you want to hear... Santa Claus has come to town, you see. He says...)

Yet everywhere there is spreading fear that man cannot survive the byproducts of abundance. I believe that this blight of hope springs from two seemingly contradictory causes, (Alan: Number one is...) concerned that we do not have the material resources or cooperativeness to neutralize in time such hazards as war, overpopulation, poverty, pollution and injustice, (Alan: Number two...) concern that we do have these capabilities and will use them and therefore will soon find ourselves living in a world in which our preferences, plans and goals which grow out of scarcity, in effect our values, will have become obsolete.

(Alan: He then goes on to say things which others have said before him...) Whereas we are conscious of our fear of annihilation, most of us as yet are unconscious of our fear that we may survive. This anxiety I believe to be the major obstacle to resolution of the threats that imperil us. Powerful people among us are so terrorized unconsciously at finding themselves cast adrift in paradise without a map, without the guiding star of scarcity, to tell them what is right or wrong, good or bad, worthwhile or worth doing basically. That they again unconsciously would prefer extinction. Dedicated to preserving yesterday they are tempted to wipe out the experiment of man and throw away the victory that belongs to us all.

Then he goes on long rambles about his belief in evolution and where man's need comes from, from scarcity in itself, etc. He doesn't go into the fact that too much of anything can have a similar neurotic effect as well. And we see that definitely in ruling families who have interbred so much, as well, they want more and more power, they're terrified of losing it, and terrified of losing the chamber maids that, you know, clean their bum after going to the toilet, things like that. So he omits so much that means so much to know. It's by omission that they guide you along a path so that you will agree with him, himself, the author. Because after his beginning of this, enough to go around, blah-blah-blah, he will go into eugenics, depopulation, and the culling off of the wrong kinds of people and that kind of thing. It always comes down to who should survive, doesn't it? Doesn't it always? And then they'd have this wonderful utopia, of the right kind of people that should be allowed to survive. That was the same idea as socialism. I've mentioned before and I've played it on the air from an old, old movie reel, of George Bernard Shaw, cofounder of the Fabian Society, for socialism, which was a great pal of the Communists actually; in fact, they even said they had a direct link to the desk at the Kremlin. But Shaw said that, when we are in charge, when WE become fully in charge YOU will all come to US and you will ask us, as to why WE should keep YOU alive. You're a cog in the machine in other words, for the greater good of all, etc. etc.

So he goes on to talk about man's adaptation, like the Darwinian theories of adaptation to situations for survival purposes. And we certainly do have that ability to adapt. But knowing this too and knowing the different processes or dynamics that go into making adaptation occur, remember these guys can manipulate you into adapting to anything. Hollywood knows that awfully well with its comedies; that's how they get you to accept things which used to be awfully repugnant in the past, and now you'll sit and laugh at them, as they've intended you to. They can change the way you look at things and respond to things and so on. If they can do it with basic sexual things they can do it with anything that comes along the pipe, believe you me.

Anyway, remember that nothing comes out of the mouths of psychiatrists and psychologists, especially when they belong to big organizations... And even though it tells you the different institutions he belongs to and so on, it doesn't tell you in this book of any governmental work that he is also involved in. And I guarantee you, he will definitely be involved in various organizations of government. Because government's got the biggest, biggest stake in finding out how to manipulate the peoples, en masse, to create the standardized human and to get us to accept things that perhaps we shouldn't accept at all.

In the section called Eugenics he says...

The more conservative in terms of current values is called “negative eugenics.” It involves trying just to prevent defective conceptions. (Alan: Now that’s what it was supposed to be, but there’s a much bigger goal behind it all, as you know, abortion is abortion, and now it’s simply in the way, it’s a nuisance. It’s the product of an act, generally that someone agreed to participate in, and now they treat it like a disease, like pregnancy is a disease because the big boys don’t want you to have so many children, or in fact, any at all, according to certain classes. But it says here that...) It involves trying just to prevent defective conceptions. This can be done at present by persuading those who have a high likelihood of transmitting genetic defect on to their children not to reproduce. But that is difficult. A few years ago I consulted with a young mother who had watched her first child die slowly at the age of two from a genetic brain disease called amaurotic idiocy. The chance of another child sharing the same fate was estimated by a geneticist at one in four. After much conflict she decided to reject his counsel against having another child. She went all through the pregnancy and the first year and a half of the new child’s life and dreaded that he too would begin to become ill when nearing two years of age. But she was lucky, he did not and all is well at the age of six, which means he does not have the dreaded defect. However, the chance of his being an unaffected carrier of the trait is 67%.

See, everything is justified now by percentages. Do you know there’s whole areas of the sciences, of learning how, to do with creating percentages, to skew results? It’s just astonishing, especially in advertising. They do studies and studies and studies, government does it all the time too, where by the use of carefully selected studies they can really skew the results and give you certain percentages, which can sound phenomenal. For instance, they can say 50% of those asked this question responded no and 50% responded yes. But what they might not have told you is that the study was based on two people who lived in the deep south of the US, something like that. This is how it’s done. It’s all conology, everything is when an agenda is behind it all. The same with percentages to do with defective children. Remember, under this guise today that medicine is pushed up to be a total authority over all of you... That’s part of the reason, by the way... It’s not just the big greed of the insurance companies that are getting the money for Obamacare. That’s one of the main reasons, to be a totally socialized country dominated with governmental departments and so on, healthcare is one of them. Because healthcare is mainly to do with the eliminating of inferior types before they get born. That’s part of it. The second part of it too is mandatory inoculations, most of which are not there for the reasons they tell you that they’re there for. We didn’t have all these multiple cancers before they gave you the polio shot, for instance; but that’s another story.

Anyway, he talks about different cases, etc. to do with not just aborting the children but sterilizing the ones who carry the particular genes that MAY or may not cause that problem, you see, down the road, to the offspring. And he says here that...

Defects can be transmitted by both sperm and egg. If the defect is from the father he can avoid passing it on by not fertilizing his wife himself. (Alan: So he is advocating surrogates.) Today the couple may still have a child by means of therapeutic insemination (Alan: ...therapeutic insemination, like therapy, eh. [Alan chuckles.] How often do you go in for therapy for insemination, eh? Oh, ten times a week. But anyway...) performed by a doctor using sperm from a donor. As yet, however, there are no reports of human offspring being reproduced by the reverse procedure of therapeutic inovulation of a donor egg, although the technique has proved successful in other mammals. (Alan: Well, they’ve done it since this book was written, of course, as you well know.)

Negative Eugenics

Negative eugenics could now be practiced with a minimum restriction of freedom by uniformly ruling out sperm donors with a history of genetic defect. It is likely that the community will step in to the area of genetic regulation by establishing required procedures at least for therapeutic insemination to protect parents and children produced by this technique. But eventually computerized techniques could give a complete record of each marriage license applicant’s genetic code. (Alan: And they most certainly, folks, they most certainly will. And it will be on your health card too.)

Then, depending on this genetic load, he could be counseled or coerced to reproduce only through therapeutic means. (Alan: Now, it’s said so glibly and simply here, but remember, everything he is saying would be another... which is fantastic for lawyers because the law industry is a great industry for folk who

want to get... Lots of psychopaths go into law, believe you me. And it's not just chasing ambulances, mind you the psychopath gets someone else to chase it for him. But you'll find that all these things require new levels of law and lawyers that specialize in this area; it's always a booming business for all changes, all changes that come along. So they love this idea of evolution and societal evolution because they always get more and more business and more jobs for themselves. So he says here that...) Then, depending on this genetic load, he could be counseled or coerced to reproduce only through therapeutic means.

The more radical method of genetic intervention is called "positive eugenics." (Alan: That's more radical but it's called 'positive,' you see.) This is a more ambitious and controversial proposal championed, amongst others, by the late Herman Muller, (Alan: ...he was at the United Nations.) who said,

for any group of people who have a rational attitude towards matters of reproduction, and who also have a genuine sense of their own responsibility to the next and subsequent generations, (Alan: ...and that's a very telling part, you've got a responsibility, eh...) the means exist right now of achieving a much greater, speedier and more significant genetic improvement of the population, by the use of a selection, that could be effected by the most sophisticated methods of treatment of the genetic material that might be available in the 21st century.

(Alan: Well, we're in it and all this stuff is coming to pass. By the way, this is the century of change, isn't it, where all these hopes and dreams and projects have to be done. That's why they call it the century of change.)

He proposes by selective breeding not only to eliminate the defects we encounter now by reducing the present genetic load, but also to increase the number of people with "superior" qualities. One way to accomplish this would be to establish sperm (and eventually egg) banks in which the reproductive cells of individuals with exceptional health, intelligence or a special talent could be preserved. (Alan: They actually have those already by the way, very secret ones.) These could then be used by people who want to produce children with better endowment that would result from their own genes. Some have objected that people would not willingly agree to substitute the sex cells and characteristics of others for their own.

Muller rejects that and he says that, "the stultifying assumption that people would have to be forced rather than inspired to engage in any effective kind of genetic betterment." He points out that (Alan: ...and this is what Muller said...)

if the opportunity of the germinal choice were opened, a gradually increasing number of seemingly "normal" couples, in addition to a large proportion of those afflicted with seminal inadequacy or obvious genetic defect, would elect to use this means of having at least a part of their family. Moreover, as the saying goes, "nothing succeeds like success", and the obvious successes achieved by this method would within a generation win it still more adherents. It would constitute a major extension of human freedom in a quite new direction.

Now, why was Muller so confident this could be done? and this persuasive technique could be used and successfully? Well, I've already given talks in the past, if you go into cuttingthroughthematrix.com website and go through the archives section. I've given talks in the past, lots of them, about Bernays, for instance; he was only one big player in all of this. But his speciality was how to motivate people to do things that they normally would not do, how to make them want to do things that they normally would not do. He talked about the creation of consent, and the manufacturing of consent, in the population. They can, eventually, with the proper techniques, psychological persuasion and repetition, persuade the people to actually go along with something that in their better judgment without all the bombastic persuasion they would not go along at all. So the techniques are so perfected today; he knew it could be done. Definitely. And so you get the people to cooperate and go along with it. They have whole chapters in this book too about getting people to cooperate for their own betterment for survival capabilities. Oh, we're all in it together... All the usual story, we're all in it together... And here's your social responsibility to the greater good, the greater whole, etc.

So this author then goes on about appearing to understand your hesitation to swallow all of this, and he says...

But naturally such a program poses a potential threat to our values more fundamentally then does negative eugenics. It opens the door to the frightening abuses of compulsion outlined in Aldous Huxley's Brave New World, such as the creation of special classes best fitted to be servants to the others who are rulers. With good reason we might fear the consequences of such a system conducted according to the mad assumption of racists.

And there is the very serious problem of determining which human qualities are desirable, especially for future generations who will live in profoundly different circumstances.

But these sobering considerations will not prevent the introduction of both negative and positive eugenic measures, as both knowledge of techniques and the general demand for genetic improvement increase. In fact, at least in regard to negative eugenics the process is already underway.

In 1965, two thousand Danes were compulsorily sterilized under laws which make that the price for mentally retarded individuals and certain criminals and psychotics to live in the general community. (Alan: He doesn't touch on Hitler or anything like that, you know. It's interesting. Because that gave eugenics a bad name; it went into the closet for a little while.)

It is noteworthy that in a country of four and a half million people, this is four times the number similarly sterilized in the United States during the same interval. (Alan: And that was done mainly by the big boys who created Cold Spring Harbor laboratories in the US and they were funded by the Rockefeller foundation. Amazing the influence the Rockefellers have had in everything to do with the US policy, foreign policy, wars and everything else.) I am not recommending that we increase our use of sterilization, but that we increase our awareness of the implications for values of both genetic defect and measures for its control now characteristic of smaller countries. Included in such considerations should be the fact that compulsory sterilization is not effective because it only involves those showing the defect. (Alan: ...people who are born and the symptoms are obvious.) For every defective person detected there are 100 carriers who pass for "normal" and pass on the defective genes.

Well, in other words he's wanting to go to the carriers and kill off the carriers even if they are normal in every respect. That's what he wants, you see. But he wants you to be persuaded to come along to their reasoning and go along voluntarily and get it done, you see. This is the whole thing, the creation of consent, you see. And all these big thing thinks out there working for governments, all these big foundations that run them all, private foundations, which you never elect, all talk about the same things, in the same terms by the way, the creation and the manufacturing of consent. You'll want to do these things, we can persuade you to want to do these things. You see. So they want to go after those who are carrying the particular genes, you see. And by the way, as I say, you already see that, that they're giving... Especially in the States, maybe in Britain too, they're telling parents, before the children are born, this child may be prone to allergies. So all the way down to allergies is becoming regarded as a disease... an allergy, which may or may not appear in the child. So it's a good enough reason to sterilize them? Then sterilize the parent as well because they carry that same gene? They say it so happily and glibly, these psychopaths, don't they? But anyway it says here...

Here we should note that the ancient dread and loathing of mental illness allows its victims to be compulsorily sterilized in the hope of reducing their undesirable traits, even though the genetic nature of such conditions is often dubious. But there is as yet no comparable enthusiasm for compulsory sterilization of suffers from severe inherited physical illness, although its genetic basis is certain. We tend to respond nowadays at least to disease of the body with sympathy instead of irrational fear and hate.

In England, active discussion is going on over an original, if unconventional, project which might be expected to adapt their society to the future with the usual British attention to tradition. It has been proposed that Parliament enact an "aristogenics" bill which would grant to the aristocracy of blood and mind certain eugenical privileges. According to a recent provocative account:

The members of the House of Lords would under this scheme be allowed polygamist marriages, while knights would be asked to provide semen to a bank of superior genotypes. Women (married women, for the time being) will presumably be urged to use the bank by drawing freely on the account of their choice.

(Alan: This was back in the '60s. It's going on all the time, this stuff.)

To the above measures will soon be added means for selecting sex cells more precisely. For example, it may be possible to create a special vaginal diaphragm which would be capable of filtering out those sperm that bear certain damaged chromosomes or even genes. While such negative eugenic use should create little stir, use of a similar diaphragm which would allow parents to screen sperm so as to determine the sex of the child could lead to problems if it resulted in numerical imbalance. For example, although it is difficult to find published corroboration, several independent authorities have told me (Alan: ...the writer...) that just such a situation exists in one small nation. (Alan: Actually, they have a big one in China today.) They claim that largely as the result of several wars, the male population of reproductive age is several times smaller than that of the female, that as a consequence women have been forced into desperate and often fruitless competition for men, while men have become an immature, arrogant, and indolent minority that insists upon being supported by women. Should these circumstances and conclusions be verified, it would seem that marked inequality in numbers of men and women has already played a critical role in inducing drastic social changes in at least one country. (Alan: He doesn't mention which one it is or even if it's true.)

All these techniques that depend upon selection are made potentially more powerful by the new method for preserving and storing sex cells mentioned earlier. But modern genetics is moving far beyond these obvious primitive measures.

(Alan: Then he goes into something called...)

"Neo-Eugenics."

Gradually the chemistry of nucleic acid is being mastered, giving us control over the substance of which genes are made and the code in which their messages are written. Within the next few decades, methods will likely be worked out for using computers in conjunction with biochemical data so that the three-dimensional arrangement of the chemical groups making up genes, enzymes, and other proteins will be understood. Then it should be possible to find out how their structure determines their function. (Alan: Well that's all been done, by the way, since then. He knew all this because he sat in on think tanks and workgroups, and I've no doubt government-sponsored groups too, given these tasks that they had to figure out.)

The first benefits are likely to be techniques for synthesizing artificial vitamins, hormones, and antibodies, and even for creating artificial photosynthesis so that an expanding population may have a food supply independent of living plant cells. (Alan: Well what they're actually doing today, as you know, they're trying to force you to believe, again through persuasion and consensus building and so on, that crickets and bugs are good to eat. That's the cheapest way to get you to do it, you see.)

Eventually the chemist and computers will succeed in transplanting genes, or even creating artificial genes and enzyme systems to repair or replace damaged natural ones in human reproductive cells. Techniques are already available by which the proper substance, once it is available, could be inserted into the sperm or egg to prevent a genetic defect. (Alan: Well they're already moving genes, as we well know, in sperm and in the ovum and replacing them with other ones too. And they have done lots of experimentations, unbeknownst to the people who go for the treatment, because they follow up the children and their progress throughout life to see if those particular gene substitutions have worked. The parents don't know.) Eventually, it should be possible by these means to eliminate all genetic defects, including serious conditions such as hemophilia (Alan: See, they always give you the terrible things first...) (excessive tendency to bleed) or albinism (total lack of skin pigment). (Alan: Actually, as you well know, I'm sure through various articles that have come out too, that the government is wanting the perfect citizen, the perfect nature, a compliant person, placid, compliant, very trainable, and obedient. That's really what they're after.) As these words are written, news reaches me that Dr. Elena Otto Lenghi-Nightingale has succeeded in making the first successful gene conversion in mammals. She has caused the pigment lacking cells of albino mice to produce melanin pigment by injecting the DNA from a strain of black mice. No sooner is this sentence completed than word comes that Dr. H Gobind Khorana has created the first artificial gene from simple organic chemicals, (Alan: And you think they're just working on that today, you know, the artificial gene, synthetic gene.) Dr. James Danielli of the State University of New York at Buffalo reports the "first artificial synthesis" of a living cell,

and 20 scientists are meeting at Ames Research Center to discuss the proposal of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration to seed the planet Mars with artificially created life forms!

There is also the possibility of producing beneficial, hereditarily transmissible changes. The appendix might be eliminated, or tissues strengthened to prevent hernias or intervertebral disc disease. (Alan: See, in other words, all this is going to be done for your own good. I'll tell you something, in history, NOTHING has ever been done for the good of the people. Nothing, folks. And when something devious, when they want to build consensus and have you give your consent to it, believe you me, it's nefarious, it's nasty, and they have bad things in mind, believe you me.) Perhaps it would be good to grow extra sets of teeth or more hair, or to arrange different rhythms for the heartbeat, sleep-wake, and the menstrual cycles. Intelligence, artistic ability, or cooperativeness might be increased. (Alan: Now, if they gave these abilities to the general population, even increasing intelligence, increasing... And by the way, you cannot really use your intelligence if you're weak or sick, which we're all getting today because we're getting poisoned all the time. See, that's what they really want to do to you all, is poison you and make you sterile. That's working awfully well, if you look at the sperm counts of the male Western youngster today; it's plummeted way, way down there. So they don't plan to make you all happy. It'd be harder to control you, you see, if your intelligence was up there, you felt physically healthy, well and the whole bit, and unstoppable. It would be much, much harder to stop you from actually having your way, as opposed to having them have their way.)

Or, since the characteristics of every body cell are maintained by its genes throughout its life, eventually it should be possible to change the genetic constitution of a fully-grown individual. No longer would the phrase "it's constitutional" be the signal for despair, for our constitutions would be easier to amend than the by-laws of a Kiwanis Club. An appropriate substance might be introduced to cure any genetic defect present, or to modify any normal characteristics, such as those mentioned above. (Alan: Now since the guys who are behind this, who worked with this, are the big pharmaceutical companies, the AMA and so on, they do not want anything cured, folks. Always remember the truth of it. Because the whole system of Western medicine is for high profit and treatment of symptoms for life. That's a lot of profit. And they ain't going to put themselves out of business, believe you me, because they like you.)

Or, for another example, someday a colorblind adult might be treated so that he could perceive color normally. Perhaps a society which is supersensitive about color, and which has learned to welcome this improvement as well as the darkening of an albino, will have learned by then to be equally accepting if a normally light person should decide to darken his skin, or vice versa. (Alan: Well, we saw that with Michael Jackson.)

As our control over gene structure expands, more remote possibilities are raised. We cannot afford, as some have, to scoff at the likelihood of new techniques of gene tampering being used. For as Roland D Hotchkiss of Rockefeller Institute writes: (Alan: We can trust this guy, right?)

The pathway will, like that leading to all man's enterprises and mischief, be built from a combination of altruism (Alan: [Alan laughing.]), private profit and ignorance . . . . In a country where, during every waking moment, one is being told to acquire and enjoy the products of industrial ingenuity, we can well expect that one will be told he owes it to himself to improve his own genes, as well as his neighbor's. (Alan: And you can see the ads now... oh, you owe it to yourself, go on, do it, just do it.)

All cells retain in their genes that code for the individual's entire development, from the fertilized egg through all of the differentiation into eye, skin, bone, brain, and so on. So if one could restore to an adult body cell the embryonic non-specialization possessed by its fertilized egg ancestor, it would then be possible for it to develop into an identical copy of any adult cell, tissue, organ, organ system, or body.

(Alan: Then he goes into an interesting topic. It's called...)

Hermaphroditism

During embryonic life, each baby normally has the rudiments of the sex organ of both sexes. (Alan: That's when you're really, really tiny, tiny in the womb.) One set ordinarily is suppressed in favor of the other and appears in the adult as a small vestige of an undeveloped potentiality. It might be possible, perhaps by

modifying genes, to cause those cells in an adult to return to their infantile power, resuming and completing the arrested development. Or maybe it would be easier to arrange that both male and female organs develop in the embryo in the first place. But in either case, the result would be a person who produced both sperm and eggs, a hermaphrodite.

Recent studies of the currently unfortunate group known as “intersexes” have shown that various degrees of hermaphroditism occur spontaneously. Although none is ever capable of producing both sperm and egg, there may be such well-developed fragments of both testes and ovaries, and the other external and internal organs, as to make determination of sex doubtful or even completely erroneous. A child thought to be, and raised as, an ordinary girl may unexpectedly develop at puberty the deep voice, facial hair, and body contours of the male, or vice versa. And a while ago a newscast contained an item about a Canadian researcher who claimed that genetic controls now being developed could lead to the possibility of deliberately creating adult human beings who would have simultaneously the fully functional sexual organs of both male and female.

Now, why would they be interested in doing all of this, eh? I’ve mentioned this before, folks, actually, that’s an ancient goal, actually, even in esoteric groups. In fact, even if you go into… they talk about Gods and so on. You’ll find that Brahma, you know, created all the rest out of himself. You’ll find the same thing happened if you think about Jehovah, because Jehovah created Adam, but at first it says in Genesis one that he created man and woman, both he created them. Both man and woman. But then there was nobody to till the soil, so it’s giving you a little hint that there is another class getting born here, so he creates Adam. And then from Adam’s compartment – and in Hebrew it says compartment, not rib – he takes out the compartment and makes Eve. So male and female came from one, but in his same image he made them. You see. So you get little hints at it there too. Plato goes into it as well, talking about old mythologies as well, where when the gods walked on Mount Olympus, when they came towards you they were men, when they passed you, from the back, they looked like women. And things like that. You see. You find the same thing too with Ra, who inseminated himself, as well, and created the goddess. And so on and so on and so on. It’s similar stories.

But the esoteric talks go into the perfection of man himself, the balancing of the two hemispheres of the brain, the male and the female. But they’re not really male and female at all. One is just to do with pure logic, and no one is pure logic, and the other one is to do with the emotional parts, which also make you human in other words. So both of them together technically complete you, both, but it’s not male nor female as they try to make out. Amongst certain circles that tried to use it for their own programs. But he goes on talking about all these different developments too.

By the way, it’s amazing how fast this time has just rushed through because I didn’t know what I was going to talk about, as usual, tonight. But I was just thinking about the program put out by NASA a while back and they talked about how it might be very difficult to send humans off into space because by the time you got somewhere you’d all either be dead or you’d have to keep retraining new generations, on the long, long voyages, to their destinations. They talked about even having ovum and sperm literally in banks on board ship which would get put together by robotic means and computers towards the end, so they’d get born and raised and then tutored by computers before they had landed, etc. But another thought too, to stop all conflict, mating conflict on board ship, was to create hermaphrodites, you see. So hermaphrodites wouldn’t be in as much competition, you see. How can you compete with yourself? You see, go, you know, yourself. But the thing is, these folk could actually do that.

So really there are so many things on the go I’ve talked about for years but I can’t go into them now because it would take weeks to go through some of the topics in fact, to give you the histories behind them and the reasons and so on behind it all. But what I’m trying to tell you is that all these things that I’m talking about ARE IN PLAY TODAY. This was written in the 1960s, this book, The Human Agenda. And the agenda was not put together by ordinary people. It’s those in academia who have been pulled aside out of academia and raised up to higher standards and levels in private organizations, to deal with running the future. And we’re here.

This is the future, the future is here, you see. It’s all in play, but they have not finished with us yet. But whenever they come out to say, we want to help you and eliminate disease… Forget it. They have given us

so much disease, since they gave us inoculations, that it's unbelievable. And now they give you GMO food, which is poisonous in itself, and they give you the food soaked in pesticide for God's sake, deadly pesticides. So they're not here to help you whatsoever. And these are the same big foundations that own the big international corporations and the big Pharma industries that decide what kind of medicine you're going to get, and what kind of teaching and education the doctors are going to be taught in their universities too. But it's never for the good of the general public, believe you me. If it was for the good of the public eventually they'd put themselves out of business by curing us all of all these awful diseases. And there's just too much profit in disease, you see. It's a control factor.

The whole point of creating an interdependent world goes down to the individual, not just nations or areas of the world. It means you must be totally DEPENDENT for everything you need to survive, on forces and others outside of yourself. That's why eventually you won't be allowed to grow your own food and so on and so on. That's why you can't opt out and say I've got my shack, leave me alone. No, you've got to earn money to pay taxes or they kick you out of that shack you've got. Things like that. You're given no choice at all, and they call this system democracy.

So think for yourselves, people, and think why things are really happening. Don't get caught up in the emotional rush. When you're being led down the garden path by fear and emotional rush, by a preacher or whatever it happens to be, fast talking, fast talking, hype, hype, hype, you are being manipulated, for profit or for something. Believe you me.

Get some stillness within you. Think for yourself. Because that's what survival is all about. Your survival depends on you. And if you have no mind left to survive with, forget it, it's over. If it's full of erroneous ideas and conclusions, it's over already. Think for yourself. That's the greatest enemy that this whole system has.

Remember, what I'm reading tonight comes out of what's called 'organization' and then inter-studies cooperations of sciences, all organized, you see. And they want an organized system, an organized, standardized society. Their enemy is the individual, who can see this and say, hey, the king has no clothes. Do you realize we could say that all the time, the king has no clothes... all the things that you're told to believe are normal today, which are not normal, or weren't a few years ago, if you say it, whoa, they'll come down and they'll want to crucify you. Because the politically correct crowd, the ones who are happy to adapt all the time to please their masters, will crucify you. But what you're being told in many instances is to believe that something which is technically insane is now sane. You see. This is called democracy. Democracy does not give you choices at all, definitely not of opinion. The other term they'll use for it is 'liberalism', liberalistic thought. No. It has absolutely no liberalism in it whatsoever for any other opposing or different viewpoint.

So keep in control of your minds, I hope you all can. And I know, too, you're all going through your own minor crises too with unemployment or fear of losing your jobs or marital problems, and all the other things too, which are exaggerated today. Because if you watch television at all you're not going to be happy with your spouse for very long. They're hypersexualizing everybody, and they have already done it really to an extent. And they keep showing you better ice cream, you know, better ice cream... This is even better, better ice cream... You can't get satisfaction if they keep saying, well, this is better, you see, do you have this?...

Think for yourselves. Remember too folks, you can help me tick by here and donate some pennies once in a while. Go into the website at cuttingthroughthematrix.com and also check out the website of mine too, alanwattsentientsentinel.eu. I have other websites as well all listed on each of the two I've mentioned. You got the whole archives sections for free to go through and listen to many, many of the talks that I've given over the years, for free. I don't charge people for the use of it. But it's true enough, people are so used to getting everything for nothing today on the Internet, at least they think it's for nothing, and they don't want to help you out, to even give you more information, and keep you on your path, the path you're supposed to have, which is your path, of exploring and finding out and thinking for yourself. Individuality, remember, is the enemy of all of this. It's the enemy of all their organizations.

So from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, where it's been raining like monsoons, because we watch it getting made actually. You can watch it. When they're calling for a storm the next day, you watch it

getting made the night before, as all the jets are out, by the world Air Force or whoever is behind it, spraying with chemtrails all over the place and building it up. And sure enough the next day you get the boom, bang, thunder, and the downpours, etc. etc. Other places of course they do a different spray and they get nothing but drought. And again, it's great too because the big agribusiness boys are in on it, because they are deciding now in their futures industry where you're going to get your food from, and they're putting bets on it, what areas are going to go under with flooding, or vegetables are going to rot in the ground because of it, or there's going to be drought. They all work together at the top, you see; it's always been that way. The corrupt always rule the world, through deception. But don't expect this on their mainstream media.

So as I say, from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Aug. 3, 2014 (#1453)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Should We Fear the Biotech-engineer?"
© Alan Watt Aug. 3, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Aug. 3, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on August the 3rd, 2014. I've often thought about taking things for granted. We're trained in a sense to take things for granted from birth, and we don't question very far from authority figures, from school onwards. Actually before that your parents will give you their worldview of things when you ask all the whys; when you're a child you're always asking why, why, why until you drive them nuts. Then it goes on to school, and at one time school was far more disciplined and more authoritative and you simply parroted what the teacher wanted you to parrot and you got your marks, etc. If you were too cheeky, as they'd say, by asking impertinent questions which would be curious questions very often, you were punished for it.

So, things have slightly changed now until often it's gone the other way, until there's almost no discipline in many schools today. Because from Dr. Spock's book outwards, you simply just don't discipline anybody at all. So we lack a form of self-control. But authority takes over and then the media takes over, when you come out of school and university, and it runs you for the rest of your life. That gives you your reality. Here's how things are, here are the things to talk about, here are the things that you mustn't talk about according to the political era of the time and political correctness of the time, and you're constantly being trained. But we take things for granted. Many people don't question things at all in fact; they think it's all the way it actually is, that what we're being told is normal. Brzezinski said it too in his book Between Two Ages when he said, shortly the public will have nothing to talk about in their conversations, except the previous night's news and so on. And it's pretty well been that way for an awful, awful long time.

In other words, we're taught to be naïve to an extent. We're kept in a form of naïveté. Many philosophers of the past talked about using this, maybe natural instinct to an extent, to be trusting, etc., against the people, to perfect humanity and guide humanity, etc., without you being aware that you're even being guided. And of course that's really where it is today. Because so many revolutions have taken place, in biotechnology and all the sciences, and psychology and neuroscience and so on, you're so well understood today it's unbelievable really. If you worked at the NSA or one of these corporations, the spook corporations, MI6, any

of these complexes, you would have such access to individuals' data. You would have immediate profiles already made up, by the computer and profiling you automatically, into various categories and subcategories, etc., as they broke down you, what is you, completely, right down to how you'd react in certain situations. If they put you, a virtual you in a video, a game sort of scenario, they know pretty well accurately how you would behave and react to the situation. And they have been doing all these experiments for an awful long time today.

So we still take it for granted because countering that we have this thing called doublethink that George Orwell explained in his book 1984, where you can hold two opposing opinions on the same topic, in your head, at the same time, and actually make yourself believe in both of them, which technically would be insanity if that were actually true. But folk do that. Because even when you get them into topics of, say, chemtrails, or as the scientists like to call it, geo-engineering, which is a bit of a sideshow as far as the term goes, then you will say, well I see them doing it... if you have them in conversations, I see them, yeah, but the other part says, they can't mean us any harm..., without even asking who they are or even being really immensely curious as to who they are... since no government is taking responsibility for using their aircraft or their air forces for doing this. What Air Force is it? What agreements have been signed and so on? And there's a whole history behind that which I won't go into here.

But we take things for granted. And most folk have this doublethink in their head. You'll find it's very apparent in fiction, in movies and so on, where they can show you situations which are fantasy situations, especially sexual ones of course which is a big one because you have a primary sexual drive there and they can always tap into that and use it for their movies, to hook you to watch the rest of the story and get the rest of the indoctrination, which you're actually being downloaded with at the time that you're enjoying yourself. That's why people are fascinated with murder stories. I never could fathom that one, why people love murder stories. I've read elsewhere that it's because people harbor secret desires to murder people in their lives, which I can't really believe that's true. But I must admit that these novels and then movies that are churned out, murder, murder, murder, it's astonishing, they're lapped up like crazy, they become bestsellers and so on. I can remember the Hannibal The Cannibal series apparently really became a bestseller, very quickly, as folk lapped up this horror of some guy who killed and ate his victims, but he was a genius and all that so they grudgingly gave a kind of respect to the man at the same time, especially when he could get away with it, and maybe that's part of the intrigue too.

But we're being grossly overfed a whole side of macabre topics and situations which are meant to either be kept in the unconscious, not in the subconscious, dream state sort of thing, or else not be there at all. Because it's affecting... Adults think they have the ability not to be affected by things, which is a big, big mistake. Because the techniques used by movie industries, and psychologists that often work with them and so on, are perfected to make sure that everyone's going to be affected by the primary stories that they're showing you.

If you go into the histories of even the Communist Party of America, who published lots of books by the way; you can get old ones from... they're all published in New York City. You'll find that they were quite open about their plan to eradicate completely the culture of the West, completely obliterate it. The Frankfurt School also came out with that too, where they claimed that the West's problems were due to the authoritarian type personality, your dad was, then you become it too, and you become like a robot. And you're anal-retentive, as they loved to call it back in the 70s and so on, if you follow the guidelines and the culture of a previous generation, instead of being liberal and going for it all. So this program has been immensely successful.

The biggest communists were multimillionaires, and sometimes billionaires, and by the way they still are, which is a far cry from the average person's perception of the working guy with his overalls on and the farmer with a pitchfork overthrowing his government. The top guys who planned it had planned to use countries, and use the peasantries, etc., to overthrow the established rulers of those countries and then take over... And then have a slave population... They'd be the masters of the slaves basically then. And that's what happened in the communist countries to an extent. But in the West it was a much more covert and prolonged Cold War. The Cold War, really, it was more of a cultural war by the way. Yuri Bezmenov, that wasn't his real name of course, the defector from the KGB, said that when he came over in the 70s, in the States, he couldn't believe that their cultural plan to take down the culture had been so successful. It was

from the early 60s onwards, it had literally just steamrolled and escalated into the free love, sex, drugs and the whole thing, destruction of the family and abortions. All the things they wanted to get through had already been tremendously fulfilled.

But looking back on it, people in those days, the average citizenry, they had no idea of, really, that these things were really going on. They still thought it was them that would be... them would always have a uniform sort of thing. They didn't imagine folk sitting and writing scripts for your TV or for big movies and things like that. It never occurred to most of the people, because after all, they, they, their government, their masters wouldn't allow it, they thought. And it isn't until you come to the end of the whole story that you find out they were always working together, the two sides, with the same intention of using science, especially, to dominate the world, all kinds of sciences working together. And that's pretty well where we are today.

But as I say, most folk in every generation take things for granted. Sometimes they think that things are just wrong when it doesn't go well for them. Going well for them, according to Freud, would be working, getting an income, partying, having sex and so on, and then you're a healthy citizen. And most folk are trained that that's the normal, that's all there is to it. Cities are full of youngsters who flood there hoping for the good life. It used to be like the moth, I used to call them moth attractors or magnets, where all the city lights are there, that's what the youngsters see on television, and that's where all the hot life is going to be sort of thing, and they get attracted to the bright lights of the city, where often many of them are exploited by the sharks, very quickly, for profit generally, and used and abused. Others get in there and then they find they can't get out of the city because their income is matching their expenditure, especially when rents come into it too, always incredible rents in cities, and you're trapped. You're just making enough to get through, have your few parties, etc., like you're supposed to do, until you're a certain age and you're fed up with the parties, but you're trapped in the city, you can't save up and get out. And that's happened to millions of people across the world, and still happens every year, more go in, and it happens to them too, the same cycle.

Now, why do we accept all of these things as though it's just the normal? And we think it's because it is, it is... what is, is all there is... that's how we reason it out. That's as far as the reasoning process goes for most people. And I go back again to Brzezinski who said, in the same book that I mentioned earlier, that shortly the public will expect the media to do their reasoning for them. He wasn't just speaking off the top of his head, and he wasn't just mocking the public as being dumb or docile or stupid. He knew the agenda, because he'd taken part of some of the top meetings that had to do with this technique of controlling people's minds and lives by the millions, and billions even. He was in touch with the top scientists that were involved in all the different fields to do with changing all of the people, changing us. He was also aware of the big revolutions in biotechnology, gene manipulation, basically reengineering the human being in its many different phases and areas and levels. That was already going on way back then in the 70s, for those who don't know that, it was already happening. I don't mean test rats in labs and so on; that's the very low level of reality – there's always three levels of it, and especially in the sciences. But he knew that, for instance, in medicine, it started to get reported in medical books that women were losing their hips, suddenly; in about a generation they were getting narrower and their pelvic structure was becoming narrower too. That doesn't happen like that without some agent causing it, or agents working in combination causing it. It doesn't happen... for that to suddenly change. So he was well aware of what was already underway then.

So again, most folk float through life. They're caught up in their little worries and they're scurrying about trying to make ends meet and trying to put on the face of happiness. Because we've been trained since the Disney era that living is supposed to be a happy thing all the time, and folk can't really be serious very often and really have heart-to-heart conversations about how they really, really feel. It's a sad situation. But that's how it is today. Even amongst Christians I noticed in their churches, especially the born-againers, the idea of being born again to a lot of them is that you've been blessed by God so you must have a good job, and if you haven't got a good job or things have gone badly in your life it's because you're holding back some kind of sin. Because Protestantism took the Judaic aspect of being blessed by the deity and that generally ended up with material goods and a happier life, less worries financially, etc.

So every area that you look into is managed as a system, and you have subsystems all working under the main system we call general reality for everybody, and then the categories that fall beneath it of course. So

we still take things for granted. We take the wars for granted, that are never-ending. Because we're now in a state of perpetual war. I've read the articles from the US military's own magazines where they called it Perpetual War. Part of the perpetual war, by the way, was to use the same destructive forces through movies, entertainment and music, that destroyed America, and use it on all the other countries they wanted to take over. Isn't that quite amazing? So who really is running your country? Or what is running your country?

Of course you'll all say it's the politicians or you'll blame Obama. But Obama is just another little face that's been put in front of you for a particular era and to get certain parts of the agenda through. His job is to take the rotten tomatoes, to take the heat, and get well paid for it, and even better paid once he's left office for putting all of these laws through, like every president before him. It doesn't matter about parties; they're almost irrelevant. They're relevant only in so much as you must believe that they're all real, and that there really are differences, and that they really are running the whole country. But as I've mentioned before about techniques, the whole thing about techniques, techniques took over from politics a long time ago. Bureaucracies are far more important, and they answer to specialists and experts, who are unelected, and foundations, and big economic power brokers. That's who they listen to and they set the policies.

At the very top of the whole system we live in and, again, take for granted is this thing called money. Everyone will starve to death if they can't get it, but no one questions what it is or who has the right to devalue it if you have saved the cash up to begin with? People you've never met devalue your currency, or bankrupt it altogether, and you don't question it. All you can think of it, well I hope they get a new one out fast, like the Great Depression where they literally halved the value of the dollar, and things will get back to what you think is normal, as you're paying twice the price now or three times the price that you did before.

Today we go through all the trickery of neologisms that they cook up for the period, such as quantitative easing, which is simply inflation, meaning, devaluing your currency. All kinds of tricks and cons are used on us. But most folk hear the same news that I hear and it doesn't sink in, or else they think it's so far removed from them it doesn't affect them. Or again, they think it's so far removed from them that there's nothing they can do about it. So collectively we acquiesce to our manipulation, our own manipulation, and we're ruled. We bitch when prices go up and so on, or you lose your job to China because your plants close down, because you can't complete with the cheap labor over there, all these different things, but we take it for granted.

It's amazing, it's always amazing to me how folk take things for granted, including the perpetual wars, with all their farcical propagandic reasons why they're there. Well, again, with doublethink you can also be told, from the same news sources, that the big oil companies are plundering the Middle East and Asia and elsewhere, and they've got more targets lined up for the future. Not for your countries, your countries pay for all these wars and so on, if the roads get built and railways get put in, to exploit and get all the stuff out of the countries, but it's for the big corporations. We all know this. We all know this, so why bother voting? Why bother voting when the corporations have been doing what they've been doing for hundreds of years? Because the British Empire was really run by a handful of people, literally run by a handful of people, and families, who even put their own relatives in as governor generals of India, at the same time as they also ran the Bank of England, and used the taxpayers to pay for the troops, and to train them and clothe them, and their factories supplied them with the clothing and so on, and they just made a killing. Well, nothing has changed. Nothing. It's a sad statement to make, that nothing has changed.

It's interesting too, that in different eras of civilization, if we want to call it that, you'll find that people go along with their worldview, their whole view of reality based on either a religion, from the most ancient times, and then eventually into the alchemic processes of the Middle Ages, where immortality, something that people have always chased, immortality, and through understanding nature itself; in other words, chemistry, biochemistry, and now it's genetics, etc. But it all started with the idea of magic, and laws, which the understanding of mixing one chemical with another and its properties would therefore change and so on. They realized there were laws when the same experiment with the right quantities of the same things could be repeated over and over again, fixed laws. Long before that too, the ancient Greeks talked about mathematical laws, and that was an astonishing thing when it first came out, there were actually laws, in anything at all. Everything wasn't just chance after all, or the whims of some tyrant or rich person, but there were actually laws as well, which could be understood, and different schools and doctrines were formed out

of them, right as I say through the Middle Ages where they tried to use magic and then chemistry, etc. Eventually that blossomed into sciences down the road. And now it's science that is their Savior.

But each one of those phases, even the Cartesian phase of a kind of orderly mathematical universe in about the 1700s, and 1600s, that was a very comforting thing to many people thinking – and it didn't conflict with the religion of Christianity, say, where God had made everything and you revolved around the sun, and everything was smooth and orderly, and you felt safe – it would always be like this, never knowing that you didn't go in a perfect circle around the sun, and that you actually go towards it and away from it and so on, and it's never quite the same exact orbit as last year, and we wobble too, and things like that. That tends to make you scared... oh that's scary. In other words, the whole miracle of existence, and that's what it is, it's a miracle that we're here at all, it doesn't matter how you look at it, with things apparently so delicate and perfect for human life and all animal life and so on on the planet, to be hinging on something that depends on the light from the sun, and our orbits and our gravitational pulls, etc. But people like safety. And of course before that it was religion that gave them the safety factor. That the totality of you, for instance, the whole of you, what is the essence of you, the id, if you like, of you, would go on after death. Because it seems such an awful waste, especially to you, right, that you would be simply gone when the physical body died. That's how we as humans tend to think.

But the dream of coming into immortality on earth has never been lost, especially on those who seek power, by any and all means possible, the psychopathic types too. Remember, we're generally given cases of psychopaths who are low-level psychopaths, the street type psychopath, the one that ends up in prison, because he doesn't want to work and postpone pleasure. He wants instant gratification, and he sees the jewels in the window, he wants money for them, he smashes the window and grabs them. That's very low level. Then you have the ones that travel all through society, who simply live off other people, and manipulate them, very charming, and they can have a hundred personas going at the same time. They tend not to lose track of who they're supposed to be, in front of who, for instance.

And yet everyone to an extent will have these personas according to whatever work that you do. The postman has his postman persona, where he's in a kind of official capacity, even as a little postman, and things like that, all the way up to the policeman, to the guy in the military, bureaucrats themselves, who have a different worldview than you, again, because they are under no pretense that the people are in charge of government. They never have had the pretense of that, I think, and they certainly don't today. But you become your job in a sense, that's what I'm saying, and it shapes your daily reason for being, for existing, and it gives you a comfort. That's why a lot of people, especially men, in the past at least, would become suicidal when they retired. Depressed, they'd either fade away, become sick and die, or they would have a kind of involutional type melancholy, I think they called it, where they simply want to kill themselves. Because who they were was wrapped up, their whole persona was wrapped up in what they did, and if you had nothing to do, the job for instance, you were nothing at all, and they had no other interests, a lot of them, outside their work. And their little world, what they did after work, but as long as they had the work to go to it gave them a reason for being. I'm Mr. So-and-so, I'm an engineer, I'm whatever it happens to be. So people lose themselves in this.

And I think personally it's a tragedy, with the human mind being able to accomplish so much, and understand so much; we're kept worrying and scurrying in an economic system that simply will never allow it to happen. Because people who do lust for power and have power will never give it up that way, by sharing free time. Don't forget, the word 'school' comes from 'schola' from Greek, which means 'leisure'. The first educated people that we know of, which was probably even the Egyptian upper class and then into the Greek, literally, all the philosophers had time to do all the pondering, because they didn't worry about being poor or having to earn a living and so on. That's when they have the time to sit and work and fathom things out and experiment with different things and so on and to find the laws in nature that now are the sciences. So leisure is something which is a gift to some and it's denied to most. This gift is denied to most people. They don't have enough leisure time to really think for themselves, and really become themselves. They're stunted from a very early age as far as becoming themselves, and they don't get a chance to do it.

Now those in power, and their cartels of these guys in power, remember, who all work together, guys that used to be enemies, because top psychopaths when they're in corporations as CEOs, or sole owners or whatever, don't like competition. Their first rule of business is eliminate competition. And I mean that

throughout all businesses, by the way. There's nothing nice about the businesses, you know, nothing at all. It's a dog-eat-dog Darwinian system and all tricks are used in it. But business runs the world. Again, with money at the very top, because all businesses use money, and they want more of it, and so on and so on. And the guys who make up the machine of it all, the average person in their job, is the one who pays all the taxes, that often launches big businesses or creates new arms of the same big businesses. Because big corporations today are all on the welfare system where taxpayers fund their big, big projects, as it's too much, they claim, for themselves to do it and the shareholders, so they always get massive government handouts to do so. So this nonsense of democracy and 'any guy can make it' stuff, is a joke. Always has been a joke.

For the higher-level psychopath, who is well-educated, born into a wealthy family, spoiled to an extent, does not have the worry of working hard and producing for a living, he can still take over the company and be a CEO or whatever. These are the guys that you have to watch out for. Because they still dream of immortality in a big, big way. Because they really can look at their lives and then say, I really enjoyed it. There's nothing they regret, by the way; psychopaths have no regrets. And no remorse, over anything at all. So they love life to the full, more so than the average person who has to go by certain rules and restrictions, etc., even just to keep their job or their friends and acquaintances. When you're stinking rich and you're a psychopath and come from a wealthy family, with going to the best universities, the doors are opened for you, it doesn't matter how you behave, you're simply classed as eccentric or whatever, and you get away with a lot of things that you would never get away with in any other segment or lower part of the strata of society.

So immortality is a big, big, big thing for them, and hence came the biotech revolutions to do with genetic engineering and so on. Your money for research is always directed by big private companies and foundations. And your government chips in, again, as I say, to enable the research to go on. Your universities, which are all subsidized, in some countries they're state owned, your tax money in other words funds a lot of the research for the private corporations that then take the patents and then use it against their own people, the actual power that it wields from whatever area of science it is. That's quite fascinating to see how reality really works. But most folk never think of it this way.

They want to believe in the Disney version, that everyone is just nice, psychopaths are never mentioned in the Disney ones, someone who's bad always gets caught, and they're obviously bad. Whereas the psychopaths, or the collar-and-tie guys, at the very, very top, who get together, the cartels... Say for instance, all the guys in the oil industries, all the big corporations, were talking with Tony Blair. That came out years after the war with Iraq and so on, that long before the war came along they'd already got together, with Tony Blair, and they divvied up which corporations would get the different oilfields. But do you remember any of that getting said when it was actually happening? All you got then was government-approved propaganda.

But the world has always been like this. It's always been like this. And as long as people accept their realities, as long as young guys, especially, are fascinated by the military, because they're still... Young guys don't grow up very quickly. They're still in their fantasy world when they're hitting their eighteens and nineteens and twenties. Believe you me, as one soldier said to me, he says, it seemed to him that looking back he was playing cowboys and Indians with his pals, the next minute he was dressed in a uniform running down the streets in Belfast, in the British Army. It takes time for maturity to begin. But we've had a weaponized culture now with Hollywood being sponsored heavily by the Pentagon, with all their war movies, and the hero, the nobody becomes the hero thing; that's a big thing for nobodies, in other words, people at the very bottom. There's no other way they can see that they could possibly get a name for themselves and get any kind of honor or respect unless they become a hero, and they think that putting that uniform on they're going to become a hero. Well, it's terrible, really, because most folk today are really mercenaries; they get paid to do what they're doing. They don't even need much propaganda; even simplistic propaganda, they'll go off to kill, whoever they're told to go and kill. And they'll still be proud of doing it because it's supposedly for the country and that's what gets you respect. Sad, isn't it? It's sad how simple all of this really is. Very simple.

I don't think there's a single group out there, in any country... and even the so-called rebels, we know the CIA, we all know the CIA has been involved in creating a lot of these groups that are supposedly terrorists

now. And there's lots of information out there on the founding of the different groups, in fact, and the funding going into them, etc. etc. But the fact is, it's so easy for powerful personas, or psychopathic types, to take over control of nations, and I mean every one, there's no exception, and get the will of the people behind them and then they go and do what they want to really do, and we follow like sheep and go along with it, with simplistic, simplistic propaganda. And in the process all hell breaks loose and ordinary folk on all sides always suffer, ordinary people. But someone always profits mightily and these are the ones you've got to really look at.

Psychopaths are often very successful and if they're born into the right families the more so. Now, psychopaths also can recognize, very instantly, actually instantly, each other. That's why a lot of these top CEOs, and even world leaders, have so much in common with their counterparts and they get on so well with them. They all know the cons that they're pulling, and as I say, they really enjoy life to the full, and the more they succeed and get away what they're doing, even with their wars and so on, the more cocky they become. Because they look at their victims, and all those who salute them and honor them and so on, as being so much idiots all the more. The more they succeed the more that those who enable them are idiots. Quite something. Sad, again, isn't it?

Getting back to reality in different ages, in ancient times for most folk in different religions they were into, you find, as I say, there was a comfort in something being the same as your parents and your grandparents, in continuity in other words, it gave you a comfort that this was all the natural order. Then when you went into the alchemical processes in the Middle Ages, and Kabbalah, etc, all mixed with it too, early explorations of chemicals and physics, etc. and the gaining of knowledge, the slow gaining of knowledge, and then into the Cartesian view of things too, then people were taught, those who had any education at all were taught the particular, like I say, the Cartesian view for that particular era, that everything was done to a mathematical order, etc.

Today with the revolution that came into electronics, computerization, and along with that genetic manipulation, you find that our view again has changed, until we think that everything that comes out of these sciences must be okay. If you go back into, again, the Industrial Revolution, for instance, everyone's viewpoint changed then too, that industry was going to save us all and give us better lives, by churning out big machines and locomotives, etc. It really upset the whole applecart across whole countries where rural areas, which were self-sustainable basically, they grew everything they needed to grow, they built everything that they needed to live in, they created all their own implements, all their tools, everything was upset by that. Even the clothing that they had woven in their own little towns and villages, when the trains came through it was all upset and manufacturing from outside and other countries even were brought to your doorstep. Again too, as long as you still had work to do and you had a job of some kind you would adapt to that very quickly, and you might even bless the whole new system again. So machines were the thing.

Then we find Napoleon's wars, and Napoleon, he understood there was a massive change going on between the old cavalry charge and the big cannons that they had then, that were getting more and more effective in combat, in slaughter basically, although he still used the charges and foot soldiers on charges. But nothing changed in the military right into World War I. Some countries were still having cavalry go out there against machine guns and everything and it was massive slaughter. It takes them a long time to change into the new view of things. And guys would march in, upright, like World War I, and die by the thousands in minutes. And yet the system was so fixed in the minds of the top old-time generals they would just have another wave after them, another regiment, and then another regiment, and it went on for years like that as they tallied up this massive score.

There's a good satire on World War I by the way; it's a movie. It's called, 'Oh What a Lovely War!' It's done in a satirical way, World War I, a lot of poignant points in it. They go through a lot of the old songs, that were created by the propaganda industry at the time, to make it all seem fun, as they showed you the horror of it. And nothing has really changed, you see. So now of course we saw the massive howitzers, I mean massive guns firing off, firing car-sized shells over battlefields, etc., in World War I and II. Then the missiles came in and then from then it was the missile age, and intercontinental ballistic missiles. Then into the Star Wars programs of the Reagan era and the advanced laser technologies that could literally shoot down anything at all, instantly. And we're past that today until we're into literally designer bacterium, and designer viruses, run from the department of defenses, which is all run, again, by the big

military/industrial/financial complex. So profit and getting richer is always more important than the betterment of humankind, in all these eras. And as long as money exists I think it will always be this way. No one is going to change it. The powerbrokers who own it all ain't going to change it and give up their own power. It won't happen.

So generation after generation we're trained to go out there and compete and get to the top by any way we can. The psychopaths succeed. They recognize each other at the top. They work in combinations. And they live awfully well at the expense of everybody else, including a great massive slaughter across the world. But these are the same guys who employ other psychopaths in academia who want to get up there too, the Paul Ehrlich's and these guys who are always on about the population bomb and overpopulation of the masses – the same thing goes all the way back to Thomas Malthus, too many of them, and not enough resources eventually, how will we survive, since we are the superior type of human and they are the inferior type? Well, it doesn't stop... Understand what I'm saying, is, your whole being, persona and id, it revolves around your era. Whether it's alchemy, that goes into your lifestyle; it's all magic. And whether it's Cartesian, it's all mathematics, just understand mathematics and you'll understand everything and have the power over everything. And then you go into our present era of genetic manipulation. It's very easy to do now, manipulating genes of bacterium and viruses by the way, very easy, very quick processes. It takes harmless bacteria and they insert other genes in and make them absolute killers, for the Department of Defense. And I'm not speaking off the top of my head, again, there's lots of information on this. This is what we call civilization, and we call it progress. Progress... Who is defining this progress? Well, those who run it and who own it all, the whole system. That's who.

In the field of biotechnical research, biological research, you find that there are different organisms which have been manipulated since at least World War II, during World War II onwards; we can trace them back that far, probably even longer actually. You find that there's different groupings of them, for those who are interested in the groupings of different kinds of diseases or organisms. You can get viral, you can get fungal, you can get bacterial. There's rickettsial, rickettsial they call it, and protozoan as well. They can mutate. They multiply. They can be spread over large areas very quickly either by aerial release by aircraft, or even from the ground from containers. Very simple to do. Some of them increase so quickly containers can do it, even glass vials could do it theoretically. Someone stepping on a glass vial coming off a plane and boom, bingo, it will be spread across the world in no time at all. Because they're created purposely to do that job.

Now, under international law there's supposed to be restrictions on all of this, but there are none at all, we know that. There's no restrictions, because governments always lie to what they perceive as enemies, or even friends who could become potential enemies, which is any rival to their corporations down the road. We know that there are different headquarters that are more well known than others for storing a lot of this weaponry too, and every country has them, every one does. They have never widely used them, but they have been used in the past by the way; but they've never widely used them for fear of going into the wrong directions and coming back home and killing most of the folk. Now again, under international treaties they're supposed to have the antidote, an effective workable antidote, for when they alter each, they genetically alter each bacterium or virus or so on, and they have to have the antidote for that. Sometimes it can take time for that to catch up, to get that. Other times it's quicker because it's so far advanced today they know exactly what they're doing, to an extent, to create this massive killer. But they can also get something that will stop it in its tracks, they hope. But nothing ever works perfectly when it's let loose, as you well know. Nothing ever really does.

So we're in the era of genetic manipulation, which becomes the reality for everyone. Oh, everyone thinks they're going to get longevity. People do, at the bottom levels too; they fall for all this stuff. They're going to give it to you? When at the same time they're talking about reducing your population drastically so that their own offsprings at the top can go off into the future with enough resources that will last much, much longer than you all using it for yours? Doublethink again, isn't it amazing.

That made me think of the report to the Committee on Appropriations at the US House of Representatives; I think it was 1986. It says, The Department of Defense said that the DNA and other genetic engineering technologies are finally making biological warfare an effective military option. And they were cloning previously unattainable quantities, of what they called traditional pathogens, traditional ones, and then the technology could also create new kinds of pathogens which had never before been seen in nature, they

didn't normally live in nature.

We now have ethnic-specific pathogens. Ethnic specific... so if one group doesn't like another group they can basically do, which they have already done by the way, the researches on different peoples to do with their genetic structures and so on across the world, it's all categorized, and they find simply a particular dominant gene that most folk in that group will carry and create the pathogen to attack it, to ensure it has no defenses against them, and they all die. During the SARS outbreak a few years ago some of the Chinese were complaining that the West had unleashed something upon them, because it was hitting mainly people of that particular origin from China.

So anyway, this is what they said from the Department of Defense in 1986...

Advances in biotechnology permit the elaboration of a wide variety of novel warfare materials. The novel agents represent the newly found ability to modify, improve or produce large amounts of natural materials or organisms previously considered to be militarily insignificant due to problems such as availability, stability, effectivity and producability.

Then it went on to talk about potent toxins, which were available only in small quantities. They went on to say too that...

Potent toxins which until now were available only in minute quantities and only upon isolation from immense amounts of biological materials, can now be prepared in industrial quantities (Alan: Industrial quantities, that's to do nations with, you see) after a relatively short developmental period. This process consists of identifying genes, encoding for the desired molecule, and transferring the sequence to a receptive microorganism which then becomes capable of producing the substance. The recombinant organisms may then be cultured and grown at any desired scale; large quantities of compounds previously available only in minute amounts thus become available at relatively low costs.

So a massive killing ability can be made available at low cost. Economics again. And when they say low-cost, believe you me, the big boys who run all these plants and produce this stuff are making a fortune. And they talked about all the designer agents too. The report went on to talk about...

New developments in genetic engineering technology made possible the rapid exploitation of nature's resources for warfare purposes in ways not even imagined 10 to 15 years ago.

There was also a report that came out in the same year, Douglas J Feith who was the Deputy Secretary of Defense for the US...

. . . noted the near impossibility of defending against this newfound ability to genetically engineer Bio warfare agents.

He says...

It's now possible to synthesize biological warfare agents tailored to military specification. The technology that makes possible so-called designer drugs also makes possible designer biological warfare. It's becoming a simple matter to produce new agents but a problem to develop antidotes. New agents can be produced in hours – (A: new killer agents can be produced in hours) – antidotes may take years. To gauge the magnitude of the antidote problem consider the many years and millions of dollars that have been invested, as yet without success, in developing a means of countering a single biological agent outside the biological warfare field, the AIDS virus. Such an investment far surpasses the resources available for biological warfare defense work.

So getting back to the different eras and the way we look at things, the industrial ages, the Cartesian age, etc., etc., the Alchemical age. Think about it. Now it's the computerization and genetic manipulation age, and how everyone's gung-ho. You've all been trained to be gung-ho for the age that you live in. It's the latest, it must be better. But look what we're reading here. We're talking about biological warfare... to slaughter millions of people. And often they say, they say, and I don't really believe that, they don't have

the antidotes; they certainly won't pass the antidotes out to all of you.

Can you imagine all the creativity of humankind and the best we can do is pay guys, psychopathic characters obviously, masses of money to work their whole lives developing killer diseases. And you think you're living in a sane society? Obviously it's not sane. It's very deviant. And it's definitely run by very successful psychopaths at the top. Now remember, a lot of these toxins and biological warfare elements are all made by the same boys, same companies, same corporations, that are into supposedly helping to feed the world. In other words, feed the coffers of their corporations that own the patented seeds and all the chemicals that go with it. You see.

There was an article too, it was in The Bulletin of Atomic Scientists, 1983, by Robert L Sinsheimer, who's a biophysicist and former chancellor of the University of California at Santa Cruz, said that...

Because of the nature of this particular category of experimentation there is no adequate way to properly distinguish between peaceful uses of deadly toxins and military uses.

We know for instance that some of the big boys who are getting promoted and taking over the world with their GM foodstuffs, the vegetables and so on, and their patented seeds, that you have to buy from them all the time, and then you can only use it with their particular poisons for the herbicides, are the same companies that are involved in the same research for biowarfare purposes. You can't separate the two. It's the same field.

There was also an article from The International Peace Research Institute, from Stockholm it was at the time, with Sinsheimer's assessment. They concluded that,

Some common forms of vaccine production are very close technically to production of biological warfare agents and so offer easy opportunities for conversion.

They're totally intertwined. Totally intertwined.

Then there was Richard Goldstein who was a professor of microbiology at Harvard Medical School, summed it up and he says...

Under the banner of defensive purposes the Department of Defense can justify working with the upper pathogens of the world producing altered, more virulent strains, producing vaccines for protection of their troops against such agents, and likewise for the development of dispersal systems under the Department of Defense, must be able to defend against any such dispersal systems. Under this guise what the Department of Defense ends up with is a new biological weapon system, a virulent organism, a vaccine against it, and a dispersal system. As you can gather from this there is but a very thin line, if any, between such a defensive system, allowed by the conventions, and any prohibited offensive system.

Totally intertwined.

Now getting back to doublethink, the ability to hold two opposing opinions in your head at the same time. The big corporations want to feed the world, because they love us all. The same corporations fund depopulation programs. The same corporations are part of the Department of Defense industry, the military-industrial complex. Reality? You can hold all that in your head at the same time? Triplethink?

And yet you're told and you're trained to believe all this stuff when it comes out of the mouths of experts, that you're quite safe, everything's fine, don't worry about it. How on earth can you possibly go through your life believing all these different versions of the same things without cracking up? Well, the trick is not to believe them all. You know what the BS is and you know what the truth is. And only by facing reality as it is could it possibly ever become changed. And stop agreeing with others, and groups that are formed too... don't be part of the groupthink. You know, there's always tenets that go along with groups... here's what we're here for, here are the tenants of what we believe in, and if you say anything that is not quite in line with their tenets, you're out.

You've got to be individuals to change this, to change anything at all actually. Individuals... And who knows how long that might take, at this rate, because we're all trained to be naïve. The psychopaths are training you to be so incredibly naïve, and keep you naïve, and tell you, don't worry, superior people who come out of special wombs are in control of the world; don't worry, just go back to sleep and play. That's really what it is. The psychopaths have created you, your reality, and all the formats that work to give you your reality, they own them all, to make you better servants and dumbed-down people, for them to use and manipulate. And it's always for their own benefit. And when they don't need you, psychopaths will simply eliminate you without a thought.

What we're in today is a horror show. Because the technique that came along with computerization, the era we're in with genetic manipulation, as I say, this is for our era today, it must be right because it's here, it's advanced, and we're thinking like the computers, not like people, not like human beings.

I've said so many times that we, the general population, in all eras, work towards the making of our own chains because we accept things as they are presented to us from birth onwards. And yet, as I say, the infinite capacity of the mind to do incredible things, to be truly human, has been blunted and stunted at a very early age, as others give you a reality to follow, to suit the ones at the top who are really monsters of the system. And we take it all for granted, as I say, like it must be normal, because it exists.

It must be normal that they want to bioengineer all of us, and very, very shortly actually, if they haven't done it already, I'm sure they have. But shortly it will become mandatory too, that if you're going to mate, and they've talked about this since the 1930s, that you'll get a license to have an offspring, and you simply will be forbidden to have it any other way, to make sure that you're compatible genetically and so on with the one they want to match you up with. And then it's already happening now, where they're removing specific genes and replacing them with others with some in vitro fertilization procedures. To suit whom? To suit whom? And we think it's all normal because now, oh we're advanced, we're not Cartesian anymore, we're not into the alchemy anymore, you know, we're not into the flat earth society anymore, it must be right. Each people in their age are conned into believing that must be natural for the age they live in, by those who dominate the age. It's so easy to do isn't it?

Well I hope you forgive me for prattling on tonight. I was wondering what to talk about and it just hit me just before I started this talk, this little blurb as I call it. I hope you remember too, that you can help me out and tick over here by sending a few pennies in once in a while because it's expensive to do what I do. It's amazing to me too, that I listen to other talk shows and different things, even in mainstream, and terms that I'll use, with phrases I come out with at times, I coined them a long time ago, are used by these people but they never mention you at all. It's a shame, that, really, because then it would help a few more maybe send a few pennies this way to help me tick over. But again, that's the vanity of human nature; I suppose that people don't want to say where they get information from. And often many of them, too, are just too lazy to look it all up themselves.

But if you could help me out I can keep going and hopefully bring a lot more to you, a lot more, in the future. I'm still wondering whether to go full-time again doing this, and believe you me it's a killer pace when you do it full time. I don't want to go into just reading the world's news, because it's pretty well all propaganda, no matter what side you're reading it from, and you'll never get the real facts on anything anymore. That's just the way it is. And at the same time, when the mainstream is pointing you to look there, look at the other hand and see what's being done there, because it's generally nasty things to do with your pocket down the road, or the decreasing consumption of higher proteins into whatever garbage they want you to eat in this particular era or in the near future. It's already happening with lots of articles coming out, how good these things are for you, etc.

But believe you me, those at the top, when they have profit motive in mind, first and foremost supposedly, when they can come up with the things I've been talking about tonight, it's a form of insanity. There's a form of insanity that runs them. The old quote, you know, what's a man if he gains the whole world and loses his soul? It doesn't apply to the psychopath because he loves it here, he wants to live forever. He'll make sure your tax money is doing a lot of research to make sure that he will, hopefully, he'll think, live forever, at least have long life extension. He won't come down with the crippling aging diseases either. Like many of these bigwigs that you look at today, they're in their 80s and 90s going to world meetings and giving lectures, they

don’t have any of the so-called aging diseases. Because they didn’t get all the shots, etc. that you were given, that were going to be there to help you, we were told. And they don’t eat the food you’re eating either.

This is the reality of the world you are living in. It’s not a pretty picture. You’ve still got decent people around you. But again, decent people are often, again, incredibly naïve. And they are frightened of things outside their ken. They’re frightened of bad news, especially when they don’t know how to handle the bad news, to deal with the bad news, to change it to something better. This omnipotence of a system, an abstract system above them, is frightening to them. And for them to start to believe that those ones above them, the guiding lights, may be rather wicked terrifies them. It’s like being told that your God is actually the devil. It’s the same thing. Your whole reality and the way you’ve viewed everything suddenly would come crashing instantaneously. So don’t hit folk with bad news if they can’t handle it. Don’t collapse them. Don’t force news upon people who don’t want to hear it. They’ve told you, I don’t want to hear it. They mean it. Not everyone has the capacity to even look for something outside this obviously packaged and parceled system that they’re presented with from birth. Not everyone can do it.

So remember, hopefully, send me a few pennies. Go into cuttingthroughthematrix.com website, everybody else does, including even mainstream folk, lots of mainstream media folk, and help yourself, it’s for free, except I pay for it. And it’s only with the help of you, and it’s getting fewer and fewer… financially, not with the people reading them. Because I know there’s a lot more people who are literally put out of work now and they’re dead broke, and lots of them write to me and tell me that too. There’s also folk out there whose idea of being broke is different from mine. They still have their cell phone and all the latest apps and all the rest of it, and if they can’t afford the latest, and the latest, and the latest app then they feel broke. Well, I don’t have any of these new apps at all; I don’t have a cell phone either. [Alan chuckles.] But I put out this information hoping that it will do some good in the possible future. And everything really starts, really starts, with one flame or a little candle.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it’s good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Aug. 10, 2014 (#1454)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"A Directed "Nudge" Will Make You Budge"
© Alan Watt Aug. 10, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Aug. 10, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on August the 10th, 2014. I hope those who actually have a summer these days are enjoying it. And for those who are in my position, living in parts of Ontario, where the jet stream actually comes up from the States and then loops and goes back down into the States, at the top of the loops, according to the geoengineers of course, they said, if they ever did geoengineer they'd definitely give the preponderance of their sprays on the tops of the loops for the greater carrying capacity it would have on the way back down or wherever it happens to go. So I'm unfortunately living right under the darned loop and I get nothing but rain here. I can't say I've had a summer at all. And I'm used to the eggshell gray color, or white color basically that's constantly in the sky, and the constant, almost daily rain that seems to be pouring down here. At night too, it's been much, much cooler. All through June and July and August, well not all through it, but a lot of the nights I have had fires on because it's so cold, well colder anyway; and I could certainly put them on every night pretty well to try and clear up some of the dampness that's here because of so much rain and bad weather.

But that's the new normal of course and most folk adapt to it without thinking. Because they live in their controlled environments from their house, to their car, to their workplace, back to the car, back to their home, and they go to shopping malls and so on, so they don't get much outside. They don't experience what's happening outside, as the farmers obviously do, they get all the experience of it. But most folk don't think. In fact, folk don't really think too much about what happened last year or the year before. Because since about 2006 this has been getting increasingly worse here as they continue and step up all the spraying that we're getting. Now remember, in the spraying they can give you sprays to give you rain, or to disperse rain, or even thunderclouds. I've seen both work when I was further south living in Ontario. It was quite amazing to watch the thunderstorms roll in. You knew by experience the skies were going to open, you'd get things out of the garden, you'd get in quickly inside and then you'd sit. And then about 40 minutes later it was still silent and you'd go outside and they were all gone, all those clouds were completely dispersed. All that was left is the chemtrails above you. And you'd say, my goodness what a fine art they have it down to today.

But again, it's tied in with so many different agendas here, that all tie in together for the big plan for the world, for the present to the future. Because Agenda 21 is well underway and they will eventually force folk off with many different methods. The oldest one is the power of the purse, higher taxations living in the country, and then terrible weather of course too, higher gasoline prices, all of these kind of things, and then they'll say it's simply unsustainable to even plow your roads in the winter or deliver electricity to your homes, and force folk in. So with the power of the purse and the cutting back of what you're paying for, for services already in your taxes, will be part of it. Plus you'll make it so miserable to live in some of these areas they won't want to continue living there and they'll move in as well. Plus up here too by the way, there is so many new varieties of mosquitoes appearing every year. Now I have these big ones that have come out this year, because there's so much rain, and no doubt get getting dropped as well from various places. And these suckers are almost intelligent. They have long legs, and the striped ones, like the Asian ones, and they might even be a variety of that, that's been brought in here or bioengineered. Well, you try to go to swat them, these things know you're going to move and they watch you moving and they take off, even when they're rested they'll take off from their place. It's very interesting to watch these guys, almost studying you and coming in as soon as your hands are full of something or whatever. Quite fascinating.

But these are the times in which we live where folk take things for granted and as Brzezinski said, they'll never think of anything, even though they will observe things, they'll dismiss it from their mind, they'll delete it from their mind, if it's not mentioned on the news. Because after all, the news does your reasoning, today, for you and that's happened unfortunately. For most people, if it's anything worth knowing the media is going to tell them because it's an appendage to their brain, they think. That again is what Brzezinski said in the 70s would happen. That was the intention, for it to happen, and unfortunately it has happened.

So, we're living in vast changes. Other areas of course are getting drought, and these would be your breadbaskets. Your food will go up and the big boys that run the Chicago Stock exchange and all the big trading companies to do with agriculture and futures trading will already know where the droughts are going to happen, or where the flooding of agriculture is going to happen, and they've got their money all sunk into places elsewhere, often abroad, where the food will be brought in from. They've got it all figured out. It's quite, quite fascinating to live in these times and watch science being used to such lengths, and be unbeknownst to the public that it's even happening.

But then you can't blame the public because they've been trained from childbirth to believe what they hear or were told by authority figures, from the media, and experts of course, experts. It never dawns on them that these experts are paid propagandists that come out on behalf of various international corporations and the big foundations that help run the world, really run the world that is, not the politicians, and they're very believable of course because they can baffle you with science, you see.

Science and statistics is beautiful, isn't it? Because you can argue about most things but then when they say, well it's science, it shuts you up. Or, it's been proven, or it's statistics, and therefore you just clam up and say, well I guess it must be true, eh. And if there's 20 of them saying it over a period of a few months or weeks or whatever, then the more truer it must be. It doesn't mean that they're not getting all paid by the same master of course; it never even dawns on most people. Because they're all introduced as being from different universities or laboratories or whatever. But in actual fact, go into the big books of the foundations and the big owners who own the international corporations and you'll find they own dozens and dozens and dozens, maybe hundreds, of labs, etc., and definitely training facilities across the world.

But what makes us obey all the authorities that command our lives and our thoughts, our beliefs even? What makes us obey them? What does it all? I've gone into, in previous talks so many times, how we obey various authorities and how we tick basically. We've been so well studied for so long, especially through the 20th century up to the present time, with control groups and ongoing experimentation through universities and a whole host of various organizations, including your basic education too, where all your data is collected all the time, even before the computers came in, on you as a person and the potential of being a good worker or taxpayer in the future. All of these things were studied, your personality types and so on, and fed up to the higher authorities, especially in places like Britain or the European countries where they had real national systems of teaching, education, run by central authorities. So it was quite easy for them to collect so much data on us and then follow us down through our lives to see what happens to us, over and over again, plus, as I say, the control groups and experimental situations, situational control that they

sometimes call it in universities, and the selection of different students, and even finding out why so many students behave this way in an examination or an experiment they were conducting upon them, and so many that way. We're so well understood.

But what's always been understood are the basic reasons that we obey basic authority. We have to do it to coexist, obviously. And people were far better adapted, really, to coexist in small communities, self-sufficient to a great extent, for millennia. You couldn't get off with being the con man or the psychopath so easily in these self-sustaining communities because they had their own regulations, simple taboos and laws. And if you broke the law you weren't tolerated, at the very least you would be banished from the group or the tribe and cast out into the world, that was big and frightening in those days, and at the very worst of course they'd simply put you in a swamp, like you find with places in Denmark and so on. They'd dump you in places like that, bog people; we still find their mummified remains. Or they'd put you down a crevasse. There was always ways to deal with malcontents and miscreants that would destroy the rest of the people, by living off them; they wouldn't pitch in to help work. They wanted all the benefits but they wouldn't help at all, and they were the conmen.

Today they get lots of jobs, those people, because they're in politics and things like that. Lots of them even go up into university and become professors, which are quite easy jobs to handle once you get the job. If you're good at talking and you prattle a lot, throw in the proper names here and there on certain lectures, then you are listened to. But you find them too, the ones that are bit more creative, they call them, creative psychopaths, who can work their way up to the top, especially the ones who are born into the upper class, very wealthy elite families, and go straight into the Ivy League colleges in whatever country they're living in. They tend to have far more confidence, simply because of their station in life. They get off with a lot more, where a person down below would be sent off to young offenders institutions or whatever. But for the upper classes of course they're called... Well, they're being boisterous and having fun and it got out of hand, etc. And they get away with it. So we make excuses, it depends on who you are, and to the punishment accorded, if any at all.

Our basic instincts, in other words, I keep saying, are used against us... which is a form of conformity to the group, the basic group. In an old tribal situation it was quite simple to see how you had to conform to the set rules, standards of the life that they led because it was for survival. Everyone was dependent on the other because you couldn't do everything yourself to survive. So we tend to be a group type people. That's used against us today by those who know how to manipulate it as well, especially when they're destroying the old groups, and the old groups of countries, and nations, things like that, to bring in the global structure. That's why we have so much chaos happening today. Those who are running the show have their own reasons often for wanting to destroy all cultures. Some of them don't want to destroy all of them, just most of them or especially all those that are not their own. It's quite interesting to see all the different involved parties here, which use other terms or fronts as their camouflage to their own intentions.

But since the advent of money, in all of its forms, from simple weighing out of money on scales, to the coining of money, you've had leisure classes. And leisure classes are the ones who do the thinking – I've gone into this before – that's how Greece basically went to a form of a pinnacle of philosophy in its days; it had a leisure class. The leisure class often lived off of slaves. So they had money, which gave them leisure and the ownership of slaves. It's hard to own slaves if you don't have a form of money, a currency where you can then employ slave masters who take care and look after, or punish and make sure that the slaves are doing all the work, etc. You can't really do it if you have no money at all. People would walk off and try to sustain themselves by getting basic food and so on, including the slave drivers. But if you have no money at all it simply wouldn't work. So money is a key to a lot of the things that have happened and gave us this system we call civilization, with all of its changes, its rewards, and its terrible ills as well.

But there's no going back. We're here. And even if you found an alternative way to live, those who run the money systems wouldn't allow it anyway. People in power, and families, especially inbred families, are never going to give it up. They're never, ever going to give it up, especially when they can command reality, the reality that you'll be brainwashed with from birth. And they can do it across a mass scale, not just nations, but internationally today.

Self-policing is a very important aspect of the control over people. Because in all ages, I guess, there's been

self-policing involved. From the ancient times, the religion, again, your taboos were given to you and you would police your own thoughts. And many people like the conformity of a stable society, regardless if it's belief systems or traditions, or even rituals, even sacrificial rituals, they would accept those things, for the greater good, they might say, for the good of all. And when their minds would rebel about these things or question some of them, the things they had to do or they did without thinking generally, they would self-police; it would kick in and you would feel guilty. Your conscience would work upon you and your very existence amongst the people could be threatened by your own thought. So your thought frightened you, if the people ever found out what you were thinking. Therefore you policed yourself. That still goes on today of course, and different kinds of societies have used this, but religious societies definitely put it to good use. Because all religions give you a set of tenets and rules to follow which give you the form of stable society.

All systems, remember, often start off, not always but often start off with good intentions and lots of truths being pushed. But most systems become corrupted as they go along. In fact, all systems do, it doesn't matter what kind it is, right down to the political systems as well. Today you can see it so evidently by all the scandals getting worse and worse and worse and worse. When I was young in Britain I can remember the days when a scandal would break out, sexual or financial or whatever it happened to be, embezzlement, and they didn't have to even wait for an inquiry. The little newscasts you would have at the time would tell you this person stepped down immediately. That it was exposed to the public what had happened, he or she would step down and that was it for you, your career there was over, you'd never go back into politics. But today the same scandals are normalized now and the people have no problem at all staying in power and saying, so what. And again, most of them, remember, are psychopathic. In fact, I think all of them really have a trace of that in them for sure, to be in politics and to stay in politics. They have no problem really putting a face on to the public. They also have the image makers, just like any star has image makers, and PR companies come in and are paid to do a kind of makeover, and lots of promotions to the general public of propaganda, to how wonderful the person really is, as opposed to the news that's come out about them. That's very common today. Nothing is real on television or news anymore whatsoever.

Now for those who make use of my archives I've given many talks on how we're controlled, the methods that are used, the scientific methods that are used, and the innate senses, or basically instincts we have that are used against us, because we are so well understood. Make sure you make good use of cuttingthroughthematrix.com archives. Remember too by the way, to throw a few pennies here once in a while. Because I'm not out to get rich or anything but I like to eat once in a while too, mind you, and my costs are pretty hefty here indeed just doing what I do. And lots and lots of folk use my material and lots of books have been put out there with information I've put out. I've done the homework for a lot of people out there, but they never mention you of course, unfortunately. But for those who make good use of it, it would be handy for you and quite nice of you in fact to throw a few pennies my way. You can find out how to do it at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. Don't forget too, for those who don't have any of the books I've written, to order them as well. Because I teach in a different manner than most people. I don't have a particular spin on anything. I don't have some ax to grind. And I'm not out to con you and use a period of oppression, as we're under today, under the guise of terrorism everywhere, I don't use that to get rich. Otherwise I would do the same thing as some people and get awfully, awfully rich by scaring the blazes out of you.

But in the archives, as I say, if you go into it, I go into how our own personalities, our innate instincts, are used against us by those who know how to manipulate us, the puppet masters if you like, that Bernays called them, his own class that is, the kind who understood already the basics of psychology and human behaviorism and how to motivate us to do their bidding, without us really knowing why we were doing it, or even thinking we were doing it because it was our own choices in fact. He knew how to manipulate what they called the subconscious or unconscious mind, the great primitive part of you. And it worked awfully well. With the consumerist society he gave us, and the same people who he taught and who took over from him, are now in charge of giving you the post-consumerist society. Everything you buy today, as you well know, is junk, very expensive junk. Because we're in the age of maximizing the greed factor, where they have cut corners and pennies on everything that's produced, in China, where everything is made on purpose of course, by those from the West who moved their factories there. It's all junk, it's not meant to last, nothing's meant to last very long.

Plus they have smart engineering, they call it. Engineers used to make things awfully well because they liked to do their job well. But now they've got in with the marketers, who look towards future parts for

various things they're selling, to cars all the way down, and they look upon all the things, if this thing will last 10 years, which is a big thing today, then how many parts of this and that and so on can we make deliberately fail. So you make them out of junk and they're guaranteed to fail so many times. Pretty exact, too, figures, right down to the batteries for vehicles. It's amazing how you get a three-year warranty and one month after the three-year period it just packs in. It's not by accident. It's not coincidence. It's because they know exactly how to do it, including the thickness and the gauge of the big copper wiring straps that are inside the battery that come from the posts, that's how they gauge it.

So we're fooled and conned on so many levels as we go through the age of maximizing the greed factor. Things go up all the time, the value of your money goes down all the time because of all the debt factors, and because we're run by totally corrupt people. Completely corrupt. And that's across the board I would say. Now, for those who don't understand how corruption works, read some of the books put out by politicians, or people who went into politics with good intentions and got out of politics before the majority around them would set them up because they wouldn't conform to all their little scams that they get up to by scamming the public purse and their expenses and all the rest of it. If you go into politics you're immediately tested by the little peer group, who are nice smiling psychopaths. They want to see if you will go on the take like they do, or accept the lobbyists' cash and so on and little presents that they give them. But if you don't go along with them they can't trust you so they've got to get rid of you, and they will do it one way or another, they will set you up somehow. It's rather sad but that's the way that life really is today.

Now, all politicians, I'd say, today too, are completely blackmailable by their Masters, who really run the world, and definitely the countries. They have all the dirt on them and therefore these puppets will go along with whatever they're told to go along with. Even if it includes or comes down to completely opposing the previous day's views that they had; they'll completely just turn around overnight, suddenly, sometimes they'll do that you'll notice, and it's because they've been told to do it. And they have no problem with that because they're basically the psychopathic personalities. Psychopaths don't have the self-policing, the internal policing that you do. They have no conscience. They simply emulate the gesticulations, the expressions that you have. They copy you. They watch you and how you react emotionally or appear to react emotionally in certain situations and they try to copy that. That's why they often screw it up, actually, because they still don't understand why you feel something in a certain situation. They don't actually feel it and sometimes they go overboard with their reactions.

Now, in times of extreme stress in nations, financial, job insecurities, layoffs, closures, all these things, the psychopath rises to the top, because we'll tolerate more of their behavior, because we see ourselves as powerless or weak and the weak tend to gravitate towards those they see who have confidence and have answers of some kind. Men of action come to the top. They're not terribly bright often, but it doesn't matter as long as they seem to be men of action as opposed to intellectuals who sit and simply talk about things and don't seem to do too much. So it's a time for the psychopaths to rule. It's a time of changes. And this is why they call the 21st century the Century of Change. The changes that psychopaths in this big pyramid... There's a big pyramid up there, of structures of authority from the top down and different levels of psychopathy are used along the way. And psychopaths can cooperate together as long as they're each getting what they want, and getting away with an awful lot too. In their personal little sphere that they have, as long as they can get away with it all and benefit for themselves personally they can cooperate with other people, and other psychopaths. When you have a structure above them which understands them perfectly well, the psychopaths in fact, then the psychopaths know that if they go too far they'll often find they come to a sticky end themselves. And that's a warning to other ones not to go too far and get too big-headed; it happens all the time. They have accidents and things like that.

But as I say, in times of fear, and we've been in the period of fear since 9/11 happened in 2001 with the so-called war on terror. Now remember, the big groups involved that were already running the United States of America, with the New American Century group, the private group that got into politics, and the other ones who ran it from behind the scenes, during the Bush era, and then actually into the present era, they gave out a war plan for all the countries they wanted to take out across the Middle East and Asia and so on. They've pretty well fulfilled a lot of it. But they were right on cue, the countries we've taken out, Afghanistan, then Iraq, and so on, were all on the list. They wanted Syria taken down too, and Libya, they got Libya out, and they also want Iran as well. But they have other ones they want to go onto from there. But it's amazing how they can publish these things and, once again, when it's exposed to the public before

all these wars begin, the public can't quite grasp it. They can't grasp that someone could actually blow up towers, for instance, in order to get a country and a whole world into a war. Well, they haven't read any history obviously. Or they fall for the big lie, that somehow we have evolved since the caveman and things are different today, we're not like that. That's the saddest thing of all, is to think that we've changed in any way at all.

Psychopathy in all eras has always been there, it's never been eliminated, and today it has perfect rein to do what it wants. But then I've gone into in the past as well, the articles from the US military magazines to do with perpetual war, for instance. They said, from now on we'll have perpetual war. It was written, too, by an intelligence officer for the US military. He wasn't just talking about war on the planet, or the people, or the peoples across the world. He was talking about perpetual war, for the changes, the century of change is what he was really quietly referring to, you might say, without saying it as such. Your whole concept of reality in the century is to change. It's already happening amongst the general public and the training of the young children too, and with the electronic media of all kinds out there that have taken over a lot of their lives for them, which they don't question at all, and they're too young to even question what are the motives of those above us who gave us all these things and programs and toys to play with and so on and so on. Naturally they can't question it. Their brains are still growing for goodness sake and they have no wisdom either of life in general.

But the war for change, or of change, is a war to change everything that was or is, until it was in the past, that's how it will be referred to, and we will adapt into the new system step by step by step. We already are of course with incessant propaganda and I might touch on some of that tonight to do with the kinds of propaganda or influence. There's many descriptions that are used by philosophers, and that are used by the big foundations, and the big think tanks that work for the foundations, of various kinds of scientists, neurologists, neuroscientists and so on and so on. But whatever influences you and your behavior, to modify it, to your Masters' specifications is all to do with the changes which they want, right down to your opinions, as you know. Your opinions are constantly being modified now as they destroy the old normals and bring in all the new normals, which were written about and put on the drawing board an awful long time ago. They're all being implemented today. The training of the public, in a Pavlovian fashion, by the neuroscientists, to have default positions, they call them, where if certain topics are mentioned you'll immediately recoil from the mention of certain words or terms or whatever it happens to be. Even if it's in a questioning form from a child, it's going to be... it's already absolutely taboo, and your peer group will be taught, and already have been taught, to simply just walk away if they can't change the topic, to walk away immediately from the particular word or topic coming up. It's quite fascinating, really, isn't it, how easy it is to train people, to get them to behave the same way, uniformly, across the whole board, isn't it?

After all, in the 60s when you were taught that you were going to get freedoms and they gave you the sexual revolution and all different liberations of women and all the rest of it and so on, and the drug revolution, and the pop-culture, all of these things that came along, that again had been on the drawing board for a long time. It had been tried in the 20s and failed and brought back in the 60s with drugs etc. It's fascinating to see how the people really thought that for the first time they could think what they wanted to think and express whatever they wanted to express, but the reality is most folk really didn't have anything novel to express, even the ones who thought they were, they were simply being cool, you see, or dressing in the particular renegade outfit, or uniform, they're told to wear as a renegade at that particular time for the generation they were in. We've been through the baggy pants era and all the rest of it and the chains dangling from your cheeks and the tattoos. These are all given to the ones who think they're different. They're authorized and accepted, but put out there from the top. Most of it comes from movies and music and so on, but the culture industry promotes all of these things, these ways to be, even when you think you're rebelling.

I used to think it funny when I was reading about Mao Tse Tung in China and how he brought out the blue tunic and pants uniform that everyone was to wear in China, and they did at that time, so they would all be the same, you see, everyone would be the same. Sameness, sameness, sameness... He called that equality, you see. And in the West at the same time, exactly the same time, they were promoting the hippies and the culture of jeans, jeans, blue jeans. They were both the same color, the outfits of Mao Tse Tung and the blue jeans, until everybody in the West is wearing blue jeans. They couldn't correlate what was happening or even why it was happening. But someone above them was having a big chuckle, that was implementing all

of this of course. And at the same time one is being taught that they're free... Actually, both were being told they were free, but a lot of the Chinese didn't quite believe it. But the ones who thought they were free, because they would cuss and swear and do things like that for the first time openly in public, and do different behaviors, couldn't see they were all being manipulated themselves. It's fascinating, isn't it, just to watch what happens around you.

Now, sometimes different types of authority are put over on the public at different times, sometimes in your own lifetime. It was interesting, wasn't it, to see George Bush getting on television and saying to the nation, and to the Western world really, he said when it came to terrorism and so on, what he was going to do with the war and so on, and he said, you're either with us or you're with the terrorists. Which was, again, the opposite of, say, the 60s era that everyone was still lauding and approving and trying to be wild and crazy and so on. Where was the choice in that? In other words, if you voiced an opinion that it's wrong to go and slaughter different countries across the world, that really had nothing to do with 9/11, then you must be classified and classed amongst the actual terrorists themselves and viewed in the same light, and treated the same way. So it's interesting how we can go from one thing to the next without blinking, isn't it? without correlating what this actually really is, being said to you. And yet everyone starts to conform immediately. Because they understood at the top that a tribal instinct kicks in for survival and the biggest lies can get put across, so easily, and be even half-believed; you only need to half-believe something to go along with it. Because that basic survival instinct is really at work and so you want to believe it. It's drastic to think that it could be happening internally, from very powerful authorities inside your own country, causing the whole thing to happen in the first place, isn't it? And yet it's a favorite trick that's used down through the ages, right back to even the Romans wrote about the different tricks that were used to get acts of terrorism, from apparently amongst the civilians, in order to use martial law on the people.

So now we're in the age of change and perpetual terrorism. So together they work, you see, you need one and the other at the same time to get the perpetual change through. And the war on terror, with the same techniques, where you have to conform to be acceptable to society, with who are the bad guys and so on, is now transferred, very carefully, over to you if you have certain opinions about other things within society. As I say, now you're supposed to shut up at the mention of certain things, don't ask questions about certain things, right down to even sexual persuasions, it's taboo. Because the authorized voices at the top, the voices of authority, have let you know, in no unsettled manner, what the proper authority opinion is to be and the proper acceptable opinion is to be. So all the freedoms that you thought you had in the 60s have suddenly gone out the window. I've often said that the most intolerant of all is the liberal mindset, the ones who think they're liberal, the ones who supposedly a long time ago when it was really liberal to have open minds about things, is completely a closed mind. It's either their way or no way. It's the highway, isn't it? Quite amazing isn't it? But the same techniques were used in religion at one time. If you queried even one aspect of your particular religion you'd be ostracized by the whole society, because the boys at the top had said you would be and everybody down below would conform and act accordingly; you'd be completely shunned. And if you're shunned you can't eat, you can't work, you can't get a job, or you're fired from your job; how do you eat and survive?

There are many nudges along the way of life to make you conform, to whatever system you happen to be born into, or the changes in your own lifetime. Many, many ways to get you to shut up, keep your thoughts to yourself, preferably don't have the thoughts in the first place, and just get along. That's how it works. And in that case you're headed for terrible things down the road when that happens. A society that can't voice its concerns from anybody, I mean anybody at all, or any group or whatever, if you can't voice your opinions on things, pro or against, then you're in trouble, you're in terrible trouble. So rational authority can only be rational when it really has a beneficial aspect, like cooperation amongst the people for their own self-preservation, from the tribe or the village and so on. Today it's much more complex since nothing is really natural in this particular system we're living in today. And Plato said it, the most unnatural system is the easiest one to fall down, because it can't even support itself independently, it collapses. It's all based on money, which is somebody's idea. Money isn't even tangible anymore, or real, or even weighable. People you'll never meet in your life, or even know the names of, decide what it's worth today, or what it's worth tomorrow, or if it's even confiscated, legally now, by banks, as they do bail-ins... in other words, they steal your money legally because they made it legal to steal. We accept all this without a blink and that's sad. That is not rational authority, you see.

Rational authority also comes from learning. Father to son in the old days when you worked in the village or you worked as a blacksmith and you learned from your father, or if he was a farmer or whatever he happened to be, that was rational authority. So there was a workable aspect, from the person who was learning and the person who was teaching, and it worked for both their good basically. But you also get irrational authority. And irrational authority breaks out, as I say, in times of immense crisis on a larger scale, or even on a small scale with a small village in the old days when its whole existence was threatened. Then, in comes the psychopath and he can take over, because he appears to be the man of action, you see; people blindly give over their obedience to what they perceive as a man of action.

You also have the overt authority in persuasion/propaganda. As I say, it's put through movies, novels. All the PC, politically correct updates are put over and over and over the airwaves or through the newspapers or whatever it happens to be, dramas, plays, all fiction as well. So you're bombarded with it. And you'll accept it generally, especially in fiction because you don't question it. You're not expecting to be conned through fiction; you think you're in charge as you watch, or get downloaded, with fiction.

What's changed now today, as well, I'd say, is that your perception of what authority is has changed. At one time you simply had the leader. Then you had leaders. Then you had political parties and so on, that seemed to be tangible, you'd hear of them, you knew they existed, at least you believed they did, and the newspapers of course would give you information which you'd always take as real, even though generally it wasn't, still isn't today. Because power always makes sure that you're told exactly what the powerful want you to hear and no more or less, and which makes you believe what you come to believe, to make it work for those in power. But also, as I say, you end up getting today, during the time from the 60s onwards, into the age of terrorism everywhere, a different kind; we have overt authority.

An overt authority, as I say, is the George Bush telling you you're either with him and them or with the terrorists. And we also have those in power, the real those in power, not the politicians, who run… from an invisible presence you might say, there are many of them, and they send many messages out to you through many articles that you read from foundations, institutions, educational authorities and so on, and these also influence you. But these are deliberately put out, in tandem, with other ones being released across your country, or even the world sometimes, at the same time, to give you a particular part of a reality, on a particular part of life or science or whatever it happens to be. And these are anonymous authorities; we think they're anonymous. They don't seem to you as the reader or the hearer, the receiver of it, to be coordinated. But they actually are coordinated and they work very, very effectively because of that. You don't see them for what they are. They're not incoming thought missiles or propaganda missiles into your brain. They often seem to be separate, and therefore they must all be real and true. It's very, very simple.

But the characters behind them you don't know. These are the puppet masters that Bernays talked about behind the scenes who really pull the strings of power. And what a fantastic… Never, ever underplay this power. Never, ever underplay it, because you don't see it coming. As I say, you don't see it actually coming. In fact, they can put out such great propaganda today in such a fashion, especially through science, you'll be intrigued by it, you'll be carried away by it, and you will think it's all true. It will become exciting to you, you know, you're going to land on the moon or go off to Mars or something like that, and you believe it all, you accept it. And there's always other reasons that it's even put out in the first place, this kind of information. And those in power, remember too, get cocky, more and more cocky the longer they get away with it. No wonder, because generations have been born and lived and died serving under this system of scientific control of our minds and never clued into the fact that it was even happening to them. And as they have more power over you, and can say quite openly and honestly that they can make you do anything they want you to do, then they're right in that sense, but they start to hate and despise you. Because those in power despise the weak. They are sadomasochistic to an extent. But they're psychopathic, they get their kicks off what they think is achieving for themselves more and more power, and even to the applause sometimes of the victims.

Before too, I've talked about obedience to authority and how this has been tested over and over at universities. I've mentioned many times about the Stanley Milgram experiments on obedience done in the 1960s, at Yale University, repeated many times since then, and many other subsequent experiments in the same field are still ongoing of course, to categorize humanity as to our willingness to obey, obey, obey authority, even if it's a deviant kind of authority, or even if it's perceived as a good authority but in fact is

deviant if you look a bit deeper. So it's very interesting to see how people could be persuaded during experiments to really believing that when they applied electric shocks to someone, who they could hear screaming, that this was actually happening, but it was okay if a person in authority, an authority figure told them to go ahead, they got permission. This, again, is what you get in the military, and that's why atrocities can happen so easily in any kind, anybody's military. If the order comes from a superior you simply obey, because you feel they're taking the guilt and not so much you, you're simply obeying orders. That's been said over and over throughout the 20th century in inquiries after terrible atrocities have happened. That's what the people always say, we were simply obeying authority. All races, creeds are all the same by the way, there's no difference in this at all. It's a human trait, a human factor.

What they don't tell you of course is that they also do the same studies, and did the same studies, on the psychopathic personalities to see how they would react. They do fascinating studies on them too. Lots of studies have been done on psychopaths, by the way, by the basic experimentations to find out who they are in the first place. They have lots of questionnaires and so on which categorize the psychopathic types, in universities. And they have special tests for them because they want to eventually employ them if they are cooperative to an extent, if they're being well-funded or paid or whatever, in certain positions of power over others, but never at the top of course, for those already, the super psychopaths above them.

But this is simply a technique, as I say, the Milgram experiment. You take it from simply shunning people, because you're told to shun them, because they said something which made you blush, because you've been trained to blush when you hear a term or whatever or a question asked, you see. The neuroscientists are doing this today with all your new opinions and so on, and reprogramming you, and training you to have these reactions. You're set to default, as they call it, default positions. Of course, Cass Sunstein, who thinks of himself as a great proponent for all this, and an experimenter, and he's really a low-level player in it all in fact; he's not really a scientist as such way up at the top. He helps push all this stuff on how to mass manipulate you by what he calls nudges. They also use this in the programming of computers to make sure that you're nudged towards a certain way of... when you're asking an initial question, to nudge you to certain answers and ignore other things you could search for yourself. Because, others have looked at this, so they nudge you towards what others look at, the approved nudges and the approved websites and so on. Very simple stuff.

You also find, too, that people take on roles, so easily; they become their jobs, for instance. What do you think the movies are all about with the constant war on terror, SWAT teams in so many movies until it's normal, again, and also going into martial law scenarios, for the greater good, with black-clad goons looking like the SWAT team; they all look the same in any country now. They're churning and churning and churning them out as they train you into this new authoritarian society, overtly authoritarian rule, but also using massive psychology upon you at the same time to make sure that your conscience kicks in if you start to think maybe what they're doing is wrong. You're not patriotic or something like that. Very simple. But because you become your job, and people in uniform, you should be really scared of them all actually. Uniform means uniformity. It's not individualism. When you join the military you leave your individuality at home, or just toss it out the window, when you put that uniform on, and you simply are now the team member. You're a little worker bee, and the pheromones of the Queen are the ones that are going to dictate what actions you do, you simply obey them, or you'll get stung.

But you'll find, too, with the Stanford prison experiment of 1971 by Philip Zimbardo, that people become their jobs. Tremendous experiment in that, too, where eventually those who were picked to take the role of the prison guards became the brutes in other words. It was interesting to watch even the movies they put out about them, that were done supposedly very realistically, to see how people really react. Now, this can be done to a lot of you out there, and without you even thinking about it, or even if you pooh-pooh the idea it could happen to you. Don't ever kid yourself... that the psychologies and the sciences, that are working against you, by understanding how you tick, and today remember they know all your information, we're all studied. And people who think, well I don't care about my information, I have nothing to hide, they're as silly as can be because, oh, they care very much about you. They want to be absolutely sure that you will do eventually anything they tell you to do and order you to do. That's why they study you so intensely.

That's why this total information network, there's many different names for the same thing, is so amazing in its era. It was all planned for the Century of Change. And this will never go away, by the way. The

perpetual war on, not just across the world in physical wars, but psychological wars, cultural wars on you, are all ramping up, going through this whole century, until the elite have the world that they want, with the eradication of a lot of you, and you will help to eradicate each other, just like the Milgram experiment and the Prison experiment, you will help to do it. You'll even be in the process of eliminating your own consciousness on the way, by the way, and your own conscience on the way. Rather than force you to do something they have many ways to prompt and nudge you into conformity. Quite fascinating really when you think about it all.

But it's all being done and it's not simply by happenstance and by circumstances that are out of control. This was planned, the Century of Change was planned a long time ago… a long time ago, centuries ago in actual fact, by those who created the early foundations, and they were there long before Rockefeller came along, in order to fulfill the goal of the so-called wise, the wise should rule, and the lessers should obey, or perish, or both even at times.

You cannot have a society which is being told conflicting messages survive, with their intense, intense psychological warfare upon the minds of the general public; from the same mouths you hear we care about you, we care about life, and we want to depopulate at the same time too, the world is unsustainable, there's too many of you. Now how can the same people telling you the same things, with two different stories, be ever believable? You better be very wary about all of this, incredibly wary. Start taking it seriously because it's real. It's very real. Doublethink, Orwellian doublethink, the ability to hold two opposing opinions in your head at the same time and believe them both. Then you're psychotic in a sense. And then it's over, isn't it?

You can go back into the days of Adam Weishaupt and the group that he belonged to, often given the title really of the founder of Illuminati and things like that. These groups were on the go long, long before he came along, long before that. And the lust for power over others and domination of all others is nothing new. I'm sure in your lives you've all met people who think they know it all, the superiority complex as they used to call it. It's always been here in humanity. And such characters are narcissistic. They love to talk about themselves all the time and boast all the time, again, a trait of the psychopath. They're still here and they are way above, they're up there, running a lot of what we do, and deciding what we do. And you could never in a million years get through to them, that they're wrong, or even suggest they stop and hold back and think a bit and consider other alternatives and possibilities. It'll never happen. They mean business.

I've always said when it comes to depopulation, they don't ask for volunteers… Would you please become sterilized? It won't happen. They simply go ahead and do things that sterilize you. And when it comes to the plague times, or depopulation by that, they'll use that too. Many of the characters I've mentioned throughout the years, and I've mentioned their names or their books or whatever, have mentioned this factor. Oh, they bewail the fact we don't have the Black Death anymore. They bemoan it. When inoculations first came out there was a massive attack by this particular class of people against inoculations, real inoculations, not the phony ones we have today, because they said, well there are already too many of the people and we won't need them in a postindustrial era, and all they'll do is keep breeding. HG Wells said this in his day, they'll just keep breeding. And so they wanted it all stopped. Well, they didn't do that. What they ended up doing was simply take over the whole vaccine industry and make sure that what's pumped into you is synthetic, and it's not what you think it is, and you end up sterilized and you end up with premature cancers and things like that. You can just follow the graphs throughout the 20th century to the present time and watch them skyrocket with the introduction of all these supposed helpful inoculations. Well they certainly are helpful, but to whom?

That's how simple it's all been. How simple it is, because you trust. You trust. Again, we have the same mentality of a small village or a tribe, living with a leader, who doesn't live any better than we are living at, the stage of living or the state of living, the level of it, in ancient times, in the West, say, a chieftain, and your mentality is still stuck there, because back then it would be unthinkable to think that your chief was trying to kill you all. And it works just the same today. It's impossible, isn't it? These wonderful folk with all these letters behind their names are up there, who belong to all these organizations, lauded, because they're always lauding and giving awards to each other, that gives them more credence, and they make sure that you see it being done with their awards being given and so on, and they go around the universities and chat, chat, chat. So it must all be true and they must be decent, good people. Really?

The same people, as I say, when they tell you they want to depopulate, there's too many of you, they're very careful in how they say it of course, and at the same time they want to say that they love people, and they're all for abortion for instance, but oh, they're against anybody after that being hurt by their parents, like parents hurting their children, once you're born. I know there's a segment of them now who want to abort, well actually, it's post-birth abortion they're calling it and different silly terms like that, where they want to kill the child up to the age of three. When there's inconsistencies in logic be very scared of those people, very worried indeed. Because you're not hearing it from people in the street. Once in a while you will, they've heard it from someone above them. But it's always from people in positions of authority belonging to the think tanks, belonging to the advisory committees, or bioethics committees that all governments have now as part of their planning procedures. Be very, very careful of this. It's all here. It's been here for a long time. And they put out such beautiful terms that keep you sleeping, because they're there to look after you. So they give themselves wonderful titles... Foundations for Humanity and things like that. You would never dream what they really meant, all these different titles they give themselves. So be very, very careful. As I say, it's all being done. It's being done all the time. Even through your fiction you're being propagandized, constantly, with updates and political correctnesses and so on and so on and so on and so on.

The whole point of it is to reclaim your own mind, use it. Use it! If you were the pinnacle of what's on the world here that walks around with a sentient brain, use it. Why let someone else use it? Why not use it yourself? Because you're only walking on this planet for short time you know. It's not very long. And you're not using it yourself. Scary, that, when folk are terrified of thinking for themselves. If you notice that, you'll notice that mainly with city people that come into the country, they've got to have noise on all the time. They start mowing their lawns, when they're living in the country, all the time, noise, and then after that they got the radio on. Someone else's voice is prattling away, some disc jockey or whatever, from the morning till night; they can't stand silence. Because why? You become familiar with noise and someone else's voice programming you from birth. And silence, and that little strange sound, which is your own voice talking, terrifies you. You have to start thinking. Hhhhaaaa.... what a thought! And if it really is quiet they'll jump off for immediate entertainment, anything at all that gives them somebody else's programming, rather than think for themselves and stop and enjoy the silence.

What I'm saying, unfortunately, is all known by those at the top, and way beyond this. It's well studied. Well studied. And future workers in all the big think tanks are going to work on you, and in marketing, all the different techniques that they use, public relations, which is just basically propagandized marketing, how to slant things to make it acceptable to the public; every department in government uses PR firms now and PR specialists. You're never told the truth about anything. So why keep looking for it from mainstream? That's up to you.

Remember too as I said, it would help me if you, as you're using the archives at cuttingthroughthematrix.com, it's all free remember, you can certainly help me out by sending a few pennies once in a while, to cuttingthroughthematrix.com. Look into the website, see how to do it and you can help me out too. Because believe you me, I don't take my vehicles to garages and things like that; I can't afford it. Many of you maybe can; I have to do the work myself. And I've spent enough time underneath vehicles, believe you me, over many years, to last a thousand lifetimes, especially when I see the rubbish that they're turning out today and the quality going down and down and down in the workmanship, as they maximize the profits and put garbage in there where they should have metal parts and things like that.

Anyway, from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, where it's supposed to rain for the next four or five days, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also

available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Aug. 14, 2014 (#1455)
"CUTTING THROUGH THE MATRIX"
WITH ALAN WATT
(GUEST ON REALITY BYTES RADIO WITH NEIL FOSTER)
Originally Broadcast Aug. 14, 2014 on awakeradio.co.uk
sovereignindependentuk.co.uk
communitypressgroup.com

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

{♫ - You are listening to Awake Radio, straight talk for the awake and aware. -♫}
Neil: Welcome to Reality Bytes Radio on the 14th of August 2014. And we have our regular monthly guest Alan Watt on the line. And I think we'll just fire straight into it Alan because we're going to go through your first three volumes of your writings. And going back to 1999, the first one. The second one I think was 1999 and the third volume was 2003. And I suppose that the logical question to ask is what inspired you to write this, these three volumes, to start with?
Alan: Well I used to listen to different shows at night, radio shows mainly and somehow or another I ended up getting on one because I listened to people being sort of bewildered as to what was happening in the world. And they went into all areas of how long has this system existed, what is this system, all kinds of answers to it and so on, but they didn't know the histories. And so, someone said why don't you write that, rather than just going on the radio and talk about it, why don't you just put it in some book form? And so I did and had given basic stuff basically, for me it's basic anyway. And it gives them a leg up as to what this, this whole reality that we take for granted, what it really is, how it came to be. The processes and techniques behind it that condition us all, in all ages, to accept the system we're living in as being quite normal and regardless of the conditions. And that's really how it started me off on that line of thinking and so on. And then after I got them out too other shows asked me on and then it just steamrolled from there. I really just thought it was time as well that since I've studied for basically my whole life; I studied in the libraries because I was always asking the questions that other children weren't asking at school. I thought I'll find out for myself and when I realized that the material was there, the knowledge was there, but it's like H.G. Wells called it, "The Open Conspiracy" you might say. And then when I got into the sciences behind it, psychology, behaviorism, all the teams and think tanks that the governments all hire to manage our lives for us and the culture industry and how far back that went it's not such a mystery after all. You don't have to go looking out for aliens to do it. You know it's all here. And the human brain hasn't really changed for an awful long time. Even in ancient times to the present it's been the same, the same brain, the same nature, power, wealth, and this odd thing called money dictates the system that you will live in and be trained to believe is quite natural.
Neil: Yeah so I mean okay let's start on Volume I, "The Androgynous (Hermaphroditic) Agenda".
Alan: Yeah, well that to me was interesting because in ancient times it sort of tied in with the present because the present sciences are working towards this. They have books out on it now and they're doing

tests with embryos and stem cells to see if they can create a hermaphrodite as a human being. Technically, what they say, it will be the end of all conflict. That's always been used as an excuse to further a particular very clever agenda that recently... That's why you've got the rise of the feminist movement; it didn't come from the women themselves, it came from the big money boys that ran the system at the time. They even financed these organizations into being to try to end what they call marriage and conflict and things like that. But you go back into ancient times and you'll find that behind all the gods you'll find the same story that God himself or it's Brahma or whoever fertilized himself and gave birth, you see, to what became his daughter – wife, etcetera. It's the same kind of story you'll find that Plato talked about when he talked about the ancient gods or ancient humans that were the golden race that had a male appearance when they walked towards you, but a female appearance from behind. To the mystery religions of his day he was talking about the hermaphrodite person you see. It took different transformations through Hinduism, into basically left brain, right brain, male-female, the perfect human being that was a balance of emotion and logic. And that held sway right up even through the western cultures through Darwinism up to the present time basically. So it's always been a hidden, you might say, manifestation of the agenda that comes out in cryptic terms, in terminology.

NASA also a few years back did a two-hour documentary special on space travel and they touched on that too that it may be very difficult for long-term space travel for humans because of the time it would take to get to planets and so on. And generations would get born and die, etcetera. But they also talked about the conflict on board that they could have. So what they came up with was an idea that eggs could be fertilized artificially by machinery and computers in the ship or the craft just before it gets to its destination. So it would be frozen all that time and then they would create a hermaphroditic being which would therefore create no conflict between the male and female crew.

So it's quite amazing to see science pick up on all of this. But it goes back again even to the eunuch idea. Eunuchs were pretty common across the Middle East and they were used as trusted servants, high servants for Pashas and so on, and Caliphs. And you find the reason was is because they said that they could concentrate on their work and their business and so on. And they wouldn't be swayed off by various passions or lusts, etcetera.

So the hermaphrodite basically would be either a neutered creature all together but it wouldn't have the same sexual urge or attraction to copulate with other beings basically. That was part of it. Such a creature they claim would be a better worker, not distracted, and get more done, less conflict, etcetera. In other words better behaved, easier to control on behalf of the masters who would not change themselves.

Neil: Well that's funny that you should say that. We were sitting in a restaurant the other day and we looked across at a table of young lads who were out for I don't know some school reunion or something and there wasn't a set of shoulders between them.

Alan: That's right.

Neil: You know they all had long hair and they were all as thin as rakes but they must have been 18 years old and not a bit of muscle on them.

Alan: Well here's the key to that. If you go into that you'll find that even professor Carroll Quigley when he wrote "Tragedy and Hope", near the beginning of his book he mentions the fact that in the 60's suddenly, suddenly they noticed in the medical profession, that women were having, the young, that one generation that was coming up about eighteen, twenty, literally had much narrower hips. And they didn't have the pelvic structure and that's when cesarean section really took off.

But also too of course as you say there was a change in the male structure. We know the xeno or artificial estrogens that we're fed in everything today had been applied since the late 50's onwards. And mind you they knew what these xenoestrogens did, Bisphenol A and so on, they knew that in the late 1800's in Germany that was. So they knew the effect it would have on the male and on the female. But that's when they brought in a lot of stuff in the plastics and all the rest of it. And they even started to add it to baby food by the way. I think it's still in the baby food. They managed to get the FDA to reclassify it as a protein even though it's actually a plastic waste.

So we're really full of this stuff and I think too bioengineering has been going on with the inoculation agenda as well; after all, these big boys do not want volunteers. They know we wouldn't be, that nobody would be... Well maybe some today would volunteer for it but the rest would not want to volunteer to be changed in any way at all. And it's been done covertly obviously. Our immune systems are shot. We have about one third of the resistance to bacteria and viruses that the generation prior to 1950 had. And it was right up to the Polio virus and the rest of the inoculations that came, the vaccinations, that our immune systems started to show it. In the 1960's for the first time ever we had total immune syndrome problems like the bubble boy, etcetera. He had been kept in a bubble and any bacterium, a common bacterium, could kill him. That was

unknown, unheard of before.
So we're being bioengineered all along the way here. It doesn't only affect you physically and hormonally which it definitely does. And by the way a good book to read on this part of the agenda is by Charles Galton Darwin, who's a relative of Charles Darwin of course, a descendent, and the Galton family. A eugenicist, Charles Galton Darwin, said that in his book, "The Next Million Years", on how the elite, the very super elite, would dominate the world for another million years. He said, We shall have to alter the hormonal makeup of the male to take away his aggression and the female too, but mainly the male. They could alter them physically, it might show, etcetera, but they'd have to. They could by propaganda purposes and the fact that most folk will never mention what's obviously right in front of their face unless others are talking about what's been on the media. If the media doesn't mention it they won't see it, they won't notice it either. They won't say anything about it. So even with the changes the people wouldn't complain as it became more and more obvious that the people are being bioengineered. They said we can either put it in the food, the vaccinations, or the water, or all three. Well they've done the lot.
Neil: Well this is certainly in the lot and it's fairly obvious as you point out the changes in the physiology of people. You use, I think particularly in the first section, you use a lot of symbolism and explain what it actually means, the numerology involved. And I've heard you say many times, I've only read this book once, I've read the whole thing once and really I need to read it again. But you explain, you break down words into the kind of distinctive parts and explain the combination of words and what they mean. Could you go into that kind of thing and the relation to the symbolism?
Alan: Well what put me onto it really was a book by Albert Pike, and "The Encyclopedia of Freemasonry"by Mackey. And they both mentioned the fact that they never speak, Masons never, high Masons that is, not what they call the common ones at the bottom, the blue lodge, the outer portico, the cover of Masonry. The ones who think they know it all but they're not, they don't know much at all. He's talking about the higher degrees and especially in "Morals and Dogma"by Pike. It was for the 32nd degree and upwards. And he said that we never speak more plainly than we do when we speak together but in public. In other words the public could be standing around them and even listening to the conversation. You would hear one thing being said while they themselves would understand the inner meanings of the words they were using, etcetera. This is a very old idea, it goes back, even in ancient times. We tend to forget in the West that in the Middle East, and it's in still today in some religions like Judaism, that you have numbers that are also letters, you see, so that you can transpose one to the other and have a code if you like. And they used the Chaldeans in ancient times invading armies, they'd hire Chaldeans who also did this and that's really where it all came from. And they would use them as runners to carry messages between generals and they would do markings of numbers and different things on these sticks and the other guy at the other end would read it and know what the message was when he transposed it into language, cryptology in other words. It's very, very big amongst all of these secret societies.
But they also used as I say words themselves. The English language as we know it today really came out around the time of Shakespeare. And Shakespeare was given the credit for putting thousands of words into the English language which became common usage to the present time. It isn't until you break them down that you start to see words within words. And that's the purpose, that's what he means by "we never speak so plainly". When they say a term or a certain word it can have two or three meanings all comprised in that particular word itself. A listener would never even think of that. So it's a cryptology in a sense. And even in ancient times they said that words remember in hieroglyphic form are simply symbols. A picture of a man kneeling or whatever is a symbol. A man being circumcised that you'll see often in the steles and the steles in Egypt there, because they were all circumcised Egyptians, you'll see that that's a symbol with different meanings hidden within that particular symbol as to what they mean. But they're picture symbols. And those same symbols in a kind of Art Nouveau form can take, they can even be transposed into say triangles for a pyramid and things like that. So symbols are really a big language of all of what they're talking about too.
If you look at the corporate logos of the international corporations that run all your energy, your food, everything you need for being. It's one big gang at the top you see and they all belong to it. They use these particular logos that are very ancient a lot of them and highly symbolic of their function of what they're all about. The pyramid can mean the mountain, you get three 1's as well, you can get the Jachin and Boaz, the two pillars of Masonry when you get the letter "M", the capital letter "M" because you have two peaks there you see. So they stand for the two peaks power. Sometimes they will say male and female, they will control the mind and the logic of everything. These are all very ancient symbols used for that purpose right to the present day with the corporate boys at the top.
Neil: Yeah. I think what shocked me about, you've often mentioned it, you know the alphabet itself is a series of symbols. And when I first went to Eastern Europe and had to learn Cyrillic, and I'll look at that and

I'll go oh that's a bit strange. And then once you get into it, particularly the small letters, what we call the small letters, many of them are exactly the same as English letters but they're a different letter.
Alan: That's right.
Neil: Which is, you know I mean that makes it hard for English people to learn that language but once you know it it's there. But I mean those kind of, I suppose as you go further east the symbolism gets a bit more abstract I think.
Alan: It can. Well again too even modern cryptologists that are involved in all of this kind of thing admit that a lot of the very, very ancient symbology has been lost and changed through time. Including even the customs within high, very high, Freemasonry itself as they have been lost, the rituals, etcetera, through time as they've changed them and changed them. They claim it's absolutely ancient although it really broke out in the U.K. as Freemasonry as we know it today around the 1700's, others claim 1500's, but it's all debatable you know.
But it's always been here in some form or another. And it came in with the money boys because they were already... We forget that most of Europe was still bartering and living very simply until the Roman invasion and in with the invasion came the money lenders. They always went along with the army. And they acted as quartermasters. They took inventory of the supplies to feed that army, etcetera, and what they got in return was the right to introduce money into conquered lands which hadn't used money before. And then they had the right to tax it back. And they would tax back money with goods as well. That really hasn't changed till the present time. So it's a very ancient system, this money system that gives a leisure class the time to have the leisure which gives them time to then hire good minds that can then study society as we do today like the psychologists and behaviorists and so on, and neuroscientists as we have today. And then they control the populations on behalf of those at the very, very top who have the whole commercial system sewn up. They own the trade routes, they own the caravans that used to go back across the Middle East; today it's all the trade routes. They own the ships, etcetera. They don't work them themselves, they simply finance it all and own it all.
So that hasn't changed for thousands and thousands of years. But they came into countries which at one time lived very simply in communal settings where everyone was important in their little tribe and they had their own systems of making sure everyone was supplied with their basic needs and so on.
We take today this whole thing of the consumer society for granted because we've been trained in it. We have an education system which trains us that it's quite natural. In fact they train us to go out and get that carrot you know that you never quite reach. It's not meant that you reach it because the carrot is getting smaller all the time because of inflation because it got built-in inflation of the economy. It's always been here. And of course only one person can get a job at the top and the rest of you don't even get a piece of that carrot. So we're chasing a fantasy that we take as reality and we think eventually that's the only system that could possibly be here simply because it exists as such. It's quite fascinating to see this how it all works. The understanding of human nature is absolutely ancient. And power and these understandings are never lost through time even when armies come in and conquer civilizations as they call them, they always make sure that they recruit all the bureaucrats in all ages onboard who ran that system, including the religions too.
Neil: You kind of answered this question but as you said the symbolism and stuff changed through time. But I mean have you noticed any kind of modern manifestations of ancient symbolism coming back into vogue as it were? And would the likes of Bertrand Russell who traveled east understand the symbols he saw along the way without any difficulty in it?
Alan: Oh yeah. There is no doubt about it. When the British army went in, it was in India in the 17-1800's, a lot of their recruits wrote home and of course they had the traveling lodge with them. The British army always had a Masonic lodge, traveling lodge, with them, generally the orange lodge by the way. And they were astonished to find that all over the cities and so on throughout India when they first went in they already had Masonic lodges there. So they didn't know that. The people didn't know that.
Neil: Yeah. We'll go onto the 2nd book. First we'll go onto a piece of music and then we'll go onto the 2nd volume, "A Glimpse into the Great Work".
I'm not sure what tune Steve's got lined up tonight but whatever he wants to throw on will do.
{Break ♫}
Neil: Okay. Welcome back to Reality Bytes Radio on the 14th of August 2014. We've still got our guest Alan Watt with us for the next half hour.
Alright, moving on to Volume II, Alan, "A Glimpse into the Great Work", and you start off with the symbol of the pyramid which is conspicuous on the dollar bill. Do you want to start off on this chapter?
Alan: The pyramid again goes back into ancient mythology. The writings in it and Enoch mentions it and all this kind of thing. They're really into the books of Enoch and so on about the three types of pyramids that

they tried to make sure that knowledge is preserved. This is the whole key that they claim as I say this idea that's had many, many names down through the ages of rulership and domination by what they call the intelligentsia. It's been called many names including illuminati, which is a bit overblown. But the power of controlling millions of people was never lost, even pre-flood they built supposedly three different kinds of pyramids to store the knowledge to survive. And there was a wooden one, and another one, and then there was the one made by stone, etcetera, to survive the flood.

So the pyramids always had this connotation of mystery and power of an elite form. And we know too that they didn't simply start in Egypt proper; there were pyramids in other places too, the ziggurats, the so-called earlier kinds of stepped pyramid, the ziggurat type too at Ur and so on.

So it's always been a symbol of the ancient powerful elite. They also had gone even further back into the Sumerian lands too where the king had his form of pyramid and a little chamber on top at the pyramid where supposedly the God, the God of the Kingu, that's where the word king comes from, Kingu. And Kane also comes from the same name. But he would come down and impregnate a virgin that was chosen to carry on royal lineages, etcetera, and that was added to the Kingu's lineage. So they had all these mystical things that really would be impressive to primitive peoples who were kept illiterate by a literate class. Nothing really changed down through time.

We know also the ancients were fascinated by the sky. Mathematics was really born and came out of the stars, and geometry, etcetera. And so what they tried to do is recreate heaven on earth. Which is awfully interesting because even in Christianity you get these relations between trying to emulate the powers of heaven from God and the archangels all the way down of rulership, and then an inverted pyramid down to the Pope and then the strata of priests, and the ranks of priests down to the bottom basically reflecting the powers of heaven. It's an ancient idea right to the present time.

So the pyramids, even the ones at Giza, were built to emulate Orion which is the constellation, the hunter. And we call it Orion. It has other names too in other cultures. The belt of the pyramid is actually the three pyramids you'll see there. And so they're trying to copy the star patterns and have the same power as the gods themselves putting it on Earth. And the pharaoh was in charge, he was the high priest or Pope you might call it, who owned and ruled everything that flew, crawled, moved and dug into the earth and all the rest of it, and swam in the sea. So everything, all power is granted to this supreme character, this being in a human form, because he had the spirit of the God within him and he emulated the God's kingdom on Earth you see. So that was really a part of it.

The pyramids, actually building them is no mystery at all, we know how it's done. If you want to get awfully rich by the way you can churn out the books and fascinate people by mysteries and that's what a lot of folk do. But we know how they were built and they were built basically from the ground up and that way it was quite easy to put different chambers inside them as you're building different parts and floors to it, etcetera. Then you put the cap on the top and that's it.

We also know how they got the big stones upwards to them because they built huge ramps, you can see it from photographs from the sky. Long, long ramps that could be a quarter of a mile long or longer and it gave you a long slope to drag these big stones up, etcetera.

So, but anyway it was the power of the king and that's what it showed you. It was a representation that he also ruled the stars now on Earth you know. So the God, the God that was the invisible God that created everything and brought light to the universe and light to the world, ruled the heavens and gave this power to his, you might say his brother or his son, who was the pharaoh who then ruled it in the same fashion on Earth.

It was very mystical. The people were brought up to believe in all of this, the peasantry, etcetera, and they took it for granted that this was all true, magnificent things which are so far out of the ordinary and unfortunately impressed very poor people. That hasn't changed today especially in a system again of wealth and money where people are paid. You couldn't be a king for instance and have all this luxury and attendants and so on unless you had something to pay them with. So first you must introduce money and wages and then you've got your slave drivers and all the rest of it and your armies underneath you. Without that money system you couldn't get this. And this is what we call civilization. And the leisure class that goes with it and they then become scribes, take the histories, alter the cultures, alter the religions when necessary too, to add things to them or subtract them or whatever to suit the rulership of that particular time. So money, civilization, etcetera, what they call progress by the way is a very ancient system that's been unbroken right down to the present time.

Neil: Now I have not been to Egypt but I've been to Mexico and have been to some of the sites there that everybody goes to, Chichen Itza, and I've been there, and I went to Coba and another place which was right in the, I think it was Coba actually in the jungle, and it was so vast that you had to get on a bike to go around

it.
Alan: That's right.
Neil: And they just unveiled one monument there and you were still allowed to climb up them. I don't know if you still are now. But I went up on the top of this pyramid and they had this slab on the top where they did the sacrifices and all that stuff. And you looked out across the jungle and you could see these mountains, as far as you can see you could see mountains, and there was a tour guide up there and I said are these other pyramids out there? He said, oh yeah, it's everywhere. It was vast. It was like, you know, it was like the size of London or something. It was huge. And then he said they won't last when they uncover this stuff. He said this can't last when they uncover this stuff. And when you look at it, the amount of work that must have gone into it, it's vast. The amount of people that must have been there you know.
Alan: Oh it's a whole economy. It's a whole economy even in ancient Egypt they had unions you know for the stone masons and the workers, and their spokespeople who would go to the pharaoh and plead their case sometimes for increased wages and so on and conditions. It was a form of socialist economy which they ran upon and the moneylenders who at that time, who came in with, actually they used to weigh the gold out, they'd come in from the main commerce countries or commercial countries. Merchant bankers as we know them, who would bring the goods in and the money supply and they would weigh it out and give it to the pharaohs in return for whatever. And they'd also get the pharaoh's armies to wage wars on their behalf at times too and they would get the conquered ones out of that. They would become slaves who were then sold on the slave market.
So all of this comes together with the money system, without that you couldn't even get slaves because you'd have no money to pay people or give them a cabbage or turnip. I don't think they would want to be a slave master for very long. They'd go home you know. So that's really how the system works that we take for granted. And also what was fascinating too from ancient times to the present where those who lead what's called the economic centers of that time to the present day you'll get incredible cities, incredible cities with some of the most incredible wealthy people living in them surrounded by the class, what we call classes, the working classes, and then down to all the homeless and the prostitutes and even drugs by the way, in ancient times to the present, at the bottom. This whole system is ancient. And it's always the same M.O. down through the ages.
Neil: Yeah. I watched "Apocalypto", Mel Gibson's movie a few times and the scene where they captured the warriors and brought them into the city. That seems pretty realistic to me. You've got all the, as you say, slaves on the outskirts of the city all digging up the limestone and covered in you know this white dust, and as they go further and further into the city where the main pyramid is you have the real wealthy people and they have different cultures as well, not just that indigenous culture but cultures which assimilated from all different parts of the world.
Alan: Well this is a fascinating part Neil because I'll tell you Britain really copied that same system, or those who came into Britain and took it over copied that system or already had it because the British Empire… We forget that the British went into other countries using the domestic peoples initially as troops, and all the people of the country to finance those armies through taxation and so on. But then they created the empire and then in each country where they had an empire they had troops, native troops and regiments, formed as well which they would use either on that people's own people or into other countries once again. This idea of World Empire is very ancient. And the Egyptians did the same thing too.
Neil: Would you know much about the Bosnian pyramids? Because it's somewhere that I've wanted to go when I go over to that part of the world. I'm actually not too far away from there when I'm over there. And it's not something that's advertised. You would think that a country that's relatively poor would be advertising this stuff and getting tourism going but it's being kept very, very quiet. Would you have any background knowledge on why that would be the case?
Alan: Yeah we do know and yet there is more to be discovered there yet, but we do know that pyramids go all the way back, all the way back in a lot of countries, you'll find off the islands off the west coast of Africa for instance. They're still uncovering them yet. And in fact Thor Heyerdahl's son, that did the Kon-Tiki expedition, he was uncovering one near Bahrain I think it was. And they tried to keep that out of the papers but it got in because they were trying to put a highway through it, that's how they came across it.
But you'll find that in parts of Europe yeah as well because even ancient Egyptians, we knew there was a trade route and a luxury area for an upper class that traveled all the way from Egypt and then eventually Greece, when you had the Greco-Egyptian Empire, and they traveled all the way to the Black Sea. That was a favorite resort of even the Romans. And it was thought that it was brought in even from the Egyptian elite long before that and then the Greeks took it over and then the Romans made their luxury resort there too. It was always a favorite resort to go to for the elite. Interesting enough too the Black Sea area was the main

resort used by the Soviet Union for all their residents who were guaranteed a holiday every year, the workers, and they would take them to the Black Sea area as well.
Neil: Well that's actually funny because people in Bulgaria that's where they all gravitate to. It doesn't matter what part of Bulgaria they are from they all head there for holidays and it seems to be the only place.
Alan: It is very, very old and also I mean the Black Sea too in mythology, or it's hard to tell sometimes what is history and mythology when it's so far back because a lot of old history comes from myths actually, word of mouth, stories. But the Black Sea in ancient times, especially in the religions of India of all places, and believe you me they were traveling around too an awful lot in ancient times. But in India, in fact they were the main buyers and purchasers for the Sumerian people 5,000-odd years ago or longer. So you'll find that the Black Sea is a bit of a mystery to a lot of scientists because they put a submarine down there in National Geographic a few years back to see, because there were myths that there used to be people living in that valley where the sea is and they found different, very different levels of strata. There were definitely old buildings down there that had been sunk thousands of years ago.
And if you go into the Hindu religions they say because they claim to have seven periods of great catastrophes and so on. Ages of you know flooding and fire and so on and then water, the old fire and water thing. And anyway they said that, that area was used as an experimental basin by the Gods. Now you would take scientists in all ages by the way if you were a primitive person, you would call them a god, like a pharaoh was a god too. People who were educated were technically gods. And even the class of Greeks were all descended from the gods, the upper class, and the same with the Romans. So you'll go off into mysticism when they mention gods.
But they said that they experimented with different kinds of humans and animals in that valley. And eventually these creatures that were created from humans and animals started to eat each other so they flooded the valley to destroy them all. That was the ancient myth that comes from the religions of India. So it was quite fascinating that they had the history of something being there at least buildings or whatever or people. And then National Geographic uncovered it with their submarine that time about ten years ago or more.
Neil: Yeah we're all set on that with, "The Island of Dr. Moreau". But there is a similar kind of place like that in Bulgaria where they've got these huge kind of red rocks that go up the way and they form all curious shapes. And at every level of these rocks you've got tiny pebbles. The whole area was a sea at one time and it's nowhere near the sea. It's in the center of the country and it's not too far from the Danube. And I think the deepest freshwater lake in Eastern Europe is there. And the whole area was under hundreds of feet of water.
Alan: That's right.
Neil: And you can't see where it came from. You can't see where it went. {Laughs}
Alan: We know there have been great upheavals in the Earth; there is no doubt about it. It still goes on too. But we have upheavals, and even in South America, in Chile and different places, you'll find that if you climb some of their hills or mountains even, you will find levels of beaches and seashells in them, thousands of feet above the sea level that at one time were down at the sea level but they got pushed up down through time with upheavals in the earth, and earthquakes, etcetera. Earthquakes don't just sink things, they also push things up. And that's happened across Europe in ancient times as well.
Neil: Okay. We've got about fifteen minutes left. We'll move on to Part III. You've got three volumes here and people really need to get a hold of it and go through it more than once as I have only done. But the third part is "Esoteric Unveiled and the Meaning of Revelations in the High Masonic Tradition", and that was 2003 that you completed that one. So do you want to take us through that briefly?
Alan: Yeah well I always wondered about, in all ages of the Christian era, really it started around the 6th or 7th century, "Revelation" wasn't included in the bible initially by the way. It was added on at a convention much, much later. Many other books were either included or excluded from the bible according to the political climate of that era you know. So but eventually they brought it in and then took it out again a few centuries later and then put it back in again. But and it's not in to this day in a lot of the apocryphas, it's called, it's only contained within say the Catholic version of the bible.
But "Revelation" can be used really in all ages. Revelation is, there are many ways to look at something, and this is why I found it fascinating even in the Chaldean, then the Judaic religions, and so on which were all kind of from the same source and sources. You'll find that when they talk about something that happened in a mystical form, very mystical language, they're actually telling you about something that can be made to happen in the future not by chance but by direction. They were not talking about God's direction, but of an elite's direction.
You can create culture. They knew that back then. You can direct the people to do anything and behave in

any way you want if you knew how to apply the techniques of psychology. And today it's PR and marketing propaganda and the culture industry. So you can direct them to be a certain way or go off and fight down the road someone else and conquer them and so on because it's your right to rule the world or whatever it happens to be. You can always use people for your own ends in other words. So when they talk about something that's going to happen by chance it's not chance at all.

In all eras "Revelation" can be used because this commercial moneyed system, money owned privately by a few, at least the right to create it and count it you might say and lend it and collect it, is the ultimate power of this whole planet and this whole structure of all reality as we know it today. So "Revelation" is the consequences of that power all down through the ages and yet to come until you have world government. The beast, etcetera, is a system. And it's a system that eventually leads to total world control by an elite you see. That's what it really means, a system.

It also means that humanity as we know it, even humanity between ourselves, as natural human beings not psychopathic, would be completely altered by various means to alter us. It's not just a making the beast in his image sort of thing, it's making all of us the beast in that image. Today it's taken for granted, it's through transhumanism, altering humanity itself with artificial insemination, manipulating genes, etcetera. The creating of a new servant class, that's all part of "Revelation". In other words it's always an ongoing plan. When you look back at the history of Britain for instance you've had no peace in Britain for centuries and centuries and centuries. There is not one generation being born that had complete peace in its lifetime. And it's getting to this so-called progression or progressive society, what they call progressive. It would get less and less freedom and peace in every generation, even less and less. You see it's either an economic fear we're living under, loss of jobs, income, inflation, or it is war or it's both. But these two techniques are used down through the ages always.

And you have an international elite today who are definitely international who are running the whole world. All the international corporations truly have become the new feudal overlords like professor Carroll Quigley said and he was a part of this organization that was leading towards, working towards, this world government run by an elite.

They did not believe in equality. They did believe they would use, like a carrot again, the idea of equality and democracy for the people to fight for them and claim this world for them, the ones at the top, but they didn't believe that democracy would ever work at all. They believed in a super elite and a form of socialism which would be a top-heavy government bureaucracy and government agencies running man from birth to death basically. Today you have the scientific elite heavily involved in this and well employed by the same foundations and international corporations and governments all working towards the same goal of perfecting man.

Jumping back to Charles Galton Darwin's book, "The Next Million Years", he said basically the same thing that you wouldn't need your mind to make decisions for you, your own abilities to make decisions, because the government and agencies would be making all the decisions for you. That's the perfect system for you but he says for us, for our class, he said, he said that We shall remain unchanged. We shall remain the wild man. The wild man must be animalistic. He must take action. He is an action man. He is not bound by moral rules, etcetera, or laws of the state or the land. He creates it for the rest of you but not for himself because the wild man will be steering the ship, the planet Earth basically, and must be able to make vital decisions for survival purposes. But the ordinary people will make no decisions for themselves.

Look around you today with how they have adapted to the electronics systems that they have been given. And how they're walking around like zombies staring at their silly phones all day long and so on. They can't even make eye contact now with each other. It's working very, very well as the people adapt into different stages of this particular plan. You're being changed. When you look at any particular story on the internet you're being nudged to go and look at this other story. In other words the formation of an idea has been guided by professionals who have already predetermined the conclusions that they want you to follow and come to. And that's all called "nudging" by the neuroscientists that work with those who design the programs for the computers. All of this is combined.

So "Revelations" is an ongoing program, wars, rumors of wars, pestilence. We get nothing but fear of pestilence and so on, the idea of squalor, poverty, yada-yada-ya, are eternal in this one particular system. They must go use all of these techniques to achieve their end, their goals. And that's what we're going through today as they, as they see... Remember that's why they call this, the 21st century, is both the "New American Century", but it's also the "Century of Change". And all academia used that term, the Century of Change which to the few at the top who really knew what they were talking about like Quigley, the 21st century was to be the century where all that was normal, all that was will be passed, and all that should be and will be, will come into being. A completely new system, a new way of living, a new humanity, you might

call it that too. That's all to take place in this century and we're rolling along with it being forced along with continuous wars and the Pentagon's, the military's own papers, magazines, I've read the articles on the air from their own magazines where the intelligence officer wrote one about perpetual war. And he said from now on it's going to be perpetual war. There will be no peace for the people because through perpetual war you can get instant change, fast change, people don't question what they have to do and what they have to put up with in times of war. And it said the war on terror is a great guise to rush everything through into a post consumerist society where you're run by government in a communistic fashion and with a super elite of the wealthy people at the top. That's all to be achieved this century and they're really running towards it.
Neil: I mean I've noticed particularly over the last, well I suppose since 9/11, real chaos and you've mentioned chaos many times in your radio broadcast. I mean it really is getting way, way, way beyond anything I've experienced when I was younger. It's just, I should say it's perpetual, and it's coming from all angles. We've now got Ebola; apparently we're going to be killed with a plague you know. And I think that myself. That's a move toward mandatory vaccinations and to stop you traveling I think. That's what I think at this point.
Alan: Well that's one thing too plus the people are dying off by the way with massive respiratory problems because of the massive chem spraying that we have been having since 1998. I mean our whole weather is designed for us now. They've got the drought areas under control, they make sure there's got to be constant drought and of the areas of the bread baskets are constantly under rain and cold weather. I haven't seen no summer here in Canada at all, about five days of it, you know. I've had the wood stove on at nights in June, a lot of nights in June, July, August, and last night I had it on again, I had it on a lot all week. It was only a few degrees above freezing at night here.
Neil: Yeah. Well we've had horrendous weather this year for some reason. And well they sprayed us, one because the chemtrailing has been horrendous over the past few months. But there is a quote in the first section of your book and I think it's absolutely right. It says: "Most people who claim to seek the truth really only want confirmation of those things which they already believe. Truth would require that they abandon their "comfort zones" and do something concrete – to make real changes in their lives." And I think it's about time they got off their backsides and actually started doing something about it because if they don't they can forget any future for their children and grandchildren. I mean I've got a new grandson being born next month and I really do fear for the future for any children being born now because we know where it's going you know. We know how bad this is likely to get. I mean what do you say to grandchildren and children you know and their parents.
Alan: Well I'll tell you a long time ago through experimental schools, run in Britain by Bertrand Russell in the 1920's, he got permission to do things to children that other folk would have been hung for doing, even promoting pre-pubertal sex amongst them to see if it would work so that they'd have lots of partners and never get married. He got the right to do that in the 1920's by a charter from the crown, permission. So he through all their studies, he says, we used to think we'd have to take the children from their parents to indoctrinate the children in the proper way to obey the state, you know, and that would be contaminated by the values of the parents. He says, but now we've found with intensive scientific indoctrination if you get the children early enough even through kindergarten, etcetera, or preschool, into school and through the scientific technique, he says, the parents, it would be much cheaper to let the parents raise the child, be solely responsible for the economic welfare of the child in this because this technique is so perfect that when the child goes home it doesn't matter what the parents will say. All of their new culture will be given to them by an authorized, the state basically. Their authorized culture will be given by the state, the new values, and they will not be contaminated, they won't listen to their parents. And that's been the mantra by the way since the 60's; don't listen to anybody over thirty, then twenty, and so on. That's why by the way they also have younger and younger teachers all the time. They don't want older folk teaching the children. So you can't really fight this.
In Sudbury there are a few Mennonites around you know and one day at the bus station I noticed a woman in there dressed in a garb and the son there too and they were waiting for I guess the husband to come back off the train, and this child I don't think had ever seen a television before. And there was one out, just outside of kind of a covered waiting, it had a roof for a covered waiting place and it had a little television there. And this guy he couldn't take his eyes off the TV. He was utterly mesmerized by it. You can't fight the technologies which are being used today to make sure the child's mind is grabbed by using scientific techniques by the way which the parents cannot put across to them. It overrides the parental input all together.
Neil: Yeah. Well my son actually stood up to the medical people when the Swine Flu thing was around and refused to have his daughter vaccinated for that. And I've spoken to him since and he's having a hell of a

time persuading his wife. He's quite happy not to vaccinate but it's always the women you have to get onboard and I don't know where that's going to go but hopefully they can work it out and not go down that road.
But I was onto the health words because they were trying to cite that "non-vaccination is a form of neglect". But I sent a few FYIs off to them and you could get a different answer out of anybody but they did say that nothing was mandatory; it was all consensual, blah, blah, blah.
Alan: That's right.
Neil: So at least I've got that in writing.
Alan: Well I'll tell you I do know a lot of people who have had families and then the later children they did not get vaccinated. Every single one of them said that none of them, the ones who did not get vaccinated, none of them had what was called the normal childhood problems and illnesses of the runny nose, the earaches, etcetera. None of them had that problem at all. You see even the so-called normal childhood illnesses are actually also induced by the vaccination. That's how devious this whole system is.
Neil: Yeah, yep. Okay Alan, well we've reached the hour again. It just flies in. Just to finish off here I believe you're in the process of writing a new book.
Alan: I've got a few actually here.
Neil: Okay, when can we expect to see them?
Alan: Oh it will be the next month or two, a couple of months I'd say. I've got a lot on the go right now. I've been just thrown off my feet with literally crisis after crisis after crisis, even economic and you know vehicles and all the rest of it, but it should be fairly soon. There is so much to say and I don't want to simply speak to the crowd. I want to try to get people... I try to write it with a process of breaking through the conditioning, that's how I do it, that you're born into and your conditioning from birth. I try to break through that to get you thinking for yourselves for the first time and stop following people too. You have to start using your own brain.
Neil: That includes a lot of people in this so-called truth movement as well.
Alan: Absolutely. You're given your heroes to follow. Nothing has been missed here. Yeah, absolutely.
Neil: Okay. Well we'll speak to you again next month. I'll be possibly on the other side of the pond at that point. So yeah. It's good to talk to you again.
Alan: Okay. It's been a pleasure.

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs"Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Aug. 17, 2014 (#1456)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"To Win the Game, Reclaim Your Brain"
© Alan Watt Aug. 17, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Aug. 17, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on August the 17th, 2014. I've always said that crisis is great for change, for planned change especially of course, and that's why we have so many crises on the go at the same time, at least we're told they're crises. During these crises people are distracted by all the wars, the rumors of wars and all the things which are always here, and they don't notice what's happening in their own country sometimes. They tend to dismiss their own findings or observations because the media doesn't mention what they've observed, that comes from the chem-sprays in the sky, it comes from the prices of food going up for instance, constantly, constantly. No one asks why, because the media doesn't mention it. In other words, they only think about something in a crisis mode if the media tells them to think about it, and sure enough that's what they prattle about the next day, as Brzezinski said in the 1970s would happen. The people have been trained to allow the media to do their thinking and their reasoning for them. Therefore when they actually see something for themselves or notice things happening they tend to dismiss it if no one else is making a big deal about it at all.

Back in, I think, the 30s and then the 40s and then the 50s Lord Bertrand Russell wrote about this and he said that we are training the public to listen to experts only. He also meant authority figures, media figures. And we certainly have been well-trained on that. We don't trust ourselves anymore in fact, in so many different areas. You think about for instance how long we've been on the planet. We're given a whole bunch of stories on how long we've been here, how long man has been here, and they all conflict of course, even though they teach these in basically universities, and evolution is absolute fact, even when they keep changing their stories. It's quite amazing that they still call it a science, isn't it, and they have doctorates in this and so on.

But it's quite a joke in fact in a way. But that's what we're run in. We live in amongst a bunch of jokes, taught as reality, and as science took over from religion we get a lot more jokes. Because even when they have all these massive theories taught as fact, and often taught for many years, and students go through university and do their thesis on them and so on, and they get through, they don't take them away from

them after they find out that all they wrote was nonsense because they were based on false theories, etc. It really is a bit of a joke isn't it? They should have a different name for these things rather than sciences. Good guesses perhaps, the good guess club would be one of them. Or you get a doctorate perhaps in good guesses, in-house guesses, in-vogue guesses perhaps. I'm sure you could do a little contest on that with a whole bunch of different people sending really good ideas in, if you send them off to universities, to see what one gets picked, and maybe someone gets a little prize at the top for coming up with a good one.

But the fact is, we've been here for an awful, awful long time. If you look at so-called primitive man, whatever he was, he'd be more of a natural man living in small communities, even before that in the cave supposedly in some areas. And I don't think you could pull a flanker on him, a flanker, a con on a caveman. If a bureaucrat came around and started to gesticulate and measure his cave, then demand something from the caveman, the caveman I think would reply with his big club there and go ugg and that was the end of that. So it was all dealt with rather simply and naturally. Because after all, stealing from you is stealing, isn't it? It's not until language comes into use and you have a leisure class, who invent a lot more words and fancy words and terminologies to bamboozle you, and to belittle you actually, that they can actually convince you that you owe something to them for nothing that they've done for you. It's quite an interesting system that we live in, indeed.

But I have talked so many times about the cons that go on, and the marketing that goes along with every con that there is. We've got lots of books written after World War I, for instance, and some of them applauded by one of the guys who helped to convince the American public to join into World War I, he was paid to do it by the US government. That was Edward Bernays, the big conman marketer, who despised the public because they were just too easy to manipulate once you understood the basic psychology of them. They came out with the books after World War I and boasted on how they had conned the whole country into not only paying for it all but going off and fighting for it and so on. Of course big corporations always benefit in times of war. They supply all the goods and military equipment, etc. They get the research and development money thrown at them to build tanks and all the latest stuff, right down to uniforms and every bullet that's fired. It's very lucrative indeed. And they often get little prizes, little prizes at the end of the wars, when the winners get together and they divvy up the country they have conquered. It's quite lucrative indeed.

But I was thinking too about the cons used today through marketing, to take things off you and put you back to a peasant stage, where literally everything, everything you need to survive, food, water, shelter, heat, clothing, etc., all these basic things, are going into the hands of a few, if they're not already in the hands of a few. And I think the few are really, the few companies that you'll see, in this area or that area, are really just one consortium, like they did with Standard Oil when they were told to break it up, the big monopoly of it. All he did of course, old Rockefeller, was to put subsidiary companies and even have... It was so well done that it took years for folk to go through all the different books and papers, even governments, to find out that the guys who apparently appeared as the CEOs or owners of these small companies were actually employees of the Rockefellers. It's the same con going on today as they go after your food and your water and everything else, the meat industry, the big packer industry, etc. It's quite astonishing to live through it and watch it all happening.

But we're in the time, as Carroll Quigley said, of corporate feudalism, the CEOs are the new overlords, the feudal overlords. They have more power than countries. Actually, a lot of them have bigger bureaucracies in their corporations than some countries have, they certainly even have bigger budgets. But the idea was to turn everything into public/private partnership deals. I've done talks on that way back in the late 90s onwards through the last 10 years or so, to do with these public/private partnership cons, that suddenly came out in vogue, which means there's already a global government to make it all happen, and they're put in place across the European countries and North American countries, etc. The idea basically is that all the institutions for survival, to maintain your country, to make sure there's food there at a good price, a gas supply, heating supplies, these kind of things, things that you really need as a people and a nation were sold off to private companies, who then give you the tab or the bill for the maintenance for their infrastructure basically, we pay for the repairs for the roads, we pay for everything pretty well, and they reap the profit. It's awfully wonderful, isn't it, to just bamboozle the public with terminology. Some of them even use the term environmental corporations. It sounds wonderful, environmental corporations, as they basically take over your whole water supply, your freshwater supply, and then sell it off for high profits, not just back to

the people, but also exported abroad, and also they bottle it for the big companies like Nestlé and the cola companies and sell it off too, at a good price at that, all the water.

Now the thing is, no one asks, well who gives any Prime Minister or President the right to do this? Because after all, aren't they collectively supposedly all your people that represent you, and if they're selling off the infrastructure of your country, the vital necessities that you must have to survive as a people, who gives them the right to sell it off? No one asks the questions, you see. And by the way, they won't be asked on the mainstream because they are part of the big establishment group that want this international globalist system of a few corporations, or maybe even one, running the whole world eventually. So they're not going to upset the apple cart, they do what they're told. Last week I was talking to a woman up my way in a store and she had just come down from her camping area, they go camping, and she's Native American. She said that the river going past them is half its depth that it should be. And with all the rain we've had here, it's been raining all summer here, it's a precursor to Noah's Ark, really, everybody's depressed, there's nothing but clouds all the time, and lots of mosquitoes mind you. It's awfully depressing, and rain, rain for days sometimes, and days and nights at a time. Very little sun, in fact I can count the total sun on my one hand, what they've had, literally, since spring. It came right in from heavy snow right up through spring and deep cold temperatures, and right into rain and pretty well it's been that way since. So you get thinking about all these things, and yet here are rivers with half the water disappeared.

See, we don't know what's going on. We'll never know what's going on, or where even the water is going. It's going somewhere, but it's highly secretive. And when you dig into the water corporations that have made these public/private partnership deals with your governments, they aren't going to tell you either where it's all going, or where they're taking it out of either. We live in secrecy. It's like martial law, isn't it? Just mind yourself, mind your nose and stay in your place, and don't ask questions, because you have no right to know. That's the average citizen today, they've literally been trained that they don't need to know anything, it's not their place to know anything, when their countries are being sold out from underneath them.

So I'm going to just touch on that for a minute tonight because it's very important to know something about what's happening. A lot of it began... As I say, I've gone through the whole long, long system of world government from Britain, the whole idea of the empire run by private feudal systems then even, as it was, with Lord Alfred Milner and his group from the Royal Institute of International Affairs, that's what it evolved into. It's an unelected group of course who advise all members of government and all departments of government. Their counterpart, cousin actually, its branch if you like, in the US, which is called the Council on Foreign Relations and anybody who's anybody is a member of it. You can't simply go and join it. They suss you out to see if you're their kind of guy, who will keep their mouth shut, and say the right things, and know what not to say, and then you're asked if you want to join. That means you're bought and paid for once you do, because the sky is the limit, you'll certainly profit, a lot of business and work will come your way, and lots of other perks as well. That's how the world is really run. And yet we go on voting for democracies. And the big boys who have no intention of having democracy know that it's awfully important to give you the idea that it's all done for good reasons, it's democratic reasons that we're selling off your food supply and we're selling off the water supply, to help people across the world, because we care about them.

That's what they'll tell you, which is a big lie of course because the corporations are in there for massive profit. And we're living in the age of ultimate, ultimate greed as it's never been seen before, where things that used to be made of metal, like vacuum cleaners, even expensive ones now, are chintzy thin plastic, brittle plastic that's going to break. Personally I looked at some of them last week in a store, and it's popular, it's been made popular, because you know you can make people popular and make them stars by marketing and constant repetition, and you can do the same with any article or product. And this company has been, oh yeah it's the greatest one out, blah-blah-blah. I saw the darn thing and it was such chintzy, thin, thin, brittle plastic, all of it, I thought, they should really give you a big tube of, you know, the airplane model glue to go with it to fix it because it's going to get cracked in no time, and maybe about 10 rolls of duct tape. But I can't believe that this is how they've trained the public, step-by-step, to buy this junk. And mind you, that's all you can find now, is just cheapo junk. Cheap to make, high price to buy, massive profit. They're maximizing their profits in every possible way possible. And then a generation will grow up with this thinking it's all quite normal, you see. That's how we adapt to everything. It's just astonishing to me but the same

thing happens with the conology to do with taking your food and your water supplies away from you as a country, gouging you. You watch your prices going up and up and up. You see the prices of meats, oh forget it; hamburger is going to be the new luxury, if you can afford it. Everything else has gone out of sight. Why?

Well partly it's the ongoing integration through treaties. That was the old idea that Lord Alfred Milner came up with, they would bind countries together with treaties, they would then form trading blocs, and out of the trading bloc they standardize their laws and then they'd have a superparliament running a whole bloc of countries. That's how they created the European Union, which nobody wanted, except the ones who planned it. The North American Free Trade Agreement has been going on for 20 years now, it's still plundering ahead, and at the same time it's moving into the area of the TransPacific Partnership, and that's part of the NAFTA deal now, the TransPacific Partnership deal is now part of that, and so is CETA, CETA is another one too. That's basically the one for amalgamating European countries with that of Canada; I think the States has got their own version of it. It's a free trade deal where they can sell basically all your meats off, and even your water supplies off, abroad to these countries under their trade deals.

But there's a couple of articles here that I'd like to read here. This one here is from the Huffington Post. Now, the Huffington Post, I'm sure, is always on the side of environmentalism and a whole bunch of other things of course, and all the cons games that go with that, we're here to help you, to save the environment, the price has gone up four times, things like that. Anyway it says here...

Why is Harper (Alan: ...the Prime Minister of Canada.)Selling Canada's Fresh Water Supply to French Companies?
(A: And this was in 2013.)
huffingtonpost.ca / Posted: 10/18/2013 Updated: 01/23/2014

Prime Minister Harper has just signed the Canada-EU Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA), and Canadians who care about our freshwater heritage should be deeply concerned for three reasons.

First, the massive increase in beef and pork exports that have been negotiated will put a terrible strain on our water supplies. (A: See, so they hit it again for the environmental side here because that's what the Huffington Post does.) Beef producers can now export close to 70,000 tonnes of beef to Europe and an undisclosed but higher amount of pork. (A: ...and not just to Europe by the way, because remember, the TransPacific Partnership came in as well, where we can do it to other countries as well, which is a great con because a lot of these poorer countries, you see, will get our tax money subsidizing the cost to the meat producers that are going to export across the world to keep their prices up, to give it at a cheaper price, sell it as your price in those countries. It's a win-win-win situation. Anyway, you'll pay for it all.) Meat production is highly water intensive . . . (A: ...and so on.)

Already Alberta's dwindling water supplies are over-taxed by a beef industry that is rapidly expanding and expected to double its water footprint by 2025, according to an assessment done before this deal was signed. Intensive hog operations in Manitoba are killing Lake Winnipeg . . . (A: Again it's the environmental stuff and yada-yada-ya.)

Second, this deal will give French companies Suez and Veolia (A: ...the two French companies.), the two biggest private water operations in the world, access to run our water services (A: ...in Canada...) for profit. Under a recent edict, the Harper government has tied federal funding of municipal water infrastructure construction or upgrading to privatization of water services. Cash-strapped municipalities can only access federal funds if they adopt a public-private partnership model, and several cities have recently put their water or wastewater services contracts up for private bids. (A: See, everything... We live in an incredible age of corruption where money changes hands between elected officials and so on and the corporations, right down to your local level.) If Suez or Veolia are successful in bidding for these contracts (and under the new deal, local governments cannot favour local bidders) and a future city council decides it wants to move back to a public system, as municipalities are doing all over the world, these corporations (A: ...these foreign corporations...) will be able to sue for huge compensation. Private water operators charge far higher rates than public operators and cut corners when it comes to source protection. (A: So they can sue, guess who they sue? They sue the government. The government takes your tax money, the millions and billions

you get sued, and pays it to these guys, if you want to turn it back to owned by your local area and so on, and your councils. Quite amazing isn't it? And we take this all for normal because you see, we haven't been asked our opinions on it or given any votes on something as vital as this.)

. . .The same "investor-state" clause contained in the Canada-EU deal poses the third threat to Canada's water. The rules essentially say that if a government introduces new environmental, health or safety rules that were not in place when the foreign corporation made its investment, it has the right to compensation, which a domestic corporation does not have. For instance, an American energy company is suing Canada for $250 million in damages using a similar NAFTA (A: North American Free Trade Agreement) rule because Quebec decided to protect its water by placing a moratorium on fracking. Moreover, transnational corporations are now claiming ownership of the actual water they require in their operations. Another American company successfully sued Ottawa for $130 million for the "water rights"; it left behind when it abandoned its pulp and paper operations in Newfoundland, leaving workers without jobs or pensions.

But that leaves the taxpayer to pay off this $130 million fine, right. You understand, we get suckered and suckered and suckered, and yet the public are unaware of what's even going on... what's even going on. It's just incredible. It really is. It really is incredible. And you've got to laugh at it too, though.

And also, it's interesting too because this came out in 2014, this one...

Veolia, Suez deny merger talks
reuters.com / PARIS Apr 16, 2014

(Reuters) - Veolia Environnement (VIE.PA) (A: ...one of these companies, from France) and its peer (A: I bet it's just one corporation, one's a subsidiary of the other.) Suez Environnement (SEVI.PA) (A: See how they even use these terms to con us, marketing terms... oh, it's for the environment. No it's not, it's a private corporation meant to dig as much cash out of you as they can.) both denied on Wednesday that the companies are in talks on a merger or even studying such a project.

Shares in Veolia closed 4.3 percent higher and Suez closed 7.1 percent higher following an Exane BNP Paribas research report which said the "stars are aligned" for the French waste and water companies to revisit the idea of merging. Volume in Suez stock was the second highest in more than a year. (A: However, they're denying they're having the talks of course, which they don't really need talks since, I guarantee you, they're both the same company giving you the appearance of competition, and it will be all the same top shareholders in both, you see. That's how it works.)

But this isn't just water and so on, it's your food too, with all of these trade deals. It's just astonishing how we're told nothing. These little articles appear as paragraphs here and there in the back pages of newspapers, and nobody reads them anymore, so it's so easy for them to do, isn't it. So easy. Just so darned easy.

There's also under the CETA text, that I do have from the European Union, it's got, The Directorate General for Trade and Trade Policies and it says, Note, for the intention of the trade policy committee. It goes through all the rules and regulations for this agreement that Canada has signed with the EU, but so has of course the US under a different term, a different name, the same number. But remember too, you're all wondering why your meat is going up in price. It's because they're exporting it all now, for a fantastic profit, and they're leaving less at home. It was always understood with nation-states that one of the first duties of government was to protect the people, not just against enemies foreign and so on, but also they had to protect the state, the citizenry, to ensure they had an adequate supply of affordable nourishing food. Well, that's thrown out the window today and it's hell-for-leather for full profit. And of course they use supply and demand and they say, well you see we're exporting most of the stuff and so it's getting rarer, therefore you have to pay four, five, six times the price. It's that simple folks. It's that simple.

And if it ever gets to the stage where the people do wake up and demand that this all stop, then those companies, with all the legal agreements, can then sue your government, which is you the taxpayer, for their billions of lost revenue money and so on, income. So we're shafted every way we turn, we really are, even in our sleep when we don't know we're turning. So it's going on, and going on, and going on, and

going on. But there's your water supply getting sold off abroad. Interestingly enough too, there's articles in the French newspapers to do with the fact that they're pulling out, some of the places there are pulling out, these companies, and just I guess paying their fine or telling them they ain't got the money for it. But it says...

Paris's (A: ...itself...)return to public water supplies makes waves beyond France
(A: And that's this year.)
reuters.com / Jul 8, 2014 / Geert De Clercq

* Paris's move to take back water supplies inspires others (A: ...other regionalities to do the same.)
* Veolia, Suez margins fall as towns negotiate discounts
* EU anti-privatisation trend pushes water firms abroad
* Private water firms grow quickly in developing countries

PARIS, July 8 (Reuters) - Nearly five years after Paris took the management of its water supply back into its own hands, the move is inspiring other cities at home and abroad and hurting profits at private water firms Veolia and Suez Environnement.

In 2008, socialist mayor Bertrand Delanoe ended the contracts with the two firms that had operated Paris water distribution systems since 1985 - Veolia on the right bank of the city and Suez on the left bank. (A: They love to bank water, don't they, because they own the banks altogether.)

Publicly owned Eau de Paris, which took over from 2010, has since become a model for a string of French and foreign cities and a threat civic leaders now use to force down prices.

That is posing a big challenge to Suez and Veolia. While they have responded by focusing more on their waste and energy activities and looking for industrial clients, they still rely heavily on water. In 2013, water accounted for about 45 percent of Veolia's 22 billion euros ($30 billion) of revenue.

"The success of the Paris remunicipalisation, our ability to make profits and lower prices, has convinced many other cities, whatever their political colour, that public water is an option," Celia Blauel, new head of Eau de Paris, told Reuters.

Since the move by Paris, with its population of more than 2 million, other French towns with a combined population of about 1.4 million people - including Rouen, Saint Malo, Brest and Nice - have also decided to go back to public water management, (A: ...in other words, manage their own water.) according to EU public water lobby Aqua Publica Europea.

The group expects more authorities representing a combined population of 1.9 million - including Bordeaux, Rennes and Montpellier - will follow suit between 2015 and 2018.

So it just goes to show you that folk are sick of this gouging, gouging the public. And of course it's always sold to the public when they privatize it that it's going to be cheaper, like everything else, like your electricity was going to be cheaper when they sold all the companies off, into one giant corporation, gave you Smart Meters and jacked all your prices up. You always get lied to. Don't you get fed up being lied to? I mean, don't you get fed up with that, folks? You're taught as children to avoid people who lie all the time, and yet you watch them every night on television, giving their promises and their great speeches, and looking so respectable with their big business suits on, and the politicians with their glowing waxed faces and all that, and they lie, lie, they always lie, that's what they do. You can find going way back in the books what people said in past times and ages about politicians, they were conmen basically, you couldn't trust them. They're in there to feather their own pocket, and they take contracts and they're always lobbying for these big corporations, not for you. So the whole idea of protecting their own people first and giving them a decent food supply and keeping the prices down is out the window with all these free-trade deals. And it's got to stop.

It really has got to stop because we're getting gouged. The meat now when you see it in the store is just out of sight, especially in Canada, and it's going up that way in the States now as well. This doesn't affect

just Canada, you see, I'm talking about the whole world here. All first world countries are being completely gouged as they privatize everything... until basically, as I say, there'll be no government as such, it will be a completely privatized system, and technically your government is a corporation too, it's registered as a corporation, and they will own everything that we need for survival. That's where the move is going, by the way, total purchasing and buyoffs of everything that you need to survive personally. That's what it's all about, folks. And they will get far more done when you're begging for this and begging for that for your basic survival. They'll get far more done with their changes and integrations, etc. than they ever could by having any semblance of a democracy. We know that's true.

But that's how it is, folks, and we're kept in the dark about it all. We're kept in the dark about everything. As I say, there's water going missing from the rivers here and I guarantee you, we've been flooded this year, just incredible rain like never before. But folk just don't know where the water is going as the rivers go down in some places. It's a mystery, because it's secret.

But I have spoken a lot about conology, this ancient art of conology, often given scientific terms to give it a sort of legitimacy and importance, rather than the low status it should have. Because we don't really like conmen, do we? They put them into the movies of course, and to make you like these characters, but conmen are conmen, who lie to get a personal benefit from people who generally can't afford it. But we're run by them. Everything you get is a con and a lie, and even to attach something like environmental company, Veolia or whatever, this water company, they've got nothing to do with the environment. But you put these terms on things, it's like green, it's a green corporation, and folk have been brainwashed, just put the term to it now, and they don't think any further than that. It's quite astonishing, isn't it, how we're rather thick today. We're rather thick, some more thicker than others, and others as thick as bricks. That's how bad we are, because we've had a perfect engineering to our brains and our minds, not to use them ourselves in fact, not to even trust your own observations, like I said at the start of the show, and we do, we disregard what we see. It's just amazing, isn't it? What I used to notice too, that when gasoline went up maybe 5 or 10 cents here in Canada the folk would bitch and complain, and oh dear. You know something, that hasn't happened for about 10 years or more. It just suddenly stopped, even when the gas was going up 40 cents a liter, you know, it just suddenly all stopped.

When you look through the different social engineering books that the big boys put out they talk about how to do this to the public, how to stop them from bitching. It can be done with fear too, people will shun away from the unpleasant and seek pleasure. That's why it's very easy to give us what appears to be choice, if you do it this way it may be a bit harder, if you do it this way it will be easy but here's the consequences. Egosyntonic, egocentric behavior, that Russell and other ones talked about back in the 20s and 30s, that they would bring this in. It's happened. It came in, too, with Freud as well, who said that everybody who's perfectly happy, going around with a smile on their face, and rutting around like rabbits having a big sex life, and working again and spending money is now healthy and cured. Cured from what? The very system that collapsed them and broke them up in the first place. So as I say, we're taught so much of insane stuff and utter nonsense. But we are taught that. And we do become insane when we start to believe all the stuff that they're telling us is true. We truly do become insane.

But the trick today too is to convince the public that they're helpless. What can you do... Oh well, what can you do, you know, what can you do... And what you can do, it always has to be on a personal level. Groups get infiltrated, taken over and they often end up working for the group that's screwing you. So you've got to do things personally. Stop buying so much gas; don't run all over the place when you don't have to run all over the place. Hit them where it counts and that's at the gas pump. Don't buy the damn stuff as often as you do, don't waste it and pay the extra cash. When you pay the extra cash, instantly they've got the pulse of the people, they know how much they're spending, per hour, across a nation, and they know just how much to gouge a bit more, for instance. But when it starts to drop it gives them a scare because then their shareholders bitch to them and they've got to start dropping the prices. There's many things you can do. I've often said if everyone stopped going to work for a week or two and just stayed at home, just stock up beforehand and stay at home, you'd soon get action on your demands. You'd soon get action, believe you me, on your demands.

But they won't do that collectively, which again, when you look at all the different studies the behaviorists have done on us all, they understand this, people will never act in unison. Never happen. And it's never

happened down through history. They even talk about the revolutionaries in the US during basically their war with Britain, and they say about 3% of the population at that time, of the able-bodied males only 3% or so fought in the revolution and stood together to the end. Which tells you it doesn't take a lot of people sometimes to get the change they want through. Today people are more complacent. People today, honestly, are far more uniform in their mentalities and their makeup than ever before, because they've all had the same heavy indoctrination through the same school system, and then it's taken over by their work and propaganda that comes from all medias, which they all get, at the same time, which conditions a uniform mind. So they're much the same.

When I was small in parts of Scotland they didn't have television till much later. You found people living in crofts around the Highlands and things like that. Those people would have various books they collected over the years, and they read them. They were incredibly well educated and informed. They'd listen to a bit of radio here and there just to keep up on things. They were no fools either, they knew the cons and rackets going on, way back then. But each one of these characters was a character, that's the whole key to it. They were a complete personality. A person, you've heard the expression, coming out of left field. You're trained in such a linear fashion, and by the majority, again, opinions, because you want to have the same opinion as everybody else, unfortunately. You shouldn't have that, that's an abnormal thing for human beings with all of the personality types. But they've managed to get us to that today. And with political correctness and all the rest of it. But the people that came out of left field, the real characters, who'd thought their whole lives for themselves, would suddenly come out of left field and it shook you up to hear what they were saying. It kind of really rattled you initially until you realized, you know I never looked upon it that way before, what they're saying. They're showing a whole new... It's like getting to a different level, of looking down at everything and analyzing it again and seeing the real reasons that things were happening and so on. And these old characters knew all this stuff, they had figured it out because their brains were working properly. They were using their own studies, their own observations on things and their own intellectual rationale, you see, and came to their own conclusions.

People today do not come, generally, to their own conclusions. Sometimes you're given what appears to be a choice of conclusions but you're still guided towards those choices, and they all benefit generally something that's often no good to you. So choice has really gone down the tubes. People who can see things as they really are have either died off, and the last few are in the process of dying off, there's very few now, because of the incredible indoctrination of social indoctrination, as Russell called it, that's being taught in the schools, for all these years, which is uniform indoctrination. That's so perfectly well understood and put across today, until they turn out basically peas in a pod, everyone's the same, we believe the same, have the same kind of logical functions, the logic will work the same way with them, they'll be far more prone to believe authorities and experts than previous generations ever, ever have.

And when you look into all the scientists today that keep telling you about, oh you've got to give up everything to save the planet, yada-yada-ya, they're all living on grants put out by big foundations and the governments to do just that. They're utter prostitutes. And the ones who will not take the bribes and so on, the payoffs, for an easy job and an easy life, are simply not getting jobs at all. They've been ostracized, a lot more of them than the ones who join, by the way. So different opinions are not welcome in this day and age. The authorized opinion and opinions are welcome by the masters who run our brains for us, our minds for us and so on.

Now I'd like to talk now about people's addiction to fantasy through their movies and all their sci-fi that they watch on cable televisions and so on. It's incredible how people are addicted to entertainment and they can't get enough of it. It's a human trait obviously. We love to use imagination. But you're supposed to also use your own imagination. Today you don't have to. In fact, I don't think people can to an extent because it's all done for you. As I've mentioned before, lots of folk, especially in cities, get up to the radio alarm clock going off, and the talking jocks yapping away there, media jocks prattle, prattle, prattle about the day's road conditions, etc. and then putting on some awful song. Then they go through their breakfast that way and they rush off to their work in their vehicle, and they have got the radio blaring away again, about the road conditions, etc., and the talking head, and the awful music. Then they go to their workplace too, and they often even have some kind of background music on at work. But the thing is, you're living in someone else's thoughts all the time. You're conditioned always to have some other production going on for you, and you're getting downloaded with it all the time, and you're not using your own brain.

And silence does scare a lot of people today, it truly does. Lots of folk can't sleep without the radio or television left on. They're terrified to have their own thoughts. Silence frightens them in fact, because all the little bits that they've downloaded through the bits and bytes of scary news they're always fed in this particular era, which is constant scary news because that's how you ram changes through, when we're all scared you see, becomes overwhelming to them. And in the silence, when there's not been a happy, happy entertaining thing that they're listening to or watching, the silence frightens them. Because these little bits of scary things hit them... oh what will I do, what will I do? And so being egocentric and egosyntonic, again, they shy away from things which are scary and unpleasant and look towards the pleasant instead, more fiction and fantasy, other people's produced thoughts. People who work producing thoughts for you, and getting well paid for it, run your brains for you. It's quite something, isn't it, in this day and age. Quite something.

But you know, and I've gone into mythologies so many times, I was thinking about it on a show I was on this week in fact, that in ancient times we were understood then, too, by leisure classes who studied the people, the workers and so on, our little habits and traits, and we like to have little festivals after harvest, you know, the little-people-that-we-are sort of thing. And they'd watch this, keep the little people happy, and we could toil for the rest of the year as long as we had other little festivals on the way too, it made life less monotonous. During those festivals they'd put on traveling shows; even ancient Greece had them too. Troubadours came around and put on the latest approved, authority-approved play. Everyone had to turn out in ancient Greece to watch them, by law, because they were to give the morals to the citizenry as to how they should behave, within the story of the play, you see. It's very easy to do. And certain music was played alongside them too, for tragedy or hope or whatever it happened to be, and it helped to augment the imagination as you watched these plays. It's far better today of course, it's more perfected, and many techniques are used upon the public, who are completely unwitting as to what's being downloaded into them with political correctness and social approval and disapproval on various topics, through fiction.

But in ancient times too, don't confuse legends and mythologies with fact. Don't ever confuse the two together because remember that man started off hunting animals, and animals were a basic part of his life. They looked at the traits of animals, the qualities of different animals and species and often turned them into deities, for instance. The Egyptians would put animal heads on human figures and these were different gods who had the traits of, say, the bull or whatever it happens to be, for strength etc. So there were animistic type religions which they had then too. During the show that I did during the week I mentioned a legend to do with the Black Sea area, how it was flooded on purpose, an ancient, ancient, ancient legend, because the gods, or, as I say, the scientists of the day, were practicing mixing animals with humans until they began to cannibalize each other and they drowned them all and flooded that Black Sea, in ancient legend.

Now, a good novelist, and you have disguised novelists today... This is the point I really want to make. Disguised novelists started maybe back in the 60s and 70s, as historical novelists, they would write in drama by using basic themes of an era and things that actually happened and weave in a story of romance and intrigue and all this kind of stuff with it too. They became awfully popular, until people were getting their historical history from drama, fiction basically. And they took lots of liberties. Whenever you see a movie or a novel that says 'based on', well, a little, 1% maybe is based on the truth or the facts and the rest of it is the novel and the fiction. That's the liberty the artist is allowed to take. However, these guys caught on very quickly that they were onto an awfully good thing, an awfully good thing. Let's be honest too, most folk don't want to read history, they say it's dry and boring. But you make it into an exciting novel, you see, and you say it's 'based on', it's a historical novel, then it's very exciting and you want to know what happens next, and will the guy eventually get the woman and vice versa and all that stuff. That's all wrapped in it, all the things that we are curious about, and how we watch other humans about, but it's always to do with us, you see, whatever we watch it's to do with humans, us, us, us, us, you see, and the big boys know this too.

The problem is now, in this day and age, they take the old myths and legends, and that's why, as I say, even from the legend of the Black Sea, it's a nice myth and a legend, because it doesn't mean it's true, don't forget that, it doesn't mean it's true. Because the early man wrapped up animals with humans and made half humans and half animals, the Greeks did the same thing in their mythologies too. But you get a good con man, and there's lots of good con people out there making a killing churning out books pretending to be factual, and taking the myth and making it into fact, through a lot of skullduggery, and making fortunes

conning the public, who then believe it all. And you can hear them on certain talk shows at night and stuff like that, there's just one after another plugging their book, and taking absolute rubbish, actually, and mythology, and embellishing it like you wouldn't believe, and selling it off as fact. And how they keep a straight face and stop themselves from laughing on these shows, I don't know. Maybe it's the thought of the incredible profits they're going to rake in. But don't be conned and fooled by these.

And I've gone through, at cuttingthroughthematrix.com website archives you'll find where I talked about the Annunaki, the real origins of that kind of thing, and how one man basically took it and wrote a whole series of novels, and presented them almost as fact, and the public wanted – and here's the key – they want to believe that is the truth. They want to believe this is the truth because the things that are happening on the earth cannot be done by man, that's what they believe. We are very simple creatures. Normal people, we're very simple creatures, we have all things in common. Normal folk say, I couldn't do that, therefore they can't be pulling such an awful stunt. So you want to believe them, you see. Adolf Hitler said the same thing, for lies. He knew that people could see through the smaller lies, and you should see through the smaller ones from governments too but you don't now, unfortunately, most of you. Adolf Hitler said, if you're going to tell a lie make sure it's a really big lie, because the public will say, no one could ever, ever be so audacious to tell such a whopper, such a massive lie. And unfortunately that whole tradition has become normalized now and there's all kinds of conmen out there selling you and flogging you all kinds of rubbish, and people are believing it, and swallowing it, because it's put across on talk shows as fact, you see.

But these are really the novelists that caught on, as I say, early on, historical novelists that caught on to the money to be made by bringing out utter fiction, bamboozling the public and presenting it all as fact. And in an age, in an age where your religions have been thrown off to the sides, to the outskirts somewhere, and science has replaced them, you just bring the science in with it and it must be true. Because now you really believe that science, and you believe truly in science, because science really is a religion, you need a lot of belief to believe in all the stuff that they tell you, since a lot of it has social purposes for change. It's political in nature, it's for social change, a lot of the so-called scientific studies they release are to tie in with sustainability, depopulation and all the rest of it. So stop being fooled because your imagination likes what it's hearing.

In an age, as I say, when religion is put off to the side, you can take man from religion but you can't take religion out of man. You'll look for another one and you'll go shopping for a religion, and that's why there are so many gurus out there selling their particular brand of New Age religion, which is all the same rehashed Rosicrucian and Theosophical stuff that was put out in the 1800s. That's all it is. It's a very easy job because all they have to do is copy the old books. You don't have to make up anything new, just sell the same old stuff, tell folk to learn how to be pure and vibrate higher, then they'll vibrate out of this world, and people actually believe that. Quite amazing isn't it, hey. But that's the sad state of affairs. Don't be fooled.

As I say, if all myths were true then you truly would have centaurs and titans and all the rest of it, and we don't. Even the Greeks who used to storytell those things a long time ago didn't believe in them either. It was a story and they became awfully popular stories. You go into Ireland, for instance, and they talk about the early Milani that came into Ireland, through Hibernia as they called it, or Iberia, Bernia, and they had to fight the Feurbog. The Feurbog were a kind of hairy people, all hair, kind of like Cousin It from the Adams family. Eventually after all these fierce battles, with them, the Feurbog went into the mountains where they eventually disappeared literally through, into or inside the mountains, they just melted through them like ghosts into the mountains. But again, you could take that same old story and embellish that and make bestsellers out of them, if you have no scruples and you don't have a moral guideline at all within your own self, and make fortunes out of it, amazing and astounding people about the battles that the various leaders and heroes had. But these were beautiful legends, you see, that were told, and often told as fairy stories to the children, and they were obviously not meant to be believed. But your imagination could take a ride, you didn't have TV and radio or books, and you liked a bit of a storytelling at times; we enjoy getting that little thrill. But today we're overwhelmed with the massive persistent churning out of garbage movies that have as much political correctness embedded in them as what comes out of the mouth of ten hundred NGOs and the politicians. It's all in there because they get paid to put it in actually, and to change your behavior and your perception towards certain things, to allow you to accept things that perhaps you shouldn't allow, which aren't as wonderful and nice as they say they are. So the best of things and the worst of things, can be sold to you by slick people, and behaviorists and neuroscientists and psychologists, all working together. And

they are sold to you all the time, on a continuous basis.

My whole story is not defeatism at all. I do tell you how so well and perfectly you're understood, never mind monitored. But I've told you too, the only way you can beat this is to reclaim yourself, and definitely reclaim your mind for yourself, and think for yourself. People who want to be accepted constantly by their peer group and others, forget it, I'm not talking to you, because you are quite happy with your updates and getting along, because you need, you just definitely have a craving for the company. I'm talking to the people who are genuinely puzzled and looking for... Because they have a feeling that all things are just not as good as they're portrayed to be and they want to know why things aren't.

For instance, here's an example, it's been hammered into us by TV, bits and bytes, and newscasts, of how the money system runs. The whole thing is a crooked racket and it was from the beginning. But they bring on experts, again. If any of them were experts, how come the banks always crash us at least twice a century and plunder us? And then get up and we bail them out, and they do it again and again? How come those same bankers are living awfully well with their million and sometimes a billion-dollar/pound bonus, how come they're constantly rewarding people who plunder you? There's nothing nice and right and decent about that. And that tells you too, they don't want to change the system because they want to do it again in the future. They're never going to stop it. Because the whole money system is a crook system. Not just crooked, but a crook system. Every single government is running off borrowing money like crazy, doing things you could never do, because you would lose everything that you had if you can't make your payments on your credit cards or your debts, but governments do it constantly, and they put you down as the guarantor. You see. Whenever they talk about balancing budgets, in any country, they're talking about paying off, for that year, the actual interest that's due for that year; the principal amount is never touched, never. So it constantly accumulates with compound interest, constantly. That's why, since the beginning of time, basically, your money is constantly being devalued all the time.

Look at all the old black and white movies, or Sherlock Holmes for instance, things like that, and they bring out this pound note, that's about a foot long, a big white thing with lots of wonderful, you know, it's almost like a handwritten thing with the old calligraphy on it. And they bring out a pound note with a flourish, because very few folk had seen it, the working people had ever seen a pound note. That was a big thing. Look at the size of it today, you see. But look at the buying power of that pound way back then. You could have a family and feed them well and pay your rent or your mortgage and all the rest of it, with 2 or 3 pounds in the 1920s back in Britain and live awfully well. You can get a coffee and a couple of cakes for that today. You might not even get a full coffee for it in fact, I don't know.

Because the inflation system is a racket and no one is going to change it because at the very top of this pyramid is the money structured system, folks; the private system runs the world. Not democracy. Not your government. The private system runs the world and they tell governments what to do, believe you me. And any country that tried to go back to do its own money again and they would sell the printed money to the banks who then loan it into existence, the selling it off, by the way, 10 cents extra, pays for its creation and so on, and then the banks loan it into existence – they'll never go back to that. It'll never happen, folks. They'd have wars before they allow that ever to happen. So we live in an utterly crooked manipulated marketed system and all reality, as we're taught it, is completely marketed to all of us all the time. It's a racket, folks, a racket.

Eventually your currency will be like the peso, you'll need millions of them for a coffee, and that will be normal. That will be quite normal eventually one day. It's all a racket. And the crooks are never punished, and we bail them out. Remember, in 2015, January 1st, it's been signed into law for Canada, the States, Australia and other countries, along with the World Bank, you see, they've all signed it into law, this Treaty, that's when the bail-ins start. That's where the banks can legally now rob your money from your accounts and not pay you back for it, they can keep it. It's just legal theft, it's been made legal for them to steal. You can't do anything like that but they can do it, because it's made legal. That's what they do at the top, you see, is tell the politicians, put this through and make sure it's going to be legal, and they pass a law, now it's legal, that they can steal your money. And you want to vote for this system? Do you really want to vote for this system, folks? What happened to your head? What happened to it? With politicians and their promises, and this party nonsense? But unfortunately there are lots of people who will keep voting.

The definition of insanity is to keep doing the same things over and over and over again expecting a different result, the exact same things over and over and over again. This system was never meant to work for you. It never has worked for you. And people, really, when they say, something's got to be done, what they want to say is, don't make it any worse than it is right now, leave me right now with what I have, don't take everything else away from me, don't make the meat any more expensive, don't make blah-blah-blah any more expensive. That's really what they want. Because as humans we don't like change, we don't like it at all. But now unfortunately people cannot get together with a common purpose and stand up, properly, without being infiltrated, taken over, or else made to argue amongst themselves with provocateurs in amongst them. They can't do it.

The governments and the big corporations and the foundations, have their own perfectly trained spies that have all worked for the military and intelligence and they're now working for them too. They will infiltrate you and have you fighting amongst yourselves, and strangling each other, before you know it. So the only way you can get through this is by, as I say, reclaim your own mind. Reclaim your brain.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Links:

Why is Harper Selling Canada's Fresh Water Supply to French Companies?

Veolia, Suez deny merger talks

Paris's return to public water supplies makes waves beyond France

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Aug. 24, 2014 (#1457)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"The Hypocrisy of Sanity by Gross Income, Status and Vanity"
© Alan Watt Aug. 24, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Aug. 24, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on August the 24th, 2014. First off I'd like to mention the ongoing incredible chemtrailing, or the experts call it, of course the ones involved in it, they're called Geoengineers. For those who bother to follow that kind of thing they've put out their latest report from the Geoengineering groups and so on, on weather modification, if they ever went ahead and did it that it is, of course, and the ethics involved. Ethics comes into everything today doesn't it? And people always, always, when it comes to propaganda, and those who rule over us and the techniques that they use, always use terminology which often means something completely different from what the ordinary people understand and therefore they're misled by it. In fact, they go back to sleep thinking, oh it's ethical, ethics sounds good, it's like being social, if you're a social person it's a good person. Then you end up going into socialism and you get a whole supposed science and belief system of how society should be run, and even down to killing off those folk who don't work and stuff like that, eventually, you see. First they'll use different techniques to get lots of folk not to work and then they'll just get rid of them.

I don't care what things are called it's always the same system. But it's fascinating to me to listen to the experts, as they say experts, awfully well paid experts, with lifelong grants of course, and getting applause from all the other people in academia, all their peer group, to go along there. It's almost like the Golden awards and different awards they give at film festivals and Hollywood, and they call themselves honorables and esteemed and all that kind of thing. And any person who truly believes he's an expert in anything is a fool. Because in any science of course all you have is premises and theories basically. Very often in fact, things that actually work, physically work, for the purpose, like machines are designed to do, very often they're not quite certain why certain things do work, they've got all these theories about it; it's like electricity for instance. You'll find the same thing with these people who approve of each other and are very polite to each other, because they're all on the gravy train basically. And academia is full of them, and all the societies and agencies that they belong to, right up into the political realms too, and committees that sit and advise presidents and prime ministers on how we should all behave, etc.

So ethics can mean something totally different in actual fact than what you think it really is. You've been trained to think that people who are into bioethics are somehow in there to look after the good of all life. But no, economic factors come into it, and all these things come into it and so you have the end-of-life programs and so on and so on, and should we withdraw food. Even the Catholic Church is getting into that now, on what's really ethical. How can something be right for thousands of years and then suddenly, well, change a little bit, not quite right. Well, if it's not quite right…. It's either the same or it's different, it's that or it's not that, right? So you can't just throw something that's always right out the window and say, well you know certain things are ethical to help the person along to the end. And that goes through all of the nonsense that people can delude themselves into believing, when they're involved especially, in the decision-making at the top, including bioethics committees. They never question themselves, their incredibly fat paychecks, the esteem they get which goes to their ego of course, and if you had a true debate going on, for instance, or even lecturing going on and then questions and answers at the end of it, in academia, you would have vehement arguments going on. But it doesn't happen. It doesn't happen because as I say it's like a Hollywood Oscar award or something like that and they won't rock the boat. In other words, truth can never be told and honesty cannot be spoken of in the so-called even bioethics committees, that are involved in every aspect of our lives, not just physical by the way, but mental health as well, for those who don't know that.

I've often mentioned that every society in every era has its form, the whole form of society, its belief structure shaped by those who rule it, and those who rule you will always rationalize, eventually, after a generation or two, why they're beneficent and why they're doing it for the good of all, although they're always living way, way above those at the bottom that do all the work. So human beings basically are awful hypocrites and we can delude ourselves into doing and going along with things, especially when it's approved by governments, and the governments therefore dictate to society, society therefore will go along with it too because that's the reality that's been given to them.

So today we're into the age of Geoengineering and chemtrailing of course, because it's more than just altering the weather. There have been too many studies done, I've gone through many of the talks in the past, and the reports from their different lectures, going into the fact – and also I've put out the links if you go into cuttingthroughthematrix.com, go through the links, or you can go through alanwattsentientsentinel.eu and you'll find them there. Because it's important to understand some of the history of it and what has been published in the past that gives us inklings of what's really going on. I've gone into the fact that many scientists, some of whom were even on YouTube, were even involved in this even in the 70s and 80s, and their initial program was to find ways of using electromagnetic energy and impulses and waves and frequencies to alter brain waves in humans, not just at a small distance locally but on a national scale, and some of them talked rather openly about it. They didn't say if they'd achieved it of course, naturally, because they're still under the official secrets act, but they did say that they were involved in that. We do know that they needed to spray the atmosphere, heavily, with various particulates, generally metallic particulates, to make it more conducive to electromagnetic energy, like a circuit you might say, and it would travel long distances at specified frequencies. And they could also alter the weather at the same time.

I've gone back to even Teller who came up with the H-bomb idea. He also came up with the idea of spraying the atmosphere for weather warfare, but also for using electro chemical frequencies in the air, it would all work together, the chemicals and the electricity, the impulses. We're in that age today. Brzezinski mentioned it as well in his book Between Two Ages. He said eventually we could use, he called it, technotronic warfare techniques across whole nations, it could make people aggressive or passive, whatever they want to depending on the actual frequency they used. There's been so many studies done on the temporal lobe... the emotional state is based there, basically often too, and even your rational thinking in situations can be affected by altering the frequency in the temporal lobe. So, everybody's using cell phones today, you don't need to use a cell phone because you're getting bombarded by the waves, and the big boys are awfully excited about it.

We're being studied, as always, but more minutely than ever with the techniques available today to see the effects on the general populations. And with certain countries that have national healthcare systems, and with the Internet, and then the mandatory reporting, giving access by doctors and hospitals to the government on a daily basis, it's so, so fast and automatic today, then they can keep tabs on the physical

effects as well of people who are succumbing to the barium, the strontium, the aluminum oxide, etc., all the different particulates they're using in this heavy, heavy Geoengineering program. It's quite something. So they know what they're doing, and of course the bioethics committees are involved too, in deciding what's enough and what's maybe excessive and all these moral judgments, etc, what is the acceptable loss, you might say, in the population... to save the world, you understand, as they'll rationalize it to themselves.

I often wonder you know, because again the hypocrisy of human beings is astonishing. It truly is astonishing. Because again, you're trained to think by the incredible propaganda, that's been so well-established in history, but it took off like a rocket with the invention of the radio, initially, and then the cinema, early cinema, even the black and white ones they were using, the silent ones they would use for propaganda purposes for World War I, and Edward Bernays was involved in that. Then it went into propaganda for World War II with the talkies and all that, incredible propaganda, incessant propaganda that was spewed out, and the folk got caught up. That was the intention, you caught them up in their daily routines to train them, to train them to be rationed for everything, you trained them for behavior modification. And you trained them, too, that their lives were in imminent danger if the government wasn't given total control, and therefore people readily accepted the fact the total control was already taken by the government, they had it all. When they even shot farmers, for instance, in Britain who refused to comply with certain orders from government on how to farm, what to farm and all the rest of it, and even how much to produce by the way, often on unusable land, it couldn't be used, they would kill them. So the people who did the killing of course would rationalize their part in it too, saying he's a bad man, he's not going along with the war effort, and that was the police and everybody else involved in it.

So again, getting back to the basic premise, human beings are incredible hypocrites. We truly are. We can delude ourselves... delude ourselves into believing anything if we benefit personally, from either the status of our position, and that goes for all those in academia, and those who get the most applause because they've been made like superstars with their names getting put up there. I've gone into the fact that the whole culture creation industry, that creates stars out of nobodies, also has a branch – and I've mentioned who they were and so on in the past programs; again, go into the archives section at cuttingthroughthematrix.com – and how they create scientists to be stars too, because they then, they're so brilliant, obviously, we're told they're so brilliant and we stand in awe of them, and that whenever they say something it must be true, because I'm so stupid, I'd never think of that myself, and therefore it must be true, and therefore you will take their opinion on something very quickly and go along with it. These are all professional techniques of, I call it, herd management, because the elite initially called it herd management, and we're the herd, you see.

But it's so true, and I've mentioned it before in many talks, how people become their jobs. They become their jobs and the job can dictate what kind of personality transformation you will have according to the job that you take on. You think about those in authority. Those in authority right down to civil servants or, say, inspectors for land or whatever, they become what their job is. They're paid by the taxpayer, remember that, number one; they are paid more than the average person who actually, say, does the digging on a farm, very often. But their job is to get more off that farmer because they personally will benefit too, a pay raise is going to come down the pike if you can get more taxes out of the farmer, and that goes along with all sorts of housing and everything else, and areas in societies too, not just the farms. So that person then will, if a person doesn't comply with something and some, say, new ruling is unjust, they will go along with it regardless, because they become their job, and they're hypocrites. Rather than say, this isn't really right, they'll do the nasty. Policemen will do it too. Because they become their job.

During their training and eventually their career they will lose the part of humanity that says, well I've done that too, whatever it happens to be that they're criticizing or booking somebody for or whatever, or giving them a ticket. They've done it too, you see. Well, how can you hand tickets out for things that you've done as well? Isn't that complete hypocrisy? But you see, it's okay if they do it because they knew they were doing it and they'll say, well you did it, you know, and you knew it was really wrong, I did it but I was either young then... or whatever it was. There's always an excuse to rationalize what they do, because they're getting paid for it, they got a status that gives them extra perks and respect, which they wouldn't get in ordinary life, you see. Soldiers get the same thing, and they do what they're ordered to do. All their personal reservations about is this situation right or wrong that I'm in at the moment, and being told to do this, they'll do what they're told to do.

Obedience to authority, of course, and I've gone through the different experiments that have been done in the past in the US in universities to do with that very subject, and how they will obey any command, most folk, if someone in authority tells them to do it. Because in a psychological process, they transfer the guilt onto the person that gives the order, so they're like the robot that simply pulls the trigger you might say and shocks the person on the other side of the machine, that kind of thing. That's a standard trait within humanity.

But at the top of all of this is the economic factor as well, remember. Because how can you possibly talk about being altruistic or doing what's right for society, and the good of all, etc., if your paycheck is so damned fat, up at the top of the bioethics committees or medicine or whatever it happens to be, and you have all these acclamations given to you and all these awards given to you, because they love to give each other awards, and meanwhile you wonder why patients aren't doing so well when they're discharged and back into the same societies. Such hypocrisy! Because they will never touch the fact we're all living in a bogus money system, where it doesn't matter even for someone who has saved a few pennies, it's devalued the next day by people you will never meet all saying it's market forces, a thousand billion excuses, rather than say it's totally corrupt and it's rigged, and always was. You see. So these things are never touched within organizations where folk become their job and they're so proud to tell you what they do.

I used to be amazed, because I came from Britain, for instance, to Canada, and I went through the States as well. What astonished me was in Britain you generally visited people just to see people. And if what they worked at was mentioned it came out because it was something to do with something else they'd mention, it wasn't the main object. People in the US and Canada right off the bat would ask you what you worked at. In fact, I was a bit stumped as they'd say, what do you do? Well, I do lots of things, you know, I do lots of things. It's like saying, well I sit and read books or I go for walks and you know. It doesn't occur to you right off the bat that that's what you work at, that's what they were referring to. And there's definitely often culture clashes between different groups traveling to what they think are very much the same societies as the ones they've left. So you can imagine how it would be for people of totally, vastly different cultures coming to the West, for instance, and having this cultural shock. And there is such a thing as cultural shock for some of them.

So we're managed, the bottom line is we're managed on so many different levels. And since World War II especially, they learned so much from World War I in their techniques of mobilizing nations for war, and they really had perfected it by World War II that George Orwell even got his idea for 1984 from all the propaganda that was incessantly pumped out during World War II, we're all in it together, you know, Great Britain has made so many thousands of tanks this week and so many Spitfire aircraft and so many bombs and all the rest of it, and he brought that into his book 1984. He also worked for the propaganda department within the BBC where he said in his own writings that his job was to convince the British people, who were under incredible rationing, and they had a lot of substitutions for food and so on, he was to convince them that the garbage they had, again, experts come on and tell them, for these menu shows and recipe shows, the garbage they were being taught to eat was pretty well inedible, but his job was to convince them it was wonderful. And he went along with it too by the way. And he got paid for it. And he never said he was ashamed for doing it.

So in other words, is it ethically right to lie to people, when those who run your country have decided, and again it's obedience to authority isn't it, someone above you says it's okay, go ahead and do this, we'll pay you to do it, then you can do it? And yet we have these farces like the Nuremberg trial where the common answer as to why they went and killed prisoners and so on was because they were ordered to. What's the difference? What's the difference? How can you live, and how are we all supposed to go through life, conning ourselves? See, someone puts the con onto you but it really is up to you whether you want to accept the con and go along with it and be fooled. It's an easy way out isn't it? Because once again, it's obedience to authority. I suspect this is a con, but you're supposed to go along with it so I'll go along with the con. And yet we're told to be truthful and honest and all the rest of it. It's so schizophrenic isn't it? But again, these are the techniques that are used on the people which make them schizophrenic to an extent. And I don't mean that in a psychotic way, I'm talking about that in their bizarre behavior you'll see busting out all over from young people and different groups and so on. We can all be modified and altered according to the wishes of the state at that particular time. It's quite easy to do.

Getting back to George Orwell. I was thinking, the technique, as I say, during World War II especially, with... I mean you understand, in two years, just in two years of World War II the Ministry of Agriculture churned out more than 2000 propaganda documentaries for the farmers, just for the farmers. That was just in two years. Remember, they went on from 1939 to 1945, and afterwards by the way, for rationing and all that and excessive food production. You can imagine the bombardment, and every farmer would attend these things and be caught up in the propaganda. It's very simplistic in a way. It's very Orwellian, simplistic propaganda. What they're telling you is, you're a good person if you do all that we're saying to do, you're a good person. So even the good society of the time is ordered completely from above... what is a good society, what's a good farmer, what's a good this, that and the other, fireman, you name it, what is a good person. That's always the same. Now, if goodness is an innate quality within peoples then why should we modify it? at all?

But again, you're in a commercialized economic system; it's never touched by anybody, especially those in academia, it's never touched by them. Is that you can't, you can't be honest about anything unless you address the problems, all the problems. And the big one is, really, what are we here for? Then you go into the spiritual areas because there's a spiritual side of human nature, in all societies, all societies, in all times, and that's the purpose of life and so on. In the so-called arrested civilizations, what the West or so-called ultra-civilizations mean by that, is that societies that make all that they need to exist upon and have no commerce as such, as we think of it as commerce for profit, and they're completely self-sustaining, and look after each other, we call them primitive and arrested, you see, even though they could survive for another million years, if nothing else happens to the world, just as well as they have in the past. We can't because as Plato said, the more advanced the civilization, the easier it is to fall. It's all interdependent and woven because it's so far removed from self-preservation, from the bottom level, meaning, you make your own food and you go hunting yourself or whatever it happens to be; you're dependent on others to do a lot of that for you. And we push papers and punch buttons on computers, you see, today.

So the technique is to get you wrapped up in propaganda; that gets you on the role. Why do you think since 9/11 we've had an incessant, incessant read this, read that, read this, read that, here's the news, news, news, news, news. Why is that? Why is that? Especially when you read the wars, and little wars, that all the countries have been involved in, right through the 20th century in between the major wars, the ongoing wars and so on, that were kept fairly quiet, for many decades in fact, why is it suddenly important that we're all involved in terrorism, terrorism, terrorism, terrorism? And it's called terrorism because this is the century of change, remember, I've gone through this in great detail; the century of change is where all the big plans for the good society, and that's defined by those at the top, have to be done, including trans-humanism and all of that, and the training of the rest of society in different stages to go along with trans-humanism. Life extension for some, but not for everyone, because it will depend on how important you are to society. See, that's all been discussed, and let out on occasion, to familiarize yourself with the concept of it. That's how you're trained, you get gradually familiar without really thinking or reasoning through it, so that you're ready for it... Well, I guess they have to do that, but I'm not really worth enough to save at the end... sort of thing, and so on it goes.

So we're caught up with this madness of propaganda, incessant. I often say there's nothing you can believe on the media to do with the wars that's factual, actually completely factually true. Some parts may be true but the causes, the reasons, victories, defeats, you have to take with a pinch of salt, especially when you have so many agencies and counter agencies all working for creating information, and disinformation, that you can't take anything and say this is absolutely true. Again, why are you being caught up in it? Well, the reason I've mentioned before, was an article, it was put out in one of the military magazines, the main military magazine in the US, on perpetual war. It went through that whole scenario, that from now on it will be perpetual war. Now, when they say perpetual war it's not just localized to certain areas, it's everything, it's called total war. You better understand that.

The concept of total war came out in about the 1920s and 30s. They had already tried it to an extent in World War I with limited aerial bombing; they didn't have the aircraft to have big, big, big bombers, etc. in World War I, and they used a lot of balloons too, zeppelins etc. But in World War II that was all changed. And in the 30s they were training in Sandhurst Military Academy in Britain the concept of total war. Even the ones who became the masters and the top generals in Hitler's army came over to Sandhurst to get

taught this stuff. Because we always train the best enemies, you see. The concept of total war was, now ALL society must be in it together, all of them, every man, woman and child must give their whole heart and mind, their spirit if you like, to the war and believe everything they're told. But not only that, you were then put down as a participant in the war and therefore you were a legitimate target to be taken out. That's why during the war all sides would bomb industrial areas but also, Britain especially, with the US, bombed the areas where workers for factories were all housed, massive, massive places. That's the concept of total war.

Total war means that you also obey authority without question, without question at all. And you're trained through, say, a World War II scenario, in that concept. As I say, farmers who would not try to maximize the growth on their land, even if a lot of the land was unusable, it didn't matter, the government would take it from you. They would steal your land, but they would call it requisitioning it, you see. But they'd steal your land. And some farmers, just like the Soviet Union did, some farmers would put up a fight, and they would kill the farmers. That happened in the Soviet Union when farmers would not hand their farms over to the state; and there's old footage, you can see them going around and having these shootouts and killing the farmers, and throwing grenades and stuff like that. You see. You have no rights in total war. Now, it's far better if you can coerce the public, and most of them will always go along with authority for the fear of retribution if they don't comply.

So now we're in the age of total war, perpetual war, and so many things have to be achieved during this whole period you really can't cover all of it in the short time that I have here. But it's psychological, it's genetic change, it's attitudinal changes on so many different areas that have to become the normal, the authorized normal, to prepare you for the next step, you see, and then the next step too. It's unending, perpetual war, you see, and under the guise of terrorism everyone is a suspect. If you disagree with certain government policies you're now called antigovernment, which is a term loosely translated from the Soviet term. But basically, that would mean, really, in a democratic society, that the opposition party was antigovernment if they complained about what the other party was doing, right?

So when you see nonsense being spoken, it doesn't make sense, non-sense, you see, then you're being told nonsense. Bull shit in other words. Rubbish. But we go along with it don't we? Because most folk don't question things. We're looked upon as animals, and unfortunately the more, in a sense, secular we become, and more religious we become towards the scientific elite, because it's a religion in itself too, and that's to overtake of course all other religions eventually, is science, then the less we question anything, because it's scientific. It obviously ends the argument, oh it's science, you know. Really? Yeah.

And they got statistics to show anything that they want to show; it doesn't mean it's true. If you put five different people out to do a survey on, say, one place in Africa for instance, and lots of folk do this for universities, they get grants all the time, everybody's living on grants these days, living well that is, and if you told them a task, they'd all come back with different statistics on the same area. And those at the top take the ones they prefer because it fits in with the agenda, and the social agenda and the plannings they have. In fact, there are whole departments dedicated on how to fudge statistics to impress the person who is being given the statistics, generally the public. For instance, they can say 50% of the people said this to a survey and the other 50% said no. But they only asked 2 people. There are so many ways that they use this, all the time, even in the polling data they use it too. Because people, unfortunately, like the herd instinct, they tend to try and vote... Well the majority are voting that way so I'll go that way, simply because the majority are voting that way, you see. There's so many sad truths about humanity because, I think we've all been damaged a long time ago, to be honest with you.

You'd have a harder time fooling the so-called person who is in, again, a so-called arrested civilization, a primitive culture. You'd have a harder time to con that person than those in the so-called civilized societies, far, far more difficult, you couldn't pull one over on them. You couldn't do it. So it's a sad thing, that, as I say, once you've been trained in an artificial structure it's far easier to keep the cons going, and to even alter them, until you're facing 180 degrees years later, where something that was right and wrong in one era becomes the opposite in the other era. That can happen so quickly today. How can it be right and then suddenly wrong? How can it be? How can it be...? If you're using logic, how can it be? How can it be?

And when you see something changing, from the top, that's been advocated from the top, something that was right and is now wrong, you have to really question, why? Who gains from this? What is the real

purpose of this change? But very few folk do. You're prompted and goaded to go along, by the behaviorists, that work in the think tanks, that advise all government departments and all the rest of it, and media by the way. I mean, media have top people in editorial sections that go off to these functions all the time, run by government and professionals from academia, who advise them on how to put things across to the general public. Years ago in fact, remember, I think it was Ted Turner, it might have been his groups of newspapers and so on, said they were going to start to put more positive things in the newspaper rather than the negative things because the economy had been basically plundered and given off to China. Folk were despondent, things looked very gloomy for the future, and therefore they would give you sort of happy stuff and lots of trivia. Well, that's been the format since. Now we get basically what we're supposed to believe about wars going on and so on, and be caught up in that, but they offset it by this very childish technique of giving you simplicity and trivial stories of little ha-ha things and so on. Which is no different than how they put it across in, say, World War II in the old Pathe newsreels they used to give out. It was called Pathe News, it was one of the big ones, the authorized ones, nothing but propaganda came out from there.

I should also mention that I'd often go through the media and the articles they were dishing out by the bucketfuls for us over the years, I'd do them on live shows, for years. But the reason I would do them was to point out the reasons why this was happening or that was happening, or what you were supposed to believe by this and here's the other side of something. I'd explain it to you rather than just read it off and say this is fact. So you can go on forever that way of course. And it's not liked by those in authority if you do that. It's not liked at all, if you can explain the whys of things, with facts, again, real facts that you're using, as ammunition you might say, real facts which you could check up for yourselves. I always tell people, check it out for yourself and see what you think, see what you make of this. And don't stick with one story about the other side of things, look at the history of things and how it led up to something. You'll find so many contradictions with the authorized versions it's astonishing, absolutely astonishing in fact, really, astounding! But we're taught, again, to be caught up in something. So I'm glad I don't have to just parrot off the news and explain things to you anymore because it's so boring to me. And really, I shouldn't have to explain that because if we were all thinking properly we could figure these things out instantly for ourselves. But most folk take the news, run with it, and have an emotional response to it, and join one side or another. That's how the technique works, it's so simple.

You have to stand back and always say, what's to be gained? ...and who would gain from the belief in the story? ...what's the real objective of things? It hasn't changed, as I say, and especially in the media, since World War II, this incredible use of the media, all media, for propaganda purposes. They also have outlets there for those who would be potential anarchists, for instance, to follow as well, which lead them around in circles; it kind of diffuses them to an extent and that gets rid of that element within society. There's nothing been overlooked by the authority, as it's often been called by those in control and those who helped set up the system that we're living in at present, many of whom are long dead actually, who set up the culture, what we'd be taught, trained to believe and all the rest of it, and the changes that we would experience in our lives. They had it all worked out like a step-by-step plan, right down to dates, where this would be now the new normal and that would be introduced as the new normal, and by X amount of years that introduction would have become the normal, you see, you wouldn't have to keep on backing it up. It would be the standardized normal for people.

So, the elite realized a long time ago, and they verbalized this after World War I and then again after World War II, the ones who ran and who were brought in to help set up the future cultures, for the good of the elite, naturally, the ruling elite. They brought IQ levels into it, all of this kind of thing in, eugenics, because their own survival is of paramount importance. But they brought in these experts to talk about how to manage the general populations through all these changes that they would eventually introduce to us, and how we would be trained to accept it as normal. They even had it worked out, and they're pretty good with their predictions, down to statistics of how much of a percentage would accept it readily and how many they'd have to work on a bit more, right down to the indoctrination of children, and how they could perfect indoctrination techniques for children, going as far back as experimental schools in the 1920s and what those children would grow up to believe, and how their behavior, from then on, would be dictated by their early indoctrinations. It's very, very true, it does work, for most people, and it's sometimes often difficult for people to break out of and think for themselves.

But what the elite always did, and those advisers that were brought in after World War II, said, they were so

happy to see how they could take over a whole society for wartime purposes and modify the behaviors and the beliefs of everyone by scientific techniques. But they knew that in a democratic society, a truly democratic society, those techniques would be less effective and may not work at all. Because in a truly democratic society all varied opinions would be valid, you'd be allowed to voice them, in all media, and they would all be valid. In a truly democratic society you wouldn't train the public into shunning people that won't be politically correct and be updated with the new normals and so on. You wouldn't ostracize them, shun them and say that they're bad, for instance. And we've been trained in lots of these techniques, in recent times too, it's very evident; it's still going on. But in a truly democratic society that wouldn't work because those that you're trying to condemn would be allowed to speak out just as vocally as any other group, you see, and they would not be shunned, or made to seem disingenuous, or ridiculed, in any way at all.

So therefore true democracy wouldn't work. I've gone through some of the history of the Club of Rome, the big think tank that was set up by other big foundations, that run most of the think tanks in the world, and how they set up the Club of Rome to deal and tackle with these issues of how they would use the pretense of democracy as a cover, a sham if you like, because it's no good if folk don't think they're free, it's hard to manage folk then. But to make them think that they're free, and in reality they wouldn't be free, they would be enslaved all the time, especially the mind. The mind is the first to be enslaved; if you enslave the mind then everything else follows quite easily. Therefore, a truly democratic society, said the Club of Rome back in the 70s, would never work, for creating this utopic vision, on behalf of those with the high IQs, supposedly, because they're always boasting about their high IQs in academia, and the elite that they serve, those who ran the whole business and moneyed side of things, the psychopaths, the truly good psychopaths, you know; so they said it would never work.

Because they were so impressed by how the Soviet system, that abolished all ideas of democracy, or any other system competing with it, by force, by slaughter, mass slaughter, millions slaughtered, could get things done so fast and quickly. And the same with the Nazi government when it first came out too. They applauded it, in all the different magazines, on how Hitler had got the whole country on its feet so quickly after being punished for World War I and paying out most of its money into reparations forever, forever, ever, that was the idea. Even writers at the first Versailles Convention said that if they didn't do this properly and made Germany pay for all the war and the losses incurred to all the different countries that opposed them, they'd be in a constant state of economic depression, poverty, hunger and so on, so they'd have to fight their way out of it, there was no other way they could recover for themselves. And that happened. That happened. So they were impressed by Hitler's tremendous effort within a few years and how he could mobilize, again, using psychology and the technique of controlling the masses, to get them back on their feet so quickly. And he also tore up the debt, and issued their own currency so it was debt-free and things like that. But they were impressed by the way that he could get the public to obey him, and worship him in fact. Very impressed how fast. Now, they could never do that in a democratic society, a truly democratic society.

But even, again, don't forget this very vital point as well. Even in a truly democratic society, if there was ever such a thing, which I don't think there ever could be, you'll always have disaffected people who have a chip on the shoulder anyway, regardless of their social position, or even if they're in a higher economic income, you'll always get those, that certain faction that simply want to destroy. And you will also have the psychopathic element, who can smell which way the wind is going, see which is going to be the winning team, where the support will come from, the general public, they'll give it to them, and they get into it right away and then they rule it and run it, you see. That's what happens in history. What we miss in what we think is a democratic society, what we miss very easily, and commonly, is the fact that we're trained to see dictators, one guy with a uniform on, with other guys with uniforms, but he's the main guy with the uniform on, you see. We don't see it with the business suits and the varied faces we see, in a Parliament for instance or a democracy, we don't see the fact it has changed, we don't see that it's changed, and don't think of it as being totalitarian.

The elite have always said, for a functioning society, how much freedom do you give the people, you see, and still keep some form of social order? And the anarchists will immediately rebel against just that. But the fact is, you have to have some form of common structure or it would fall to pieces and there would be chaos and people would be stealing from each other and killing each other, etc. You have to have a basic there, a basic social structure. In earlier societies, in European societies and so on, there was a common social

structure. It was a very simplistic one. But people lived in small communities, and they were, in the early days, self-sustaining like the so-called arrested civilizations. They were self-sustaining. They made their own tools; there was a blacksmith here and all the rest. Everything kind of worked together. But it was small and the profit motive wasn't like it is today, sky high, greedy and corrupt, you see. So it can only work perhaps in that kind of system.

And today's system, personally, I can't see how it can be possibly rectified. Because if you remember, some of the top economists came out a few years ago and said, greed is good. And that's what they're teaching in economics at universities, greed is good. So we're all suffering from greed is good today with the whole massive feeding group above us, feeding on all of us, expanding all the time with bureaucracies and so on, who are living so well compared to the average person. They don't have the mental breakdowns that lower society has when their taxes go up and the cost of living is going through the roof for basic essentials and so on. Because their pay and their pensions are index related to the cost of living, automatically, all the time, so they don't suffer, and they can't get fired from a job like you can, you see.

If you have that kind of structure which doesn't have its consequences, look out, look out because total corruption will rule. If you can't fix the corruption within the very structure that rules over you, it's over, isn't it? And the hypocrisy, as I say, that's within basic human nature, when you can rationalize what you're doing, which may be wrong, for the so-called greater good, you know, in other words for total social control, it may be wrong but you'll go along with it for your paycheck and your status and your social approval from your own peer group. You can lie to yourself as much as you want, I mean it's all wrong.

I can remember cops back in Britain, years ago, totally different from the pre-Thatcherite era, where you weren't scared to go up and talk to them, as children, you know, or adults for that matter. And you'd ask them directions and that, they were awfully polite to you and they were nice to you. But they also would pound the beat, they got to know the people, you know them. Often they'd let kids off with minor things because they knew it was a childish thing and it wasn't severe and they'd just say, you know son, this can happen to you and so on. And I knew cops that did that. And I've known cops in Canada that have told me that this is what they did. Some of them liked their jobs in the country, for instance, they got to know a lot of the youngsters and would keep them on the straight and narrow. They were kind of like father figures, a lot of them. Not now. Not now.

In fact, I can remember in Toronto when I first came I had to get directions and I just said, oh there's cops, I'll ask them. They were kind of taken aback and on the defensive when I approached them. Because it was a different mentality altogether, completely different mentality. Now it's a lot worse. It's a lot worse because they're all out for promotion too now; that's the name of the game. And when they're told to get more and more tickets out there for road offenses or whatever it happens to be, because the local government wants more cash, or the city government wants more cash brought in, and that's all come out in the past... Let's not pretend anymore, they actually do these big schemes to bring in extra cash at times. The cops go along with it, so it's a form of taxation. Now, the cops obviously know that, otherwise they'd be doing the same thing all the time, if it was so bad they'd be doing it all the time. But they have these special blitzes, as they call it, to bring more cash in.

I can remember years ago when the city of Toronto cops were going on a sort of work-to-rule, that's what they were doing, the city was going through all these different antics to get them back on the job. Because they said they'd lose, oh it was massive amounts, many thousands of bucks a week on fines and tickets and all the rest of it. They were factoring that in, so-called crime, they were factoring crime, the profit from crime, on tickets, into their budget. Now when you can start factoring that into your budget, and since they always want more cash, they started factoring more and more every year into their budget, even though they're supposed to live within their budget from their taxation. Hypocrisy runs rampant, doesn't it, in this whole system? And most folk don't question it at all. And we won't mention or even discuss the mess we're often all in, because of the hypocrisy, as people become their jobs.

Now remember that all societies are basically the same, they use the same techniques. They study each other, in the modern world, they see what works for those in power, those really in power that is, and they use all the modern sciences, as they come along, and technologies, in the same fashion. There's no exemption to this, at all, unless it's a small, very small country that can't afford all the technologies. The rest

of them are all the same. The techniques that are used upon the people are all well studied and shared and used and implemented across the board.

So we have lots of dilemmas on our hands basically as a people today, and for the future of tomorrow as well. It depends what freedom is, freedom of thought, speaking, or whatever it happens to be, and of course the stability of the speaker, because there's lots of radical folk who are maybe even unstable, of course, and that exists as well. There's many factors involved. And it's very difficult, as I say, to keep a sanity in this age. I mentioned that many, many years ago, the most difficult thing you'll have – that was from 9/11 onwards, which I knew was the coming propaganda and the agenda for the century of change, I said – will be to hold onto your sanity as we go through all of this. It's very difficult. There's not so many folk that can really do it and retain a form of calmness in them. They get caught up in the emotive qualities that are generated by the topics they're given as news, for instance, that kind of thing. And if you do that you'll miss the point of what's behind it, what it's really going to, etc., and why is it being done.

Now remember too folks, that I rely upon you to keep me ticking along here. You can get the books and you can get discs from me at cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu and all the other websites I have under the cuttingthroughthematrix group. And you can order them; it tells you how to order them. You can also donate. Because I don't make a business out of this. I'm not selling you any fancy products to make you live forever or anything else. I'm simply giving you information which is a form of life science you might say, because the science of life is thinking. Without thinking, real thinking that is, it's all over, you're not your own person at all, you're someone else's, you're a creation of someone else's technique, often many folks' technique. But that's the way it is.

So you can help me out too and help me to get along here because I've got so much more to do. This year I've been working hard on other things, and things which I'm simply trying to catch up on too, that I've postponed for years, even basic things I had to attend to. And I'm trying to fix things around here; everything around here is just never-ending too, very, very important things. You get one crisis after another, it's never-ending. I'm sure you know what I'm talking about, you're all going through similar things too. So if you can send a buck here or there... And for the other hosts too that are constantly repeating the stuff I put out there, it's nice to get a mention once in a while, but very few actually do that; it's a pity. Because it would certainly help me out of this hole that I am in. Because as I say, I don't push... As a business I don't push myself, I don't give you a lot of propaganda for myself. I just give you information which is from thinking basically, really thinking, and the proper way to do it, at least asking the right questions.

And the Geoengineering that goes on, we're all getting it of course, because this is to be perpetual from now on. In fact, in that recent study put out, or debate that's going on right now in fact, about the Geoengineers... There's no debate at all. It's a propaganda exercise really. They're all getting grants and have been for years for being involved in the spraying, as they pretend that they're going to do it one day. And they say now, if they ever started doing it, they couldn't stop doing it because chaos would result in the weather, chaos. Well, we're getting chaos with them doing it, folks, and we know that too. But there's their big con right there. Once they start every government will have to sign on, that you hand all the responsibility for future preservation of life on the planet to them. Oh what a beautiful racket that is, isn't it?

Well, from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um

Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Aug. 31, 2014 (#1458)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Rather Queer Seeing the Future Here"
© Alan Watt Aug. 31, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Aug. 31, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on August the 31st, 2014. Last week on the beginning of the talk I mentioned the incredible weather here in this part of Ontario, because it's at the top of a jet stream where it loops around and goes back down into the States. It's very interesting to live here and experience this... interesting, not pleasant. But to experience this constant daily pouring rain, beyond monsoon in fact, so loud at times you can't hear yourself talk, never mind think, it's so bad. And yet, again, the media is keeping silent about it because we're living in an age of mass weather manipulation and we're not supposed to know. Unfortunately, through all the studies they've done on humankind, constant studies, ongoing, etc., and how they tap the pulse of the public, daily, to see what they're bitching about, noticing and complaining about and so on, they can damage control it very easily, and very quickly too for that matter. But they do know that if it's not in the mainstream media, whatever you experience personally, then you must ignore it, it can't be important. That's how folk just discard what they experience in their own lives, with the pouring downpour; these downpours are like floodwaters at times, and daily up here, it's literally daily. There was no summer at all to be honest with you, none at all, it just didn't exist. And it's still raining yet. For the last few nights it's been thunder at night, lightning, and again, these constant, 24-hour downpours, so that's normal now. Normalized.

Great how we can accept things and be trained to accept things because we don't get affirmation by authority figures saying, yes it's really happening to you. But I've been thinking about this because of Agenda 21, also called the Millennium Project, also called sustainability program. Because the United Nations wanted, and they had an article up on their website, I read it from their website, the United Nations, where they told all those NGOs and organizations involved in promoting the big changes that have to be carried out under the Agenda 21 program, stop calling it just agenda 21, because lots of people in the alternate media had studied it and they could put it across to the public, so give it different names. But sustainability, whenever you see that on the top of any particular program, it's the same agenda. Therefore, obviously, they can drive people out by weather. Who's going to stay in a place that's constantly under massive snow in winter, that turns into massive rain all summer, and right into the next bout of snow the

next year? It's continuous now and that seems to be how the Masters of weather have designed it. Those areas of the country, the West Coast in the US and so on and other parts of the world, where they are dissipating what should be rain clouds, and driving them off course as well, are really scorching and they'll have tremendous water problems and so on.

But this is, again, the most powerful tool that's been used in a warfare fashion but in supposedly a peacetime society. The war is always going on, as I've mentioned so many times, on YOU, the public, because you do have the globalists that have tremendous power today, because they own all the big top corporations that literally we're dependent on now, under thousands of branches and companies beneath them, that you get your food from, everything you need to live from, they own it all pretty well. That was always their goal. Because you see, they are the wise men, and we are the stupid ones apparently, and they have the right to dictate the way the world goes. This is an ongoing problem down through the millennia with humankind, of various doctrines that come and go. In the past they'd always wreak havoc and slaughter, etc., cause starvation and mayhem, as they tried to implement their beneficent dictatorships, etc. But today it's worse of course because of the progress of science, which is all under the control, again, of the same powerful people who fund them and own a lot of the big laboratories, and they get all the data from all over the world coming into their top very secretive organizations.

Everyone's heard of the HAARP type technologies they're using of course to manipulate weather. We see the spraying, if we care to look up; most folk don't bother today because it can't be important if the media don't mention it, and they dismiss it of course. Meanwhile, I've got more and more emails from people, and even personal experiences talking to people in stores and so on, that the biggest thing that's flying off the shelves today is the antihistamine group basically, antihistamines, and pharmacies are antibiotics and antihistamines, and they can't keep up with the demand for them today. Because we're all suffering from the massive side effects from breathing in chemicals and high irritants, which shouldn't even be in the atmosphere at all. It wears down your lung lining, then bacterium can set in and you end up with something you simply can't clear out at all. Because it's recurrent, it's ongoing, there's no respite from it whatsoever.

The big boys know this too and they know it will have terrible effects on certain peoples, and eventually all peoples, immediately sort of thing. Those who are more prone to breathing difficulties of any kind whatsoever, or more sensitive to these particular chemicals, will come down first with them, but eventually others will come down in the long run. Because you're becoming toxified in your body with all the stuff coming in constantly, never mind the terrible effects it has on the weather, especially in this kind of climate here where you can't get even a couple of weeks of sunshine anymore with the temperature we used to have. This particular part of Canada that I'm living in now used to be classified as basically almost like a subtropical part where you had very high heat in the summer, and day after day of beautiful sunshine, lots of berries in this area, berry country, and then you had the very, very extreme cold temperatures in the winter. Now it's definitely... The cold temperatures of winter are still here, and there's even more snow than ever, but now you have no summer at all. It's been like that now, declining fast, for the last six or seven years probably, actually, and getting worse every year. And in other parts too, it's happening too in other parts of the world.

So the weather modification program is well on its way and we have to take the consequences. Because as I say, you see, in the real world, in this day and age, there's no complaints departments anywhere, it simply doesn't exist. Because we're under a scientific management and the scientific group manage it on behalf of their big money magnates that own the planet, that are constantly buying up everything else that hasn't been touched yet. That's tremendous power. Tremendous power. It's certainly not democratic either. And they don't believe in democracy. They never did believe in democracy, and they'll show it eventually down the road. They're actually showing it to you by the militarization of our societies, and the police forces in some countries, especially the US. It's been on the go in the US for an awful long time in fact, that militarization of the police. So we're being trained, too, with new laws and rules and regulations to simply obey, obey, obey.

As I've said for many years, eventually you'll have no spare spending money whatsoever because all your money is to be taken from you in fines, fees, carbon taxes, energy taxes of all kinds, and all you'll be left with is money for the bare essentials. That was planned a long time ago and I've spoken about that for years, until I'm sick of speaking about it. But we're living through it now, it's implemented, and more and

more laws to come. And the public will simply obey because they always say, what choice do I have? We have no choice. That's it, isn't it? You're given no choice, in this open, free society, right.

Now, this last while, I should mention too, that I've been avoiding the mainstream news to do with wars because you get fed up with them, don't you, their never-ending wars. I've gone through articles from the military magazines talking about perpetual war, get used to it sort of thing, that's how it is. And it has been for years now. Remember that propaganda's intention is to stir debate amongst the public, not solutions amongst the public but debate, to get you talking about them. You'll take a for-the-war stance or against-the-war stance. One way or another they're achieving their purpose, they're getting you involved in a sense, in a war that really has nothing to do with you, except the fact you're funding it but you have no choice in that matter either. You always find the truth always comes out after the end of any phase of it when you see who goes in as a big international, multinational corporation and grabs whatever they're after, you see. You get a whole bunch of them in these days all working together, prior to the wars, and divvying up countries, etc., and the resources that they have. That came out after the Blair fiasco, once he was out of office; he had the big oil companies in there, and other big international corporations in there, before the war was even mentioned to the public, even thinking about starting it, and they divvied up what they wanted amongst themselves.

So as I say, the purpose of war is to get you debating it and get you emotionally involved in it one way or another. But in reality you're powerless, unless the public of course, which will never happen, are individually, as individual members are motivated to stand up together and at least say no to any more of this nonsense. But they won't do it because a lot of their jobs are dependent on the war industry, etc. Others are fearful that oil will be cut off and they can't drive their vehicles and so on and so on and so on. So it's unfortunate that we become, to an extent, complicit in terrible actions because our way of life might be threatened if we didn't go and plunder other countries' resources. But in reality of course it's the big corporations who already own us and our countries and everything that we need to survive under the present circumstances of life.

We know who funded and began the old Al Qaeda group, many, many years ago, even in the Russian/Afghanistan war; the US and the West were funding the groups to start up and fight, and cause divisions, and strengthened their faith too, by the way, to be radical groups. That hasn't stopped today, we see the spillover from it. And for every action there is an opposite reaction, when they have fought off your enemy they then turn on you. But then you fund them to do it too, because as I say, the big boys want perpetual war. The New American Century group published their agenda back in the 90s, on their own website in fact, and we forget that that's the bunch that came in with Bush, and behind Bush, and helped direct them all, and they were taking out the countries they had on that list. Of course they had two or three still to go, and they're hammering them now. And they simply rename their primary funded groups within Al Qaeda different names to confuse the public to make you think it's something else. And you get fed up following this kind of nonsense, personally I do, I've seen it my whole life, in other situations too. But as I say, what can you do about it, really.

I've said this so many times, as George Orwell had figured out long ago when he wrote his book 1984, the proles don't count. Now, that's not derogatory to the public. It's a statement of fact. Under the techniques of ruling us today, and these are techniques I've gone into, what technique means, and the techniques that are applied to all forms of governing people, the techniques take on a life of their own after a while and massive bureaucracies grow to manage them, etc. The technique that they use over the public is kind of a religion to them, it has all the earmarks and hallmarks of a religion, and once it's established it's very difficult to change them. They believe they exist to fulfill that purpose. People who are terrible people can always convince themselves they're doing things for the right reason. It's a human trait, obviously. And we find that we all end up suffering down the road for many of these decisions that are made. But these techniques that take over, literally supersede your government as such. Because governments, remember, come and go, and bureaucracies stay, and all the multiplicity of departments and agencies government uses are there all the time running the things smoothly, to keep things going, even when houses of government change over, change hands.

Long, long ago because of this factor, of technique, politicians became, really, only relevant to keep the public in the belief that they elected them and they were there to serve them. Lip service if you like. When

politicians are appointed over jurisdictions within government they know nothing about that particular department. They don't have to know anything. But when you see them on television, remember, whatever's written for them is written by a scriptwriter, all the departments have them, and specialists who are there full-time and make careers in that one department, whether it's health or whatever it happens to be, they actually write the script for the politician who's the puppet head, the figurehead over them so it comes over the television as though this politician knows what they're talking about, because they look positive. Oh, by the way, they all take acting lessons, you know that? A few years ago I read on the air the one from Britain, and the politicians paid for basic courses, at 500 pounds per course, the very basic one costs 500 pounds at least, and then you took other ones afterwards, on how to present yourself, in deportment, and to look stern on occasion and understanding on occasion, all on how to pose to the camera, etc., and how to... They actually were trained in reading dummy scripts and how to put it over in a very believable fashion with authority to the public. It's all showbiz today, folks.

Now, these techniques developed out of the history of humankind, what we understand, what we know at least of human history, and systems that ran humans in previous times. Therefore, we divided up the world, or the history, the past, into ages, etc. But along with each age comes what dominated the minds of the public, apart from basic survival, for the majority of the public in all times, what governed them, what ruled over their minds, and the belief systems they gave them. And even though a lot of people today think they're in a humanistic society, which they are by the way, in a sense, but humanism in itself is another religion. So the more we change the more we stay the same. And even those who are so clever and superior, as they try to create a new way of governing, end up being just like a religion because it has all the traits of a new religion with its tenets. And, obviously, if you took it to its natural progression, humanism and the humanist manifesto, say, would be completely, utterly intolerant of any other opinion except its own. Today it's under 'liberalism' to an extent, people who are completely intolerant of anybody with an opposing viewpoint to what they call progress, and they decide what progress is, and help to fund it and push it.

You'll find roughly, although there were phases in history where people had revolutions against the systems of their day, some ongoing, some intermittent revolutions – or, rebellions are more appropriate really – and many of them came to some success, some to no success at all. But with each of them would come a little change, perhaps, in the way of thinking or viewing the total authority of the time, even authority of what was at one time God, an Old Testament Jewish deity basically who supposedly made man in his image. And with all the sciences today that tell us that we are basically a form of a rational being made in the image of God, then I guess the God himself is pretty irrational, that's how it would be, naturally, wouldn't it? But people are trying to make sense out of everything, the meaning of life, that's the oldest question of all. And the people who decide they can give you a reason for the meaning of life end up generally ruling over you. And when you're ruled over in such a fashion, as George Orwell did say, the proles don't count.

Now, that's not a negative thing or despondent thing, as some people occasionally will say. Is it defeatist? No. You can't start being realistic, to get a solution, unless you accept that. That's the truth of it. If you accept the circumstances you're actually in only then can you start to make changes, starting with yourself. Because you see, if you believe in the old illusions, the old reasons for being, to serve your country, to serve, serve, serve... I mean, we can criticize the Middle Ages and the way the peasants were treated, and starved to death often when there were bad crop years, the elite took most of the grain from them, their job was to serve. And we don't want to be in the same position again, I'm sure. When your country is obviously not benefiting you, but you do see in your country who is benefiting, from what your elite do, how can you possibly ally yourself, or even try to... How can you even relate to them, unless you're a psychopath yourself? Because you'd have to be a psychopath to say, I can cash in on this, and exploit others too, and get awfully wealthy, get acclaim from the media, which will give it to the public, and the public will worship me. Unfortunately this irrationality, again, is true, the public worship what they're given, in a 20th century created phenomenon called the stars. It doesn't matter how much of a yo-yo intellectually the person happens to be, as long as they've been in some good movies, which they liked, they're a star, because they're told they're stars, and to prove it they have all that money to back them up. You worship money, mammon. How therefore, if you worship money, because being rich is the pinnacle of success, how can you possibly condemn corporations that are using your nations to plunder other countries for the wealth, for the corporations' own benefit? Irrational again, isn't it? Our values system is... mad. But we didn't get our own value system from ourselves. We're given it in every era. Every era we're given the value system.

Last week I said that nothing is missed or lost by the rulers, you see. They always learn from other countries' power structures and history, how people were ruled, what works, what doesn't, what worked for somebody, why it failed for somebody, and so on. But they adopt the measures necessary to make it work, say, in their own new system that they plan to bring in. They work carefully, and sometimes for a century or so, planning it all, including the takedown of the present structure of society, bit by bit by bit, through social change or cultural change using all the forces that they can, mainly through media, propaganda, education and so on. They then reapply the new ones, or even the old ones that they've copied from someone else's experiment, on other societies. So that's how it really works today.

Back in the 1700s people were still into the Newtonian era of everything working in a form of order in the universe. And that was comforting to the human mind, this kind of order. Of course it kept the theologians happy too, that there was no conflict between the discoveries of science and that of their belief system of a God of order, you see. Therefore when the 19th and the 20th centuries came in that began to change again as science began to find out new things, again, this sense of order and the geometrical patterns went out the window as physics arose, higher and higher, and they with mathematics began to find sequences of numbers for everything basically, right down to what we have today, the computer. Then they find out that in the universe there's not all that order at all, there's a lot of things that make no sense as far as order goes. Light could bend, things like that, and that kind of shocked people, made them afraid again, oh goodness me... And we see today in fact as the media plays up the possibilities of meteor impacts, and comet impacts, and goodness knows what, you see, which is great media of course, because their intention is to sell something to the public and fear sells better than anything at all, apart from sex, obviously.

Now, I'll go over some of Bertrand Russell's earlier 1930s writing to do with The Scientific Outlook to show you what he on behalf of the British elite, and really the American elite, saw for the future by learning from the totalitarian experiments that were happening in that day. They had the rise of Nazism which really copied a lot of its technique from the Bolshevik experience, which became the Communist, what we called Communism, the Soviet experience, where a whole class of people in the Soviet system were basically slaughtered, and boasted about that they'd slaughtered a whole class, to bring in a new society and with a designed, specially designed and trained youth who would believe what they were told with a new world outlook. And they didn't want to lose that because they could use it elsewhere. Because the goal was always, from Russell's point of view, that an intelligentsia, a scientific intelligentsia... and of course he carefully avoids the economic boys who sponsored him as well, way above him, although he was related to some of them in fact. He avoids mentioning that and the unfairness of this kind of system of a few controlling the world's power financially. But he really praises the idea of the scientists coming into their own, behind all government, as we have it today, it literally is behind everything and completely interwoven with its think tanks and its RAND surveys, etc.

This is what he says on the experimental era of the 1930s when he wrote this particular book. You can almost see him... if Russell could possibly be happy as such, that's probably the only time he could be happy. He talks about the experiment in Japan, which of course we forget that Japan had been heavily influenced by the elite of the US. They were forced at gunpoint to start trading and then to change their culture and modernize and so on. That got them going ready for wars, the Sino-Russian war, etc. etc., which was also directed outside of Japan, by the way. Anyway, it says here...

(The Scientific Outlook, Bertrand Russell
Chapter: Artificially Created Societies.)

The attempt at scientific construction which is being made by the Soviet Government is more ambitious than that which was carried through by the Japanese innovators in 1867; it aims at a much greater change in social institutions, and at the creation of a society far more different from anything previously known than is that of Japan. The experiment is still in progress, (Alan: ...this is in the early 1930s.) and only a rash man would venture to predict whether it will succeed or fail; the attitude both of friends and enemies towards it has been singularly unscientific. For my part, I am not anxious to appraise the good or evil in the Soviet system, but merely to point out those elements of deliberate planning which make it so far the most complete example of a scientific society. In the first place, all the major factors of production and distribution are controlled by the State; in the second place, all education is designed to stimulate activity in support of the official experiment; in the third place, the State does what it can to substitute its religion for the various

traditional beliefs which have existed within the territory of the U.S.S.R.; in the fourth place, literature and the Press are controlled by the Government, and are such as are thought likely to help it in its constructive purposes; in the fifth place, the family, in so far as it represents a loyalty which competes with loyalty to the State, is being gradually weakened; in the sixth place, the five-year plan is bending the whole constructive energies of the nation to the realization of a certain economic balance and productive efficiency, by means of which it is hoped that a sufficient degree of material comfort will be secured for everyone. In every other society of the world there is enormously less central direction than under the Soviet Government. (A: And that was what really fascinated him too, because it's centralization of all power, that was a tenet of Marxism, and again, all totalitarian characters like Russell were fascinated by this eventual complete centralization of ALL the world's power into one authority at the top, you see, with scientific institutions below running the public, like programmed robots. But he says...) It is true that during the war the energies of the nations were, to a considerable extent, centrally organized, but everyone knew that this was temporary, and even at its height the organization was not so all-pervasive as it is in Russia. (A: He's talking about World War I.) The five-year plan as its name implies is supposed to be temporary and to belong to a time of stress, not wholly unlike that of The Great War, but it is to be expected that if it succeeds other plans will take its place, since the central organization of a vast nation's activities is too attractive to the organizers to be abandoned readily. (A: So you'd have five-year plans for one part, ten-year plans for other, 50-year plans for complete cultural change, etc. It's a duplication of what happened in the West through other means. Anyway he says here...)

The Russian experiment may succeed or may fail, but even if it fails, it will be followed by others which will share its most interesting characteristic, namely, the unitary direction of a whole nation's activities. This was impossible in earlier days, since it depends upon the technique of propaganda, i.e. (A: ...and here's what he includes in propaganda, that is...) upon universal education(A: In other words, a standardized, centrally-organized education.), newspapers(A: ...for the propaganda.), the cinema(A: ...which is movies and we have TV as well.), and the wireless. (A: ...today.) The State had already been strengthened by railways and the telegraph, which made possible the rapid transmission of news and concentration of troops. In addition to modern methods of propaganda, modern methods of warfare have strengthened the State as against discontented elements; aeroplanes and poison gases have made revolt difficult unless it obtains the support of aeronauts and chemists. (A: ...chemists... Today we have biochemists and everything all involved in the warfare industry. It makes revolution impossible, they could annihilate whole sections of any country if they wish to if there was massive upheaval. And they have all kinds of sound cannons and other kinds that can fry you, microwave and so on. It's all done.

I mean, this guy spoke on world panels, you understand, and for the United Nations too, whose goal was always to rule the whole world. And they've been doing all this all along in preparation, not to fight just some other enemy but to condition the public, you understand, to accept all this NATURAL progression, as it seems to you, to be a natural progression to a world state. And they've pretty well succeeded. Remember too, part of the theory of Marxism was that they'd have to... You could speed up progress, something that might take, say, centuries of intrigue and so on to bring down other little countries that wouldn't give up their power or sovereignty, you could speed the whole thing up and force revolutions upon them, force the wars upon them, say, and that's of course the same technique as the New American Century were using with their list of countries to be taken out, rather than put up with them for another hundred years until they would Westernize themselves through technology and so on and copy the West. Speed it up, reduce them to an absolutely primitive state, destroy their infrastructure, and then you could go in and rebuild; that was what they claimed at the time, they could go in and rebuild the whole thing and reeducate everyone. So we see the chaos that came out of that of course, with their great plans, right. But anyway he says...)

Any prudent Government will favour these two classes (A: ...the scientists and so on.) and take pains to secure their loyalty. (A: So there's got to be a political loyalty too, by scientists, you see, just like the IPCC [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change], who all get paid to say that there are terrible things happening with the weather and it's all man-made, meanwhile they know darn well that their own Geo-engineers are doing it themselves.) As the example of Russia has shown, it is now possible for men of energy and intelligence, if they once become possessed of the governmental machine, to retain power even though at first they may have to face the opposition of the majority of the population. We must therefore increasingly expect to see government falling into the hands of oligarchies, not of birth but of opinion. In countries long accustomed to democracy, the empire of these oligarchies may be concealed behind

democratic forms, as was that of Augustus in Rome, but elsewhere their rule will be undisguised. If there is to be scientific experimentation in the construction of new kinds of societies, the rule of an oligarchy of opinion is essential. It may be expected that there will be conflicts between different oligarchies, but that ultimately some one oligarchy will acquire world dominion, and will produce a world-wide organization as complete and elaborate as that now existing in the U.S.S.R. Such a state of affairs will have both merits and demerits; more important than either, however, is the fact that nothing less will enable a society imbued with scientific technique to survive. Scientific technique demands organization, and the more it becomes perfected, the larger are the organizations that it demands. Quite apart from war, the present depression has made it evident that an international organization of credit and banking is necessary to the prosperity not only of some countries, but of all. The international organization of industrial production is being rendered necessary by the efficiency of modern methods. (A: Now, don't forget this guy was well in with what became the World Bank and the Bank for International Settlements and so on, all these ideas weren't just floated about, they were helped to set up the embryos of them, before World War II, in fact in time for World War II, and the system of standardizing the world's currencies and so on for value etc. Russell goes on to say...)

The advantages to be derived from an organized world State are great and obvious. (A: So here's the sales pitch coming up, you see, the same sales pitch they gave for the Soviets before the mass slaughters happened. Mind you, Russell himself said in another book too, he said a scientific dictatorship would be utterly ruthless. So again, he speaks with a forked tongue. But he says...) There will be, in the first place, security against war and a saving of almost the whole effort and expense now devoted to competitive armaments: there will be, one must suppose, a single highly efficient fighting machine, employing mainly aeroplanes and chemical methods of warfare, which will be quite obviously irresistible, and will therefore not be resisted. The central government may be changed from time to time by a palace revolution, (A: ...a coup in other words...) but this will only alter the personnel of the figure-heads, not the essential organization of government. The central government will, of course, forbid the propaganda of nationalism, by means of which at present anarchy is maintained, and will put in its place a propaganda of loyalty to the world State. It follows that such an organization, if it can subsist for a generation, will be stable.

The gain from an economic point of view will be enormous: there will be no waste in competitive production, no uncertainty as to employment, no poverty, no sudden alternations of good and bad times (A: ...that's what the Soviets pushed too.); every man willing to work will be kept in comfort, and every man unwilling to work will be kept in prison. (A: Now that's the socialist agenda, because it also came out of the mouth of the Fabian Society founders of course, and I've given the link for that, where you can actually hear the old audio from George Bernard Shaw saying the same thing.) When owing to any circumstances the work upon which a man has hitherto been employed is no longer required, he will be taught some new kind of work, and will be adequately maintained while he is learning his new trade. Economic motives will be employed to regulate population (A: ...population control, big time...), which will probably be kept stationary. (A: ...and we know it's going down, as we go down the way now.) Almost all that is tragic in human life will be eliminated, and even death will seldom come before old age. (A: Well, it will depend on whose class you belong to, you see.) Whether men will be happy in this Paradise I do not know. Perhaps biochemistry will show us how to make any man happy (A: ...he's talking about pharmacology and so on.), provided he has the necessaries of life; perhaps dangerous sports will be organized for those whom boredom would otherwise turn into anarchists; perhaps sport will take over the cruelty which will have been banished from politics; perhaps football will be replaced by play battles in the air in which death will be the penalty of defeat. It may be that so long as men are allowed to seek death, they will not mind having to seek it in a trivial cause: to fall through the air before a million spectators may come to be thought a glorious death even if it has no purpose but the amusement of a holiday crowd. It may be that in some such way a safety valve can be provided for the anarchic and violent forces in human nature; or again, it may be that by wise education and suitable diet men may be cured of all their unruly impulses, (A: ...he's talking about using drugs and altering the food to alter you.) and all life may become as quiet as a Sunday school. (A: You understand, there's nothing happening today that wasn't spoken about by guys on big planning councils a long time ago. And you think it's all happenstance and coincidental... because people wouldn't actually go ahead and do this. Well, guess what? They've been doing it long before you were born. This is a one world agenda, which encompasses everything to do with living, everything, right down to what life is itself.)

There will, of course, be a universal language, which will be either Esperanto (A: ...which they tried to push

back in the 60s.) or pidgin-English (A: ...well listen to a lot of the rap you're listening to.). The literature of the past will for the most part not be translated into this language, since its outlook and emotional background will be considered unsettling (A: So a lot of the literature and so on with its emotional... you know, things to do with novels and emotion and so on, they didn't really want it out there. That's where the idea came from of course, from writings from Russell and all the big crew, that were on the world boards of his day, and paid heavily by the big financial oligarchs of his day. They came out with the idea of Fahrenheit 451 where they burn the books, because you know, you might get contaminated thoughts from reading emotional books.) . . .since its outlook and emotional background will be considered unsettling: serious students of history will be able to obtain a permit from the Government to study such works as Hamlet and Othello, but the general public will be forbidden access to them on the ground that they glorify private murder; boys will not be allowed to read books about pirates or Red Indians; love themes will be discouraged on the ground that love, being anarchic, is silly, if not wicked. (A: That's why they came up with the idea, and I've spoken about this before, that they would separate the sexual act from the emotional bonding; that's all been done today.) All this will make life very pleasant for the virtuous. Science increases our power to do both good and harm, and therefore enhances the need for restraining destructive impulses. If a scientific world is to survive, it is therefore necessary that men should become tamer than they have been. The splendid criminal must no longer be an ideal, and submissiveness must be more admired than it has been in the past. In all this there will be both gain and loss, and it is not within human power to strike a balance between the two.

(A: Now, in this same book, Russell goes on to talk about something which has been tried in many countries including Britain, the immense buildings to house lots of ordinary folk in; he never talks about the wealthy elite because, like the Soviet system, according to your status you see, it depends on your reward, in all societies, even the equal ones apparently.)

In England individualism leads most families to prefer a small house of their own rather than an apartment in a large house. The result is that the suburbs of London are spread out through mile after mile of dreariness, to the immense detriment of the women and children. Each housewife cooks an abominable dinner at great expenditure of labour for an infuriated husband. The children, when they come home from school, or while they are too young to go to school, find themselves cooped up in small stuffy premises where either they are a nuisance to their parents or their parents are a nuisance to them. In a more sensible community, each family would occupy a part of an immense building with a courtyard in the middle; there would be no individual cooking, but only communal meals. Children, as soon as they were no longer at the breast, would spend their day in large airy halls under the care of women possessing the knowledge, the training, and the temperament required for making young children happy. (A: He's talking about day care and so on.) The wives, who at present drudge all day doing wasteful work badly, would be set free to earn their living outside the home. The benefit of such a system to the mothers, and still more to the children, would be incalculable. At the Rachel Macmillan nursery school it was found that about 90 per cent of the children had rickets when they first came, and almost all were cured at the end of the first year in the school. In the ordinary home the necessary modicum of light and air and good food cannot be provided, whereas all these things can be provided quite cheaply if they are provided for many children at once. The freedom to cause one's children to grow up stunted and crippled on the ground that one is too fond of them to part with them is a freedom which is certainly not in the public interest. (A: Remember too, part of the rickets problem too was to do with the state that they always kept the people, the ordinary folk in in Britain, especially during and after the times of World War I and II, rickets made tremendous appearances and it didn't go away very quickly either. By the way, it's back again, because we live in such a wonderful economic system. Anyway, he's trying to give you all the reasons why we should all live communally, and take the best of the Soviet system, as he calls it.) Take again the question of work, both the kind of work and the method of performing it. At present young people choose their own trade or profession, usually because at the moment of their choice it seems to afford a good opening. A well-informed person possessed of foresight might know that the particular line in question was going to be much less profitable a few years hence. In such a case some public guidance to the young might prove extremely useful. And as regards technical methods, it is seldom in the public interest that an antiquated or wasteful technique should be allowed to persist when a more economical technique is known. At present, owing to the irrational character of the capitalist system, the interest of the individual wage-earner is very often opposed to the interest of the community . . . It is obvious that in a well-organized community it should be impossible for a large body of individuals to profit by preserving an inefficient technique. It is clear that the use of the most efficient technique should be

enforced, and no wage-earner should be allowed to suffer by its enforcement.

I come now to a matter which touches the individual more intimately: I mean the question of propagation. It has hitherto been considered that any man and woman not within the prohibited degrees have a right to marry, and having married have a right, if not a duty, to have as many children as nature may decree. This is a right which the scientific society of the future is not likely to tolerate. (A: I love how they word it here because, you understand, these guys had already decided back there and then that you didn't have the right to do it then, and that was part of their doctrine.) In any given state of industrial and agricultural technique there is an optimum density of population which ensures a greater degree of material wellbeing than would result from either an increase or a diminution of numbers. As a general rule, except in new countries, the density of population has been beyond this optimum, though perhaps France, in recent decades, has been an exception. Except where there is property to be inherited, the member of a small family suffers almost as much from overpopulation as the member of a large family. Those who cause overpopulation are therefore doing an injury not only to their own children, but to the community. It may therefore be assumed that society will discourage them if necessary, as soon as religious prejudices no longer stand in the way of such action. The same question will arise in a more dangerous form as between different nations and different races. If a nation finds that it is losing military superiority through a lower birth-rate than that of a rival, it may attempt, as has already been done in such cases, to stimulate its own birthrate; but when this proves ineffective, as it probably will, there will be a tendency to demand a limitation in the birth-rate of the rival nation. (A: We found this from China. China has its own version of Agenda 21, the West signed it with them by the way. The head honcho of China, president or whatever it is they're called there, last year demanded that they keep promoting population reduction and control in the West as well, as part of their charter.) An international government, if it ever comes into being, will have to take account of such matters, and just as there is at present a quota of national immigrants into the United States, so in future there will be a quota of national immigrants into the world. Children in excess of the licensed figure will presumably be subjected to infanticide. This would be less cruel than the present method, which is to kill them by war or starvation. I am, however, only prophesying a certain future, not advocating it. (A: Again, man speaks with forked tongue, right.) Quality as well as quantity of population is likely to become a matter for public regulation. Already in many States of America it is permissible to sterilize the mentally defective (A: ...he calls it here, and remember, he's writing this in the 1930s.), and a similar proposal in England is in the domain of practical politics. This is only the first step. As time goes on we may expect a greater and greater percentage of the population to be regarded as mentally defective from the point of view of parenthood. (A: As time goes on, you see, you keep adding to the list, and probably upping the IQ and saying, well yours is below the IQ. Well, it was okay last year. Yeah, but it's not good this year.) However that may be, it is clear that the parents who have a child when there is every likelihood of its being mentally defective are doing a wrong both to the child and to the community. (A: ...community, community... That's what you've heard for many years now is communitarianism, community, community.) No defensible principle of liberty therefore stands in the way of preventing them from such behaviour.

In suggesting any curtailment of liberty there are always two quite distinct questions to be considered. The first is whether such a curtailment would be in the public interest if it were wisely carried out, and the second is whether it will be in the public interest when it is carried out with a certain measure of ignorance and perversity. These two questions are in theory quite distinct, but from the point of view of the government the second question does not exist, since every government believes itself entirely free from both ignorance and perversity. Every government, consequently, in so far as it is not restrained by traditional prejudices, will advocate more interference with liberty than is wise. When, therefore, as in this chapter, we are considering what interferences with liberty might be theoretically justified, we must hesitate to draw the conclusion that they should be advocated in practice. I think it probable, however, that almost all interferences with liberty for which there is a theoretical justification will, in time, be carried out in practice, because scientific technique is gradually making governments so strong that they need not consider outside opinion. (A: This is in the 1930s, remember.) The result of this will be that governments will be able to interfere with individual liberty wherever in their opinion there is a sound reason for so doing, and for the reason just given, this will be much more often than it should be. For this reason scientific technique is likely to lead to a governmental tyranny which may in time prove disastrous. (A: ...if they go too fast, too openly and so on. That's why they've used the War on Terror and rammed everything through on you. It throws you off balance. Well, it's really a war against something, you know, and not really against us. But is it really?)

Equality, like liberty, is difficult to reconcile with scientific technique, since this involves a great apparatus of experts and officials inspiring and controlling vast organizations. Democratic forms may be preserved in politics, but they will not have as much reality as in a community of small peasant proprietors. Officials unavoidably have power. And where many vital questions are so technical that the ordinary man cannot hope to understand them, experts must inevitably acquire a considerable measure of control. (A: ...global warming, anthropological, man-made global warming, right, we've got to depopulate the planet. IPCC, we've got to do Geoengineering, it will kill a lot of them off in the meantime mind you with all the poisons but it has to be done, etc. etc.)

The scientific society will be just as oligarchic under socialism or communism as under capitalism, for even where the forms of democracy exist they cannot supply the ordinary voter with the requisite knowledge, nor enable him to be on the spot at the crucial moment. The men who understand the complicated mechanism of a modern community and who have the habit of initiative and decision must inevitably control the course of events to a very great extent. (A: In other words, leave it to the experts, leave it to the experts, it's way above your understanding, you simpleton, just accept it. You see. That's what he's telling you there. And by the way, I've mentioned so many times that knowledge is never lost, and what they learned from watching fascism, communism, the Nazi project, and so on, they incorporate what they see as the totalitarian centralized governmental pieces and use it all themselves. They never throw that away. They're all fascinated... See, all tyrants are fascinated by the same power, you understand, so they'll always take the same kind of form, that works, for them.) Perhaps this is even more true in a socialistic State than in any other, for in a socialistic State economic and political power are concentrated in the same hands, and the national organization of the economic life is more complete than in a State where private enterprise exists. (A: What do you have today, folks? Who runs your politics for you? How come the same agenda rolls forth regardless of what pretense organization pretends to be in power?) Moreover, a socialistic State is likely to have more perfect control than any other over the organs of publicity and propaganda, so that it will have more power of causing men to know what it wishes known, and not to know what it wishes unknown. (A: That's where we are today, eh.) Equality, therefore, like liberty, is, I fear, no more than a nineteenth-century dream. The world of the future will contain a governing class, probably not hereditary (A: Actually it is hereditary, that's one of the other things they always copy, in tradition; I don't care what they call themselves. You saw that in the Socialist Soviet system too.), but more analogous to the government of the Catholic Church. And this governing class, as it acquires increasing knowledge and confidence, will interfere more and more with the life of the individual, and will learn more and more the technique causing this interference to be tolerated. (A: Look at the computer, and now they want you to talk to government and you talk to them; they talk down to you, etc. etc. But it's all for your good, right? And they make it fun for you, ha.), It may be assumed that their purposes will be excellent, and their conduct honourable; it may be assumed that they will be well informed and industrious; but it cannot, I think, be assumed that they will abstain from the exercise of power merely on the ground that individual initiative is a good thing, or on the ground that an oligarchy is unlikely to consider the true interests of its slaves, for men capable of such self-restraint will not rise to positions of power which, except when they are hereditary, are attained only by those who are energetic and untroubled by doubt. (A: ...which is a good psychopath, they have no doubt whatsoever.)

Now, I'm sorry for prattling through that rather quickly but there's not much time in an hour. And it's already raining here again. It's like perpetual darkness here, it's so bad you couldn't believe it unless you were here on the spot. And this is all the weather manipulation, that's so good for us all, apparently. It's even touched upon in the book I was reading from, how they control the weather and the crops and all the rest of it too. By the way, they can really shift populations out of areas that way. Because weather really started off as weather warfare, as we well know, that's what funded it all, our tax money, through all the big governmental projects for warfare.

So remember too, that you can help me tick along here, hopefully. I should maybe get a dinghy just to be on the safe side. As I say, you can donate or buy the books at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. There's discs to buy too that are better quality than the ones I put up; they're higher bit rate. Hopefully you can keep me going too, because I've got so much to do here. And I've had literally, oh, I can't even count the crises that have come along here, crisis after crisis after crisis, things you'd never believe unless you were here in the middle of it all. But that's what happens here and I should just leave it at that.

I hope you're all coping as best you can through all of the changes, which are advancing upon you, and the upsets in all of your lives too because you're all going through similar things as well I'm sure, and staying at least afloat, so to say, especially for me under all this deluge here. And for those that are suffering in the dryer areas because of the weather warfare as they dissipate what should be rain clouds, and dump it elsewhere by directing the jet streams... what a world we're living in. We're living in the sci-fi movie. You don't have to go into science fiction anymore, folks, you're living in it. And if you start just going by your own perceptions daily... For instance, I go into the local forecast stations, and even the governmental ones, and they're completely under-reporting and falsifying the rain records and everything else, massively, as we go through these incredible perpetual rainy seasons up here now in Canada, that ends up being a perpetual snowy season, one to the other, and it's all man-made of course. You can see them doing it, spraying, in the few clear bits you get, there they go, all over the place, spray, spray, spray, in all directions, and what you see are not normal clouds by any means. But you're not supposed to notice that; and if the media doesn't mention it, it can't be worth remembering, right? That's how you dismiss it from your mind, that you expect the media to do your reasoning for you. And long, long ago, folks, the media was never yours, never yours, as per the book I was just reading bits from, from the 1930s, it's all propaganda.

I sometimes think of all the fiction they dose out there like crazy on the public today, to distract you from reality, and the problems of reality, and the chaos that we're going through. That's why folk want escapism; the harsher it becomes for the individual the more they want to retreat into a fantasy world, of just distraction for a little while. So they dish it out like a never-ending stream, for you to get lost. But don't lose track of what is real. I've listened to some talk shows that go on and on with all kinds of weird stuff, at night, and it's to do with, really, fantasy. Because the shows are actually licensed under entertainment, but all the people don't know that. It's sad to hear a lot of the callers calling in, because of all the books that have been churned out too, that used to be churned out as science fiction, and fiction, and now it's under the pretense of some new finding or metaphysics or something like that. But in reality it's sci-fi writers who are now allowed to come out and just act through the show, as though it's all real, and make a fortune off it. Because people are desperate now for meaning in life, and as the religions get knocked from underneath their feet and they see the old being destroyed, the old cultures and societies being destroyed, deliberately, at least I try to give you the reasons why they're being destroyed and the organizations behind it. Because it's not happening by itself, believe you me.

If, say, the 1930s or 40s society suited the oligarchs of today, or the offspring of them who are still in charge, if it suited them you would have the same culture exactly as it was back then, by the way. This is to suit them and their future world dominion. The same with all the wars that we're undergoing as well, and the cultural/social changes, value changes, etc. etc. I'm here to try to help you understand it. I'm not depressed as such by it, as some people might think. I think the first freedom you get is by reclaiming your mind. That's so important. You can't reclaim anything unless your mind is operating properly, as best as you can under the circumstances. With all your inoculations and the tampering you've had, that you don't even know you've had, get your mind in order if you can, and be careful of the organizations you join. Because remember, the oligarchs have always supplied all their own oppositions down through the ages. Don't forget too, that a lot of those groups fighting over in the Middle East are fighting for causes that have been given to them, by guys in the West. And they're all in for a shock at the end of it once their usefulness is over. Be careful who you follow. You're in a chess game and no one has told you you're on a chessboard. You don't even know you're there, but you're on the chessboard, folks. All of you are on the chessboard. So be very, very careful out there as I say.

And from Hamish and myself – Hamish is my pooch, my dog, who hates the thunder at night, I'm up all night with him, as it bangs for hours, literally eight hours sometimes over the course of a night, in these monsoons I'm getting, he's not too happy with it at all, neither am I because I'm getting sleepless nights staying up with him. From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Sept. 7, 2014 (#1459)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"You Know There's Something Wicked Under the Hood
Yet Like Circus Seals You Applaud "Change is Good""
© Alan Watt Sept. 7, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Sept. 7, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on September the 7th, 2014. It's amazing how, when it comes to giving a blurb, a thousand things will happen that day, none pleasant mind you. You have to go out and deal with them, like fixing old junk vehicles and that kind of thing. That's what I do at least. It's amazing what comes along here and the things you have to cope with. Because we're dealing really with, not just junk that's sold to us, even when it's brand-new junk, but old junk as well, at least I am. Because everybody's idea of poverty is rather relative isn't it? Some people who can't build their third house would say that they're in poverty. Other folk are hunting for jobs, or even working at some job, but they also have the same idea, and this is from emails I get too from a lot of people who are genuine in their circumstances. But it's amazing to see how they judge poverty because they all have their latest cell phones and all the latest apps and all the rest of it, and all the things that people seem to think that they must have today. I don't have a cell phone. I don't have TV either for that matter, or cable or anything else. All I have, and I wouldn't have that if it wasn't necessary, is the satellite and so on for what I'm doing here in talking to you. I wouldn't even be touching a computer in fact because it's not a help, it's a hindrance. It's a virtual reality designed to alter you and keep you occupied endlessly, by other people's ideas of what you should believe or think, or have as what you think is entertainment but in actual fact there's always propaganda embedded all through it in fact.

It's amazing to me how the people who gobble up all these cop movies, and now it's all terrorist movies, internal terrorist movies, and they gobble it up and always give you the good-looking young guy who's got a wee bit of a chip on his shoulder, and he's tough as nails of course. But they like that because that's a stereotype which turn on the young females. They used the same technique from James Dean onwards for the singers, the pouting thing, you know, the kind of angry little young guy look. But somehow that appeals to especially young women, and maybe a lot of older ones as well. The bad boy, you see, they like bad boys. And of course with all these terrorist movies it's the same thing, the guy who's got a chip on his shoulder and they're always ready to fire him. It's always the one, he's the only the one for the job, you see, when

the crisis comes along and he has to deal with it. That's how they're all made. It's a standard formula in stacks of movies you see.

And if you believed in the movies, which most folk do unfortunately today, then their idea of the world around them is very, very scary. Because that which turns them on, the excitement, is meant to also put them in a state of almost sheer panic, but also to make you subservient to the SWAT teams and the militarization of the police. That's what I'm going to touch on tonight, because I had no idea what to talk about tonight because I really have been fixing a junker vehicle, even all through the night and most of the day as well.

But here's really a story that most people are neglecting altogether. They go into the causes. Some of them hardly even touch on the social problems, especially in the US, but now in Britain and elsewhere too, through mass immigration and the crime that came in with it too. I can remember many, many years ago for instance in Britain, many years ago there were big howls and protests at the time from the fake Labour Party, which was really almost communist, actually it was communist, many of the top party members were and that got exposed many years later of course. But they were card-carrying members of the Communist Party, who were sworn to overthrow the Western systems, completely. Which always made me wonder how on earth they could possibly not ban it in the first place, ban communism, simply straight out ban it. But they didn't, did they, which made me start to think that there's got to be something else going on here with communism. Because, why wouldn't they ban something that was going to destroy your whole way of life, even if it wasn't really designed by you in the first place, it's always by the dominant minority, in every country, in every system, that owns the system? So why wouldn't they ban it?

Of course once I got into the reading, very early on, as to why the Soviet system got up and running in the first place, and then you find out that many of them who led, and became generals and so on in the Soviet Union, for the rebellion, for the actual Bolshevik rebellion, were trained inside the US and funded by big bankers, like Bernard Baruch and Schiff and a whole bunch of them were involved in the financing of the revolution to get it all going, and planning it and training the guys, in terrorist activities actually, to overthrow the existing system. No matter what your opinions happen to be on the existing system, the fact is, the Western countries, the banking system that runs all of us, financed a system that was essentially terrorist. And this terrorist organization killed many millions, right up until its end. There's many movies out now, more documentary ones I should say, to do with, for instance, the Stasi system when Eastern Germany was totally taken over by the Soviet system at the end of World War II. The Stasi system was really a totalitarian system. Everyone was terrified of the Stasi. They were experts at bugging folk's apartments, rooms, everything. All you had to do was to give an opinion on something that wasn't quite kosher and away, you were just disappeared, very, very simply and quickly dealt with.

And yet here we are living through, since 9/11, this whole antiterrorism nonsense in the whole West. It shouldn't have happened in the first place because after all, when you think about it, the Middle East was pretty stable, from the citizenry of the West's point of view, for an awful long time, regardless of who the West had put in power there, Saddam Hussein and these guys, but he kept the place stable. These cities that were first world cities and the whole thing are all lying in rubble today. Of course in Libya too, we know what happened in Libya. They demolished their infrastructure, the West went in, just like they did in Iraq, and plundered it for its oil, its water and its resources. And they're putting pipelines across desert in different countries, as we speak, and they have been from the beginning of the war.

So we're living through long-term agendas. I've gone through all of that stuff, the long-term agendas from New American Century Project and the group involved in it. And it's still going on today under Obama, beginning with Bush Jr and going on under Obama. But even then, long before that, before they had the trouble, the conflict with Iraq, before he invaded Kuwait, the big massive oilfields that were owned by the Western boys who set it up in the first place... In fact, Bush Junior, I think Senior too, were instrumental in that. Bush got his start, his training in how the world really works as he was appointed to look after that for a while. They always put them into oil systems, like Maurice Strong, same thing, the big UN guy, globalist, who was picked up by Rockefeller when Strong was young and groomed for his position as the environmentalist and all the other things he's planning. He really is a clone of George Bernard Shaw, the top socialist of the Fabian Society, and HG Wells too. Because they laid upon the whole socialist agenda on the line, how experts would rule, the intelligentsia of the experts would rule us all, logically supposedly,

according to them, and decide how much of a population they wanted, who would live and who would die, and you had to have a good reason why they would allow you to live. There would be no unemployment because they'd either put you in jail or execute you, very, very simple, if they couldn't retrain you. And they all gave the same pattern of dialogue and so on in their books.

But as I say, Kuwait, I can remember before the invasion of Kuwait by Hussein, he had been complaining vehemently to the United Nations and to the West of these Americanized companies in Kuwait that were doing horizontal drilling, they called it, for oil. I think it was even in some of the science magazines, maybe even Popular Mechanics or something, where they showed you how these oil rigs could actually drill down and then do a horizontal turn and go underneath the ground, into neighboring countries, and suck out their oil. And Kuwait was guilty of that. It was discussed by Hussein at the time to the British and the American diplomats who said it was none of their affair, and that's why he went and invaded them. But of course they wanted him to invade so that they could get an excuse for the war, which lasted all through really Gulf War One into the next invasion of actual Iraq itself. But it's still going on today as all these countries on the tick list of the New American Century group are being taken out. They're not finished yet, by the way.

Because a lot of things are accomplished in war. Remember, Carroll Quigley said, we get more done in five years of war, he meant on the total socialist expert-driven agenda, than in 50 years of persuasion and propaganda. And sure enough, that's what happens. That's why they're on a roll today for the 21st century, and brought it in for the 21st century. All these agendas have to be fulfilled, until the lifestyle you're left with at the end of the century will have no resemblance at all to what went before. And there's many, many sides to all of this, all participating in this big agenda, the Millennium Project and all the rest of it, Agenda 21, etc.

I heard one far con man, a supposed lefty, environmentalist, who's funded and got a great salary by the big foundations, just the other night in fact, on a late-night radio talk, going through his usual lies about promoting the biofuels and all they have to do is program the existing cars' computers in a certain fashion to allow it to use this biofuel, which is very high in the content of, really, an alcohol-based fuel, basically alcohol fuel. They call it ethyl-ol, ethylol, which is an ethyl alcohol basically. This stuff runs so hot that the American manufacturers of autos just recently had to admit it's burning out the engines much, much faster. The small engines manufacturers across the world have been complaining for years about it, as they keep adding it according to UN treaty. The percentage is going up all the time in your regular gasoline, and apart from giving you a lot less miles to the gallon, and being heavily subsidized too, by your tax money by the way as government throws it at these projects, that's how they make it at the moment reasonable, as they call it; once they have them all running on this stuff, then they'll take all the subsidies off, as you well know. But this stuff literally burns the pistons, the aluminum pistons in the engines. If you take a new engine apart after using it for maybe two or three weeks you'll find it's got all these pitting bits in it where it's literally... it just takes little pings off it and chunks off it and you think it's been through about 60 years of use. Of course what they'll tell you is some of these cars, which are designed to take both fuels, or higher percentages, oh, you can put titanium particles in and various other particles in to strengthen them, etc. But as I say, it runs so hot that the engine simply can't cope with it, it will burn them out much, much faster. You get less miles to the gallon. And what you also get is a lot more water in your gasoline because it attracts water, being almost a pure alcohol material basically, it attracts very heavily water particles, and they rust out your tank and everything else, and your lines much quicker. These are the things they don't want to talk about, about it.

I'm not saying that there shouldn't be other ways for better fuels and so on. I'm sure at the very high tech side of things they've got things which can work on very little, of whatever, at a reasonable cost, but it's not intended that you have anything like that in your lifetimes, and I mean lifetimes, like I'm talking about generations, because you've always been run by those in control. And believe you me, this ethanol racket is another con game being played upon the people. Because they don't want you driving eventually at all, except those, under Agenda 21 it states quite plainly, that have essential vehicles only. Essential being: the law, civil servants, bureaucrats, police, ambulances, etc., etc. Because it actually says in the Agenda 21 program, that you'll have no private vehicles. Remember, this is the 21st century we're in, folks. All this has to be accomplished in your lifetime.

But what did come across, quite interestingly enough in that talk, the pure propaganda talk that was on last

night, what came across was also, through all the lies this guy was putting out there, deliberate too, definitely. What came across to me was how happy they are that fewer folk are driving. And he called himself also, a millennialist. And the hostess, who was part of the con game, said, what is that? Well, it's the generation born from 1980 onwards. Then she chipped in, the host, and she says, oh they've had less brainwashing, that's why they're all for this. Rather than say, no, well actually they've had more brainwashing obviously to be all for this, to save the planet.

We're all used like stupid guinea pigs, I mean stupid ones. Because guinea pigs and rats and so on are anything but stupid. We're stupider because we can only be fooled by words and pictures, symbology in other words. A picture is a symbol, moving pictures are simply symbols too, all strung together and you can show horror stories and terrify youngsters at school to make them go along with any agenda that you want. It's quite simple. Then the constant propaganda barrage through regular media will eventually alter their minds, they're already persuaded and they already have their default position set in them when these topics come up. Every generation has had its own brainwashing, remember, and it's unceasing. Always grab the youth first, work on them heavily, and they're yours, they're yours for life basically, they won't change their opinions really. It's quite interesting.

I listened to another radio guest, not last night, the night before I think it was or the night before that. He talked about the studies into people's ideologies. He never touched on how they got to their ideologies, what programmed them to come to these things, but he did go through the usual thing. Because they're always doing studies to see how, again, to see how different generations have different opinions. Because what they're really doing through all these studies is to find out if the really heavy indoctrination to a generation born, say, in the 1980s and the 90s and so on are vastly different, if their propaganda is working or not. That's really why they do these incredibly detailed ongoing studies. And it is working, unfortunately. It is working. That's why you can't talk to, say, younger folk on different things, they've already had their Pavlovian training, and the topics come up, if they hear anything negative they switch off, walk away and they get reset to their default position, like a computer. And that's what they call it, by the way, in neuroscience, is default positions for humans.

Now, on another topic, getting back to what I said at the beginning, how we've got militarization through all of society since 9/11, so many things that are so important. See, the details of the incidences, that go on every day, are really unimportant. It's collectively that they're important. Because you have to see what's behind them, not the things that happen or how they're portrayed as been happening, but collectively what is this group of things pointing to, what is the point and purpose of it and so on? I've looked at the US a lot because they've had fairly unique problems for a long time, understood by many, mind you, as to why they've had various problems. You've had different groups in the US there, some who were marginalized at one point, other ones who came in to run the Communist Party for the US, who tried to get other groups, minority groups to lead revolutions; in other words, be used for revolutions, to get another agenda through. But it's always one group using another, you see. And many of the leaders of minority groups eventually caught on to that, as to actually who was running them. It's quite an interesting story in itself and that would take forever to go through the history of it.

But this particular book was sent to me... And remember, I don't get money for plugging anybody's books, and I've never met the author or talked to the author or written to the author. But it's a book which is well worth getting a hold of. It's called Rise of the Warrior Cop: The Militarization of America's Police Forces. Because this pertains not just to the US but to Britain, although it's mainly the US, what's happened in the US, you can see its mirror image happening in Britain and other parts of Europe today. And the book was by Radley Balko, his name is. As I say, I get nothing for mentioning it. But the fact is, he certainly did his homework in getting the history of the US, going way back to its founding, and how the Constitution constantly got changed and changed and changed, and amendments and so on. And I've often meant to talk about that because I've noticed the different houses of Congress coming in with the agendas on the table and they had to change the Constitution. How they do it is by reinterpreting the Constitution, or the part that they want to put forward. So they bring in the batteries of lawyers who go through it in an almost Talmudic style and find out, well this word could also mean that and this phrase could also mean that, and no matter how they stretch it they'll get what they want, you see. And that's their job, that's what they do.

But this particular book says in the cover, it actually says in the cover...

The last days of colonialism taught America's revolutionaries that soldiers in our streets bring conflict and tyranny. As a result, our country has generally worked to keep the military out of law enforcement. But according to investigative reporter Radley Balko, over the last several decades, America's cops have increasingly come to resemble ground troops. The consequences have been dire: the home is no longer a place of sanctuary, the Fourth Amendment has been gutted, and police today have been conditioned to see the citizens they serve as an other (Alan: ...and then it says...) —an enemy.

Today's armored-up policemen are a far cry from the constables of early America. The unrest of the 1960s (A: ...and there's so much to do with that that isn't touched on in the book, as to why the unrest in the 60s was going on, really, and that's the story of those who were trying to get the minority groups to start revolutions, and use them. Anyway it says here that it...) brought about the invention of the SWAT unit—which in turn led to the debut of military tactics in the ranks of police officers. Nixon's War on Drugs, Reagan's War on Poverty, Clinton's COPS program, the post-9/11 security state under Bush and Obama: by degrees, each of these innovations expanded and empowered police forces, always at the expense of civil liberties. And these are just four among a slew of reckless programs.

In Rise of the Warrior Cop, Balko shows how politicians' ill-considered policies and relentless declarations of war against vague enemies like crime, drugs, and terror have blurred the distinction between cop and soldier. His fascinating, frightening narrative shows how over a generation, a creeping battlefield mentality has isolated and alienated American police officers and put them on a collision course with the values of a free society.

Just to review a little of the book I'll mention that on page 206, Rise of the Warrior Cop, he says...

In 1989 a friend asked Peter Kraska if he wanted to tag along for a US Coast Guard exercise on Lake Erie. Kraska is a criminologist at the University of Eastern Kentucky. His students described him as demanding, whip smart, and in the words of one female student, "a strangely hot lumberjack". He agreed to go along mostly out of curiosity. While on the trip Kraska learned that the Coast Guard worked closely with the U.S. Navy on drug interdiction efforts. The Navy itself would intercept boats or ships that fit drug courier profiles but would then have Coast Guard personnel on board to conduct the actual searches, seizures and arrests. One Coast Guard officer flatly admitted to Kraska, "the procedure was a way of getting around the Navy's policy prohibiting its personnel from participating in civil police actions."

Kraska was both alarmed and intrigued. The experience started him down a road of scholarship focused on examining the ways in which the US military was increasingly being drawn into enforcing drug laws. In particular, Kraska began looking into indirect militarization, the rise of SWAT teams and other paramilitary police teams, what might be called the criminal justice industrial complex (A: And that's a big one because in this book he goes through the amount of money that's been spent, by your tax money actually, to buy all the used equipment, and much of it too, this used equipment, heavy artillery and various other things, is not that really old.) and the increasing intensity to public officials to address social problems (A: ...very important.) with martial, military in other words, rhetoric and imagery to suggest that military-like solutions, from the wars on crime and drugs, to the heavy weaponry and vehicles that police were beginning to use, to the proposals that juvenile offenders be punished in boot camps. (A: I remember in the 80s actually, in all Western countries, that suddenly became popular to get young offenders into these militarized boot camps run by ex-military personnel.)

Kraska obtained funding to conduct two broad surveys of police departments and their use of SWAT teams. His resulting report systematically documented a previously unheeded two-decade insurgence of militarism into just about every city and county in America. The numbers were staggering. By 1995 89% of American cities with 50,000 or more people had at least one SWAT team, double the percentage from 1980. Among smaller cities, population between 25,000 and 50,000, 65% had a SWAT team, by 1995 a 157% increase over 10 years, nearly 20% of all police officers in these towns served on the SWAT team. A phenomenon that Kraska dubbed the Militarization of Mayberry. By 1995 combining these figures for cities and towns, 77% of all American cities with over 25,000 people had a SWAT team.

Kraska then asked police departments that had maintained SWAT teams going back to the early 1980s to

report how many times the teams had been deployed over the years and for what reasons. Again, the numbers were jaw-dropping. In the early 1980s the aggregate number of annual SWAT deployments was just under 3000. (A: ...that's them being sent out.) By 1995 it was just under 30,000 (A: ...from 3000 to 30,000...) deployments. In 15 years the numbers of annual SWAT team deployments in America had jumped by 937%. Some SWAT teams, Kraska found, were conducting up to 700 raids per year. What was precipitating the insurgence of SWAT activity? The drug war almost exclusively. (A: That's the answer that they were always giving.)

Logan, Utah, is a typical example of the phenomenon. As of 2011 the city had just under 50,000 people. It had not had a murder in five years and had been recently rated the safest city in America. And yet since the mid-1980s Logan has had its own SWAT team. (A: It goes on to ask...) What does a SWAT team do in a city with no violent crime? It creates violence out of nonviolent crime.

(A: And this is a quote, it says...)
"We haven't really had a whole lot of barricaded subjects and certainly we haven't had an active gunman shooter" the department spokesman told the local paper, "but it was nice to have the SWAT team around just in case. In the meantime, it's mostly used for assistance on high risk search warrants, high risk meaning all or most drug warrants. We've destroyed some doors over the years that maybe wouldn't have gotten destroyed if there wasn't a SWAT team, but that's all in the name of trying to make a high risk situation more safe for everyone."

Some 43% of the police departments in Kraska's survey told him they had used active duty military personnel (A: ...active duty personnel, military.) to train the SWAT teams when it first started and 46% were training on a regular basis with active duty military experts in special operations, usually the Army Rangers or the Navy SEALs. This was the goal of the joint task forces set up during the Bush administration to encourage cooperation between local police, federal police and the military in order to foster a battlefield approach to drug enforcement.

In a follow-up interview one department SWAT commander told Kraska, "We've had special forces folks who've come right out of the jungles of Central and South America, these guys get into the real shit. All branches of military service are involved in providing training to law enforcement. (A: ALL branches of military...) US Marshals that act as liaisons between police and military to set up the training, are go-betweens. We've had teams of Navy SEALs and Army Rangers come here and teach us everything. We just have to use our judgment and exclude the information, like, at this point we bring in the mortars and blow the place up." (A: They exclude that kind of information to the press, you see.)

And by the way, this is quite an amazing book because they go through the fact that they actually do have the mortars, mortar bombs for God's sake, which you use on battlefields, and much, much, much, much more of course. This is a fantastic book because it goes into the history, as I say, of the chipping away of rights over centuries. They talk about the Castle Doctrine that came from England; it was held up for an awful long time. The Castle doctrine was that man's home is his castle, it didn't matter how humble it was, and how for centuries even the local sheriff, if they had lots of information to even go up and knock on that door to serve any kind of warrant, and they had to knock first and produce the evidence, and they had to give, by the way, the person, the resident time to get to that door, plenty of time, and open that door for them; they didn't come in and just use a battering ram automatically. And they had to show the evidence, tell them that and all the rest of it and go through the procedure, and how they whittled that down. But the US had the same doctrine.
It's interesting, and I've mentioned the Rockefellers so many times, Nelson Rockefeller and others, who were really pushing for all this system back in the 60s to be brought into the US, of militarization, and the war on drugs especially, and he wanted the no-knock law that would do away with the Castle Doctrine. He put a bill forward and they followed that, in I think New York State initially, other ones copied it until it became just normal. They simply come up with a battering ram and smash through and go through the windows and everything, like the military does, you see. And this book is very, very precise. Lots of quotations. Lots of information from the military too, cooperating with the police, constantly, how they're brought in as advisors, supposedly, when they supply them with special battlefield equipment for a particular task. That's what they do abroad when they say that the US has sent advisors over. Technically they're not supposedly involved in the killing and shooting but you know they're right on the battlefield, they're doing it too, of course they are.

Now getting back to this book here and continuing from that last quote...
The commander added that he had "received a letter from a four-star general expressing concern about this sort of training the department was getting."
Back in the 1850s the Cushing Doctrine had allowed federal marshals to summon US troops to enforce domestic law. More than 100 years after the controversial policy, which was repealed by the Posse Comitatus Act, federal marshals were now soliciting elite US military personnel again, not to enforce domestic law themselves but to teach civilian police officers how to enforce the law as if they were in the military.
(A: The author then goes on to say...)
Perhaps most disturbing was Kraska's finding that these paramilitary police teams and aggressive tactics were increasingly being used even for regular patrols. By 1997 20% of the departments he surveyed used SWAT teams or similar units for patrol, mostly in poor high-crime areas. This was an increase of 257% since 1989. SWAT proponents argue that all of this buildup was in response to a real problem. After all, violent crime had soared in the 1980s and the early 1990s, but the SWAT teams weren't generally responding to violent crime, they were usually serving drug warrants.
When Kraska and colleague Louis Kubillis compared changes in violent crime rates to changes in the US SWAT teams in the jurisdictions they surveyed, they found that only 6.63% of the rise of SWAT deployments could be explained by the rising crime rate.
(A: What they've done, really, is criminalized a lot of, and changed their procedures, in order to USE the SWAT teams. You understand? If you create SWAT teams... I don't care what kind of bureaucracy or government officialdom it is, if you create it, it will always expand its powers to justify its existence. That's the nature of technique, and technique is through every bureaucracy and governmental department there happens to be. They never start and finish where they were supposed to start, or finish, for that matter. They continue, they grow, they expand their powers, to show how they're important. Once they're on the gravy train and more cash is getting thrown at them, and lots of high salaries getting paid at the top, etc., they must then have to justify their positions by making themselves appear more necessary. You see. Now, back then, it says this about Kraska's findings from his investigation...)
His findings prompted a surge of media interest in the phenomenon of police militarization. The New York Times, The Washington Post, The Boston Globe, The National Journal and ABC News all covered Kraska's study and also ran their own investigation into the issue. But nothing really changed. Politicians and policymakers didn't even seem to notice, or if they did they didn't much care. (A: Actually what it is, is that they've all been given the word from the top that this is the way it's to be. That's how things really work, folks, if you think that politicians are really independent and so on. There's a lot more to it than that.)
What exactly did all this media attention accomplish is not quite clear. It resulted in no fame, no money and no appreciable difference in the phenomenon itself. Of course that wasn't Kraska's fault. Congress, state legislators and other politicians either weren't paying attention or just didn't find the reports particularly troubling. (A: As I say, the word obviously came from the top this was coming up, getting it all planned for the 21st century, folks.)
In fact, the phenomenon only continued to pick up momentum. The year before Kraska's reports were published Congress had passed the National Defense Authorization Security Act of 1997, the biennial bill to fund the Pentagon. One provision in the bill created what is now usually called the 1033 program, named for the section of US code assigned to it. The provision established the law enforcement support program, an agency headquartered in Fort Belvoir, Virginia. Its mission was to further grease the pipeline through which hard-core military gear flows to civilian police agencies.
(A: This goes on to talk about Kraska talking to two elite military personnel who were training cops. The cop said here, he got a chance to talk to them before the police turned up for their training. These elite members of the present Armed Forces, active members in other words, said...
"This is going on all over, the proliferation of SWAT teams." (A: This is the elite member of the military that's talking. One of them said...) We serve an arrest warrant to get some crack dealer with a .38 (A: ... that's a pistol.), with full armor, the right shit and training you can kick ass and have fun." The other trainer jumped in and he says, "Most of these guys just like to play war. (A: ...meaning the cops and so on.) They get a rush out of search and destroy missions (A: Now, search and destroy missions, that's the term they're using to go into the different neighborhoods in the US, search and destroy, which they use in warfare.) instead of the bull shit they normally do." (A: He says.)
When the trainees arrived, all active duty cops either on a SWAT team or soon to be, Kraska described what he saw. (A: But the thing is, they're getting a kick out of it, you see, they're getting a high. It blends in with all the movies that they're getting brainwashed with and grown up with too.) Several had lightweight retractable combat knives strapped to their belts (A: ...just like they'll see on the movies.). Three wore

authentic army fatigue pants with T-shirts. One wore a T-shirt that carried a picture of a burning city with gunship helicopters flying overhead and the caption, Operation Ghetto Storm. Another wore a tight black T-shirt with the initials NTOA, for National Tactical Officers Association. A few of the younger officers wore Oakley wraparound sunglasses on their heads that supported either flat-tops or military-style crew-cuts. (A: You see you're dealing with, folks, your police are now a military force.)
(A: Now this part here is awfully interesting because this Kraska, who is a professor remember, who studies these phenomena, he says...)
Most interesting are Kraska's observations about his own state of mind during the training session. There's a point in his narrative where one of the trainers asked him if he wants to take a turn with an MP5. (A: That's a little submachine gun.)
Kraska is reluctant but after some prodding he gives the weapons a try. (A: Remember, he's there as an observer and doing a study, you see. And I don't know if he's pacifist or what, but he says...) "I fired at a body-size target, and just as this officer assuredly anticipated, I made all the mistakes of someone who had never fired an automatic weapon."
He took some ribbing and then was surprised to hear himself defending his masculinity to the group, of virtual strangers, by pointing out that he had grown up hunting with shotguns. Presented with a shotgun he then redeemed himself with what he called (A: ...this is Kraska, the guy who is investigating this...) "a personally satisfying demonstration." Kraska found himself working hard to fit in. . .
(A: You understand, I've mentioned this so much before, how this bonding process gets you militarized. It doesn't matter what you join, whatever club you join, you're now part of a GROUP, you're the IN group. It's a social phenomenon; it works with higher mammals too. The big boys know how to use this intensely, especially on young people, and how to make them conform and have them obedient to the group, until everyone outside the group is literally that, an outsider, you're one of THEM. You see. And that's what elitism is all about. But it says here, so here is Kraska, finding it happened to him. He's observing himself as he's doing this. So he showed off with a shotgun in front of them, defended his masculinity by the jokes that come along with it, you see. And he found himself working hard to fit in, in this training session...) . . .and win the approval of the officers, even though he was there as an observer and likely would never see them again. (A: But here's the part...) He also felt a rush of power. (A: ...awfully important, this. And this is what he said about that...)
"I had an intense sense of operating on the boundary of legitimate and illegitimate behavior. Clearly much of the activity itself was illegal, although reporting it would never have resulted in it being defined as criminal. I felt at ease and in some ways defiant. (A: ...you get that power rush.) I've had this experience in the past when field researching police officers, and I realized that in a sense I am basking in the security of my temporary status, as a beneficiary of state-sanctioned use of force. This is likely the same intoxicating feeling of autonomy, from the law, that is experienced by an abusive police officer. On a personal level what disturbs me most was how I as a person who had so thoroughly thought-out militarism could have so easily enjoyed experiencing it. This study illustrates the expansive and seductive powers of a deeply embedded ideology of violence."
Now, this book goes through various presidents and how they keep adding more and more to this militarization program of the police, domestic police, by giving new bills out there and so on for their war on drugs, etc. etc. It's now the norm. Remember too, that this all, interestingly enough, coincided, being set up years ago in preparation for what would become during Nixon's time, as the threat of internal rebellion, for instance, when he started up FEMA, etc. But since then, the long-term planning that I see in all of this is incredible, long before 9/11 ever came along, in preparation for 9/11, in order... How else could you get the whole agenda for the 21st century through? Massive agenda, with all social aspects changed, and social behavior, everything, the way you view everything, change for everybody, in every aspect, even the earnings and so on, what you're here for, and down to wellness supplanting your own personal financial income, how well do you feel? Well I'm sleeping on the streets but my wellness is pretty good, doctor. Austerity, all of these things, you see, you could never ram it all through without lots of preparation, mentally, on the people, indoctrination, massive environment thing, well there's too many of you all breathing out CO2, eating meat, blah-blah-blah, and all the usual things they've been going on with since the days of HG Wells and the Fabian Society. It's an ongoing program. But again too, you have to get internal mechanisms in for this total control as well, and training a people to simply obey, obey, obey and be very afraid and obey or we'll kill you. Because a lot of people have that mentality now in the States, they're terrified of the cops.
Now, I've mentioned before too, they wanted a military mindset for a generation to grow up to become either soldiers, for perpetual war, which we're in today and we've been in for a long time now, by giving

them the video games that were specifically designed – and I've done programs, go into the archives section at cuttingthroughthematrix.com and you'll find talks I've given about these programs. I said it long ago, to militarize the mindset of children, and dehumanize them to an extent, and dehumanize the figures on the screen that would be the enemy; there's always the enemy in all these games. But these games were designed by the military; I've read the articles from the mainstream and from even the manufacturers of the games, and the incredibly ongoing studies that survey the people who are growing up with these games and how they view life and how they are desensitized to killing. You see, they're desensitized to that. You combine that with the countless movies on, oh there's terrorists within, here comes the one, whoever the one happens to be for the movie, that can deal with it all and kill all the bad guys. And the youngsters want to be on board with the winners. The winners are those with the black outfits who have government authority, and no one gives bullshit to the winners, you see, they're tough guys. Even if they're little wimps in reality, they're now tough guys with that outfit on, carrying the big stick which is now the big machine gun.

And that's a sad state of affairs, but it was done deliberately because they also needed the same mindset to go straight into the military. And it fitted perfectly well from Gulf War One into the invasion of Iraq; that was a whole generation on the video games, already preconditioned and desensitized to killing. As we've seen from different clips that have come out from gunships shooting down from a distance, where even the victims can't even see the gunship, and blowing up and shooting up reporters and everything else. And the language they're using is language they would use out of playing a videogame, when they're killing real people.

As I say, this book goes through programs like C.O.P.S. put out by Clinton when he was in. It says…

The Congress readily makes federal funding contingent on states passing a particular law or policy, think speed limits or drunk driving laws tied to federal highway funding. (A: …and that's what you think it goes for, right?) It's much more difficult to have a Police Department who puts a big federal grant to working day-to-day operations, and so the COPS program threw billions of dollars at police departments under the pretense of hiring what they said was community policing. (A: …basically, friendly officers and so on.) This Little League game (A: In other words, that's what you think, oh there would be cops at Little League games, just a friendly cop.) and many police agencies were actually using the money they were given to militarize. (A: That was always the agenda, obviously, because they can't do it without permission from the top, to militarize, under the guise of putting out friendly cops on the street. The money was going to militarize.)

One of the first to notice what was going on in Portland was Portland journalist Paul Richmond. "The unfortunate truth about community policing, as is currently being implemented, is that it's anything but community-based," he wrote in 1997 in an article for an alternative newspaper, PDXS. Instead he wrote in Portland, "The grants have resulted in increased militarization of the police force." Richmond also found in Portland that ironically, or perhaps not, a federal program touted as a way to encourage local police to get more involved with local communities was actually federalizing local law enforcement. (A: That's the important part of it too.)

At the same time Clinton was pushing COPS, the administration and Democrats in the Congress were pushing policies like troops-to-cops bills, and management training programs for police agencies based on federal models of policing, and a bill that would allow local police departments to fund community policing programs with asset forfeiture, money obtained through the Justice Department's equitable sharing program, (A: That's what they call it when they steal folk's money.) the program that allows local police departments to ignore state forfeiture laws by teaming up with the federal government. (A: It's fascinating what really is a form of corruption going on. But really, what is corrupt when the government can do it, and they make it simply legal for them to do, by passing certain laws with nice fancy passive sounding names, isn't it?) Richmond found that while the overall cops-to-citizen ratio fell in the early 1990s, in Portland between 1989 and 1994 the number of officers in the city's tactical operations department jumped from 2 to 56. The two officers in charge of the city's tactical teams had formerly been in charge of the city's Department of community policing. Richmond also obtained a copy of the city's community policing strategic plan passed by the city around 1994. Among the plan's objectives was to increase the Police Department's involvement with the federal ATF and the Oregon National Guard. It included implementing at a local level Clinton's one-strike-and-you're-out plan for drug use in public housing which allowed for raids on public housing tenants, followed by their possible eviction, based on no more than an anonymous tip.

Richmond was alarmed that so many progressives in the city were embracing the community policing plan based on little more than its pleasant sounding name, and that it was coming from a Democratic administration in Washington, and administered by a progressive city government. The devil was in the

details and no one had bothered to look at the details. (A: Well it isn't just that you don't bother to look, you won't know, unfortunately, a lot of folk who did look, until you see what they've said as opposed to what you actually witness yourselves, when you see the SWAT teams on the streets and things like that with the various armored vehicles and heavy-duty military gear, etc. That's the thing, it's done by deception, and you'll find that most of these darn things always are. That's the way it really works in real life, folks.)

I really have done a lot of study as to why people keep voting. As I say too, the other night I heard a guy talking about the surveys on why people make decisions and have their beliefs, and will believe in them till they die, regardless of all subsequent evidence that's come out in their lifetime to the contrary of what they're actually believing. They'll always vote this way or vote that way, always. And strangely enough, what's really interesting, one of the definitions of madness is doing the same thing over and over again expecting a different result. Exactly the same thing... It's like trying to add the same sequence of numbers up expecting a different result. But they keep doing it, and keep voting, because they've always done it that way. And they'll vote for the same party over and over, with its usual promises of some kind of future utopia, whatever it happens to be, that never happens to materialize. It's quite interesting.

Politics is just a game of deception and the deception is to keep the people so passive, as you watch a whole way of life that you're used to, which wasn't natural to begin with, believe you me. The same dominant minority in every era literally shapes your belief systems and your behavior to suit themselves at the top and when they change that behavior and authorize the change of behavior and promote that change of behavior, you've got to ask why. As I say, I can remember when I was young watching the BBC, which was all you could get at that time, promoting all these supposed rock stars and they were having interviews on the television. Remember, everybody at the BBC at that time, everybody there, had worked at Eton, you had to work at Eton to get into it. It's part of government. It was involved with MI-5, all the rest of it, still is to this day that way, MI-5 and so on, a big propaganda department. Adam Curtis has already said in one of his talks, his very good documentaries, that they gave the people the culture of, say, the 50s and 60s, and before that, the culture that they wanted the people to have and the behavior. But then they changed it for the 60s and then they promoted it. As I say, I can remember the guys falling off seats being interviewed, these supposed stars that suddenly came on the scene, literally falling, and the interviewer in his Oxford accent and his tee-hee-hee, aren't we naughty kind of deal. And that's what was pushed out for the youngsters to idolize and copy. That wasn't a mistake, folks.

Nothing is a mistake in the culture industry. The promotion of drugs was done from the top, completely the top, in the US, and through movies and TV and all the rest of it, as being awfully cool. We also know during the Clinton time there was big scandals about the drugs coming in from Latin America to Mena, Arkansas. The CIA involvement came out. We know that drugs-for-guns scandal with Colonel Oliver North, that came out and little bits were allowed to be broadcast from the Congressional hearings. It's incredible, where it's been authorized to be sold on the American streets, to get money for another operation that the Pentagon is up to, to youngsters in the streets, and here you have at the same time the rise of the SWAT teams to supposedly combat it. Who's the bad guy? The guy who's smoking a joint somewhere. Meanwhile as I say, the drugs-for-guns scandal (or guns-for-drugs scandal) was bringing in cocaine and heroin to be sold to the youth throughout the US, and no doubt some of it would get to Canada as well, by... Special Forces were involved, the CIA, the Pentagon and all the rest, for goodness sake.

This isn't a conspiracy theory, it was FACT, folks, and it had come out during the Congressional hearings. But it was for a good cause, you understand, because they needed to get these guns to somebody else for another uprising. I mean, you understand, there's a bigger game here. Because those at the very top are not stupid if they're bringing in the drugs, and to sell on the streets, to get cash for operations, and at the same time promoting your tax money to supposedly fight the drugs by you, and militarizing the police at the same time. This falls into a big long-term goal, folks, and you're living in the days of the grand finale of this goal obviously, under the war on terror.

War on drugs, war on this, war on that, war on terror... all these supposed wars. Government cannot have internal wars on anything. That's the bottom line. But you take it so casually, the war on smoking, get it normalized, get it normalized in your vocabulary and your thought process and so on. And then you bring it into war on this, until it's simply war on crime. And then what happens with crime, they keep adding new dimensions and reevaluating what is criminal and what is not criminal, etc. etc. And if this goes on you know where it will lead to eventually. You're in the Stasi system right now, where the police and everybody's combined now, eavesdropping on everything you do and say, and twitter, and think, etc. They know your opinions on everything. They've got you all filed and categorized, your personality profile, everything about you, updated all the time.

Do you remember the war for freedom? The freedom war for Iraq? The Iraqi Freedom war they called it,

when they invaded Iraq? Iraqi freedom... Everything is Orwellian doublespeak, folks, with pleasant, we like names like freedom. It's better than Iraqi invasion, isn't it? So you think you're living in reality? Do you really, really want to persist in believing you're living in reality? And you think because they give you a computer, that they can monitor everything you do on it, you can fight it with the computer? Do you really believe that, folks?

Remember, I've gone into the history of the military using the computer long before they gave it to you. They had discussions for years about giving it to you, not because they'd eventually have to, but because they wanted you to have the darned thing. How else could they have total surveillance on everybody? Hmm? And I've gone through the psychological testing they've done on you all. They understand you forwards, backwards, upside down, you name it. They know you completely. Rockefeller and many others have said the same thing, they don't have to force something on you, they can make you give up all your rights because there are certain benefits you can get... Oh it's so easy, it's so easy, yeah I can do this and it's so easy, it's better than doing this, or that, or using money, I can swipe my phone, it's so easy.

If that's the case it's goodbye humanity as we know it, all getting ready for the next phase, you know, where the so-called millennialists will come in with the Millennium Project, which is Agenda 21, and your life is going to be ordered from birth to death IF they decide that you should be born in the first place, or your parents should mate, or even be parents, just mate with this person, authorized mating for procreation.

Well, sorry to harp on, folks, but as I say I've been dealing with junkers. And by the way, junk, but I don't mean drugs, I'm talking about vehicles, old darned vehicles, things like that. And I don't get peace to do much, much else these days, everything is a real struggle, incredible struggle and I couldn't even begin to tell you what I have to do here. I couldn't even begin, it's that bad.

Anyway I hope you get something out of this and this book is well worth getting a hold of. As I say, I don't get any money for promoting any book whatsoever. But the history in this book and the statistics and all the studies that he's done, and quoting other official surveys and so on, is just astonishing. There is one thing that I should mention too, as part of these bills, and I've read the articles years ago on the air, they had put so much money into trying to recruit directly from guys coming out of the military into police forces, so you already have them battlefield ready basically. This is what you have today. It's quite something, folks. And even the picture cover on this book is something, Rise of the Warrior Cop: The Militarization of America's Police Forces. It's like looking at... And I knew, by the way, and I made a reference to this at the time, it's exactly like something out of Star Wars, where you see these faceless humanoids basically, and one was white and one I think was another color; and they're the good guys and there's the bad guys, and they've got all this body armor all over them. The reason that they're faceless is because they are not individuals; that's the impression. See, when you're uniform, you're uni-form, you're not singular; the personal identity is gone. It's a force, you see, it's coming at you like a horde of viruses or bacterium or whatever. That's the impression, to terrify you.

And that's what has been brought on deliberately. It doesn't happen by happenstance. It doesn't happen by individual departments saying we want more cash to do this, we want to be like the guys on TV and in the movies. It's not just that. It's that it all comes from the top, folks, because they have big agendas, always have big agendas, and that's the nature of the beast. We're on one agenda and it encompasses many, many, many aspects, in fact, all aspects of your life, your thought and your behavior, even down to your personal opinions, it's all given to you, folks.

So from Hamish and myself in Ontario, Canada – which is about the only day it hasn't rained since the snow melted – it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Sept. 14, 2014 (#1460)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Militarized SWAT Teams, What are They For?
To Unleash on the Public the Dogs of War"
© Alan Watt Sept. 14, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Sept. 14, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on September 14, 2014. First off I'd like to think you're all coping, coping and getting by, with the incredible prices of everything, basics of course, in the stores. And I've told you for years, to get Agenda 21 through, which is the Millennium Project, which is the Sustainability projects – all the same thing – that all your spending money, your free spending money would go to bare essentials. And that's where you're getting pushed today, through the power-of-the-purse in other words; that's a big stick, indeed.

Quite a few years ago, remember, I read on the air where the Federal Reserve chairman had said that the plan for the next 10, 15 years, or more, would be inflation per year, per annum, so much per annum. And we're living through it as they do it of course. In other words, they devalue your currency constantly. The currencies are all tied together through the World Bank, the Bank for International Settlements and the IMF (International Monetary Fund), and we're run by bankers of course, not your local branch around the corner, but the ones that you don't see and often based in Switzerland and other places like that. But that was always the agenda, a very old agenda, and they've pretty well accomplished the task. Money is an interesting thing in itself. It's all run on a con of course because simple mathematics or arithmetic can be understood by anybody, so when it comes to making something into a science, they're complicating it, you know you're into a scam right off the bat. And that's exactly what it is.

But I hope too, that you're all managing to get by through all this weather modification. It's routine today. It's routine weather modification on a daily basis, standardized stuff, as we go through parts of countries that are frying basically, and other places which are being flooded all the time, with, as I say, the routine weather modification and the chemical spraying, which they like to call Geoengineering, sounds better. Of course we're all being Geoengineered and bioengineered all the time too with the fallout from all of this, never mind the costs of places like Ontario where it's going to be very hard this year to find potatoes, or any vegetables that haven't rotted in the fields since it's rained pretty well every day since the snow stopped last, it actually

stopped last May I think and it melted about the 1st of June. But there's been no summer at all here in Ontario. It's been daily, daily rain, you wouldn't believe it, and night, day and night of rain, rain, rain, an occasional break for an hour or two, and dull skies all the time. And last night, the 13th of September, it hit the freezing mark on the temperature, so big frost and all the rest of it. I've never seen that before. But here we are. This is a new normal, and we're always going through new normals which won't even be noticed by the media, they won't tell you anything, and the weather guys will say nothing about it either. And I've noticed, I've kept my own charts to do with the rainfalls and all the rest of it, and they're constantly lying about how much rain you get. It's quite interesting to live in this matrix system, isn't it?

Most folk, mind you, will not think about it. They go from their climate-controlled homes to their climate-controlled vehicles to their climate-controlled workplace, and then back again; they don't notice much at all. And if you ask anybody, they have no memory of last year, which was just a little bit better, not much, and the year before. It all started, big time, with the rain that is, in Ontario, pretty badly, about 2008 or so. But the big spraying of the skies started heavily in 1998, in Ontario at least and across other parts of Canada at the same time. But I understand now, I get photographs from all over the world and it's in all countries. China gets it now. Thailand is getting it. It's done with agreements, international agreements at the top, from their bogus governments, or at least the ones that run them, because the governments you see don't run them at all, they don't run the countries. The countries are all part and parcel of the international corporation business, they tell the governments what to put through. And at the top of that you have the big international banking system, which also owns the Military-Industrial Complex and everything else underneath it, masses of companies underneath it which they actually own outright.

Just go into Goldman Sachs. I can remember quite a few years ago, it was an awfully good documentary, before the last crash came, and a guy was invited into an office and he sat behind a computer, you weren't allowed to see the screen but he saw Goldman Sachs and he says, my God, and they owned all these big military companies underneath it that produce all the weaponry across the planet. Quite the business. Last week I touched upon some of this weaponry in Rise of the Warrior Cop, a book by Radley Balko, an excellent book to read. I'll read some of it tonight to review it again because there are so many points in it that he tells you, gives you an in-depth look at why it's happening, how it's done, how the money is channeled to different states and little towns in the middle of nowhere by the federal government. And of course you have all the politicians on board because they're all getting under-the-table cash, obviously, from the lobbyists to promote all this stuff, the big sales in military equipment to police forces. But it isn't just the US, as I say, it's Britain, Canada and other countries too, Australia is into it big time as well.

Again too, I really thought about this after last week's talk, because I thought about the whole idea... I remember reading years ago, years ago, articles from newspapers to do with how would they maintain order in a peaceful world, big international meetings about it. They said, the future would turn to terrorism, long before 9/11 happened, and therefore security equipment and anti-terrorism methods would have to be born, brought into existence, including basically a lot more hardware for police, etc. Police would have to change their whole outlook on what they were there for, but also, mainly the public would have to be trained to accept this new outlook as well, as though it were normal. Well, we've seen that with the masses and masses and masses of movies that came out, and still are being churned out, with budgets from the Pentagon and elsewhere, with the good-looking hero, of course he always gets the blonde at the end, and he always gets the bad guys too, as he blasts his way with all kinds of hard heavy hardware through all the bad guys that seem to be everywhere. And the bad guys, remember, are all of you, the citizenry. That's the sad part about it, you're being trained that this is normal. And you're being trained that you will see it near you eventually as they go rampaging through streets and houses and everything else. It's very sad but you're trained through an awful lot of fiction. Youngsters think it's fantastic because they like excitement. They watch all these movies and lap them up. In a very simplistic fashion they want to be just like these Robocops, in a sense, with all their gear on, with authority to go out and just shoot up the places and shoot up people, and do that kind of thing.

Authority really appeals to people who have no discipline, and that's another part that I'll just briefly touch on tonight. Because I remember reading about the communists, the Young Communist Party and how the communists indoctrinated the young in the Soviet system. It was awfully interesting. I also read on about the Nazi party for the Hitler Youth, and it was very, very similar because Hitler of course studied the Soviet system, intensely, because the Soviet system was held up, by the world, before World War II the whole

world held up the Soviet system as a great new experiment and we were all supposed to be fascinated by it. They didn't tell you about the massive slaughtering going on, of civilians, and whole classes of people being wiped out by the millions. But they promoted, oh my goodness how it pulled itself up from a peasant agricultural society into this great mechanized industrial society in no time at all. All the big magazines in the 20s and into the 30s were promoting this wonder, wonder thing. And it was being well studied by the West, who was funding it all of course, again, through the big bankers, as was Adolf Hitler in fact being funded by them too.

But Hitler copied it too. He did talk about it and Hitler mentioned about the youth. He said, when you have a society where the parents lose their discipline over the children, children, he said, have a void, they have a lack, a real void, they want a discipline, an order, boundaries in other words, and he said, when they suddenly come into the Hitler Youth, just like the Young Communists in the Soviet system, they get this respect for their teachers, their leaders, who take them out on the field trips, and simply to be part of them, and it's adventurous and all that kind of thing. So they come to respect. So right away they give their will over to the leaders of the teams and they're part of the team, the team, the group, the group, the group, you lose your identity with the group. Just like joining a police force, same kind of thing, or a military. But it's awfully interesting. And those children will grow up to be fanatics for the group and for the idealism that it projects, you see. Very, very interesting indeed. But these are all sciences that have always been known, for an awful, awful... well actually thousands of years, by those who helped to instruct governments, advisers.

Now, in such systems those same youth are indoctrinated into shopping-out, or ratting-out their parents if they're politically incorrect or they hear them at the table or whatever saying something against the dominant regime. And the children in the Nazi system would rat out their parents, the same in the Soviet system. If you did that you were well rewarded as well. You were really brought into the fold, made to feel that you were somebody special. And because of you'd already shown your allegiance, total allegiance to the state to such an extent, you could get into their secret services and things like that. You could be made a part of the secret police, for instance, eventually trained for that and you'd go into that, and then you could go into different branches of it, torturing or whatever it happened to be. You had that ability to turn off any emotions, completely, and lose your emotions, because you saw yourself as part of the team, of us against them. And that goes with all militaries, that goes with all police forces, more so and more so today as they ramp up the anti-terror campaigns everywhere and the propaganda internally.

Getting back to last week's talk, I talked about Rise of the Warrior Cop. Now, in Rise of the Warrior Cop that's a very important part of their training of the policemen, a lot of these young guys coming in now are the generation brought up on video games that desensitized them to killing, for instance. They have no problems of locking on a target and shooting, at all. Before it was very difficult. That's why these games were invented, for the military first of all, to try and desensitize them. Most people are averse to shooting people they don't know, and that was always a problem with military in different wars. They did big studies in the American Civil War and they found that only about 15% of the people actually had their rifles fired on the battlefield, when they picked up the rifles from the dead and so on. It's not a normal thing to shoot people you don't know, and there's other factors too, the more dissimilar they look to you the easier it becomes to an extent, because it's easier to dehumanize someone who really looks very, very different. But you'll find too, that this is a common enough thing. World War II, big, big studies again, into how many folk would actually shoot at the enemy and kill them, and statistics were done. Then they worked hard after that, after World War II, to find ways to desensitize troops and they came up with the idea of early videogames to desensitize them, where you're into a game factor, the whole idea is to get from A to B, or A to Z, and kill as many of these little things that come along your way. That's how you start off, until the little things become more humanoid, until they are human looking, completely, and you just shoot, shoot, shoot and kill, kill, kill. You have a generation bred specifically for today's ongoing perpetual war, and also to go into the military and into the police forces as well, especially in the US, and it's rising in Britain as well, big time. And it's going across the whole so-called civilized world. This is the agenda.

I thought about all of that and I thought about too a very good documentary that came out. It's called Camp 14, Total Control Zone. It was directed by Mark Wiese; it was a German production but it was done in English. It's about a young guy, I think he's about 30 now perhaps, but he was born inside a prison camp in North Korea, Camp 14. That was his world. That was all he knew, was Camp 14. What happened, I mean, there were all these simple rules, simple, very simple rules. You don't talk to women, or instant execution.

You don't do this, don't steal food, or instant execution. Whatever it was, it was always instant execution, which they actually did all the time, and they would bring the whole camp out to witness executions to get the message across, constantly, over and over and over. But if you were a good worker at whatever you did, and this guy's dad apparently was a machinist with a lathe in a metal shop, because he was a good worker he was given the privilege of having a wife, but he could only see her five times a year. However, over the years she conceived two children, two boys. It was quite interesting to see what happened there because to us it's inconceivable; it's the stuff of fiction really, isn't it? To people in the West it's so out of their normal understanding.

But this camp was brutal, all these camps were brutal, for political prisoners. To get into the camp, in fact, in this interview, this incredible documentary... it's got to be seen, Camp 14, Total Control Zone by Mark Wiese. They interview as well one ex-guard who admitted to shooting people out of hand, when he felt like it, and just picking women who came in and having sex with them; if the camp guards got them pregnant they'd get the women and tie them up onto trees and beat them until they died. There was just simply no retribution of any kind whatsoever. Brutality ran the whole system. And it's probably still going, that camp. But anyway... Another one was a guy from the secret police who arrested people and put them in the camps too and shot them out of hand as well. He also defected eventually, for a better life for himself no doubt, I don't know if it was out of guilt or anything else or he just simply wanted more of the better life. But these two people, the officer and the guard, were very candid about what they did to the prisoners. It was quite amazing to hear it. And rather than just simply condemn them out of hand I looked at them, I watched them, studied them, and realized that this can be done anywhere, in any country in the world. Believe it or not, it can be done in any country at all in the world. You better remember that. Never, ever, ever forget that.

Now, this young guy that the story is about gives an interview about it, you can see he is psychologically damaged, big time. But he was born in it, in the camp. His name is Shin Dong-Huyk. All he knew were the rules. Now, the rules were, again, that he must shop his parents if they were politically incorrect. And again, his mum he saw all the time, his dad only came five times a year, for one night, and the mum had to shop the sons if they were incorrect. That was drummed into them; there was to be no emotion really shown there. He didn't know what love was. He didn't know what affection was. He had no feelings for his mother, really, or his brother. He did really awful, awful jobs, including working in mines, by the age of about 10 or so, or 12, coal mines and things. They froze pretty well in the winter. They were kept on a starvation diet, the same diet day after day, year after year. And horrific, horrific conditions. What was interesting too, one of the guards said, it didn't matter how much you beat them and so on, he says, they didn't commit suicide, their resolution to survive would become strong, just to survive. Because there were very simple rules, do this or we kill you. It was so simple.

Eventually at the age of 14 I think it was, his brother had run away from his plant, it was a massive camp, this, with barbed wire everywhere, electrified fencing. But the young brother had run away and he came back to his home, to his mum to ask advice, and she had put a little bit of grains of food by, she was packing it up. But Shin Dong-Huyk, the movie was about him, the documentary, and he said that he was watching through the window, and what he did then was go and report. Because he thought he heard his mother and the brother discussing getting out and running off, away, getting out from the wire and getting away. So he went to report them, he felt nothing at the time either. You've got to remember that, this can be done anywhere, folks. But he reported them to his teacher and the next thing he knew was he was lifted the next day, and driven off, blindfolded, to the prison camp inside the big compound, and he was tortured and beaten, severely, so badly that, you'll see it on the documentary, his arms are all misshapen. They eventually pulled him up to the roof basically with ropes tied to his wrists and to his ankles. They put hooks through him, and the scars are all on his body, one was even through the pubic area and it ripped the skin and so on. They put fires underneath him, roasted him, his back is all scar tissue and so on. Apparently the teacher had not told them why, he just didn't like the child or something, and he just said that he was a bad child, go and punish him. But he didn't know that his father had also been lifted and was in a part of the camp as well and he was getting tortured too. That went on for about I think seven months.

You can't believe this until you hear it, and you can see the pain in this guy trying to even talk about it. Fascinating, fascinating story indeed. Eventually he was brought back to the camp and some old guy in the prison, another prisoner had managed to save him and patch him up as best he could, I guess straighten out

the bones to let them set as best he could. Then he was taken back to the camp and that's when he saw his dad for the first time, he had been in the camp as well. They were brought immediately to the front line of an execution, and the ones that were being executed were his mum and the brother, that's what they did, and they saw them being killed. He felt resentment at his mother for causing all of this, rather than... He was too young to understand the circumstances. All he knew was this camp. This was his world. He didn't know there was any world outside of it. I mean, you can literally bring people up that this is all there is, this is it, this is your world, here's the rules, follow them or we kill you, we'll beat you or kill you. Utter brutality. Utter brutality. No kindness shown, nothing shown. Kindness would be punished, that kind of thing.

Eventually he goes through the story of how a newcomer came into the camp, told him about a world outside and how he'd had barbecued chicken and things like that. And he couldn't imagine this. The two of them tried to break out eventually through the electric wire. The newcomer went over, hit the wire first, got electrocuted, got killed, but the wire was pulled down with his body. He crawled over the body and he got his legs electrocuted crawling over, they're all scarred as well because they were severely burned. And he got away eventually. He got into China, they sent him back to Seoul in South Korea and from there he was picked up, his story was picked up by reporters and eventually they took him on a tour for some organizations that go on about cruelty across the world. But you could tell this young guy will never, ever have anything that we could consider normal. His mind can't adapt to the modern world.

What was interesting to me at the end was when he was talking about his soul. He said he wanted eventually to go back to where the camp is, or was, if it was, in other words maybe down the road in the future, to farm or something, just to be there, that was home. That was home to him. And he said the only time he had purity, it was a kind of doublespeak, he kind of wanted to be in the camp to an extent. He said, I want the purity I had when I was in the camp, purity of the soul. And I think it would be very difficult to get proper translation because it's an explanation given on a voiceover in English. But I think what he was referring to was, things were so simple. He mentioned that, there were simple rules, a few simple rules and he didn't have to do any thinking. Now remember, immediately I thought of what Charles Galton Darwin said in his book, The Next Million Years, the people won't need to do thinking, all their thinking will be done for them by the state. That's what's here now today where you're given all your opinions. But anyway, this young guy said that he didn't have to do any thinking. He had no emotions either; now he's got a burden of emotions, because he didn't know what affection was, before this, he didn't know that people could be kind or nice and this is a new thing, it kind of shattered him. He probably has some guilt too that he survived perhaps, and others, and plus he thinks his dad probably was shot after they found out that he himself had escaped, Shin Dong, the son had escaped and they probably shot the father. But a hellish existence.

You don't hear much of this in the news as they tell you to start hating Arabs because they make the women wear veils over their faces. See, we get played left, right and center by all the corruption that's going on, and propaganda, scientific propaganda. But these camps are horrific and this is the 21st century. Man doesn't advance at all. Believe you me, under the thin disguise that you have of civilization, you have the same people who will become the prison guards, the torturers, they're all there already, a lot of them are already in uniform. It doesn't take much, they know how to turn the switches on these guys and get them working into the next mode if they want to. We saw what happened with extraordinary rendition, it's happening all over the place, still happening, where folk are just kidnapped from Canada, the US, wherever, whisked off to another country, tortured and so on. Lots of them never come back by the way, because they're such a mess at the end, they can't let them go loose in the streets and tell what happened to them; they kill them.

So the West is doing just the same kind of thing, folks, using the same kind of torture techniques. And it's just horrific. We've already seen some things come out of camps, and we like to forget that because we've been taught to look at the positive side and ignore the negative side, the thing that makes us feel unpleasant. And unfortunately that works with most folk awfully well, that's why nasty things eventually come upon you all. And that's why I come up with things like The Soviet Story, another one you must watch, things like that. Because you got to understand that there's no such thing as human evolution, as such, the propaganda they give us that somehow we're more civilized today. Believe you me, it's utter rubbish and nonsense. An interesting thing that this same young fella, this Korean, this Shin Dong-Huyk, he said that... because he's still lost obviously, this young guy will always be lost, between worlds. He said that all we had to worry about in the camps was food, obeying the rules and having food to eat, because they never had enough. He said, but here, and he was talking about South Korea, he says, everyone talks about

money, money, money, the same in the US and everywhere else, money, money, money, and they've got to have money to get the food and things like that. You could see his frustration as he's talking about this, to him it seemed all crazy.

And it is crazy because it's another form of slavery, whether you like it or not, that's what it is. The people at the very top get awfully rich off your labor, as Marx said; some of the things that Marx said simply were already understood by economists, top economists, John Stuart Mill and all these guys. And it's true, all true wealth comes from labor, the production itself, those who make the things, not from the guys who simply have the cash to own the things or own the factories. Today it's even worse than that, it's holding companies that own thousands of companies underneath them and they don't even care about how their profits are coming in, they just tell the guys who run the companies, the CEOs, bring in more profits. That's why everything you buy is garbage today, it's breakable junk, garbage, where they've cut corners to maximize every bit of profit. Nothing gets cheaper, it just gets cheaper made, that's all, and it's not meant to last. Eventually we'll get to the stage, and we're getting to it by the way, where things that you buy are literally nonfunctioning, when they're brand-new, because they're made of junk. Mind you, they've also trained the public to accept junk, a gradual training process, until people literally think buying cheap plastic rubbish, for vacuum cleaners, or about half a vehicle, a car, a brand-new car, with all its junky little cheap chips in it, is all quite normal and they'll pay a grand a time to get a new chip put in here, there or everywhere, there's just chips everywhere. It's just incredible how they've trained the public, gradually, over a span of 40 years, to accept junk.

Anyway, as I say, economics is another form of slavery. And Charles Galton Darwin in his book, and other ones too, Russell, there's all these boys, go into the same thing, that the power of the purse is very mighty, backed up with law, the coercion to obey and pay up this and pay up that, for new fees, taxes, for whatever it happens to be, and you can just jack up the prices of food, etc., and make it more difficult to survive. Buying meat… That was another thing too, this thing at Camp 14, meat was pretty well nonexistent for them, except for the rats that they could catch in their little huts. They'd cook them and eat them, that's all the protein that they could get a hold of. It's interesting to me to see the massive propaganda coming out today with, oh meat is going to be scarce for most folk in the future, and they mean most folk, not all. Not the ones at the top and the civil servants and bureaucrats and so on, or the cops, or the military and so on, they'll get the meat all right. But for the peasantry, it's to be down to eating crickets and munched insects and all this rubbish. The BBC apparently just did a three-part series on this, to make sure you're getting the idea, on how wonderful it will be with all these proteins we'll have from all these different things that you wouldn't think of eating before. We're trained like… It's so darned easy, we're trained, by our Masters, aren't we? And you've always got the greenies, a generation of greenies born and brainwashed to be part of a movement to save the world, save the planet, who will promote it all. And although their leaders, mind you, will be eating plenty of meat at the top, I can guarantee you that, but the little, you know, airheads at the bottom will be dutifully eating their rice and their soya and all the rest of the junk without any protein.

Now I'll get back to the war on drugs, as they say, which I knew a long time ago, many, many, many years ago I knew it, that this was partly an excuse to create an internal army in the world, across the world. And remember, presidents and prime ministers don't declare a war on anything, unless they mean that, it's a war on something. Remember, wars often suspend rights, constitutions, things like that. And this is the reason for it, this is part of the reason for it. But you must get some kind of excuse for getting a massive internal army built up, because down the road… and they knew back in the days of Nixon when he started up the FEMA bunch and so on, they knew… because they work on behalf of their Masters way above them, as they went into globalism and the economies went down and all the rest of it, they'd have eventual riots down the road, and they want internal armies to deal with it; but what would they use them for in the meantime that's an acceptable excuse? Well, a war on drugs would do. Now, I've done talks on the radio, on different radio shows, on the farce of the drugs. Because you have the CIA and the Pentagon, it came out with Colonial Oliver North during the inquiries into the drugs-for-guns scandal that came out there where they were literally arming other rebel groups and so on with guns in Latin America. But they were doing an exchange, the currency they were using was from another country, and they were getting basically heroin and so on and drugs, and selling it on the streets of America for their black budgets, to American children, to buy these guns to give to basically the so-called rebel forces in Latin America and elsewhere in the world. This is still going on today, folks, it hasn't stopped.

I also read another article by an ex-special forces guy who gave a fantastic interview in a newspaper, where he bumped into another ex-special forces guy who was helping run the drugs into America, while this particular guy that the column was about was in the Coast Guard trying to stop drugs coming in. So here are two ex-special forces guys, one with the Coast Guard and one helping run the drugs in for the CIA into the US, and they met up at some little exotic place and exchanged occupations basically. Anyway the guy who exposed it in the paper, the Coast Guard guy, he eventually was killed of course. And that's what happens in real life. So in other words, the war on drugs is something to use the cops on, with, you know, a reason to recruit more and more, to militarize them, and give them all this heavy military equipment. At the same time the Pentagon and your tax money is putting out movies, exciting movies for the young, especially, but adults watch them as well, and always against terrorism, to make you think that it's so necessary now that we have all this massive internal militarization of police forces. Britain is the same way as well. So it's training the public on that score as well. But first you must need a reason for having them and so they used a war on drugs, which are being shipped in, the biggest lots are being shipped down by your own country, or your own governments way above them.

But here's getting back to this book Rise of the Warrior Cop. It says here, the two-thousands, a whole new war...

Betty Taylor still remembers the night it all hit her. As a child, Taylor had always been taught that police officers were the good guys. (Alan: ...and of course she'd seen all the movies and everything else too, and all the dramas on television.) She learned to respect law enforcement, as she puts it, "all the time, all the way." She went on to become a cop because she wanted to help people, (Alan: They all say that. It's like Boy Scouts, you know, they want to help ladies across the road and stuff like that.) and that's what cops did. She wanted to fight sexual assault, particularly predators who take advantage of children. To go into law enforcement— to become one of the good guys— seemed like the best way to accomplish that.

By the late 1990s, she'd risen to the rank of detective in the sheriff's department of Lincoln County, Missouri —a sparsely populated farming community about an hour northwest of St. Louis. She eventually started a sex crimes unit within the department. But it was a small department with a tight budget. When she couldn't get the money she needed, Taylor was forced to give speeches and write her own proposals to keep her program operating. What troubled her was that while the sex crimes unit had to find funding on its own, the SWAT team was always flush with cash. "The SWAT team, the drug guys, they always had money," Taylor says. "There were always state and federal grants for drug raids. There was always funding through asset forfeiture." (Alan: That's a big part of it, by the way, because they copied this from the Soviet system. I hope you understand that. Everything that's happening in the US and Britain and elsewhere, it was all copied from the Soviet system. The cops who would raid people's homes would steal everything in the place and they could put it up for auction, but they split it amongst themselves usually, and help fund their own departments as well. So it was very lucrative to them to do as many raids as possible, the same as it is in the States. But it said here that they always had money, and they got all the big grants from the federal grants and so on, and the state. There was funding through asset forfeiture...) Taylor never quite understood that disparity. "When you think about the collateral effects of a sex crime, of how it can affect an entire family, an entire community, it just didn't make sense. The drug users weren't really harming anyone but themselves. Even the dealers, I found much of the time they were just people with little money, just trying to get by."

The SWAT team eventually co-opted her as a member. As the only woman in the department, she was asked to go along on drug raids in the event there were any children inside. "The perimeter team would go in first. They'd throw all of the adults on the floor until they had secured the building. Sometimes the kids too. (Alan: And by the way, they put guns to all the heads of the children as well, that's standard, in all countries now.) Then they'd put the kids in a room by themselves, and the search team would go in. They'd come to me, point to where the kids were, and say, 'You deal with them.'" Taylor would then stay with the children until family services arrived, at which point they'd be placed with a relative. (Alan: Generally they just whisk them off to the homes now of course; that's big money too.)

Taylor's moment of clarity came during a raid on an autumn evening in November 2000. Narcotics investigators had made a controlled drug buy a few hours earlier (Alan: So in other words it was a sting operation.) and were laying plans to raid the suspect's home. "The drug buy was in town, not at the home," Taylor says. "But they'd always raid the house anyway. They could never just arrest the guy on the street.

(Alan: …which of course they could, but they never did it.) They always had to kick down doors." (Alan: They like kicking down doors.) With just three hours between the drug buy and the raid, the police hadn't done much surveillance at all. The SWAT team would often avoid raiding a house if they knew there were children inside, but Taylor was troubled by how little effort they put into seeking out that sort of information. "Three hours is nowhere near enough time to investigate your suspect, to find out who might be inside the house. . . ."

That afternoon the police had bought drugs from the stepfather of two children, ages eight and six. Both were in the house at the time of the raid. The stepfather wasn't. "They did their thing," Taylor says. "Everybody on the floor, guns and yelling. Then they put the two kids in the bedroom, did their search, then sent me in to take care of the kids." Taylor made her way inside to see them. When she opened the door, the eight-year-old girl assumed a defense posture, putting herself between Taylor and her little brother. She looked at Taylor and said, half fearful, half angry, "What are you going to do to us?" Taylor was shattered. "Here I come in with all my SWAT gear on, (Alan: …like Darth Vader, you know…) dressed in armor from head to toe, and this little girl looks up at me, and her only thought is to defend her little brother. I thought, How can we be the good guys when we come into the house looking like this, screaming and pointing guns at the people they love? How can we be the good guys when a little girl looks up at me and wants to fight me? And for what? What were we accomplishing with all of this? Absolutely nothing."

Taylor was later appointed police chief of the small town of Winfield, Missouri. Winfield was too small for its own SWAT team, even in the 2000s, but Taylor says she'd have quit before she ever created one. "Good police work has nothing to do with dressing up in black and breaking into houses in the middle of the night. (Alan: By the way, it's the same thing they do the world over. That's what the Stasi did in East Germany. That's what they do in North Korea. You heard the guards talking about it, busting in in the middle of the night.) And the mentality changes when they get put on the SWAT team. (Alan: …when they put on their gear.) I remember a guy I was good friends with, it just completely changed him. The us-versus-them mentality takes over. You see that mentality in regular patrol officers too. But it's much, much worse on the SWAT team. They're more concerned with the drugs than they are with innocent bystanders. Because when you get into that mentality, there are no innocent people. (Alan: It's really us against them. Them is all of YOU, the whole population out there. You're special, you're in your Darth Vader suit and all your armor, you're black, you're menacing, your gear is always black and menacing.) There's us and there's the enemy. Children and dogs are always the easiest casualties." (Alan: …because they can't fight back, right. Quite something.)

But it's so sad. It's so sad what's been allowed to happen. And this book too by the way, you've got to get this book because it goes through so many of the botched raids where they were using informants who had simply made up the stories on people, and they would do dozens and dozens of raids regardless if the informant was lying. They'd bust and smash up all these houses, dozens and dozens of them, some of these teams, before they'd eventually get rid of that particular informer. There was one sad case where they bust into someone's home, it was the wrong address, that happens often too, especially when they have maybe 10 raids that night to do. I mean, this is what they accomplish, you know. You understand, when you create any government bureaucracy they must start to get their importance up there, or they might get disbanded. It doesn't matter what they start up for, bureaucracies are the same and they must expand and expand and expand to show their bosses how important and necessary they are, so they create more work than you can believe.

But there was one botched one, as I say, and they came in, threw the guy on the floor, the middle of night, threw the child on the floor, an 11-year-old boy, and put guns to their heads and all the rest of it. I think the guy's wife was there too, all had guns to their heads. The one that was holding the little boy on the floor, who wasn't moving, fired his shotgun point-blank at the boy's head and blew his head off. This is happening across civilized countries and you're being taught that it's normal. There were no drugs there, it was a mistake, wrong address, the whole bit, which happens frequently. The Senators all know this in the US but they're all for this program because their bosses above them tell them, plus they're getting big money from the lobby groups, from the military-industrial complex to sell all the tanks and everything else they're getting there, the heavy military equipment.

Because as I said earlier on, years ago they said, what are they going to do when they run out of wars? Well,

they would start long beforehand, before they run out of wars, preparing for internal terrorism to sell equipment to the governments. And they've been doing it, through cons like this. And there's massive federal funding. Anyway it says...

BY THE MID-1990s, THE BYRNE GRANT PROGRAM CONGRESS had started in 1988 had pushed police departments across the country to prioritize drug crimes over other investigations. When applying for grants, departments are rewarded with funding for statistics such as the number of overall arrests, the number of warrants served, or the number of drug seizures. Those priorities, then, are passed down to police officers themselves and are reflected in how they're evaluated, reviewed, and promoted. Perversely, actual success in reducing crime is generally not rewarded with federal money, on the presumption that the money ought to go where it's most needed—high-crime areas. So the grants reward police departments for making lots of easy arrests (i.e., low-level drug offenders) and lots of seizures (regardless of size), and for serving lots of warrants. When it comes to tapping into federal funds, whether any of that actually reduces crime or makes the community safer is irrelevant—and in fact, successfully fighting crime could hurt a department's ability to rake in federal money. (Alan: ...money, money, money... It all comes down to money, doesn't it, eh?)

But the most harmful product of the Byrne grant program may be its creation of hundreds of regional and multijurisdictional narcotics task forces. That term—"narcotics task force"— pops up frequently in the case studies and horror stories throughout this book. There's a reason for that. While the Reagan and Bush administrations had set up a number of drug task forces in border zones, the Byrne grant program established similar task forces all across the country. They seemed particularly likely to pop up in rural areas that didn't yet have a paramilitary police team (what few were left). The task forces are staffed with local cops drawn from the police agencies in the jurisdictions where the task force operates. Some squads loosely report to a state law enforcement agency, but oversight tends to be minimal to nonexistent.

Because their funding comes from the federal government—and whatever asset forfeiture proceeds they reap from their investigations—local officials can't even control them by cutting their budget. This organizational structure makes some task forces virtually unaccountable, and certainly not accountable to any public official in the region they cover. As a result, we have roving squads of drug cops, loaded with SWAT gear, who get more money if they conduct more raids, make more arrests, and seize more property, and they are virtually immune to accountability if they get out of line. In 2009 the Justice Department attempted a cost-benefit analysis of these task forces but couldn't even get to the point of crunching the numbers. The task forces weren't producing any numbers to crunch. "Not only were data insufficient to estimate what task forces accomplished," the report read, "data were inadequate to even tell what the task forces did for routine work." Not surprisingly, the proliferation of heavily armed task forces that have little accountability and are rewarded for making lots of busts has resulted in some abuse. (Alan: It's quite amazing, really.)

The most notorious scandal involving these task forces came in the form of a massive drug sting in the town of Tulia, Texas. On July 23, 1999, the task force donned black ski-mask caps and full SWAT gear to conduct a series of coordinated predawn raids across Tulia. By 4:00 AM, forty black people —10 percent of Tulia's black population —and six whites were in handcuffs. The Tulia Sentinel declared, "We do not like these scumbags doing business in our town. [They are] a cancer in our community, it's time to give them a major dose of chemotherapy behind bars." The paper followed up with the headline "Tulia's Streets Cleared of Garbage."

The raids were based on the investigative work of Tom Coleman, a sort of freelance cop who, it would later be revealed, had simply invented drug transactions that had never occurred. (Alan: They don't investigate their own crooks within their departments.) The first trials resulted in convictions—based entirely on the credibility of Tom Coleman. The defendants received long sentences. For those who were arrested but still awaiting trial, plea bargains that let them avoid prison time began to look attractive, even if they were innocent. Coleman was even named Texas lawman of the year. But there were some curious details about the raids. For such a large drug bust, the task force hadn't recovered any actual drugs. Or any weapons, for that matter. And it wasn't for a lack of looking. The task force cops had all but destroyed the interiors of the homes they raided.

Then some cases started falling apart. One woman Coleman claimed sold him drugs could prove she was in

Oklahoma City at the time. Coleman had described another woman as six months pregnant—she wasn't. Another suspect could prove he was at work during the alleged drug sale. By 2004, nearly all of the forty-six suspects were either cleared or pardoned by Texas governor Rick Perry. The jurisdictions the task force served eventually settled a lawsuit with the defendants for $6 million. In 2005, Coleman was convicted of perjury. He received ten years' probation and was fined $7,500. (Alan: Just shows you, eh, the rackets involved. And these are the characters who are making up stories to get their paychecks. And they just don't care who they pick for it, really, easy targets, I guess. On another page here, it says here... really interesting, it says...)

The heavy-handed federal enforcement on medical providers wasn't limited to marijuana. (Alan: ...because they were doing medical marijuana, the states were putting it through as legal for treating people with severe pain, but the federal ones were going in and raiding and still arresting the folk who were getting licenses from the different states, independent states, you see.) As fears about prescription opioid painkillers started to take root in the media in the early 2000s, the DEA began targeting doctors, and it has been doing so ever since. These are professionals with medical degrees, practices, offices, and patients, singled out for allegedly overprescribing a certain class of drugs. There's still a debate over whether overprescribing these drugs—as defined by drug cops, not other doctors—should even be a crime, and whether some of the doctors were even overprescribing in the first place.

Those questions aside, it's hard to fathom why it would be necessary to send SWAT teams to storm their homes and offices, subjecting their families and patients to the violence and volatility of a typical raid. (Alan: But it's true, they're using these teams now for everything, you understand, now. They don't just send a policeman to the door to ask a few questions. No, you just send in the teams, smash everything up and make a big scene. It's just like the movies, you see, and we like movies don't we? Now here's a little part here too, it says...)

"WE'RE GOING TO HAVE OUR OWN TANK," KEENE, N.H., Mayor Kendall Lane whispered to Councilman Mitch Greenwald during a December 2011 city council meeting. (Alan: ...we're going to have our own tank... wow.) It wasn't quite a tank. But the quaint town of 23,000—home to just two murders since 1999—had just accepted a $285,933 grant from the Department of Homeland Security (Alan: Now, that's your tax money, folks.) to purchase a Bearcat, an eight-ton armored personnel vehicle made by Lenco Industries, Inc.

Since the September 11 attacks, Homeland Security has been handing out anti-terrorism grants like parade candy, giving cities and towns across the country funds to buy military-grade armored vehicles, guns, armor, aircraft, and other equipment. Companies like Lenco have thrived, creating yet another class of government hardware contractors, and a new interest group to lobby Washington to ensure the process of police militarization continues.

These DHS grants have dwarfed the 1033 program. At the end of 2011, the Center for Investigative Reporting (CIR) found that Homeland Security had given out at least $34 billion in anti-terror grants since its inception, many of which went to such unlikely terrorism targets as Fargo, N.D.; Fon du Lac, Wisc; and Canyon County, Idaho. Defense contractors that had previously served the Pentagon exclusively, CIR reported, have since shifted their focus to police departments, hoping to tap a new homeland security market bounty expected to be worth $19 billion annually by 2014. Police agencies have a whole new source of funding for their war gear. Just as they'd done with the 1033 program, they'd initially argue that the equipment was necessary "just in case". . . . (Alan: ...they had school shootings or whatever.)

But in Keene, there was some resistance to the Bearcat. It began with Mike Clark, a 27-year-old handyman. (Alan: ...and they go through the story and so on. And they got their BEARCAT and all the rest of it, with all of its... it's packed with all kinds of fancy hardware; I think it's even got a 50-cal. on it, machine gun as well. But this is for policing, folks? You know. Wake up, eh. Anyway, it says...)

Clark, who'd had a couple encounters with Keene police that he described as "negative," read about the Homeland Security grant in the newspaper. "The police are already pretty brutal," Clark told me in February 2012. "The last thing they need is this big piece of military equipment to make them think they're soldiers." (Alan: And that's the problem, folks. They put these things on and they really feel that they're soldiers now. It's intentional too, the big boys at the top know what they're doing here. And it says they had various

town meetings to see if they should use the money to do better policing, you know, amongst the public, as opposed to militarizing them like this and etc, etc. But however, the military bunch always seems to win out because there's big money that changes hands, probably down to even mayors and all the rest of it too, I'm sure. That's just my opinion. But that's what happens in real life, folks. It says here...)

. . . It's a line of argument defenders of militarization use often. Oppose the arming of cops as if they were soldiers, and you must secretly want cops to be killed on the job. (Alan: That's one of the excuses they'll give.)

But the video Lenco was using to market the vehicle to police departments didn't exactly emphasize negotiation. (Alan: This is how they advertise... just like the military, they actually have ads specifically for cops, you see.) The camera viewpoint in the video was similar to that of a shooter video game. The soundtrack was AC/DC's "Thunderstruck." Cops dressed in camouflage toted assault weapons, piled in and out of the Bearcat, and took aim at targets from around and behind the vehicle. They then attached a battering ram to the front of the vehicle, which they then used to punch a hole in the front door of a house, into which they injected canisters of tear gas. (Alan: This is the ad. It says the company...) Lenco wasn't stupid. The company had chosen the images and music used in the video because they felt it would appeal to those police departments in the market for a Bearcat.

Dorrie O'Meara, a 13-year resident of the town told me, "Keene is a beautiful place. It's gorgeous, and it's safe, and we love it here. We just don't want to live in the kind of place where there's an armored personnel carrier parked outside of City Hall . . . It's just not who we are."

According to CIR's research, DHS gave out $2 billion in grants in 2011, about four times the value of equipment given out through the 1033 program. As with the Byrne and COPS grants, the DHS grant program also got a big boost in President Obama's 2009 economic recovery package. (Alan: He really put more into it, massively more, because he's knows what's coming up down the future and why they really want all these internal police which are military.) The CIR investigation also found that DHS makes little effort to track how the grants are spent once they're sent, nor does it track how the equipment is used once it has been purchased. (Alan: And believe you me, there's an awful lot of corruption amongst the police forces too.) The agency also doesn't seem to care if the recipients of the grants are places that face any tangible threat of terrorism. (Alan: That's what tells you it's for a different reason, that's still to come.)

Hence, a city like Fargo, North Dakota has been able to get its hands on $8 million in grants, which the police department has used to buy assault rifles, kevlar helmets, and an armored truck with a rotating turret. Fargo Police Lt. Ross Renner attempted to defend the city's armament. "It's foolish to not be cognizant of the threats out there," he said, "whether it's New York, Los Angeles, or Fargo." But until the day when the next Muhammad Atta casts rage-filled eyes on North Dakota, the department hasn't made much use of its gun-fitted armored truck. CIR reported that it's mostly used for show, including at the annual city picnic, where police parked it near the children's bouncy castle.

(Alan: But it's just astonishing, absolutely astonishing. There's a story here too, which is kind of comical, it says here...)

Elsewhere, CIR found that "In Augusta, Maine, with fewer than 20,000 people and where an officer hasn't died from gunfire in the line of duty in more than 125 years, police bought eight $1,500 tactical vests. Police in Des Moines, Iowa, bought two $180,000 bomb-disarming robots (Alan: They all want the toys, you see.), while an Arizona sheriff is now the proud owner of a surplus Army tank." And in Montgomery County, Texas, "the sheriff's department owns a $300,000 pilotless surveillance drone, like those used to hunt down al Qaeda terrorists in the remote tribal regions of Pakistan and Afghanistan." A couple months before the CIR report, the sheriff in Montgomery County had broached the possibility of arming his drone with rubber bullets, or possibly teargas. (Alan: I remember when that was in the paper.) "No matter what we do in law enforcement, somebody's going to question it, but we're going to do the right thing, and I can assure you of that," he said. Five months later, the department made headlines when its DHS-funded drone accidentally crashed into its DHS-funded Bearcat. (Alan: [Alan laughing.] And these guys are going to expertly raid your home and no one's going to get slaughtered, eh?)

Anyway the point of what I'm getting across here is that there's a different reason why all this is being promoted. It trains the cops as well that they're now a military. It doesn't matter if the cops even believe it's for drugs or not, it doesn't matter. They're a military and you're all the enemy, and they're going to all be turned loose with all their heavy military equipment if there's ever any real riots coming down the pike with the massive inflation, the next bank crash or whatever else comes along; you're going to really see why they're really there. And just like I mentioned with that Camp 14, Total Control Zone, you'll find that system can be brought in awfully rapidly. The torturers are all there waiting for the jobs, believe you me, if they're not already in some. There's no difference to what can be done in North Korea and in your own country. As I say, your own people, whether it's Britain or wherever it happens to be, are doing extraordinary rendition, and they're whisking people off and torturing them, exactly the same way as they get tortured in Total Control Zone, and they slaughter the people as well at the end of it, rather than having them loose on the streets and getting sued over it, so they just kill them. Very simple.

That's civilization as we know it. Because under the guise of our wonderful system it's as brutal as ever, it's simply more clever on how it makes you perceive the whole system, folks. It's more clever. But you can see it reverting right back to open... the raw grossness of it all, in a very short time if need be. Believe you me. Sad, sad, sad. Human nature hasn't changed, it really hasn't changed at all.

And there's no shortage of folk who will do the slaughtering for the government, and slaughtering and torturing, in any country on this planet... any country on this planet, folks. There's no shortage of the people who will do that. And they get into the mindset, and they will convince themselves as they're doing it that it's their right to do it; these people are scum for speaking out against the system, or whatever it happens to be. And that's what they use for excuses. It's sad, isn't it, sad that it's so easy to program people. It's terribly sad.

As I say, remember that movie, and the documentary came out from the prison experiment, Stanford prison experiment, The Experiment. In the movies they made the control factor. You become your job when you put on the uniform; it doesn't matter what job you're in, you become that darned job. But you put them into a uniform of authority and the person literally changes their whole personality. It goes to their head. That's why police should never be dressing and behaving as military dress and behave. Military are created to go out there and kill people. That's their job, folks. That's their job, that's it. And do you really want that walking around your streets and busting into homes in the middle of the night, as they're doing? And it's all over the States now.

And as I say, you've got to get the book, Rise of the Warrior Cop, because believe you me... You just look at the front picture on the page there and it's just like something out of Star Wars. I knew when Star Wars came out, I said, this is for the future. I didn't see it till years later, because I didn't want to see it in the first place. But it's pure propaganda, and you're programmed through the movies of course, for what's to come. And it's here, unfortunately it's here. Isn't it? And we get entertained into oblivion, through indoctrination through fiction. Youngsters want to be the guys dressed up like Robocop. You don't have to go searching to find people who will do this or search for torturers, they're there, folks. Sad, isn't it?

Now remember too, you can go into and make good use of cuttingthroughthematrix.com. I've mentioned other good videos over the years that have come out from the ex-Soviet system to show you how their system worked and what happened and the atrocities that happened there. You've got to understand this and know it all, and remember too you've been trained to avoid pain and seek pleasure. You have been trained deliberately to allow all this to happen. You have been trained. And if you can't, I can understand, for instance, Camp 14, a lot of folk will be unable to listen to this guy talking. It's definitely not an act with him. A lot of folk won't want to hear it. You've probably heard that yourselves, I'm sure, if you discuss things with your friends.... I don't want to hear that, I don't want to hear that. It's too unpleasant, you see.

So, you know, if you really want truth... Very few folk want real truth you know, they want a sanitized version of something that will suit their personality and their psyche. That's not what truth is. It's like gravity, it doesn't care what YOU want, it just is. So if you really want truth you've got to look at the dark side of everything too because only that way could you ever, ever possibly prevent it all happening again and again and again. At least you'll see it coming.

But, I have to say that humanity has not progressed at all. We live under illusions, in a world of illusions, whether it's being forced to work for nothing, by slave masters in a camp, or forced to work for a system in which we have no choice at all or you starve to death, for something called money, that's run by people you'll never meet, who decide its value every day, who decide that you're going to be trained to believe in it, because they give the grants out and tell the school systems, the standardized school systems what to teach in and brainwash you with; your reality is given by them too. Save up, what's the point in saving up money when it's going to be worth a fraction of it, of what it was when you put it in the bank, a fraction. Rate of inflation. Everything's a racket, folks.

Anyway, you can help me out. Remember, buy the books and discs at cuttingthroughthematrix.com or you can donate to me as well. You can find out how to do it at cuttingthroughthematrix.com or alanwattsentientsentinel.eu. And help me tick along because it's really murder here right now. As I say, there's been no summer at all, it's all winter. And seeing the sun is a rarity, I've only seen it a couple of times, two or three times this year. And now I've already got at night it's now the freezing temperatures, already, which is unheard of. But we know it's to do with their chem spraying or as they call it, geoengineering, which is just routine weather control now. It's routine, daily, routine. We live in illusions and we don't want to see the bad side of things. They say the naïve are the first to go, don't they? They crack up because they can't handle the bad news, when anything bad actually really happens. They live in Disneyland, that's it, they choose it in fact. But it's up to you where you want to be.

Remember, as I say, you can send me a few pennies here and there. Help me to get by here, and I live a lot lower standard than most of you out there. And it's my own fault, but I do this by choice. I wanted to come out and really wake people up. A lot of talk show hosts have made stacks of videos using my stuff, and the material, so at least I've changed the way the whole thing was going quite a few years back and brought more information on the table, which has to be discussed now.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Sept. 21, 2014 (#1461)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Profiteers Leer, Hard-Selling by Fear"
© Alan Watt Sept. 21, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Sept. 21, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on September 21st, 2014. I don't know how many people noticed but they had another one of their big global meetings on the possibilities of geo-engineering a few weeks ago there. They said that if every country signs on to this, if they ever were going to do it that is – of course it's such nonsense because they've been doing it for years – but if every country signed onto this then they couldn't just stop, you have to understand, they couldn't simply stop, because if they stopped it would cause climactic chaos, extreme weather conditions. It's so comical in a sense because we've been getting the extreme weather conditions since they started to spray heavily and pretty well daily since 1998. And they're on about global warming again, you see.

Because it's true, the whole jet stream... We're under such micro scientific management of the weather today, it's pretty well routine management of the weather with the jet streams being modified and altering the patterns of winds and so on; they can actually make a straight line up and down the US, north to south, right through Canada, and give everybody on the east nothing but pouring weather, for a whole year pretty well to the present time. Since the snow stopped it started raining and it's rained ever since in Canada. Only a handful of days of sun, at all, literally, and that's no exaggeration. There was no summer at all. It's still raining yet, of course. And as they prattle on about global warming we've had a few degrees below the freezing mark just on Thursday night there. This is September, folks. This is the effect of geo-engineering, you see. And everything west of this lineup through northern America, anything west of it is getting baked basically, especially in California, because they have so manipulated all the winds that come down and the jet streams and so on.

That all was disclosed, mind you, way back in the 90s, they could do that, if they ever wanted to manipulate jet streams, by the use of HAARP technology and by the use of course of aerial spraying of particulate matter, which they've been doing so heavily. So we're under massive scientific control and it's really having its effects, naturally. Along with what the public are told, which is pretty well that they're causing all of this, nothing to do with spraying. They don't mention the spraying in the skies, the chem spraying, even though

it should be obvious to everybody if they would only use their own eyes and go by their own observations, as opposed to waiting for the media to tell them what's important and what isn't important, and unfortunately most folk do allow the media to do their reasoning for them today. It's very true.

So they're all hyped up about coming catastrophes. Because you understand, the group that dominates the world financially, and have for a long time, and it's so well organized with its thousands of nongovernmental organizations, with its multi-dozens of foundations where they funnel the money to these NGOs, nongovernmental organizations, it's just astonishing how organized they are. They control the media too and they give us our reality on every topic, every topic and they tell us what our conclusions should be, which is generally, be very, very afraid, until the next meeting comes along and they pass laws and get what they want, then they push on for the next step. Because you understand, to have complete dominance, if you give all the right to Geoengineers, as they call it, total weather manipulation worldwide, if you voluntarily give them the right, even though they've been doing it without your permission for years, if you give it to them then that's a legal precedent, you see, you have acquiesced to it, and they can do what they want then.

Imagine, one global authority, one global authority... We know that everything through globalization is to get one authority that controls all your food, one authority that will control all your medications, one authority for everything, water, etc. This is what it's meant to be. Yet all these ones belong to one organization, so really one organization will rule the world. Everything you need to survive on this planet will be owned, and pretty well it's there actually, by one organization with its front mouthpieces like the United Nations World Health Organization, that speaks for big Pharma and so on, etc. etc. But the power to control the weather, and spray you, and you must then accept, too, the side effects of it all which are killing folk off already with bronchial problems which cannot be cleared up, and depending on your gene type it will hit you worse than some others, initially; you will all come down with it eventually though. Because the stuff they're pouring into the atmosphere and have been since 1998, continuously, the sulfurs, with aluminum oxides and so on, barium and various other things, shouldn't be in the atmosphere, certainly, certainly not in the concentrations which they now are. So you have to accept, if you go along with this because you're petrified by it all, then you have to accept the consequences and the side effects and the fallout from it, which is death and illnesses, etc.

The big boys will achieve so many goals by this, by depopulation for one thing, it will also increase the death rate of infant mortality, elderly mortality, through the poisoning of their systems. It's beautiful in so many ways, isn't it, from a macabre point of view? But this is what we're living through, because you understand, you're always given false choices. When there's a con going on, this is a very old scheme, this conology, this term I coined a long time ago, conology. In the art of conology the idea is to get the people to either buy something or do something that benefits you who comes up with the idea of using them for your own benefits, you see. Psychopathic, that's what it is. I think personally the world has always been ruled by psychopaths. That's my opinion. And it's not just my opinion actually, it's becoming a standardized opinion through many universities which have a lot of psychopathic professors working there doing sweet-damned-all for big paychecks and lots of status. But the fact is, they're now trying to say that human society would be stagnant, arrested, if you didn't have the psychopathic qualities, which make big changes through their aberrant actions on things, big changes which affect all of us.

I used to wonder when I was small, mind you, why you had people who went around slaughtering people across the world and plundering, like Alexander was called The Great, Alexander The Great, you see. And they'd say, well he did all that. Yeah, he did all that, but at the same time too he tried to unify them all under one system, an Empire, like that's a good thing, you see. And a psychopath has a technique, he'll always justify what he does. And those who are psychopathic and who laud that kind of thing only respect someone more psychopathic and powerful than themselves. Look at any dictator and around them in a descending order you'll have all the top ones, all the generals going down the road to the lower level psychopaths. Everyone hates the one beneath them because they're inferior, but they bow and kowtow to the one above them, power and might, you see, that seems to be it.

So we are living in a psychopathic system which uses conology and they can only con you by understanding human nature. And I've said so many times that there's nothing in this planet been studied as much as humans and human nature. The reason they use bugs and animals and so on to constantly test, over and

over and over again, they're trying to find similar primitive traits, you see. If you can find the similar primitive traits that work on everything... for instance, everything must eat, pretty well everything must breathe, you see, things like that, and then you go into the behavior that goes along with basic drives and needs, you see, and if you can collect them all and experiment over and over and over again, then they will also work with man, you see. And they do unfortunately. You are understood so perfectly well. All they have to do with the NSA and all the other organizations that are listening to everything that you do, and reading everything that you write and text, is to figure out your personality profile and put you in the appropriate category of designation for your personality profile. You're a type blah-blah-blah, you fit into this pigeon box, you see, this pigeonhole, and you are another type, you fit into that pigeonhole. And that's all they have to do.

They know exactly what drives you, what motivates you, what will make you act on certain things, what will make you sit back on certain things and leave it to others. You're completely understood, right down to giving... and I've mentioned this before and I read it from an article that came from the Pentagon, where they had a whole system of computers set up, where they had everybody in North America in it, and probably a good part of the world too, that had information up on the Internet of any kind at all including all their messages and phone calls, and analyzed them, and they had a virtual us... For you there is a virtual you in the Pentagon with all the data fed into it and they can actually run simulated mock trials on little experiments with your virtual you, to see how it would come out, you see. And they're pretty well certain that how the virtual you will decide how to deal with certain problems, in the virtual world, will also work in the real world. It's so precise.

You understand, everything that happens in the world today is about control and dominance. Control. A very old plan, mind you. It's had many names down through time. But it's a very old plan. I've put up The Soviet Story and different things in the past to show you little clips, like George Bernard Shaw, cofounder of the Fabian Society in Britain, who pushed what they called socialism. But you understand, for the elite socialism is not what the little followers at the bottom believe. It's nothing to do with sharing wealth with all of you or getting you back benefits for all the taxes you pay. It's about the right for an elite, very much like the Soviet Communistic style, an intellectual elite would run everything from cradle to grave basically for every individual. And that's pretty well coming here now. It's been instituted in some countries already, the monitoring of children all through childhood by government-provided so-called experts, or guardians, that come into the homes and test them on different things. Scotland's one and it's called GIRFEC, the public have pretty well no choice in the matter, some other countries have different names for the same program, to make sure they can modify that child's thinking, if they start thinking for themselves, and become politically incorrect by saying what they see. This is how advanced everything is today.

I noticed too, that next week they have the big meeting, again, a premeeting you might say for the global warming groups of course, and the United Nations bunch; I think it's in New York again. So this week they set up all the big NGO groups led by actors and musicians, all the usual crews. You understand, when you get into music or you're an actor you're approached by these organizations, immediately, to be spokespersons for them. You get a lot of benefits, and fans will join you, so they get a lot of side benefits from doing this and giving photo-ops for these groups and so on. But they're having marches through London, for instance, right now to try and get media attention, to get them all warmed up, and they're going to do the same in the States as well in different places. Get them all warmed up and the public prepared for all the declarations that the IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) will come out with of course, to do with climate change and the need for everything to be changed in the world, to give up all your rights, you can't heat yourself by any means except those authorized by the state, the world state and all the rest of it, or, you can't live in that house anymore because it's letting too much heat escape. This is all to come out of this organization, you understand.

One authority will run the world and how you live. I mean that. I really mean that, completely. The choices are disappearing from you very, very quickly; choices that you've taken for granted as just everyday living, have been taken from you so fast, so fast. And it's so funny too, with all these so-called greenie organizations, as I say, with their film stars at the top and so on, why not a plumber? A plumber is more necessary to society than any media-created little person that goes to the toilet the same as you and I do, and does the same things in the toilet as you and I do. They're made into something that they're not, which is special, because all they do is a job, right? And their job is to impersonate other people and go into the

world of fiction. But could you do without them? Well actually you could. Could you do without your plumber? Well, think about it. But this is what they do. They use these folk because we follow the stars, we're trained to follow stars, you see. And if this person said it, who's an actor, who acts fictional parts all the time, you're going to start trusting them when they say something because you think it's really them talking. You don't even know who they are, really, do you? You have no idea behind all the PR that the media puts out about them. They're no specialists on anything. They're official mouthpieces.

But anyway, they're driving about in their greenie cars for photo-ops and stuff like that, with cars that you can't afford, by the way. And they prattle on about, oh it's so clean and blah-blah-blah to drive and pollution-free. Well, what's charging their electric batteries there, folks? Nuclear power is doing it. How much power does it take to charge those big darned batteries? Think about it. It's something you can't afford to do either. And do you think they're going to stop driving themselves when it goes out of sight and they get so many laws passed that only the big shots and essential vehicles only are to be on the road, like Agenda 21 says? Hmm? Of course, they're not going to suffer. They make sure of that before they become mouthpieces for their bosses, and they have bosses, folks, way above. The CEOs of big corporations have the bosses too, oh yeah, way above them. So do the politicians and prime ministers and presidents.

Do you really think that idiots are running the world? Do you really think so? That's what they want you to believe. They really want you to believe that. How come these same agendas have gone on and rolled on with umpteen changes of Parliament or Congress? The same agendas go forth and magnify and get worse and worse and worse. It doesn't matter who gets in. It's all a sham, it's all a show. I've gone through so much of this in the past to do with the setting up of the system, and how Professor Quigley, who was the official historian of the Council on Foreign Relations which is the Royal Institute of International Affairs, a private group, that was the new name for the Lord Alfred Milner Group/Cecil Rhodes Society that planned world dominion, to be run by a rich intelligentsia, to take over all aspects of society and living and everything else, by deception. And how, Quigley said, there hadn't been a president or prime minister for about 60 years that hadn't been a member of this organization. They're chosen beforehand, you see, before their names are put forth for you to pretend to elect. That's why none of them rock the boat, they will not buck the system. They wouldn't dare. If they did they'd be Kennedy'd.

But that's how the world really is, folks. And unfortunately people get caught up in the mainstream hype of following wars and stuff. Now, I warned years ago, taking the example of George Orwell's 1984, who hit the nail on the head, when he gets the little hero being asked, who are we fighting today, East Asia? West Asia? Who? Because they keep changing their target sites, or giving you new names, you know, if you get it wrong. Well, it's like saying we're fighting Al Qaeda... Oh no, we're fighting ISIS now, and some of them say ISIL now, you see. These are all terms coined by the Western intelligence agencies that the media then uses. That's not what the people over in those countries call themselves. It's still the same organization that was set up years ago by the US, Britain and so on. They were set up to fight the Russians when the Russians invaded Afghanistan. Al Qaeda was just the call sign that they put into when they all made contact through radio; that's what it meant.

So for years we've been living many lies, many lies, folks. The so-called progress, which is just the great work, the big plan, you see, of the big secret society that runs the world, has been unfolding perfectly well, including all cultural changes which they've implemented since, oh, the end of World War II they really ramped it up, through the 50s, and then in the 60s the techniques were perfected, and it's been on a roll ever since with all of the same agendas as the communists wrote down, actually, in the Communist Manifesto. Amazing isn't it? It's all pretty well been accomplished, the big 10 planks. And you find if you simply look at things and compare them to do with the world state owning all the means of production, well what is the world state? You have the Bank for International Settlements set up by the Royal Institute of International Affairs, and the Milner group before that. The IMF, the same thing. Private again, owned by them. All these organizations you take for granted because, you think, they've got official-sounding names, like somebody must've voted them in at one time. No, we didn't. Nobody voted them in, any more than the folk voted in having a central bank, a central privatized bank.

You accept things because they simply exist when you're born. And your parents already had accepted it, they never questioned it, they never thought about it. It's always astonished me how many folk just don't think about things... because they exist they must be normal. That really is astonishing, isn't it? It should be.

I remember explaining to a relative once when he was talking about his pay raise coming through. I said, well how is that going to really help you because inflation is way above that? Technically we're going backwards. In fact, they have admitted over the years that wages have pretty well not just stagnated since the 80s, we've gone backwards, they've dropped. Real wealth has dropped. They constantly inflate the money, which is built into the con system of money, backed by nothing, it's built into it, inflation. That's why inflation must always occur, because it's a con game. Go into how money is created, it's a con game, it creates the inflation. It creates the devaluation of the currency every year. So if you get a little pay raise or not, you're not even keeping up with the devaluation of the currency, what it can actually buy in real terms, real buying power, purchasing power. You're going backwards.

But we're like little animals. And I've watched some of the experiments they've done with animals, there's different things up on YouTube and elsewhere and from university sites, to do with how animals will accept tokens instead of giving them fruit, for instance, in little monkeys, things like that. Because a token is just money, you see, it's a substitute for something real. We live in fictions and fictions dominate our lives, including the tokens they give us called money. And you'll think you're rich, you see, you'll think you're rich if you get more numbers, just a numbers racket, you see. So you'll say, well you know the guy in 1920 was only getting 10 of these things and I'm getting hundreds of these things a week. But you see, the guy back in 1910 or 1920 could buy a house, you know, for a couple of thousand dollars, and he could still live well on that 10 bucks a week, and he could even put a child into school or college, and his wife wasn't out working. Something's wrong here. Huh? Something's awfully wrong. Folk don't think at all.

So eventually you end up with currencies that are like the lira or the peso, you spend about 10 million to get a cup of coffee, and you think that's normal. So when you end up with umpteen billion per week for your wages, you think, gee I'm rich. But no, you could only maybe buy 20 cups of coffee. It's all a big joke, folks, to make you run as fast as you can. Because the big boys who own the world own the land and everything and all produce; you name it, they own it. That's the real wealth. That's why there is estate, estate, you see, there's real estate. Real estate is owning something outright, that's the titles, deeds, the whole lot, and no one can touch you on it. No one can grab your land off you, including governments. No one can take anything away from you, that is yours. 'Fee simple', they used to call it, where you have complete deeds and titles to everything, no one can boss you around on it or anything else. Not the nonsense we have today where you're a tenant of your own property. It's a fictional term, a tenant, putting you down even though you're forking the cash out. It's really a fiction when they put you down as tenant. A person who rents is a tenant. Look at your mortgage.

Anyway, we live in all these fictions and that's how the world exists today, it's fictions, including what you take for reality and what you take for granted; it's a fiction, absolute fiction, so much of a fiction that most folk spend their lives living in fiction today. They soak it up and eat it up. They don't study what they're watching to see why it's there and how it's done and so on, and how it affects people. They enjoy it, they fall into the trap of actually putting down their guard and enjoying it. And the fiction today is so perverse, it pushes all the... like last week, I mentioned the Rise of the Warrior Cop, a great book to buy. But you see, society has been conditioned into accepting warrior cops through all the rubbish they've watched for years and years and years, with these almost cyborg creatures that wear nothing but armor and they look like giants and so on. That's how they've been conditioned to accept this society which they now take for granted with thousands and thousands of SWAT teams dressing up like that. And raiding for profit, by the way, because a lot of these SWAT teams, for those especially across the US but other countries have followed suit, they copied it from the Soviet system, where the cops can then grab and seize property of someone that they claim is a wrongdoer. Claiming... that's all you need is a claim that they're a wrongdoer and they can steal it from them and then they can split the goods up, and that's profit to the organization themselves.

When you create that kind of system, guess what, they're going to get more and more SWAT raids. And they are getting more and more SWAT raids, it's a going concern. And then you got the military-industrial complex coming in and selling them stuff, armored Humvees and grenade launchers and M-50 machine guns on the mounts of armored vehicles. This is a military, folks. And you have been trained through all your movies that somehow it's necessary, because you lap up all this fiction, masses of fiction, which prepares you for the reality, you accept the reality as it creeps in. It's the reality of having the fiction in real-life. But you're trained with everything by the same method, all the PC stuff. Don't have any opinion on anything

that's politically incorrect because they'll come down on you like a ton of bricks. It's not allowed. Those that push freedoms for everyone, and they always pick and create fringe groups by the way, are the most intolerant of all of any outside opinion. They will not stand for it. They'll forbid it. It's all psychological warfare. Everything is psychological warfare.

A big trick in conology is to give you choices about things, often things you've never thought of before, and they will always present it to you with a certain kind of urgency. It's no different, you'll find it all through marketing, because lots of psychopaths work in marketing, because they understand human nature, that's why they go into it, they already have the psychopathic ability to understand certain patterns of human behavior, they do it instinctively, as a psychopath. And they get paid big money, by big companies, to make folk want things they'd never thought of before, or to buy things based on an emotion as opposed to, well tell me about the product and why I should buy this product, not a car in some, you know, computer-generated background in the middle of nowhere with nice green hills behind it on a single road with no traffic anywhere. I don't want the fantasy, I want why should I buy this vehicle, you see, why should I spend this amount of money on this? No ad comes out and tells you why you should buy anything, except you get a big smile at the end of it from a female half-clad or whatever it happens to be. It's all done through simple primitive conology. And you're taken for, literally, a ride, but not in the car. So we're given false choices too.

Fear is the greatest thing to get people to buy things. Fear... It was the same thing with the EU, if you didn't join the European Union, you see, when they did give, or the pretense of giving folk votes, and they kept voting no and they kept bringing the same thing back, we want you to vote yes this time, they kept doing it over and over and over again. And you see, if you don't join it you'll be left behind. Fear... Oh you'll be in poverty. Look at the countries that joined it now. Look at the poverty they're in now. Now, before they were told about it nobody, the average person had never thought about joining... what's a European Union? You know, never thought about it, it never occurred to them. If you were in France or somewhere, no, you're a Frenchman, or you're British or whatever it happens to be. But suddenly you had to make a choice, suddenly you had to join something you never heard of before, and if you didn't join it you'd be left behind and you'll be poor, like all trade would somehow pass you by or something, you know.

This is the false choice, this or that, this or that. The same as voting for anything, actually, and if you give permission for it then you take the consequences, like everything else, there's always the big hammer that comes down after the false choice, you see. They call it imperative choice, the imperative choice. The same thing with global warming, if you don't go along with this and sign all your rights away, you're all going to die, quickly, horribly. Then the big hammer will come down after then, oh, by the way you can't breed anymore because, you know, you just don't have what it takes to get into the category that's allowed to breed and have children for the future, you know, superior intelligences and so on. You think I'm kidding. George Bernard Shaw, as I mentioned earlier, on that Soviet Story there is a clip there from an old reel he did and you will hear him and see him saying, you will have to come to us when we're in charge – this is the real meaning, the elite's meaning of socialism. You'll have to come to us and tell us why we should let you live. And you think it's just for the working people, oh goodness.

But fear is the greatest motivator of all, for you to lose all common sense and reason. That's why the big boys use it. That's why the World Health Organization uses it. Folk have forgotten that we're all supposed to have AIDS by now and most of us should have been dead, the whole population. That was what they said back in the early 80s, yep, we should all have been dead of AIDS by now. All the different flus they came up with, because they want you to take all the big Pharma products, you know, the stuff that modifies and kills you off earlier with cancers, and it's awfully lucrative for them because they get governments now to buy all this stuff, your tax money buys it. You're buying your own poisons, here. It's beautiful, isn't it? Then the government will try and order you to take it, you see, and all kinds of conology is used to make you think it's actually law. Why do they have to use such lies? It's because, you see, they cannot be honest about what it will do to you. If they get you to legally sign for it, well then it's on your own head, their conscience then is clear. It's beautiful, this technique, isn't it? Beautiful.

But fear's the greatest method. And remember, umpteen times we've had all these big flu scares, and then out came oh the different flus are coming out, blah-blah-blah, and H1N1, oh it was going to kill everybody too. It was only a couple of years ago, folks. And folk have forgotten all the incredible daily hype and terror getting thrown at them, and everybody's running off for flu shots, even though the flu shots, they keep

telling you, after they've given them, a few months later, they say they were ineffective and it was the wrong ones they chose for the combination of shots, it was the wrong kind of flu that really came in they tell you. And then, they showed you, remember, all the folk buying the Tamiflu, all these different things that supposedly might make... There was whole truckloads of stuff getting bought by civilians across Britain, and folk were spending their life savings on that, hoping to get enough in, if they got the darned thing, they could save their families. And then the next year they told you, after testing this stuff on the virus, it was completely ineffective. No one said "con". Who profited here? Huh? Who profited with all this?

I'll tell you another thing too, all alternate media is on the, not all of them but some of the big boys that sell the different products, the alternate health and so on, they make a heyday with all this as well. Because they were all into that. I can remember even the Y2K thing, they were selling generators at 10 times the price, some of these stations that call themselves patriots and so on, where you could buy the same thing at your local hardware store for a fraction of the price. But no, no, they hype, they hype, and hype and hype, buy now, Y2K was going to be the end of the world. Buy all these supplies, buy all these rations, buy, buy, buy, buy, buy, buy. Business, the patriot system and alternate patriot is a business, the patriot business. Unfortunately, folks, it is, big business. And big money is made, BIG money, with all kinds of products that are rehashed old products with new names. Big, big, big, big money. Because fear sells. And the promotion of fear is fantastic. Some folk don't know they're listening to an hour's advertising or two hours advertising. They think it's just the news they're getting. Then some guy will come on with some doctor's title or whatever and he'll give you the most terrible stories, and you think you're listening to a conversation and so on and you're actually listening to an ad to terrify you to buy this product, buy all these products that might save you.

Never ever buy anything when you're fear driven. You can't think logically and rationally when you're acting under fear. You can't do it, folks. False choices, eh. False choices, as I say, choices you never thought of. Look at Scotland there. What a joke. Cameron goes forward and gives them the referendum. Scotland has been demolished financially for many, many years, many years. Way back at the end of World War II it had been decided by London to deindustrialize the country. The Royal Institute of International Affairs/CFR had meetings in the 60s to do with the future of Britain; and with Scotland they said they would turn it into a tourist industry. There was all kinds of jokes that we'd be sitting at the sides of the roads like American Indians selling beads to tourists. Yet that's what it's been turned into. You understand, when the big organizations that really run media, everything, the banking system, you name it, it's all owned by one group, when they come and have these big meetings and declare things and give you their plans, they mean what they say. They have never ever deviated from their plans. Never, in centuries.

So they give a country that they've demolished financially, they've deindustrialized, and Scotland had big industries at one time, big industries at one time. It's up to its eyes in debt, it's flooded with immigration too, and the folk are simply despondent. So they give them this false choice, stay with Britain or go independent. Now, Britain already has signed every one of them on, and Salmond is all for it too, the EU, they're already under the EU Parliament, this superparliament. So what kind of freedom is that, to wake up under the new master? Huh? They're already under it. The only difference it would be is that the EU and Rompuy and the rest of them would get what... Remember Rompuy said, and I read it on the air on my radio show, and he said, it was the end of the nation-state, he says, nation-states were obsolete. Who came up with the EU idea, with the NAFTA/American Union idea? The Royal Institute of International Affairs a long, long time ago, actually before most of you were born. So here you have it, you know.

Now, if Scotland decided to push it through and say, okay we'll say that they won, because everything is rigged in this day and age, but supposing they had done that, then immediately the IMF would be in there running the country, just like Northern Ireland there, and deciding all political policy, telling them even what advisers to put on board, that are unelected, that's what they do when they come in, you see, and the Bank for International Settlements would be handling all the debts, etc., and the European Central Bank would be in there too. I mean, they'd have no rights of their own whatsoever, not that they have any right now. And it would achieve another goal by, again, opening up the choices for Wales and even maybe Northern Ireland, who knows. Maybe even sections of England because the EU wants, and Rompuy has made the speeches before, and as I say the Royal Institute of International Affairs has said it, their goal was a world where big massive trading blocs, no countries, but trading blocs, three of them, worldwide, would run the world. The Far Eastern group, that's the China group and all of Japan and all the rest of them all combined eventually,

Australia and New Zealand and lots of other countries, the European continent and the American continent. And everything in Europe, Europe is taking everything eventually, all those countries attached to that big landmass are all to be part of the EU. That's the big idea.

In a world where private corporations run us all, the new feudal overlords as Carroll Quigley said, and guess what? It's here, folks, they've been running you for quite a long time. Every government in the world brings on IBM when they've got any problems of any kind, and in come their big think tanks with them, IBM's think tanks that are associated with all the other private foundation think tanks, and they dictate your policies, which is just old stuff they wrote up years ago because they write up the world plans, you see. But nations as we know them have to just be obliterated, for peace and security, you understand, for the good of all, you understand. And eugenics and the power of the purse, which is a big part of it, will be completely in play, completely in play.

Even if you could breed and have children and you're not going sterile and you haven't been sterilized, can you afford them? Very old policy, that. They had meetings in Britain back in the 20s, I've got old books from then, talking about the outcomes of it, where they said they would use that as a disincentive for the ordinary folk to have children, they'd make it too expensive. Very simple. Make the rent so expensive, make the mortgages so expensive, make clothes, everything you need, basics, food, everything, so expensive. And now you're in the stage, as I said would come, where all your spending money would go to basic necessities, as food skyrockets on the global market, not national markets but global markets, you see. And all the private corporations take over what were once vital services, electricity and gas supplies, all the different things, and they're privatized, supposedly to make it cheaper. Every one of them is a liar because over many years they have proven that private companies don't take over these things except for massive profit. Common sense, eh. But they've got you by the short-and-curlies because everything you need is owned by the big multinational corporations. Everything you need to survive is owned by them.

Big corporations, there's a French one that bought over lots of the water rights in Canada, they already own them, this company. Now, when they say 'France' don't be misled by nations. It's like saying 'Britain owns...' They might have an office situated in Britain but the top shareholders probably don't even live in the country. It's such a joke, you see. It's very misleading and it's deliberately misleading. And under the new CETA rules, companies can then sue your country if your country interferes with future profits of theirs, what they expect for future profits, if you pass laws to protect your people in any way whatsoever. And you think you've got rights and things, and your governments are standing for you? It's your government that's signing these things into law on behalf of the corporations. You don't have governments. They're not yours. Not yours at all.

And getting back to Scotland, I had a bit of a laugh there, because I often thought that Alex Salmond, it's not the most common name and especially in Scotland. But supposedly it goes back to the old Norman guys coming in, and they would have it in the French of course and they would have it 'son of Solomon', Solomond, and Salmond. It's like salmon, like the fish, as well. But the vice minister that he's got, the next one, her name is Sturgeon, which is a fish. So you got a salmon and a fish. I mean, you couldn't make this stuff up. I mean, is it just coincidence or what? But I mean, you have to laugh often at what you read, folks, don't you? You really do. And then you find that she's married to a guy who also holds a lot of the reins of the Scottish National Party, Morell. Morell.... which is really from More, apparently, another old, old so-called French Norman name, or Moore. So it's all in the family.

Isn't it amazing that whenever you think you're getting something, unless you do your homework and check into who is who and you see the connections between the people that will be running the country, where literally their cousins and their relatives or their husbands or whatever are going to be running the purse strings or something like that, or some awfully powerful thing, and personally benefiting from what they will see as their own private corporation. But the bottom line is, the SNP is literally on line with the George Bernard Shaw/Fabian Society idea of retraining everybody from birth to death. They're really the Fabian style, it's not a Scottish thing at all. No, it isn't at all. Scotland's never had much of a chance on anything, really, for an awful long time. And even the whole thing of the union of the crowns was a bit misleading because the High Court in Edinburgh did not sign anything, apparently; that came out in the 90s from London; they had lied for centuries. [Alan laughing.] Because nothing was signed. What happened was that the governors in Edinburgh had actually just gone into permanent recess, they didn't sign it. So I mean,

everything is done by conology, isn't it? Everything.

And now Scotland is just a home for, I read the articles here where folk from all countries in Europe are flooding into Ireland and Scotland and elsewhere, because they're trying to escape the hell that was left from the old Soviet Union and the poverty. And these countries are the only ones that are offering welfare, as Britain. But that was decided a long time ago. For as long as I can remember Britain has opened the floodgates and instant welfare and big money and so on. Which is great for the bankers, I'm talking about the big boys who really run things, the guys who lend to countries or nations, to governments, because they live on interest from debt, you see, and compound interest. It's beautiful. See, each time the government goes to borrow more money it's like guaranteeing your power forever, like the lenders' power forever, with compound interest. It isn't just 'oh you pay me back and that's it, finished; we'll have to get a new deal after that.' No, no. This is put on a tab and the lender's the boss forever, private families. It's a racket.

But then your reality is a racket, isn't it? It's the same with following all these wars one after the other. Who are we fighting today, East Asia or West Asia... 1984. They keep changing it all the time, to keep you confused, and to try to keep you caught up in it learning all the new names they dish out to you. All you have to remember is the big Project for the New American Century, they actually had their own website up where they listed all the countries they were going to take out, in the 90s, starting with Afghanistan, and then Iraq, and then blah-blah-blah, and all through it, which they've pretty well done. That's all you have to remember, folks. You have to remember the statements made, and I've read them on the air too, on live radio shows, where Brzezinski and Kissinger, and Kissinger especially said his long-term plan for that whole area was to keep them in permanent disorder. In other words, demolish all their infrastructure, keep them in permanent disorder by financing different groups to fight each other, and keep them completely dysfunctional forever. That's what's happening. But you're misled and told, well another group has started up and they're going to start battling. I don't even bother following the nonsense. I really don't. Just remember the Project for the New American Century, and there's the list, and here's what they said they would do, and here's how they'd do it, and here's what they're doing. The rest of it is pretty well irrelevant.

Big agendas exist. Read the founders of the League of Nations which was the precursor of the United Nations; the League of Nations morphed into the UN during World War II. They had the same kind of departments set up, a Department of Population Control they had up there too, a Department of Health where they were going to inoculate everybody across the planet against everything supposedly. Top eugenicists were also on board with that. Why would eugenicists be on board? Guys who want to cull a lot of the population, that they claim are useless eaters, why would they be sitting on all the health boards, that want to save you and make you healthy, when they want to cull you? It doesn't match. It's like putting the hangman or the guy, the executioner with his big ax and his hood on his head, putting him on the board that's going to decide your fate. Set him down there, and he says muffled behind the hood, I want you to be healthy... Would you believe him? How double-minded are we? Doublethink as Orwell called it. How stupid are we? We better really think about this honestly and quickly and fast. But don't panic about it. Just start thinking. That's a start.

Now remember, folks, you can get a lot of information at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. You can also get information from alanwattsentientsentinel.eu. There's transcripts of a lot of the talks as well there that you can read as well. Anybody who wants to use that, it would be nice of you to mention where you got the information from once in a while. It really would be nice. Because if you don't then you're a symptom of what's wrong with the world today, where the big boys grab everything and they don't thank you for anything at all.

We live in a sad system, actually. The system is fake. I mean, the whole system is fake. So-called civilization is not our plan, is it, what they call civilization, and you're taught civilization. Where you're all crammed into cities to start with. You're crammed in like bugs, and then all kinds of problems arise from being in cities crammed together, just like animals in a cage, they've done so many studies on it, you hit a magic number and all kinds of deviancy and crime breaks out, and they start eating each other and all these weirdo things, in animals and rats and things like that. And people are just the same. They know this at the top. And you know, the world is a big place but they don't want you living on the land. They call it urban sprawl, nasty word, negative word, you see, sprawl... like a lazy person sprawled all over your floor there. That's how they use words. Words are awfully important to understand, and why they use them, in the

context that they give them to you. It can immediately give you an opinion on something before you even get the understanding of the topic. Very simple.

Professionals run the world. Neuroscientists, behaviorists, psychologists of all kinds, zoologists even, anthropologists. All working for big, big world government, in a type of world government where no one... Remember what they said a while back, a whole bunch of them, nothing will ever be the same again. Even after 9/11 they said that. Because this is to go on a steamroll, a roller coaster from 9/11 onwards, this whole new agenda of ramming so many things through under the guise of terrorism and you name it, until literally you accept SWAT teams going along with social workers to grab children, which you do now, because you see it in the movies. First come the movies, then you accept it when it happens in reality.

In the Soviet system Alexander Solzhenitsyn talked about this and he said, that when the NKVD, the precursors of the KGB and so on, when they used to come, after the revolution and so on, they'd come in the middle of the night or 3 o'clock in the morning, and they'd just grab your neighbors. But they'd wake you up, initially they would wake up the people, under the law at the time, so that the whole street would witness what they were doing, but it was also to strike terror into the people. He said, a couple of guys would just drag off their neighbors. He says, but there were many of us and only two of them. He says, that's when we should've grabbed anything handy and beat these two into insensibility. He says, once you start it and allow it to happen it gets worse. And you are also altered because you will start to accept it as normal. There's nothing happening today that hasn't been learned from long experience and testing in experimental countries and systems. It's all understood. And eventually the folk in the Soviet Union says, well I guess if the state wants them they must've done something wrong. And that's what we say here now too, I guess they must've done something wrong.

I've said many years ago that the worst thing that can happen and can be done to a person is to have their mind stolen at birth. And it's happened to millions of people, with their fake reality, their indoctrinations rammed into them, augmented by constant media repetition, and from cartoons onwards, all the indoctrination is all in them, through the movies, all through your adult life, through the media through their stories, through their accounts of what they say is happening in the world in reality. And it's all bogus and well-planned and there's nothing natural about it. Nothing at all.

So remember, folks, help yourself from cuttingthroughthematrix.com, lots of archives there to go through. You can also help me out by buying the books and discs there too at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. You'll learn a lot. I don't pound you with fear because I'm not there to sell you all kinds of remedies for quack cures or something that's going to save your life and bankrupt you at the same time. And help me to tick along here too.

But as I say, it's just astonishing, now as I say, just wait and see this big hype you're going to get next week from all the big NGOs and their paid followers and so on, to stand up for the climate change agreements and giving up all your rights and high taxes and all carbon taxes, for everything that you purchase, including your little candy bar there, how much energy did it take, how much carbon dioxide did it take to make that, including the wrapper. And you'll pay taxes, like money is going to solve it all, eh. No, money goes to governments and the big corporations that con you folks. If money would cure the world's problems they would just spray the money from the air. But obviously that wouldn't work, it wouldn't solve anything. It wouldn't fix anything. And those below who thought they'd catch the money and it would help them, oh no, because they would change the currency before it hit the ground. Wake up, folks. Wake up.

Scientific control controls everything, weather, your minds and so on. It's up to you to regain your mind, because you can't do anything else without it. And it's unique. And it belongs to you. It's your birthright. And you're allowed your own opinions on things, by your own observations and understandings, not through laws and being forced to believe this and forced to believe that or else. That's not freedom.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Sept. 28, 2014 (#1463)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Continuously Bad Mojo"
© Alan Watt Sept. 28, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Sept. 28, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on September the 28th, 2014. I'm afraid this has to be an awfully short talk because I've been harassed with incredible things happening, one thing after another here. Months ago my well pump went, I had to haul the sucker up, and it's a lot of pipe to haul up, believe you me, and putting a new pump in, rewiring a new control box, all that kind of thing. And then on Thursday there I think it was, it stopped working again, the new one stopped working... a Canadian Tire special of course. It's simply not working. In fact, when I checked the control panel, or the box that comes with it for the basement, the main capacitor had fried and blown. I thought, well maybe that's just what it is. So I spent a couple of days in town checking around trying to get a capacitor and you can't find one anywhere to fit this damn thing. And when I went to Canadian Tire, of course, they will only refund you or replace anything if you take up the whole thing that came as a package. That's hauling the pump back up again, etc. etc., and probably getting the same crappy model in exchange that blew in the first place. So it could be the motor gone as well, who knows at this stage. But that's where I am right now.

This is on top of everything else. Because last night the Internet wouldn't work, the XplorNet. After being hours on the phone with them last night, after a day working on the pump again, another day, then you find out that through different methods they can tell you, that you're barely connecting with them because the satellite, or not the satellite. It probably is the satellite that's gone out of position. But they say, it's your dish and therefore I have to screw around with that and the whole bit while I've still got a connection, which comes and goes, it's intermittent. So that's almost all I have to say right now because this is going to be an awfully slow connection even if it stays there without cutting out altogether, to get even this little bit up tonight. And I'm awfully sorry about that but that's what I'm going through right now.

It's just one thing after the incredible other, a whole bunch of things actually happening at the same time, and that's the way, for some reason, it happens to me. It makes you almost paranoid doesn't it? Because you think about it, everything now, every appliance, every thing you buy has got a chip in it, and through the Smart Meter, and it's already came out and I suspected it a long time ago, they could literally frazzle anything that they want to in your house. If they want to they could certainly, no kidding you, they definitely could. But it makes you wonder. But again, that's the paranoid part.

But whatever's going on the fact is, it's an awful lot of work to do. People keep wondering what I do with myself all the time. I fix one thing after another. Honestly, I do. Even this vehicle I've got, this used vehicle, I've got a pile of stuff to replace in it that I haven't got around to even doing yet, because the pump went again and then now the satellite went. So it's one thing after another, as I say, and I'm awfully sorry to say this but hopefully, maybe, maybe, if I can get things, or something working by next week and I'm not dying of thirst or something I can do a proper talk next Sunday. So thanks for looking in at least. And if you're good at keeping bad mojo away I'd appreciate any help you can give me, because I'm certainly getting an awful lot right now, right.

So from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Oct. 5, 2014 (#1464)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Noah Tipped Off for Coming Change,
The Big Boss, The Climate Would Rearrange"
© Alan Watt Oct. 5, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Oct. 5, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on October the 5th, 2014. I won't bore you with the troubles that I've been going through here with all the nonsense with the deep well pump, etc, and the junk they sell today for equipment and machinery that mainly comes from China or Mexico, with this wonderful elitist dream of free trade. It's a dream for them because they own all the top corporations that get all the funding from us to ship their factories overseas. It's been that way for an awful long time.

That's really why this whole system was set up, to make manufacturing centers, mainly in China, for the whole planet basically. You've got to remember that most of the plants in China are owned by people in the West and across Europe, etc, and elsewhere, so they're not necessarily Chinese in this day and age. They can make things over there so darned cheap, because we live in the age of greed-is-good, as one of the top economists of the US who teaches in university has said for many years. In their lectures they're told now, all these CEOs going through business management, etc., and corporate management, that greed is good. Years ago I said on the air that we'd get to a stage where things that were getting produced would be basically nonfunctional and junk to begin with, brand-new, because they have skimped on every important detail in order to make these things last not very long at all. And we're actually at the stage now, sometimes you have to repair things when they're brand-new before you start to use it if you want it to last any time at all. That's the craziness of today's society. But you know, society has always been pretty crazy because we've been run by crooks for an awful long time; it seems to be human nature.

Living through the big transfer of employment to countries across the sea, and remember, the prime ministers and presidents that said free trade is good for jobs were telling the truth because they just simply didn't tell you that the jobs would all be in China and elsewhere. So they were telling technically the truth. They're awfully legalistic this way, aren't they, plus they're mocking you as well. Unfortunately it works over and over. Most folk today, you know, still don't know, they kind of dream through their lives, they're never really conscious. Unless the media tells them to think and ponder something or be worried about something

they won't ponder or worry about it. It's that simple today. Because, as Brzezinski said, the media would do their reasoning for them, and that's what's happened today. They think it's an appendage to their brain. So if the media doesn't tell you to think about something, and even though you might subconsciously notice things like made in China, made in China, made in China, everywhere on everything, which I'm sure some folk must have noticed, I noticed it all, then you'd want to figure out why they're all made in China, suddenly, and there's no work at home of course. And over that hump, that period, what they did to get people over that hump, as the jobs left the shores of the Western countries in Europe and elsewhere, was, that's when they first started throwing out credit cards like crazy; every day in the mail you got offers for new credit cards. And that's what people have been doing ever since, is juggling credit cards. And because they can have the appearance of getting successful, and they judge success by getting things, material goods, just one after the other, it doesn't really matter what they're getting as long as it's the latest of something.

Because remember what Bernays said, and I've mentioned this many, many times. Bernays said, apart from teaching the marketers and so on how this whole structure of indoctrination would work on the general population creating this consumer society, and it's all tied in with psychology of course and the unconscious desires and drives and so on. He said, use existing structures... existing structures where many people follow something, with the kind of central leadership group at the top, like churches for instance, that worked awfully well in the US, just get the top guys on board and they'll help push it. And of course the governments got on board with that too for the wars across the Middle East – this is God's war, etc., against these nasty, you know, terrible pagans, which they're not pagan at all. And that works awfully well, you don't have to convince people one by one; look for existing groups to convince and they'll do your bidding.

When Bush Junior got all the top leaders in, the Christian leaders in, and actually gave them payoffs if they go back home and teach their flocks to be all on board with the war across the Middle East, which the Project for the New American Century group, of course, they listed all those countries they wanted taken out of the way. They would do it by using an existing organization which started off a long time ago as the Muslim Brotherhood, created by the West and London too, to stop unification of Arabian countries, initially. That was the purpose of it, keep them all apart and fighting each other. Then out of that they created the group called Al Qaeda and they got them fighting the Russians when they invaded Afghanistan, and then they continued to use it ever after to get the countries that were rising up to some kind of degree of wealth across the Middle East and Asia, and destroy them. Kissinger said, his plan, if he got his way, would be to start with Iraq and make them completely dysfunctional forever, they'd never ever get back to a solid unitary government again. And they've got their wish. They've done the same in Libya and elsewhere. That was all the Project for the New American Century published in the 90s by the group themselves. But people forget all that of course. And this nonsense with ISIS and ISIL, etc., is just a continuation of the same Al Qaeda group doing their bidding of their Masters, who are all doing the bidding of the Western countries, believe it or not. Because they don't want functional strong governments perhaps unifying across the Middle East. Very simple geopolitics.

And the propaganda is incessant. But getting back to this whole idea of indoctrination... Bernays, the so-called... He's mislabeled the father of marketing and propaganda, etc, and the consumer society. He came from a long line of people who'd fomented revolutions down through Europe and through the centuries and so on. They understood also through marketing, through basically merchandising stuff, how to create fashions and sell things to people. It was not a new art at all. And Bernays, one of his main things he said was, stop doing what you traditionally do (to corporations) – where you must make a good product, which will by word-of-mouth, because consumers will buy the things and say, what do you think, is it any good? Oh yeah, it's reliable, etc. I'll buy that. stop doing that, he said, change the consumer to suit your corporation. Governments do the same thing. You are, basically, the Army, whether you like it or not; all future recruits come from all of you or your offspring, and you feed the Army. So you create the kind of conditioning to suit your goals ahead. The US, again, is awfully, awfully good at that. Britain's a bit harder, they're behind it because Britain's had these characters running the country for centuries with war after war after war so that the big corporations within there, based in London, can grab all the loot and resources across the world. This is a continuation of the same old thing.

Of course the elite themselves employed eugenicists and all the rest of it, to always look into the future to make sure the future is safe for them and their own, to go into the future still in command of it down

through time. So there's a long, long history to all of this. But remember, you get changed. Rather than the products changing to suit you, and so you get a good money's worth for your buy, no, they'll give you junk now. But through massive marketing and peer pressure, you know, everybody's got the latest iPhone, mark whatever it is with the latest app, yada-yada-ya, and you've got to get it too or you're not cool. And look how well it works when they line up, they all line up for days sometimes to get the latest piece of junk that will last a few months until the next model comes out. They have changed society to suit the product, you see. Brilliant, really, isn't it?

And just as they use that technique on selling various pieces of junk, expensive junk, which also is to monitor all of you too – it's beautiful, we buy our own chains. We don't have to get forced to give away freedoms, they do it gladly if they think they're getting a benefit on the side, you see. It's beautiful strategy, isn't it? But it also tells you that the people don't have a mind of their own whatsoever, and they're so careless about privacy and freedom. They don't know that revolutions were fought in the past over privacy; that came out with the Castle Doctrine, things like that, which are all ignored today. It started in Britain, adopted by the US, the Castle Doctrine, and now in the US they have no-knock policies. They ram and bash down doors and just have SWAT teams, thousands across the country, every day, and the militarized police, just smash in and that's it. There are so many horror stories to do with this that are all swept under the rug. This is how they have changed society. And you're changed too because everything you watch is to do with SWAT teams and special agencies looking for terrorism, etc. etc. So whoever they're smashing down the door of must've done something wrong or they wouldn't get their door smashed down. That's how you think. And these are for nonviolent crimes, remember.

Now, there's nothing you can believe that comes across the airwaves today from the media. Because the media is a propaganda tool to manage the public, to manage their minds and get them ready for whatever comes along next that the big boys have planned. They manage it in a certain way to make you always for whatever government action is taken. We saw that even way back before 9/11 happened when, as I say, the Project for the New American Century had published, twice actually it published in the 90s, the countries they wanted taken out across, starting with Afghanistan and then they wanted to take out Iraq, and then I think it was Libya. There was a dispute between the Israelis and the US government. Israel wanted them to push right through Iraq and right on to Syria and then go to Libya; however the US did it in a different step-by-step process, they had a long pause after Iraq and they went for Libya next.

So regardless, everything is preplanned and they always make sure that you'll think the enemy is at the root cause of it all, of course, and to give us permission to go in, for humanitarian purposes by killing them all, etc. Then you have the fallout, which they planned again in the 90s, of refugees coming out of the countries that you're bombing. They've always done this of course, this is an old strategy. So you already have hostile refugees coming in, not too happy because they're coming into the countries that are helping to fund war on all the countries across their homelands, they're being bombed into annihilation. So they come into the countries often penniless, a lot of them, set up in certain areas and they can foment trouble down the road because they're not too happy with the way things have been going, and the very fact that they've had to move to the very people, the homes of the people who are helping to fund the bombing of their own countries. So the big boys know what's at stake here. This is ongoing geopolitics for managing the whole world down the road, you see, into this new type of world order.

There's always world orders. During the Cold War it was the balance of power, that was the world order, they called it, of the day. Then they had the American Century, after Russia supposedly just threw up its hands in defeat and the Berlin Wall came down in Germany, and there was just nothing left but the US at that time so the New American Century, in other words, it would be their Roman century, they'd rule the world, that was the agenda then with particular countries to take out and any possible opposition who might like to hang onto their own resources would have to be eliminated on route. Old, old policies.

As I say, whenever they tell you something you really can't believe what they tell you anymore, even right down to the plagues and everything else that they're supposedly bringing into the country. Because it needs your cooperation to believe all this stuff, that number one, your governments are so stupid they'll let people come in from countries that already have quarantines about restriction of travel within those countries. You're meant to believe that they'll still bring in people on planes from those countries, right into your country, without quarantine, you see. And we do, we tend to jump on, they're just so stupid, what are the

authorities thinking…? Because the commonsense thing obviously is, if those countries have quarantines on travel within their own countries and to neighboring countries, why on earth would you bring them straight over into your own country if they have dangerous deadly plague type viruses in their bodily systems that are highly active?

So there's always a reason for whatever you're told. And you can't really believe what they're telling you is the truth in the first place. For all we know they could be getting ready to make another massive fortune, a killing, by giving everyone supposedly Ebola antidotes or injections or whatever, vaccines. Which will make a massive killing, again, like they made a massive killing the last time with the fake swine flu and H1N1 and they were selling all the Tamiflu and all these pills that were supposed to be antiviral, by the millions of dollars worth, every day by the way across Britain and the US and elsewhere. Then afterwards they told you it wouldn't have worked anyway. Ha. But that's the joke we get, isn't it? The joke is always on the general public.

Remember, your government is not your government at all. It's owned by the big corporations, completely, even the FDA in the States is staffed by guys who have all worked in the drug, big pharmacies and so on. And some of them leave the FDA and go back into the Pharma companies they worked for before. Then they're sent back into the FDA if a problem is seen on the horizon with some new pill that's going to be unleashed; they want immediate approval, etc., or a new vaccine. There's been many documentaries by well-meaning people who have done their investigations and who've collected all the data on that very problem. It's the same with every agency that you have in all the countries, Canada will be no different. Every agency out there is part of the corporate structure. But you're paying all their salaries and they also get payoffs from the companies they worked at, or the positions they held, the companies, it could even be big corporations in different fields, etc.

So we're living with the big gangs at the top and the big gangs all work in a pyramid fashion to the top guy of course, the capstone you might say, because they've got to have some kind of rule and order amongst themselves to stop them killing each other as well. That's just the way it really is, but it's been that way for an awful long time, as I say. And the last people to ever know things are the general population. That's always been the way. Even Francis Bacon said the same thing, that the public must never really be told the truth or any real reason for policies being made and put out by government.

Now, with all that talk of Ebola, and even patriot radio is getting in on the act because they're all selling all these wonder cures and preventative things that will help save your life forever and ever till you get to heaven, etc. The fact is that what's really been going on during this time, where you're diverted through fear to look at something else, and the big free trade deal with Canada and Europe has been signed, again, because they sign it in different parts of course. They even give red herrings as to what any holdups might be to the general population, it's always junk, supposedly over pork, which is utter nonsense. Utter nonsense. Now remember, the whole idea of the boys who've run the world for a long time under the Royal Institute of International Affairs, or CFR, was to create trading blocs across the world. A very old plan, well documented by insiders, by the way. They would create a united Europe first of all and they would make a united Americas secondly. We've already done the NAFTA. The NAFTA then branches out into the TransPacific Partnership as part of their big regional trade agreement. Then there will be a special Parliament, just like Europe has, that'll be the major domo over all the countries that are participating in it, signed into it, locked into it and they can't back out apparently, you see. The idea too, is eventually all their laws start to change into a centralized governing law body system based on a central Parliament. And the one for the Far Eastern Pacific group with China in it too. Then at the very top of it you have your world government. That's always been the documented plan of the Royal Institute of International Affairs, Council on Foreign Relations; that's another term for it; in Canada they've got another name for it again, because too many people talked about it, especially myself, maybe too many times; they actually changed the name of it here. But it's the same bunch worldwide. They have ones for Germany and across Europe and elsewhere too, Australia, New Zealand, and many other countries, India's part of it as well.

So we'll all eventually get driven into this new world order. But it isn't some new world order of peace, etc. etc. It's the eugenic system that will come underway with lots more government agencies, top-heavy with government agencies, following the communistic style, a super communistic style because even the communists didn't have all these agencies that we have today in the West, with thousands and thousands,

actually millions, across the world, of bureaucrats all living high on the hog, living off of you. Because you see, you are the animals, and like Charles Fort said, we're farmed, we're farmed basically. Because people who do real work and so on, or creative work and actually make things or whatever, really don't count. All wealth comes from you, but it all heads up, going upwards to the guys who lord it over you supposedly as public servants. And they have index related pensions, regardless of the cost of living, the same with their salaries, they're index related regardless of the cost of living. In other words, when your currency falls in purchasing power, called inflation, they get massive increases to make sure that their actual real cost of living for them, it keeps above all of that, at a very high standard, every year. Now, the general population can't get that. But you don't matter, you're the animals, you see. And as long as we all can work as fast as you can go, and have a wife or yourself on two or three jobs that you run back and forth to, per day, and you can get the credit cards and juggle them and keep buying stuff, you know – I just call it stuff now because it doesn't matter what you're buying, it's all stuff, all junk stuff too – then you'll think you're doing okay, you're still getting by you'll think, you see.

Now, in the US with ObamaCare, with its wonderful democracy in the US where they give you the choice of paying ObamaCare or pay big fines the first year or then go to prison. It's a wonderful choice that you get in democracy, isn't it? But the public will refuse, refuse, ever, to ever come out and say, this is not a democracy at all. They won't say that, you see, as long as they're guided by their Masters above them, the Masters of psychology and the neuroscientists and behaviorists all at the top working in big think tanks, who release stuff to government on how to manage the animals, you see. They'll never figure it out. And this is how bad things really are today. It's a perfect science, managing the public. Very easy actually.

You keep them in fear all the time, fear of everything. Even when you want to change all their attitudes into accepting the new system coming in, of total control from birth to death by government agencies for every single individual on the planet, by terrifying you that you are causing climate change, as they've been geoengineering it and causing it for years and years and years, nonstop now, and of course it's chemical spraying and so on and so on, they're blaming you. And you're to be kept in ignorance. And you're bombarded with all the wildlife programs and all the nature, and all the government-funded programs and so on, that keep repeating – because that's all you need now is repetition – that it's a human problem, humans are causing all these problems. Technically, legally, again, they're right, some kind of humans are spraying and flying these planes across the sky. Some humans are planning it all and in charge of it all. Some corporations are obviously making a mint off it, making all the chemicals and the minerals and the various ores that are mixed with these sprays, and goodness knows what else.

But the fact is, yeah, technically it's human-caused, but it's not the anthropogenic global warming nonsense. You are not causing it. You are the recipient of it. But you will take the blame because the public always take the blame. It's always your fault, you see. It's always your fault. And this is how people who are abused are trained to believe they are themselves; they got abused because they deserved to be abused, it was their fault, you see. And that's what the abuser always teaches the victim. Never forget that.

Getting back to Canada, it's signed this latest deal, as I say, with the European Union. And we'll never get all the facts published, yet. There'll be thousands of pages as we go into this deeper integration of a region with Europe, a trading bloc, which again, alters all your laws. Pretty well all your laws on the books, you know, are economic laws. They all come from the cost of economics. When you put someone in prison they factor in, what did he cost society in this crime that he caused? And, how much will it cost him to be in prison? If he killed someone, how much taxpaying power was that person that he has killed able to produce in their lifetime if he were still alive? That's all factored in. All your laws pretty well stem from economic laws, because we live in this rotten economic system. It's completely bogus to begin with, along with the creation of money, which again is managed by a big, big Mafia at the top, and they're not Italian.

So we're living in conology, as I call it, and everything is the art of conology and appeasing the people by BS stories. Canada, after the signing of this agreement with Europe, that's the European Union, that's Brussels in other words, the major domo is Brussels, that holds the superparliament for the whole of Europe, everything underneath it now is a little provincial government, like Britain. And Von Rompuy, remember, last year I read it on the air, he said, the age of the nation-state is over, it's obsolete. This is the wonderful democratic Europe. Nobody elected them in. Rompuy didn't get elected in by the public. In fact, nobody in the Commission at the top is elected. Most of them don't even know who they are on the Commission at the

top. I mean, this is more secretive than the old Soviet Union system.

But it doesn't matter to the public, they're kept up and running and standing on their heads and the whole bit, and worrying and scurrying, etc., and spending for the latest junk that won't last very long, because nothing is made to last very long now under built-in obsolescence and maximizing the profit. Maximizing the profit, as I say, where they've cut corners to save pennies to maximize their profits, in every area they possibly can, that nothing is really functional for very long at all. This is the modern world. And they call it progress. And it is for them at the top, they're getting so stinking rich off it, they're progressing awfully well to an incredible, fantastic utopia for themselves.

So the bureaucrats wanted a big party, in Canada, and the European bunch had to go back. But they said, well if we don't go back now we'll miss our flights, etc., that the Europeans are paying for – now they'll still pay for those flights that they didn't take, by the way, the European taxpayer will pick up that tab. And these new, I call them, Hamiltonian Kings and Queens, the bureaucracy, this class of bureaucrats, oh they're like ants there are so many of them, we had to fly them back because they wanted to stay for the party in Ottawa in Canada. It cost the Canadian taxpayer $330,000, because they stayed over, to gorge themselves and do all the other disgusting things this class generally does when they get piss drunk on the best brandy, and we flew them back, especially, courtesy of the Canadian taxpayer. So we picked up the tab for that. Thank you very much Canadian taxpayers. And most of the Canadians don't even know what happened. They don't even know what's going on. All they hear about is the stuff from the States, oh Ebola, be very, very terrified of Ebola, be terrified of it, etc. etc. etc. Aw geez. And these ridiculous, pathetic stories they churn out on little person situations across Canada, they make you think that it's all wonderful. Somebody loses their purse, an old lady loses her purse, and some nice person found it and turned it in, isn't that wonderful? Well that really changes the world, right? [Alan laughing.] How easy it is to manage the minds of the people today, eh. How easy it is.

Now, here's what's happening now to do with the weather though. As I say, in Canada especially, over the last, oh, four or five years, maybe six years, it has got progressively worse with rain, rain, rain, rain, until this year was just Noah's Ark time. It literally rained from the last snow, right through the whole year to the present, still raining by the way, and maybe you had a handful of days of actual sunshine that were normal, three of them only recently in fact, very late in the year, and again, back to the pouring rain, day and night, and cold temperatures. This is the fallout of the massive geoengineering which the big boys planned to bring in in the first place. Because you see, now it's time... Now it's time for the big boys, before the big meeting comes along, for the annual meeting on geoengineering and climate change and carbon taxes and all the carbon taxes you'll have to pay into Al Gore's organization, all the other big organizations, that all go through the private Rothschild Bank in Switzerland, the main family bank in Switzerland, because it was the Rothschilds that brought that bill forward, plus they've got the deal for carbon capture across the world where they supposedly sink carbon underneath the earth, etc. What a great scam this is, isn't it? It's like... they used to say in the hippie days, it's a gas, man. So here they are making a fortune off this gas, that's here anyway, and it's a necessity part of life or no plants would grow... carbon dioxide.

But the public don't care. They've been dumbed down so much, here they are twiddling and masturbating with their apps all the time, and their little phones stuck in front of their faces they can't even see what's going on. Their minds are gone. You know, it's amazing, it's amazing, really, to watch and live through it all happening, and being conscious of it happening and observing it all happening. And you see people bumping into lampposts as they walk along the streets staring at this thing in their hand all the time, you know. It's astonishing. And when it's not working, like no one is calling them, they're still staring at it. They don't look up, they don't look sideways, they don't look at each other. They just don't look at anything else. It's this little temporary plastic piece of cheaply-made junk but expensive to buy to maximize the profit. They're waiting to communicate to them, because it makes them feel important, someone cares, all these sockpuppets out there that the government has, millions of them, for everybody out there, gets in touch with them and leaves them a little cryptic message and they're really happy then. Oh, amazing conditioning, eh. Isn't it something else! Isn't that fantastic.

But see, now is time, as I say, to hammer home weather-wise to the public, until even Joe Blow who hasn't a clue what's going on, when he hears it getting really starting, repetition and bombardments of propaganda from the media, all together in unison, the mantra, the mantra will be stepped up, over and over again, that

humans are causing the problems, we can all see it now. And they'll suddenly say, yeah I did notice it was getting a bit wet, you know, over this last, you know, five or six years, I guess I did notice it and I kept wondering why I had, you know, foot rot and all that, trench foot, little things like that. So even Joe Blow will begin to get it. Plus, the ones in other countries and areas of the country that are getting roasted, because they're actually dispersing clouds heading their way so that they don't get rain falling... This is child's play, this kind of weather modification today, by the way. It's child's play. It's everyday occurrences. We'll all get the message something's wrong. And then out will come that famous term that I think Lenin used, something must be done. And the same old stuff is used over and over again, something must be done, and out come the big prostitute leaders, that are all paid, with their lines written by the scriptwriters, and the big corporations that have got all at stake here for all the future massive profits from nothing, down the road, and they'll tell us, it's all your fault. And the folk will say, you know something, it's all our fault. Because we're awfully obedient, aren't we? We repeat everything they tell us. It's all our fault.

Here you are in the West especially, completely deindustrialized for years, the carbon dioxide has crashed because there are no factories pumping it into the air, and it's the same pretty well across much of Europe, they've had the cleanest air that they've had for many, many years. But that doesn't matter, you see. It doesn't matter. They'll see the weather changing, because it's getting forced upon them, and they'll believe that it's their fault. So to save the world and save each other we've got to go along with this new regime, this world regime. Imagine giving that power into the hands of a few folk living somewhere else for ever and ever and ever. This is to transcend the old democratic state, you understand, the old idea of democracy. This is for the world state... it's for the world state, and a small group of conologists will decide every year, you know, by licking their finger and sticking it out the window, yep the climate is this today, we'll have to up the carbon taxes today.

I said years ago, under austerity measures, and you've already forgotten about austerity, the mantra, that they kept harping on a few years, austerity, the age of austerity is here. And I said, what, that means poverty, folks, for all of you like, not for the guys at the top. It means that all your spare cash that you used to spend is going to go to carbon taxes. On every product you buy, how much carbon was produced in the manufacture of that caramel bar, and the machining of it, the processing of it, the paper wrapping creation, all that stuff is going to be tacked onto the price. Everything you purchase, everything, all meat products, especially, because they don't want you eating meat, for the general population, it will be for the elite only; that's always been the plan, to go back to the old feudal system where your growth is stunted and you're sickly, you die off quicker, but you can still get enough bread to get the work done, on behalf of the elite. And the elite will eat all the best stuff and be much taller, etc. That's the old plan, folks, has been for an awful long time.

The champions of Darwin took that banner up right away, oh goodness, we can go back to the old feudal system. And Quigley said the same thing; he was all for it by the way because he was the historian for the Council on Foreign Relations which is the Royal Institute of International Affairs and he mentioned it. He said, you know, this middle-class, they're getting too greedy and that's why the cost of this and that and the other is going up too, they're getting too... Well, they're only emulating their Masters at the top, naturally, that's what the middle-class unfortunately do in this con money system. But anyway, all of your excess spending money is going to go to energy taxes, carbon taxes and so on. There's no need whatsoever. I mean, electricity is cheaper than ever to create but they're telling you the opposite, oh it's very expensive to produce and blah-blah-blah, yada-yada-ya. So there's where all your spending money is to go. They'll leave you enough credit cards and so on to keep juggling to buy your latest apps, etc. and all that nonsensical stuff you buy, so that they can monitor everything that you're doing and add it to your profile, daily by the way. And most of you don't mind at all, because you think it's so much fun, you get so much fun out of it it's not a bad swap. You know, complete privacy in return for having some fun on your little gadget. But that's how easy it is to train you.

At one time they had big, big meetings on how to bring you to this stage, the elite, you see. Big think tanks, had them for years and years and years, how do we get the public to give up all their privacy? ...the dream of every tyrant down through the ages. Oh, we'll make it appealing, you know, the mousetrap. They used to call it the mousetrap, you know, you put the cheese in the mousetrap and the mouse will watch another mouse go and get caught and killed in it. And the second mouse comes along and says, well I could be a bit smarter than that, I'll try and just nudge a little bit of it along and get that cheese there, you see, and he

might get a little chunk of cheese and get away with it and so on. In other words, it gets a benefit from coming near death, where in reality it shouldn't go anywhere near the darn thing. That's the same process that works with the people. Well I did get fun on it and I can text these little silly things to people, like they really care what you've said to them; there's nothing you're saying they'll ever remember the rest of their lives at all, or even next week maybe. It's just prattle in the air, folks. Prattle in the air, that's all it is. But you're being monitored with all your prattle, added to your personality profile in the virtual you, that they play little games on in the virtual you at the Pentagon, and they've got you all sussed out.

Now as I say, back to the weather. The weather is now time to get the message home for the big, big meeting coming along to change your whole way of living, more agencies all working on top of you, as most of your money will go to paying energy and carbon taxes, etc. etc. Then it will come down in a few years' time, and I'm not kidding about this, it will be licensing certain people to breed and ones not to breed. That was their old agenda. Here they are with their same old agenda. They never change their agendas. And they'll convince you quite easily as to why this must be. And most of you will believe it. And when you're living amongst folk who are perfectly conditioned, and you're not conditioned, you'll have to go and be a hermit because they will drive you mad, all the conditioned ones, as they parrot all the politically correct stuff that they've been brainwashed with. And you cannot change them once that happens, in the perfectly conditioned. And unfortunately the perfect conditioning today works absolutely with most people, who will never investigate anything for themselves. Sad, isn't it? It's awfully sad, that, but true.

Now, in the US a lot of their spending money is already going to ObamaCare. And I don't know all the prices there for this insurance but some people have said that individually they're paying between $600 and $800 per month, for this, maybe one day I'll need the hospital, maybe one day I'll need a doctor. Insurance itself has always been a scam, of conology. But here's their... This is the kind of spending money that a lot of people had, who are just making the grade surviving. That's been taken away from them. And various jobs on the lower end, that worked on and depended on people spending that kind of money every month, are just falling apart now because literally the people haven't got that money to blow. The choices for the general population in the US living at the bottom, the ones who are working that is, is to join the welfare crew or have nothing to spend but keep working their butts off. That's the choices.

And all the time these masses of bureaucracies and new agencies are growing, growing, growing, growing. You know, Atlas would collapse and flatten today holding up all this lot of bureaucracies and agencies. He would be absolutely flattened like a steamroller going over him. And people still prattle about having democracy... when you're supporting almost half the population in some countries, either on welfare or in government jobs and government agencies. It can't last, folks. It's not meant to last. This is the system they're bringing in, planned a long time ago. And folk will not change. They'll keep voting. Keep voting. That's what they do. They've seen so many movies about, there's always the one... you're the one, you must take this mission on and defeat the dark Lord. And away they go, you know. So here's the one that comes along, that says all the things that they know that the public are talking about, and you vote them in but the same agenda continues, and you never learn, eh. Well, that's sad that, isn't it. Awfully sad. Awfully sad.

Then they got their housing bubbles going all over again, because this intermediary, the middleman, the middleman today is the real estate brokers. You have big coalitions of them and chains of real estate agencies that jack up the prices so as they can get much bigger commissions off it. In the old days, you know, you advertised your house yourself in the paper, and people would come along and you would negotiate a price, a more realistic price. But everything went through the roof, and then you got the housing bubbles coming in, when real estate agencies took over, more conology. So here you are living in a wooden hut and each real estate agent, by the time they're finished with it describing it in their ad in the paper, this thing is a mansion, this little wooden hut of yours, it's a mansion, you know, and that bit of swamp around the back there could be easily drained and turned into a race course or something, or maybe an SUV trail, you know something like that. Oh, maybe you could do wonderful things if you had millions of bucks to drain it all and blah-blah-blah. But this is the tripe and garbage that we live in and we all think it's normal. Because you don't think at all. You don't reason things through.

When you went to school you didn't get little gold stars for reasoning for yourself. You didn't get them. You got them for parroting rubbish back to the teacher, and the teacher gave you that approving smile. Do you understand teachers want... Here's one thing they never tell you. They always tell you that children want to

rebel, you know especially the teenage ones, oh you rebel against authority, you rebel against your parents, to assert your individualism and your own personality, you must assert it by rebelling against them, you see. Children, who have no discipline at all these days, thanks to Dr. Spock and all the lefties of course that pushed this so as that the state could then give them their new value system and morality, and which was awfully, awfully well done, that's what Bertrand Russell said back in the 1920s they would do, by scientific indoctrination at school.

Children want to please adults. They like approval. Actually, all animals like approval, and to that extent humans are no different. They like approval from someone that says, well done, you see. So they will have to parrot off back to the teacher all the nicey things, you see, and they get a nice pleasant smile, not a scowl in response. And they feel kind of special the more correct their responses happen to be according to their parroting, you see. But if you think for yourself you're in trouble. Lots of young guys, especially, have already found that, by getting put on different drugs by the school system and the medical system for being hyperactive or whatever it happens to be. See, attention deficit disorder is not necessarily a deficit disorder at all. It's thinking outside the box. Because they might take a topic or a question the teacher gives them and take it off into a hundred different directions of possibilities, which should be a natural thing to do. But teachers don't like that, especially with boys. Because in this day and age boys are supposed to be the same as little girls, which is a complete impossibility, unless you add, you know, the surgeons, which they're getting good at doing today. But yeah, if you start reasoning for yourself it will not be met with very pleasantly at all. And you'll be a nuisance, you see, you're disrupting the class. Disrupting the class, you see, and you won't get that approval from the teacher.

You know, the Communists, and also the Nazis, used the same system on children, the exact same system because it worked perfectly. The idea was, again, to separate the values, the contaminated values of the old family system they called it, take away those values and give them the State's new values, to obey and worship the State and all authority that served the State. And it worked awfully, awfully well. So much so they would turn in their parents, literally turn them in. In North Korea they still use that yet, and they're taught in school to turn in their parents if they think there's any political incorrectness there at all, and off goes the parents to get killed. In some countries like Cambodia they actually had the children shoot their parents and then congratulate them, make them feel good about doing it, that they had saved the country by shooting their parents. What a mind job that is, eh.

See, children who don't get direction at all crave it, and that's what elitists have always known. They crave authority, that kind of authority that treats them like an adult even though they're really still a child, and gives them a little uniform and all that kind of thing, you see, armbands and uniforms and badges. They crave approval and direction. It's very easy to do. That's very, very ancient too, that technique, some countries have used that thousands of years ago at times in their history. So there's nothing new under the sun as far as techniques go. Only today of course with the scientific indoctrination and mass media, where everyone gets the same indoctrinations at the same time on a daily basis, through media, entertainment and everything else. Entertainment prepares you through fantasy for reality that's coming down the road. It gets you to accept things that otherwise you would not normally accept, because you saw it in a fantastical manner, you see. Plus you generally identified with the hero or heroine, depending on the gender, in the movie and you came to accept something you should not normally accept.

That's what Aldous Huxley talked about too, that there are techniques being used today by elitists and professionals, the Bernays types, way above Bernays actually, and the think tanks, thousands of think tanks all working for the super State and the elite and they can actually get you to do things that you oughtn't necessarily go along with. But you will be convinced that it's the right thing, by your Masters, because you still want to please too and get the pat on the head and all that, even if you're an adult child, put it that way. And you'll get all the approval you want, to do things you shouldn't normally do, like giving away all your privacy, things like that. Quite the system we live in, isn't it? It's very clever. Very, very clever. But then again too, these boys are paid at the top to study us all, by getting all the time in the world and all the money in the world to do so, and they live awfully well. And of course they're psychopathic in nature so they have no qualms about doing it whatsoever, as long as they end up with the profits at the top and live the good life.

So I hope, and I do know by the emails I get from different people across the world, how their lives are

going, a lot of people, it's good to keep in touch because it's good to see what's happening in their own lives and so on, as they go through all the struggles that we have to go through on a daily basis in this planned mad system. It's mad simply because it's not meant to be logical to you, that's why it seems mad, you see. But this madness is as clever as a fox at the top because it definitely benefits a very old elite, indeed. And they know exactly where they want to take the world. They depend upon all their studies in think tanks done by all their employees, that do it all over us, who check on us all the time, they depend on them for all this information coming into them to make sure that down through the ages they can continue to go ahead. And one day of course as we're all altered or start dying off they will already have a new type of human that will obey them much, much more easily. They won't need entertainment. They won't need even fear on the new types. They will simply program them and they will work awfully hard and well and serve their Masters better. They will have the whole world to themselves; we will all be gone.

See, we think in short-term planning. You think in your lifetime, I want to achieve this in my life. These guys work through foundations that can start off 300-400 years ago with its own agenda, and guys die off, employees die off, more come in, and they can keep going towards those same goals forever. That's the beauty of foundations. That's what Adam Weishaupt talked about, by the way, through philanthropic foundations. And the Rockefellers and all the other Rothschild's foundations, all these different foundations out there, all these big think tanks that they employ, and all the NGOs they own, they set up and own by the way, that are all shouting for we've got to change this climate disaster, and all the ones that give you the pressure, the pressure to go along, these guys are very clever at the top and they've been running the world for just too long, haven't they? Haven't they?

We don't have a natural honest system, never have had a natural honest system, and we're used for their wars down through time. We always suffer. We pay for everything, including our own chains. And we've been trained to think it's all quite normal. Sad, isn't it?

So I won't prattle on anymore tonight. I've been awfully busy this week. I'll be even busier from now on trying to get wood, etc. and during all these floods up here and this constant bombardment of rain, along with everything else breaking down, even brand-new stuff. As I say, that last well pump was two months old, in there. When I hauled it up, by the way, even though it's boasting stainless steel casing, there was rust on the darn thing. I mean rust; it wasn't a coating, it was rust. Everything today is cheaply-made junk to maximize the profit but very expensive to buy. That's the trick, very expensive to buy but cheaply-made junk. Because after all, the big boys at the top say, and teach in university to all their up-and-coming major domos over you, that greed is good... greed is good.

Well from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Oct. 12, 2014 (#1465)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Shame is Lame:
Fool Me Once Shame on You,
Fool Me Twice Shame on Me,
Fool Me Thrice....."
© Alan Watt Oct. 12, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Oct. 12, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on October 12th, 2014. I'd like to wish everyone out there the best because we're all going through hard, hard times. And we're bombarded with mind control news stories continuously, which is all part of the great work of course, because the greatest way to control everyone out there, and they've known this for thousands of years, is to terrify the bejesus out of you basically. I've gone through this before about adaptation, this Darwinian process of humanity, how we adapt to things, things we shouldn't adapt to actually, especially when it's false, you see. But it's for control purposes and the elite have always known this down through the ages.

Because even in ancient Rome, there's a fascinating study of ancient Rome because emperors would often be deposed and coups would take place, generals would step in to become the majordomo and they would stage many events to happen to get the public to cry for government and more governmental power, to keep them safe. That's what we hear all the time now. In fact, everything is about keeping you safe, supposedly, as you become broker and broker and you're adapting to it all thinking it's all quite normal. It's amazing, really, with such incredible news today. It's worldwide news, from probably thousands of stations if you add them all up, radio and television and so on, across the whole planet. They're all getting the same information at the same time and it's easy to keep everyone in step with each other, as we're programmed to accept all this nonsense that we're hit with, and steadily and steadily.

Back in the 90s I remember being on a radio show and I was going through what I knew was coming because I had watched the militarization of police across the world. Back in about 1998 I think it was maybe, Allan Rock in Canada, who was the Attorney General at the time for Canada, single-handedly basically put forward a bill, all drafted up, all neatly and all the rest of it, meaning whole hordes of lawyers had already approved this thing, and presented it, which passed without a problem in Parliament, without

any question really. That left everybody puzzled, including the journalists for a change because generally they're part of the whole scam but they weren't in-on-the-know. But the bill was to do with basically a martial law type bill. In 1998 people were going along like lazy cats on a hot summer's day, it was so quiet at that time, apart from the inflation and all that stuff which is built into the fake money system. And basically they can imprison anybody without trial, etc. etc. It was a crime omnibus bill that they passed it under, which was a complete antiterrorist bill. Bill Clinton of course did the same thing in the States, after he failed to get it through in the 90s, and then that very weekend after it was turned down they had the Oklahoma City bombing and bingo, they passed it two or three days later.

So you can see when things work in concert, like this, that there's big moves way above what you think is the political powers, on the go all the time, involving all these massive, massive government structures and agencies, and private agencies all work with government, all on behest of the guys who really control the planet. Because if you think the planet is just bashing along with countries knocking each other, you're up a gum tree as they used to say. And you truly are because nothing is further from the truth. The future is always planned, intricately, including all the wars and everything else that will happen, and scare you to death to make sure that the public have plenty of time to be programmed in preparation for it. You're programmed through various news articles, etc.

But back in the 90s I had already studied all the different professors' reports from departments of sociology and anthropology and psychology and behaviorism and neuroscience, and all these guys that were getting massive grants, and always had done, from the government, massive departments all working towards something to do with total control of all of you. That's why it's so perfect today.

Of course entertainment, at the top, was in on it in a big fashion as well. Because most of your programming, if you're not getting it from what you think is the news, is coming through entertainment. In entertainment your guard is down, it doesn't occur to you to keep your firewall up basically in your mind, and you're penetrated so easily by a whole bunch of scenes that will be where you identify with the hero or heroine, it depends on a whole bunch of factors, especially these days, and then they bring you into the political correctness, how your attitude on this or that or whatever it should be, should be altered to conform with the so-called good guy in the movie. That's how easy it's all done. Aldous Huxley said on I think it was a Mike Wallace interview many, many moons ago, that the people could be trained basically into a system by a scientific elite where they'd accept things that perhaps they shouldn't accept. And we've all accepted things today. It's been going on since really, rampaging on since the 60s.

Now, the big futurist departments of all these agencies that work for the big boys at the top, and the big foundations and the big international corporations are all tied together, and I'm pretty certain actually they're all owned by the same few shareholders at the top with the controlling shares, decide the future, always. They're very big on keeping control. Nothing is left to chance. And I mean nothing is left to chance. They have all these agencies out there always spying on the public. On your little street in fact, that you live, there will be somebody there who is an informant for whatever major agency you have. In Canada you have CSIS and God knows how many assets, as they call them now, people who get partial paychecks, semiretired, retired, whatever it happens to be, to basically inform of anything that happens in the area. It's always been like that by the way, but more so today in fact. Because they planned big changes a long time ago when they said, they'd have to train the public.

Now, when you go into the history too, things stand out, even recent history, things stand out. And that's what you must never forget, never forget when you've been taken for a sucker, and then you do it again. Never, ever forget being taken for a sucker. No matter how much fear and terror is pumped out there, don't fall for it, the same con again. There's an old saying. It's, fool me once shame on you, fool me twice shame on me. Never forget this technique.

Back, again, about the year 2000 I think it was maybe, a major politician in the British Parliament for the Green Party said that because of the direction that we've all been pushed in for a long time, their goals for sustainability, population reduction, they didn't need the massive working-class anymore and they would have to reduce the population and keep all the resources for themselves at the top. They pushed for the vegetarian diets, etc. across the planet, except for themselves too, because they can't have too many farting cows, they said, across the world feeding all of us. So the big push was on for all that. So how can you

accomplish all of that? How do you do it?

Well, you must put so many articles in newspapers that the public can't keep up with them, but they're being programmed through lots of little nature shows and documentary specials that are put on mainstream television, in the newspapers, magazines, etc. All information must be guided along the same course basically, and you are programmed and you adapt along with it thinking it's all quite natural. Something that might have shocked you at first, 10 years later with so much programming, oh yeah I guess we can start eating crickets and grasshoppers, and it's okay, and locusts and things... because some supposedly famous movie star is doing it and leading the way. It's so easy to adapt, as Huxley said, to things that perhaps we shouldn't accept.

Now, this woman from the Green Party loved the way the British government was handled during World War II. Big wars are great experiments to see if they can make everything happen the way they want them to happen and control the public, for obedience purposes. Because you're all threatened with total extinction by the Nazis, or whoever it happens to be, and all food is rationed, like Britain and so on, tremendously rationed. You have to see the old documentaries put out at the time, they're fascinating to see these, one propaganda documentary after another on agriculture, on keep your curtains closed, you get fined by the air raid wardens if there's a chink of light going out there because the bombers will see them and bomb you, and turn anybody in who questions anything outside the norm, etc. etc. They trained a whole population to go along. Lots of government inspectors that would go around butcher shops, for instance, and check how much meat was coming in, how much was going out on the ration cards, and what was maybe being sold on the black market, or hidden, or consumed by the butcher and his family. You wouldn't believe how they trained the whole society to go along with this. And this was really from about 1939-1940 right up to, in 1951 they stopped the rationing cards in Britain.

And this woman from the Green Party says, well, they were so well behaved and the whole country obeyed government departments and fell into line, because they were terrified you see, and if they could only bring that back, she says, how easy it would be to manage everyone. Isn't that wonderful, she says. And bingo, you have 9/11, 2001. And I think it was that very night that I was on a radio show, on WWCR at the time. The host said, what do you think we have to look forward to in the future? I said, the hardest thing for the future, from now on, because I looked at all the buildup to all of this, the massive buildup and propaganda through the 90s, all the professorial lectures and publications out there. I said, the hardest thing will be to hold onto your sanity as we go through this. It's a roller coaster, you see. And guess what? People have already adapted to the massive changes, things they should not, they ought not to have adapted to.

Now, since 9/11 we've had an onslaught, an onslaught of the whole world agenda rammed down our throats, daily, from little talk shows, from AM stations, in your big cities and so on, or FM stations, all across the board, incessantly, sustainability, we're consuming too much, austerity, everyone has forgotten about austerity as you go into it and you have been in it for a while. They've just dropped the word now, now that they've trained you that things will just naturally go up and up and up. As I said many years ago, the plan was also to make sure that all your extra spending money was taken from you, your purchasing power, monthly basically or weekly, and it would go to bare essentials down the road. And that's where you are now, a lot of folk are there. Many are not because they're working for government agencies, or teachers or whatever, or social work departments, or bureaucrats in local governments. These folk don't notice it so much because they have an index-related cost of living thing tacked on annually. Real cost of living as opposed to the fake stuff that you get with your little pay raise which you expect is normal, because you've been trained it's normal, every year you get it, and it's never enough to keep up with inflation, because it's designed that way. It's designed that way.

You see, when you're deindustrialized they take away your cigarettes and your cheaper booze, all the clubs you go to and so on, and you're into austerity. You see, they don't need you anymore. They don't have to keep you happy by leaving you a little bit to yourself to make sure you go off and slog at that rotten factory all day long. That's why in China they can buy cheap cigarettes, for the labor force, and cheap this and cheap that, and keep them happy. This technique is so old and people never think about it. But you see, it's all well understood.

But 9/11 was the key to it all. Everybody knew it was going to happen, apparently, every government

agency across the planet, except the US that had just suddenly forgot that day that they had this special stand-down with the aircraft and the Air Force because it was a practice drill – it's always happening on practice drills, isn't it? The same with the 7/7 thing in Britain, the London bombing, it was a practice drill that day. And geeze, they didn't expect a real thing to happen, it just took them by surprise. And you believe this rubbish. Do you know you do? You believe this tripe. A mouse couldn't break wind on this planet without them knowing something was going to happen. Because everything is so penetrated with so many agencies and informants and so on, well implanted, embedded sleepers and all that. There's nothing that can happen that they don't know is going to happen. If they want to thwart it before it happens they will, and they do. But if they want it to happen then they actually finance it to happen. They actually can create the circumstances, and even the so-called terrorists, to make it all happen. It's very, very simple. Old tricks.

Getting back to the Green party, remember what she said? ...a war type situation... is where everyone obeys government and they all behave, and when they're told to run to their air raid shelters they all do, and cram together because they don't have private ones like the big elite do, and Winston Churchill where they had ballrooms underground to have big nightly parties. No, no. You're crammed into air raid shelters and subways, etc. in London. And they still didn't kill each other, they were crammed in there night after night. Nope, they sung little songs, happy songs about leaving their chewing gum on the bedpost overnight and happy ditties like that. How we're managed, eh. It's just so amazing, so incredibly amazing. It's sad. It truly is sad.

But folk forget so fast, so fast. It doesn't matter how... Even the guys on 9/11 with all the inconsistencies we've been told by government agencies, what happened that day, as opposed to what you knew did not happen or did happen that day in the evidence etc. as to the whys. You've all forgotten it with the Ebola scare. Ah, the Ebola scare, oh it's going to kill us all. Ooh. It took everybody by surprise. It really did. Again, I've read on the air, maybe two, three times a year when they have the big exercises every year, two or three times a year, with Canada, the States and Mexico, armies across big chunks of the continent, it could be for everything, natural disasters, plagues, pandemics, all these things are all considered, you see, terrorism. And by God, they just didn't know someone was going to come in, supposedly from Africa or Liberia, with an infection. Are you kidding me? Did this guy even exist? Did he? Did he exist?

The media, as it's publishing what the official spokespeople, the people who literally censor and decide what you're going to be told – remember, in warfare you have a Department of Information, and even in non-warfare, it never stopped in Britain, a Department of Information. Most countries are the same – as to what the publics are going to be told, or what they're going to be told to worry about or not worry about or whatever, or even what's true, or what is completely fabricated, it doesn't matter, and the media parrots it, you see. But they didn't see anything coming. They never see anything coming. Isn't that strange? All these exercises and billions of dollars spent every year, and they don't see anything, they never see anything coming. You're told and you believe it. [Alan chuckles.]

Now I'm not saying this didn't happen. I'm saying question it. You don't know. Remember, fool me once.... etc. You see, you got to start thinking for yourself. If you don't want to have a memory, and lots of folk actually don't want to have memories, they like to live in the now, they call it. And the now is what the media tells them is really happening. It saves them the frustration of having to remember things and saying, my God maybe we're all being fooled here. But they don't really want to do much thinking for themselves. After all, it's a bit of an effort when you could be entertained. You turn on entertainment and do whatever you do.

Remember what Albert Pike said too, the so-called Pope of Freemasonry in the 1800s. He said, those who won't think for themselves and use their own reason are therefore steak on the table and beasts of burden by choice and consent. You think he dreamed that up, like he invented that whole thing? No, no. They've always known this at the top, of how to manage vast populations. They give you the culture, they change the culture when it suits them and you all follow it because you think it's incremental, you adapt and adapt and adapt, without even thinking, most folk, they adapt without thinking, without even asking why, why anything, you see. Or as Brzezinski said in his book Between Two Ages, shortly the public will be unable to reason for themselves, or think for themselves, because they will expect the media to do their reasoning for them. Really?

When I was small the people, in Scotland anyway and I'm sure in a good part of England, were very suspicious of government AND media because they knew that all media was privately owned by big magnates and moguls that controlled everything. Their media interests were one part of their massive worldwide financial interests with other big corporations; it was one conglomerate. How we've been trained to forget that, haven't we? Really trained. I can remember in the late 70s all the movies they churned out and things for TV – and I didn't watch much TV but the little bits I got here and there even visiting people's homes – were such infantile rubbish, to pass your time, and also get, you know, you debased into adapting to the culture they were pushing on television. And these terrible shows, the Dukes of Hazard, guys that ran around making moonshine I think it was, and fast cars, and pants literally that you couldn't bend down on, they'd rip. But I mean, this is the nonsense they churned out, nonstop, like nothing was happening was basically the external message you got. But loaded in it is rubbish. It was telling you to be infantile too, you see. And before that they churned out silly things.

Because the whole entertainment media, or conglomerate, again, is totally… That's fashion, music, books, novels, all these things, all the movies, these are all part of one system and they work completely together. If you don't believe it look at the average movie and you will see, for instance, at the end with all of the transcript, the different things at the end there where they give you the producers and the production managers and all the different assistants and yada-yada-ya. They will tell you who supplied the vehicles for all the smashes that they had, or the ones that they want you to buy. And the watches, who made them. The fashions, who supplied the clothing and the dressing for the latest blah-blah-blah. And then the music too, written by and played by yada-ya. It's all one big system. Plato talked about it, in his day, for what they had back then. Based on commerce, you see. It all works together, beautifully. Beautifully.

In ancient Greece, I've mentioned this before, for a long period it was decreed that everyone, even the slaves, had to attend traveling shows that were authorized to go around and do dramas. Because they knew it not only created the culture, it helped to maintain the culture, give them what you've trained them to be used to, and also incremental changes. Like in times of war they would put out that type of thing where the family on stage would say bye-bye to the lovely young son who's going off to fight whoever it happened to be. That's never changed. And you get caught up in the emotion in that, and the next thing you know you're all for it, this war. And the young guys are brainwashed thinking, oh I'll get all this glory, I'm a nobody right now but I'll be a somebody then, you see, and I'll get all this glory when I come back, for killing all of them awful enemies, ooh. You see, we are so well understood… and the art of governing is ancient, absolutely ancient, pre-Greek and all the rest of it. It's ancient.

Now, back to what I said, fool me once, shame on you, fool me twice, shame on me. How many times do you want to be fooled here? It's up to you. Isn't it? Mind you, knowing things doesn't mean you can discuss it with those you know, because you see, brainwashing really works. And with an incredible, incredible electronic massive indoctrination system on a daily 24-hour basis it's very difficult to find people who think for themselves. Most folk go with the flow. In fact, they say, well I'm sane because everybody I meet has the same opinion on this particular topic as I do, therefore I must be sane. It doesn't occur to you they can all be wrong.

This schizophrenia of the mind is fascinating actually because the same people have no problem, whatsoever, to take a topic that's hashed to death, by the way, over and over and over, about a whole country taken over by, say, Nazism. This is how it's presented, like nobody in Germany had a brain of their own, they were all mesmerized by one man, utterly, completely, until they acted like one body, one body, like automatons or cyborgs or something or robots, all programmed with the same programming. This is how you're supposed to believe it, you see. And you do believe it, most of you, because you've seen so many movies about it and, oh it always ends up back with the Nazis. They never mention the Bolsheviks and the Communists and the massive millions and millions they slaughtered over many years up until the Berlin Wall fell down. Because you see, it was planned that they would be our pals eventually. It was never planned that the Nazis would be our pals afterwards. You see, that's how the con game works.

But the Germans just went to war when they were ordered to go to war, and young guys joined up like any country will join up, when they're told to join up, because they think it's going to be exciting and glorious, and they want to believe the basic simple propaganda that's pumped out at the time. Every country does this. We still get simple propaganda. In fact, there's a technique to propaganda, they must keep it simple;

there must be an element of confusion there. Do you know that? Now what I'm going to tell you will be parroted by lots of talk shows, very quickly, but this is a truth here. You see, if something is too elaborate and thought out for you to parrot, it will seem too elaborate and folk will question that. Oh I don't believe it, it's just too pat, it's down too pat, you see.

So you get vague things like 9/11. It's all vague, you see, except these bad guys supposedly came across in a plane, or they hijacked planes, and they smashed them into a building. And nobody knew it was coming except the Israelis who warned the US, and the British GCHQ that warned the US. Everybody knew it except supposedly the US. Even though there was, you know, all the cons with the guy who owned the buildings and he got the renewal for his insurance just before it all happened, and he was stinking rich then but he stinks a lot more now. But you see all these cons that go on, never mind all the companies that knew in advance, that were tipped off to get out and they did before it all happened, they closed down in the Trade Center, the Twin Towers. You can go on forever with it, you see. But the simple stuff is there, you see. Simple. If it was too pat you would know it was too pat, it was too scripted.

It's the same with Ebola. You know, Ebola... Ebola. It kind of flows off your tongue, Ebola, eeebbuuullla, you know. First you have Hezbollah, now you have Ebola, you know, and you have Obama, then you had Osama. Oh, yai, who makes this stuff up? Huh? But you don't stop and put anything together do you? And have a good laugh. It never happens. Even though the guys who were in with Bush Junior during 9/11 published their agenda, on their own website, this private company or group, the New American Century group, of all the countries they wanted to take out. And we've been doing it. The last two we don't have are Syria, proper, with Assad in it because they want rid of him, and we've got Iran as well. They published it twice in the 1990s and we've all forgotten it. So they give you fantastic names, Al Qaeda, ooh, Al Qaeda. And we've had the exposés on Al Qaeda from guys who were in it during the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan, and they said it was an informal group of different fighters that were trained by the US and Europe and armed to the teeth and taught how to fight against the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan. And some of them even referred to Al Qaeda as the radio network they used to communicate to each other, the different groups. You see.

Then you have the latest transformation, and everybody has forgotten that Al Qaeda was supported and getting funded through Qatar, by the US, it was in the papers here, and funded and supplied with their arms and all their food supplies and everything else, and money. You understand, any army, formal or informal, will pack up and go home if they don't get paid. People want these little titbits, self-benefits, coinage, some kind of thing as a reward for their time. You all do it, you work, even though you're getting less and less with purchasing power as they keep devaluating your currencies, which is all the plan too. But, you wouldn't go to work if you weren't getting paid, you see. And armies are the same. There's only so much looting and raping you can do before you get fed up and go home, you see. They've always known this.

That's why civilization, as the big elite call it, started with the advent of currency. Even when they weighed the currency out, then they coined it about 800 BC, and since then it's been, oh, a fantastic weapon here, you can buy people to go and kill for you. And everyone has forgotten that last year, it was in all the papers for goodness sake, the US and Britain were putting massive funding of the military, the arms shipments, for Al Qaeda to continue through Syria, and financing them, like paychecks, etc., otherwise as I say they'd go home. And then Putin stepped in for a world conference to stop this, because everybody's fed up across the planet at the US military going everywhere on the behest of international corporations that want all the oil, all the gold, everything, remember. Putin stepped in and says, you know, this has got to stop, this has got to stop, this constant warfare. And the West backed off a bit, oh well, because Putin got a lot of support, you see, for that, a beautiful political maneuver. He got a lot of support and the West backed off, for a little while, and went back to work; and what did they pull out of the top hat then, like the magician? ISIS.

Remember too, Al Qaeda supposedly was sweeping all through, all through Africa and other countries, all through it, a year ago. And that was the biggest threat to the whole world, a year ago. And we had these little fracases across different little parts of Africa, a year ago, with Al Qaeda. And all over the papers they said, Africorp, they called it, but other folk call it Americorp. But Africorp, the African militaries had to combine and at least show some strength against this terrible threat across Africa, of Al Qaeda. You see. And they said, if they can't get enough the US would have to go in there, you see, the military, to pacify these parts all over Africa that held these little bands of Al Qaeda, we were told. Suddenly it's all gone. Have

you heard of it recently? It's like it all disappeared.

But as I say, and they invented ISIS. Now, nobody over in those countries calls themselves ISIS. It was admitted again in the papers here that the media, that's what we're told, the media were responsible for inventing the name ISIS, you see. But it does a great job of obfuscation, of blurring things, you see. Because everybody might have remembered, wait a minute, Al Qaeda, didn't Putin step in last time when they were ready to finish off Syria and kick out Assad like they always wanted, or Israel wants it, the US wants it and a whole bunch of countries want it, it's been in all their papers, it's no secret. And then you had this obfuscation, where they kind of haze things over for you, because you're catching on too much and they've got to make it obscure, you see. So suddenly, yeah, we're sending all the military in to fight ISIS, and if you notice, they're not separating, say, the authorized Syria, meaning the standard Syrian government and country, they're not differentiating it from, say, the rebel-held territory. They're just saying Syria now, so in your head all of Syria is bad. And so the US military and other militaries, in other words, their original plan of getting rid of Assad and taking over everything that Syria has, and all of their oil too, etc., is going to be the big reward that they get for it. You see. And it's not the guys that they're paying, the mercenaries that they're paying, these armies, to do it. They're not going to get the reward, no. The same guys that got the rewards, the big BP, Shell and all the rest of them, that got the rewards dished out to them in Iraq, are going to get the same things here, you see, big corporations, and many of them are actually owned by Goldman Sachs. Do you know that Goldman Sachs owns stacks of corporations in the military-industrial complex, all the top ones? They own them. The top shareholders that run that whole damn thing, own the whole lot. It's fascinating to go into.

But again, we've all forgotten Al Qaeda, and all we hear now is ISIS, ISIS, ISIS, ISIS, yep. What a joke. As I say, the mercenaries over there don't call themselves that. It's just fascinating to me, fascinating. They never run out of bullets, you notice, or mortar shells or anything else. They don't run out of anything. Huh? Well, these guys don't make this stuff to supply themselves. Who is supplying them? The West. It's still the West. It's the same bunch of mercenaries that was called Al Qaeda that are bashing away under the name of ISIS, and supposedly the whole military might and Air Force of the US and Britain and all these countries, they just can't bash these rebels, you know, they keep missing them. No, they're after clearing out Assad, you see, taking down what's left of the whole country of Syria. That was always their agenda back in the New American Century plan of, you know, the second publication was in 1998, all the guys, Wolfowitz and all the rest of them, Rumsfeld, etc., all involved in the same group with George Bush Junior. But we've all forgotten it all. We've lost our sanity here.

But mind you, you're terrified. You've also been hit with the bank crash, again. All these guys raking in millions of dollars at the top, experts you know, and universities and all that stuff too, and nobody saw this coming. If that's experts, God help us, eh, on anything. Nobody saw it coming. It was a complete mystery, that the top banks were ripping everybody off and selling junk bonds and everything else, and homes that were valued at over a thousand times what they were actually valued at. And what's a value anyway? It's just somebody's idea of a price. Isn't it? And they were all trading these worthless houses and throwing them up the ladder to the next bunch of mugs that wanted a fast profit and who turned them over again, until supposedly it all came down, the bubble burst and down it came. And they were going to fix it all... they're always going to fix it, you see.

I told you years ago, many years ago, they'll never fix that. The same thing that the Rothschilds used in Britain to get the Bank of England. They'll never fix it because you see, the boys, these guys run the whole system, they ain't going to let it be fixed. They always want to do this. They always plunder the planet with depressions at least twice a century. And we live through it again. Oh well they're going to fix it now, you know, and... And you want to believe it, you see, so you can go back to what you think is normal. It never goes back to normal because your currency is never, ever the same purchasing power again. And so you're buying less and less with more and more money. You're buying less real goods for more money. Ah, people.... people, I tell you... make you want to shake your head. And this is the con game that we live in thinking it's all quite normal because we've been brainwashed into thinking it's normal. And everyone else adapts, therefore I have adapted and I'm sane.

And back again around 1998, 1999, 2000, I mentioned on the air a documentary I had seen. It was with a big gold and diamond company in London. And it showed you the documentary, it was an official

documentary put out by the big boys, BBC or somewhere. They showed you, at that time it was Sierra Leone, and they said that it's one of the biggest diamond producers in the world, Sierra Leone. The problem with big corporations is, you see, if you have an official government in there they want their cut and their tax money and all the rest of it, and they'll have rules and regulations for safety, etc. Well, that's a nuisance to big corporations. If you can demolish the governments, or get them fighting endless wars and impoverishing them, and get little bands taking over like they've done across Iraq, then you just pay the biggest warlord there, who is still pretty minute compared to a big government, and it's a lot cheaper to get everything out for almost nothing, all the resources out.

Anyway this documentary showed you the mercenaries there, they were all British and US and different countries that had ex-military that were now mercenaries. They showed them working with the actual groups under fire in Sierra Leone. Then they went to a big corporation in London, one of these big towers that they have there. And there is the typical character sitting there with his proper accent, you see, and proper education, who did give an interview and they were asked, well, your company is one of the big companies that are financing this, this endless war in Sierra Leone and financing the mercenaries; why are you doing it? And the guy literally acted as though he was aghast at it all, like what a ridiculous question. And that's how they really see it from their point of view at the top, where this is normal daily fare. And he says, Why?... He says, well there's all these people living on the land there, on top of all this wealth, and they're doing nothing with it, he said, as though he had just answered a stupid, stupid question. And from his point of view it was awfully stupid, because as I say it's daily fare with him. So that's why they did it.

They've always done this nonsense. Psychopaths at the top think you're stupid if you can't see the reason they give you, because from their point of view you really are stupid. They can't imagine that you're different in a certain sense, wouldn't you do it if you can grab all those diamonds and gold for nothing? And it doesn't matter how many documentaries of this kind are churned out, with the horses' mouths telling you what they're up to and why, you will forget it all. And then you fall into the traps of, well Americorp or Africorp must get across the whole of Africa to wipe out the Al Qaeda, that suddenly disappeared, you see, from the news. And where are all the US forces going? To all of these countries that have gold and diamonds, etc. And then Ebola, same thing, Liberia, Sierra Leone, and so on. You can't put it together, can you? You can't do it, can you? So it's announced. Are we sending out massive military teams over or, say, hospital teams or whatever? No. Not over to Liberia or anywhere else. We're sending in thousands of troops, guns and grenades and stuff, because we're going to blow up the germs and the viruses. Ooh. Huh?

There's only one reason you send in armed troops anywhere. I don't care if they want to do the Orwellian con game of doublethink, they're not killers, they're peacekeepers. Oh, no. That's what soldiers turned into, peacekeeping, you know. Well, you could use that to say about the Nazis, why couldn't you accept that with the Nazis, they were keeping the peace? Huh? Or the Communists through their massive rampage of slaughter, oh they were trying to keep peace, they were peacemakers. They even give each other Nobel prizes and things. Isn't that amazing, eh? It depends who you are of course if you get the prize or not. If you're not on the list they will use you and then kill you off rather nastily, like they did with Qaddafi, and they'll even show you pictures of it happening. But do we remember anything? No.

We've got everything popping up except Osiris. Or Ra himself, maybe that will be next. What do you think? Fool me once, shame on me. Fool me twice, shame on you. Oh sorry, it's the other way around isn't it? You keep forgetting how many times you've been shamed. You can't remember who's shaming you, even yourself. Don't forget it, shame on you once, you see, shame on me if you fool me twice. How many times are we getting shamed here? And even the folk who should know better, all the so-called 9/11 Truthers and so on, all these groups, are parroting all the same rubbish... We're all going to die of Ebola...

The banks were already, remember, you've already forgotten, the same conmen who benefit incredibly from every crash they cause, and they're going to give themselves and others more bonuses afterwards, like, congratulations we conned them again, and we bail them out, eh, now they've got the bail-ins. Like they started with Cypress, we've forgotten all about it. But the International Monetary Fund, the big kingpin of all the big global mafia that runs the world, and it's not Italian by the way, and the Bank for International Settlements was set up by all the big corporate boys, that wanted to standardize the planet. Do you think Rockefeller was just standardizing oil? No. He was standardizing the medical system, the pharmaceutical system, everything that they had a hold over and money in they standardized it. The whole idea was to

standardize the world and standardize the population and their minds. Standardize, that's what it was about. Standard Oil. Standard, standard, standard, until you're all getting standardized with the same parroting nonsense. Beautiful isn't it?

It wasn't just Rockefeller. The massive conglomerate that already existed before anyone had heard the name Rockefeller simply used his family as the front, or one front, down through the centuries, to implement all this part of it, that was all. The big massive think tanks, the big foundations that finance all the nongovernmental organizations, that are telling you to sterilize yourself and eat crickets, you see, because it's good for you and it will save the planet, don't eat meat... They fund every nongovernmental organization out there, and hundreds of their kin, because they're all related, all these foundations. Some of them are simply front foundations and chains of front foundations to pass the money on from the main ones to more groups. That's a lot to take care of, you know, all these thousands of NGOs across the world, that now has taken over, supposedly, from something called democracy. What? Democracy, what's democracy? What? You know, when you had a say in something. [Alan chuckles.] Yeah. Democracy they called it.

It's a shame that people can't look back. It's a shame you don't read the old books written about democracy, when they were inventing the idea, in ancient times. It always failed because it was always a con job. And reintroducing it back in the late 1800, into the early 20th century too. Winston Churchill, Winston Churchill when he was a young guy talked about it in his club, who was behind it, and he knew who was behind it all right, it was public knowledge at that time. And in the politically correct way of saying things is that it was the communists, but he actually said who was behind it, because communism itself is a front, you see. But he says, an absurd idea, this idea of democracy. Because Britain was a fixed, rigid system of classes, you see, everybody knew their place. The elite know that, and they love it, do you know your place, yeah, you better mind your place. That used to be a favorite term you heard at school and different places at one time, mind your place. But democracy gave the illusion that you actually had a say, and they presented you, exactly the same as the Soviet system, Politburo member number one, two or three, take your pick. Here they say, left wing, right wing, or in the middle, whatever that happens to be. And you believe it too. It's all the same agenda. Nothing changes, does it?

Con after con after con. And you get caught up in it. And the media pushes elections as though it was some kind of sports game. You think it's a coincidence? Is it a coincidence they can use the same techniques that somehow make men, especially, lose their brains during a sports game, with the hype and the screams, as though you were involved in it and running up that field and all that nonsense, somehow you're involved, your guy is in the lead, and oh wow, and he'll get into power and everything will be nice and a utopia will come out of it. Huh, what? You never learn, do you? Never. And folk turn to what they think is alternative media, to let them know what's going on, really going on, and they're conned just the same way... Be terrified about this today. Be terrified about that tomorrow. Be terrified... Be terrified, and buy all this stuff at the same time, from us. That's the stuff, you see, that you hear, consistently, and you don't catch on. Are we all losing our brains here?

Do you really think that with the power and control, and the multi-thousands of people working for every government, and agencies, and total awareness network, and all the rest of it, when they know everything in real time, that they just keep blundering at the top? Do you want to believe that? Do you want to believe it? Do you know how many sleepers they've got out there? and informants? with all different groups? If you started a poetry group up in your local library that had some poems about, this is all nasty and please be nice to us because it's not very nice at the moment, thank you very much, you would have someone in there to make sure that that's what you were there for, and you didn't go overboard with it, like retaliation of any kind. I'm not kidding you, nothing in the system is missed, nothing. But, be very afraid, be terrified, when we tell you to be terrified, be terrified, and obey us, for your own safety, for goodness sake.

I want to touch on, just before I go off tonight here, because I have to get back tomorrow to this well problem I've got with the water and all that, which is eternal, it's just incredible. But anyway, the militarization of the police. I've talked about it an awful lot, long before I mentioned the book Rise of the Warrior Cop by a professor and so on, an awfully good book. I don't get any money, by the way, for mentioning any book here because I don't even know the people, I've never spoken to them. It was by Radley Balko and it's an incredible book about the details, the facts, the financing, as they militarize the police state, across the world. I said years ago in the 90s, that they've been doing it, way back, I mentioned

it then, they're doing it now. I says, why is this? It's for something all coming down the road in the future. Big changes. Remember all the statements you've heard, and you've forgotten about too, even by folk like Al Gore, I can remember when he said, the Internet would change the world, and the way we think, and change our whole way of doing things and being and all the rest of it. They meant it. You see, they planned how you're going to get changed, they planned how you're going to end up thinking and what to think and what you're going to do with yourself and all the rest of it. It was all planned ahead, way before Al Gore came along.

But, Rise of the Warrior Cop... and they've militarized the police force across the world. Whatever movie you see from Italy or wherever it happens to be, there's the guys with the black gear and all the armor on, and the black hats and the night vision on there, and their guns that are like stuck, I think they're born that way. I'm beginning to wonder if the average person in the US, especially, because they churn out most of the movies, if they come out of the womb clad in this stuff. Because every movie you see, there's the SWAT team, and they all look the same, robots, like Star Wars. And you can't tell who's who, because you're not meant to. They're all to be a group that scare you to blazes, you see. Like dozens of robots. They either have balaclavas over their faces at the same time, and the helmets on top, and these big things sticking out of the helmets that are their night vision and all that... very intimidating, you see. And the guns are stuck to their shoulder and they can't move from that position holding that gun as they walk slowly along, going side to side, side to side.

Thousands of movies churned out, churned out, until, and again it encourages all the young guys... And there's guys growing up, turning 18 and all that, there's folk who weren't alive when 9/11 happened and all they have known is anti-terrorism, antiterrorism... basically a form of martial law. That's all they have known, and they'll think it's normal. And the winning team are the guys with these guns that come out of the womb with the armor on, they're all black and they go side to side with that gun stuck to their shoulder, side to side, slow, slow. And they want to be on the winning team. So you've got a whole army for the future that will just flow into this new system, and they're already doing it, they've been doing it for a few years, and they think it's all quite natural. And then it's them against you. Because once you belong to a group with all the same gear, you're uniform, one form, anybody without that form is one of them. You see. And that's how all these movies portray it, one of them, you're not in the brotherhood. Oh, wow.

I could go on forever about this but anyway, here's an article here and I mentioned this with Rise of the Warrior Cop, the book. This is from RT News, October 7th, 2014, and it says here...

Battleground America:
US Army surplus even going to coroners as militarization rampant
(Alan: You see.)
RT.com / October 7, 2014

The Pentagon's 1033 Program, (Alan: That's the program where they use your tax money to buy, supposedly buy surplus military and used military gear to give to all the different agencies out there, all of them, anything with government, they're getting all this stuff, and have been for years and years and years. So not only has your tax money bought it the first time, you're now buying it a second time. Isn't that a beautiful scam. They never tell you who's the middleman though, do they?) which is militarizing state and local police forces with everything from high-powered firearms to armored vehicles, is also giving weapons to officials who have no law enforcement functions. (Alan: ...like that's news. It's not news to me, maybe to lots of other people.)

The practice is leading watchdogs and even some US government officials to question why the US military is so desperate to unload its cache of used military hardware that it is even willing to arm a local coroner, and other state and local officials who have no apparent need for firepower.

Doug Wortham is the coroner in Sharp County, Arkansas, whose working day consists of dealing with dead people. (Alan: ...that normally does this. There's no such thing as a dead loss in this system here. The folk that can make money, make money off everything, even your body parts and stuff, even weighing that liver, oh yeah, another liver here, it weighs so much and blah-blah-blah, and they cut folk up and stitch them up and all that. Then the undertaker gets a fortune off you too in your grief to bury you or burn you or

whatever.)

. . . Explaining his need for the extra firepower, Wortham, who qualified for the program because as a coroner, he is invested with the authority to arrest, (Alan: …that's why. He's a coroner, he doesn't use the power to arrest, but he's invested with the authority to arrest…) told AP: "I just wanted to protect myself." (Alan: How do you protect yourself? Well, here's how he did it…)

It was also reported that the coroner's office acquired items through the program (Alan: …the 1033 program…) but forfeited its rights last year following revelations about some of its procurements, including a kayak. (Alan: Oh, they're getting all kinds of things thrown at them; I've read these articles before, not the same one but many, many other ones, even bayonets and things.)

"Why would a coroner's office need a kayak?" asked Tina Owens, deputy director of the Arkansas Department of Emergency Management, as cited by AP.

Civic watchdog groups have been sounding the alarm over the militarization of local and state law enforcement agencies for years. (Alan: …and they have.) However, the August shooting death of black teenager Michael Brown in Ferguson, Missouri, followed by violent protests that pitted hundreds of protesters against heavily armed police clad in military gear, attracted public attention to the issue.

In August, the White House ordered a review of the 1033 Program, which mostly delivered excess weapons and tactical equipment to local police departments. (Alan: …'mostly' it says here, where does the rest of it go? Well I won't mention that, that's a whole new program or show.)

According to the AP report, however, numerous government agencies not directly connected with law enforcement also took advantage of the gun giveaway, including "government agencies that enforce gaming laws at Kansas tribal casinos and weigh 18-wheelers in Mississippi, to the Wyoming Livestock Board and the Cumberland County Alcoholic Beverage Control Board in Fayetteville, North Carolina."

Here are some of the agencies that received weapons and military gear through the program: The harbormaster in Dartmouth, Massachusetts, received a Humvee for negotiating tough terrain (Alan: That's probably a completely armored one too. Tough terrain, mind you the road potholes are getting bad, the potholes are really bad now for your suspension.) and "a night-vision scope to spot boaters in the dark;" (Alan: I wonder what else these pervs are watching.) the Arkansas Tobacco Control agency acquired five 12-gauge shotguns for its agents, "who help regulate tobacco retailers and wholesalers;" the Wyoming Livestock Board, which provides Glocks and .45-caliber handguns to its officers "who investigate cattle thefts and other industry-related crimes;" the Mississippi Department of Transportation got seven M-14 rifles through the program, AP reported.

Any investigation into the weapons giveaway, however, will be hampered by the program's cloak of secrecy that only reveals information on the county level regarding the transfers. Meanwhile, battlefield-grade equipment accounted for "a fraction" of the used items available, with some agencies participating in the program to receive office equipment and other such goods, AP said. (Alan: I mentioned before, they get grenade launchers and everything. This is to go after the war on drugs and stuff? Everything is nonsense, eh, the war on this and the war on that and the war… The war is on all of YOU, folks, ALL the time. And mind you, all the movies that they do makes it awfully attractive, doesn't it, because all the good guys are always the guys that are smashing doors down everywhere, aren't they? And you want to be, oh they're the good guys, they've got a badge, look, see. Symbols are amazing, someone shows you a badge and suddenly you do what you're told. Symbols, eh, isn't that amazing.)

It was only through information voluntarily provided to AP that it was able to discover the destination of the inventories.

Police officers fire canisters of tear gas on protestors . . . (Alan: …oh, they show you them using this kind of stuff. But that's the simple stuff, that's gas canisters.)

Meanwhile, it was earlier revealed that at least 26 school districts have participated in the Pentagon's

weapons program, which since the 1990s has provided free military surplus goods, including mine-resistant armored vehicles, grenade launchers and M16 rifles. (Alan: ...and all the body armor too.)

Last month, the San Diego Unified School District Police Department (SDUSD) announced that it had received from the federal government a $733,000 Mine-Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) vehicle similar to the models used in the Iraq and Afghanistan wars. (Alan: Wow, just like the movies, eh, you got your own special fancy vehicle that's all scary looking and stuff.)

Although the 18-ton vehicle does not come with any weapons, watchdog groups are wondering exactly what type of school emergency would require the use of an armored military vehicle. (Alan: It's because the students are going to riot about the school lunches maybe.)

The ongoing militarization of school police departments has been explained by incidences of violence on school grounds, most notably the 1999 Columbine High School massacre, which left 15 dead, including the two perpetrators of the shooting spree. (Alan: Mind you, the agencies also knew this was coming up. There's a whole story to that, long before it happened, including a practice run of teachers asking them, the students, if you're going to do something in a fantasy way, play it out and do a video, and they did it through the school, as though they were walking around the school shooting everybody. This was way before it happened, and with real guns and all that, the same guys. And they even put their own videos up of them up firing off all these firearms in the forest, all these youngsters. And that made the FBI and all the rest of them, that were watching them like a hawk, but they let it happen, didn't they. And they were all seeing psychiatrists. Oh boy, they're always taken by surprise, you see, no one saw it coming.)

In September, almost two dozen educational and civil rights groups sent a letter to Pentagon officials, urging them to stop militarizing US school police departments, which have been reported in California, Texas, Nevada, Utah, Florida, Georgia, Kansas and Michigan.

"Adding the presence of military-grade weapons to school climates that have become increasingly hostile due to their over-reliance on police to handle routine student discipline can only exacerbate existing tensions," said the protest letter, signed by a number of groups, including the NAACP's Legal Defense and Education Fund, the Children's Law Center and Public Counsel.

President Barack Obama in August ordered a review of federal programs that allow state and local law enforcement to acquire military-grade weapons and equipment. (Alan: Nothing will happen there, he's just playing the game, you see. Because that's the agenda.)

You see, they haven't just militarized everything, folks, all these agencies, and all these massive movies – funded by the Pentagon too, your tax money once more, to brainwash yourselves, it works awfully well – and you lap this stuff up movie after movie, drama after drama, with these guys, again, coming out of the womb with their black gear on, you know, and this thing stuck to their shoulder and two hands on it, and the funny things in front of their eyes for night vision, and side to sideslowly... Oh, scary. These are the good guys, that smash the doors down of citizens. These are the good guys, and blow open the doors, off the hinges and that with different explosives. Boom. And you watch this stuff, because you've been told the guys inside are bad... bad, bad, bad, bad, who generally have committed, if they committed anything at all, which often happens that they haven't done anything, it's misinformation, they've got the wrong houses and stuff. If they're doing anything at all they're smoking some weed or something, which was not the high criminal deadly offense they portray in the movies. Anyway, that's, again, another story altogether, isn't it, another story completely altogether.

But the fact is, you see, here's the key, they haven't just militarized all these agencies and police. You see, your brain has been militarized by all the rubbish and indoctrination you've been watching, because you think, in the fictional form, the good guys are smashing the door down of all these houses, all the doors, to keep you all safe, and you've been brainwashed, and so you allow it to get even worse, and in real life it gets worse, and you think that's normal. Do you really think all these agencies are there to go after folk smoking weed? Huh? Do you?

You need an internal army for what's coming down the pike. And the big boys have planned this before a lot

of you were born out there. And they have planned it so well and implemented it so well, through all of their movies and dramas and yada-yada-ya, until you're now ready to accept all of it, all of it. But the same guys who can do such careful planning and all the rest of it, with total information network and so on, total dominance of everything, all media and blah-blah-blah, you think they just miss things like, my God there's a big outbreak of Ebola out there in Africa, and they wait till something happens, supposedly, and you believe that too?

And then of course, you notice even in Australia that only a few weeks ago had that big raid supposedly on all possible, possible Al Qaeda type, or ISIS type sleeper terrorists in the country, in other words, guys that looked at different sites and so on that were going to purportedly start violence, generally run by the governments, and young guys go into it and get into the sites and get carried away with it. Because it's easy to use young guys, that's why they only use young guys for the military, you know. Anyway, Australia had that a few weeks ago and it kind of died down again. It didn't have the impact it was supposed to have, because I think most of the guys that they arrested, they were let go.

Then you have Ebola, oh it's happened in Australia too. Well, phew. They haven't bothered with Canada so far, because Canadians are pretty laid back and we do what we're told, you see. But in the US, oh, we never saw this coming, yeah, well yeah, we had a vague recollection of a massive outbreak in Africa somewhere, in Liberia, but we didn't think to check them coming in from Liberia, you know, it just didn't... And you believe this rubbish? Huh? As I say, this guy may or may not even exist, I don't know. I certainly don't take anything at face value. I mean, who swore the hospital staff to complete secrecy here? Why would you do that, like the official secrets act, why? I mean, think about it, folks. Why would you do that? It's awfully important to know. And then supposedly a person who treated them, in the hospital, has got it now, hospital staff... Oh my God, it's spreading, we're going to die, die, save us, save us. Maybe they'll save you with the same militarized SWAT teams, they'll come in with their guns and grenades and mortars and everything, and bazookas, and armored vehicles, the same way as they're going to save the folk in Liberia by sending all the military over there, and to Sierra Leone where the diamonds and that are. I tell you, it's a mystery to me...

But, but folks, fool me once, shame on you. Fool me twice, shame on me.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Link:
Battleground America: US Army surplus even going to coroners as militarization rampant

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Oct. 16, 2014 (#1466)
ALAN WATT
"CUTTING THROUGH THE MATRIX"
(GUEST ON REALITY BYTES RADIO WITH NEIL FOSTER)
Originally Broadcast Oct. 16, 2014 on awakeradio.co.uk
realitybytesradio.com

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Neil: Welcome to Reality Bytes Radio on the 16th of October, twenty past three Eastern Time, twenty past eight in the UK. Sorry about the delay there were technical issues with the system again. Let's welcome Alan Watt to the broadcast again and I was talking to Alan off the air about the use of fear as a weapon if you like to control the masses and how it was used in ancient times, how it's used in modern times, and then comes the Ebola thing that's going on. And I mean how far back does this actually go Alan? I mean I don't know but I've discussed the aspects of how they induce eclipses or things like that to instill fear in the population claiming that they have control of the sun and they could block it anytime they wanted to, to punish the people if you like.
Alan: Yes. You also have it in the Middle Eastern countries as well with Zoroastrianism, things like that where you had the sun priest as well basically too and various cults and religions. Initially you see to start off to get a powerful system going which we today call government you must, it starts off generally with families that slaughter other families and take over lands. And then you have the problem of maintaining the hold over the people and so in comes the intelligentsia, the hired intelligentsia who then know instinctively, intuitively, how to put across fear to get the people to obey. It's much better to rule people by having them comply with your obeyance and be obedient to the system rather than fight you all the time or rebel. And so this is a very ancient technique. And you'll find even today in the modern times so much money, all through the 20th century up to the present time, has been in sociology, etcetera, and behaviorism, has been into the study of all the histories of this plus into human nature itself because the one thing that's on ruler's minds, it doesn't matter what kind of ruler you want to believe or rulership you are in, whether it's democracy or whether it's fascism, or communism, whatever it happens to be, they all used the same systems and they are all really front systems for the moneyed elite above them in all systems, even in the communist system. And therefore the same systems that work will always be used. They are all formula as Plata said even in his day. And therefore fear they found is the best way to have people to comply.
We find in the Green Party in Britain for instance only about three or four years ago the women who was ahead at that time came out on the news and said that if only we could get the public into a war mode as they were during the Blitz in London, World War II, because everybody when the whistles went off and the sirens went off they ran into their shelters. They were crammed into those shelters. They were already on incredible rationing you know in Britain that lasted about ten years or eleven years. People don't realize that

rationing cards were still issued up until 1951 and but the people all complied because they were all believing that if they didn't comply they'd all perish and so fear is the greatest motivation of all to get people to simply comply and be obedient.
Now the studies on behaviorism, and here's the thing because it's really interesting, the experts today in behaviorism and neuroscience understand the fear mechanism perfectly well and they've also done extensive surveys into what worked in ancient times all through history to the present and including when a country was under attack from maybe three or four sources or they could have a plague ongoing, be at war with a foreign government, being threatened with invasion and so on. And they found that people can only logically think, even the average individual, if you have no more than two major crises on the go simultaneously at the same time. If you have any more than that you begin to break down and you look for answers from what you think are people who know. So someone who comes along and says I'm an expert obey me, don't worry and they are very quiet and complacent and so on, you will trust them and you will give all your obedience to that person. That's the system we are under today, yeah.
Neil: And... "Neither a man nor a coward nor a nation can be trusted to act humanely or to think sanely under the influence of a great fear."
Alan: That's right.
Neil: It's an emotional argument about any subject. And of course fear is one of the greatest emotions.
Alan: It is.
Neil: And then they are not thinking rationally or sanely.
Alan: Yes it's a primitive, primal, emotion because it's to do with survivalism. If you don't comply you will perish. That's the simple statement that's put out whether it's for an inoculation that rakes in millions or even billions for the Big Pharma companies like the Swine Flu vaccine that they had to stop dishing out eventually because it was killing too many people. But things like that and we are seeing the same thing with the Ebola scare.
Since 9/11, here's the key, since 9/11, that was the unrolling of the whole new sociological system of control over populations of many, many countries and they hope eventually the whole world for compliance under the new world state they have been pushing for, for years. And they said when 9/11 happened, I think it was Cheney that came out or one of the guys came out at the top and said nothing will ever be the same again. And that night on the air, it was on WWCR, I said, "The hardest thing to hold on to from now on...", from now on, and I meant it because I read all of their previous stuff building up to this, "...would be to hold on to your sanity as we go through this." And we've had nothing but crashes with the banks, the stock markets. You've seen your currencies deliberately devalued all the time. Your taxes have gone way up. They brought in the Austerity Doctrine and I said at the time that the Austerity Doctrine really means that all of your spending money will go to bare essentials in the future. That's the big agenda because this stuff is an open secret as H.G. Wells said. They publish at the top and through the United Nations in all the different treaties they sign where they are taking you. And at the same time we've got Ebola on the go, fear of your lives, crashes, oh Al-Qaeda, now it's ISIS and a whole bunch of other things. It's the same group, but the average person can't cope with all of these fear mechanisms.
But I'll tell you the best place to find the techniques of fear and how they are used today, and it's actually through marketing. Marketers work with governments, the top ones do, the big corporations. And they've done the most extensive studies of how to use fear to get folk to buy things for instance even right down to if you don't use this toothpaste your teeth are going to fall out, etcetera, etcetera. It's all to do with, it's not just vanity, it's actually fear that you are going to be the odd ball out here and look ugly, etcetera. Or you've got the little car there that has rust on it, small car, and here's the big car passing you by and you feel that you're a loser. And that's pushed in the advertising.
But it also comes down to all forms of selling everything today including alternative media. And I've watched alternative media for many, many years and when it comes to the SARS nonevent that happened in Canada a few years back to the present thing with Ebola, they all go into hyper drive selling all of their products with again under fear. An hour of terrifying you and here's the antidote, buy this. And the poor suckers go and buy it all hoping that it's going to save them possibly. So they sell them legally, they actually sell them legally a form of hope, but not actual fact, you see, and it's a very ancient technique.
Remember Rockefeller's old man was a snake oil salesman and he used all of these techniques too. So it's on the go today and everyone goes into hyper drive when it comes to fear.
Neil: Yeah I lived an hour west from the Behavioural Insights Team in the UK. And they are actually working with the mainstream media here, the Behavioural Insights Team, to psychologically scare people.
Alan: Yes.
Neil: To scare them for taxes.

Alan: Oh yeah.
Neil: And that in itself is an assault surely.
Alan: I read that yeah. Uh-huh.
Neil: Yeah and they also {inaudible}, they've been doing it for the last year anyway, organ transplant. If it's not fear, it's guilt. You know they make you feel guilty.
Alan: Yes.
Neil: And also separately the Big Pharma stuff. I mean the pharma shops here, I don't know what they are like over there. But you don't get {inaudible} in the shots here you get {inaudible}.
Alan: That's right, yeah.
Neil: It's constant. I mean all of the signs in the {inaudible} to get a flu shot, get a shingles shot, get a pneumonia shot. And I think I mentioned to you before that there was a big effigy of the Grim Reaper in the entranceway to the pharmacy for Halloween.
Alan: Uh-huh. {Laughs}
Neil: Yeah. I got a nice photograph of that one next to the free flu shot sign. I mean fear is, I mean it takes on many aspects. I mean even family life you know going back to the vaccinations, you have to get your children vaccinated or something terrible is going to happen to them.
Alan: That's right.
Neil: Or you have all the women's magazines telling you that you've got to have this, you've got to have this, or the women pester the man can we have this big TV or whatever it is you know we better keep up with the Jones's.
Alan: Yes.
Neil: I mean it takes many, many different forms.
Alan: Oh what they do, here's the key to it you see, in commercial systems where the big guys who get up there at the top, they form cartels. They are big gangs at the top, big corporate gangs. And they have cartels and conglomerates where they all work together. You must in this day and age work together at the top. They don't really compete with each other at the top. But the system itself forces everyone else to compete with each other even at the bottom. That's the key to it all. As you say, you'd better keep up with the Jones's, what will your neighbors say? Oh your fence isn't mended or your grass is too long, etcetera, etcetera. I'd better get a new lawnmower. All these things are meant to, these are external motivational factors that are placed upon you and forced upon you by the commercial system in which you actually live. And so it's all to do with conform, conform, which means really purchase, purchase and have all the latest stuff so you can cut your grass, so you can earn enough money to fix the fence and all of this kind of stuff. So you don't realize. I mean I know people who are very elderly, very elderly, who went through the first Great Depression as they called it, and it wasn't the first one really at all but we will call it that because it's in the books. And that was manipulated too of course by three guys at the top Gould and so on and J.P. Morgan. But anyway some of their parents didn't have to actually earn physical money in the U.S. because there were no taxes as such as we think of them today. They didn't have taxes on their property. Many of them simply bartered. If you wanted something from the dentist and you could do odd jobs or you could put in a new cupboard for them or something like that, that's what they did. And the rest of the time they simply sustained themselves. They farmed their own land and sustained themselves. But when FDR and all the rest of them came in and of course the big depression they were forced to start for the first time to get money to pay taxes. And so the loophole was closed and now you are all forced to go along into the same corrupt system that we are in today and be a good taxpayer. In fact it's one of the definitions of being a good citizen is to be a good consumer and producer according to the United Nations and of course a good taxpayer as well. So all this talk about freedom is nonsensical when the various options you had, had been taken away from you by law you know.
Neil: Yeah it's quite ironic that if you're keeping up with the Jones's then you are going out and spending money you don't have.
Alan: Yes.
Neil: Then you start being fearful about not having any money.
Alan: Exactly. The biggest problem today physically, one of the biggest problems apart from the junk food we eat and GMO and pesticides and the vaccinations that cause cancers and all the rest of it, one of the biggest problems we have is anxiety. And anxiety itself is definitely going to shorten your life and cause a lot of problems. Yeah. Never mind social problems and interpersonal relationships and all the rest of it too.
Neil: Of course the interpersonal relationships I suppose is another aspect because you know women are trained to believe they have to have a certain figure, they have to look a certain way, they have to dress a certain way.

Alan: Uh-huh.
Neil: And now it's gone full circle whereby you walk into the supermarket or whatever and now the men have got whole aisles to themselves as well with creams and ointments.
Alan: Yeah.
Neil: And all this garbage. And then they might say oh well I must look good to a women or whatever or she will run off with somebody else and all this kind of paranoia.
Alan: Yes. And plus the fear factor of not earning enough money. Because again if the feeling of security there, financial security is not there in a home, then of course it causes incredible problems and arguments and divorces, etcetera.
Neil: And of course media, media plays the major role in this kind of thing by promoting it from the, well on all levels of society from the tabloid papers to the so called broadsheets which are all becoming one and the same these days as I can see.
Alan: Yes.
Neil: There was an article up here the other day about Ebola, and it's not Ebola that you need to be scared of, it's the flu.
Alan: Uh-huh. Uh-huh.
Neil: And here we go, you know.
Alan: Yeah.
Neil: Some of the pictures have to be laughable and people, people buy into it. I mean are people so kind of broken down and {inaudible} the amount of stuff that's been bombarded down on them.
Alan: We here's the key to it. Here's the key to that because in the endless sociological studies on the general populations over well over 150 years now basically, they know that, and I liken it to a herd of cattle getting stampeded. To get the cattle moving initially you have to instill some kind of fear and noises or gunshots like you'll see in old westerns. Or some attack by wolves, something to get them motivated because they are just like people. We like to graze in the same area, it's familiar, it's our home, blah, blah, blah.
Once you get them starting to run the trick is to keep them running. And all you have to do then is get a couple of riders up front there to make sure, and to the sides of them, that you can actually steer where you want them to go. That's why you call them a steer, the cow you know that you can guide where you want them to go. And it's all done through fear and if they want to go in a different direction you just fire more guns off at the side of them or whatever it is and they will move off away from it, very simplistically to get away from these nasty lethal sounds. And so that's how the general population is today. The more fear you can hit them with incessantly and keep it up, don't give them a chance to sit back and be comfortable for a while. When we get comfortable we start to talk to each other rationally. And when the government tries to push something we start to complain amongst each other initially and say well I don't really want to go along with this. I kind of like the way it is right now.
But what they have done since 9/11 is just one thing after another until you are petrified. And that's when they flood you with the experts, with expert opinions from economics to health, health, health, it's all over television. All the so-called experts that come out and all guide you along this path where you will never be your own master of your own thoughts. That's the key to it all, yeah.
Neil: I mean they bombard you with whatever it is, Ebola, ISIS, ISO, whatever, and they come up with a new thing, and something that was supposedly deadly serious two weeks ago and then suddenly it disappears. And there is another thing it's constantly changing.
Alan: Exactly.
Neil: And I mean I've noticed this myself in terms of talking to politicians, when you change to subject to them you see they can't think rationally either.
Alan: Uh-huh.
Neil: I mean there is almost a paradox in that.
Alan: Yes.
Neil: You get people with one thing just as they are kind of starting to get off of the initial fear, then something happens in its place. As you say the public can't be allowed to get kind of complacent about it.
Alan: Yeah you have to keep them stampeding and guide them, always guide them by new experts in different fields, yeah. And back in the 40's and even the 30's Bertrand Russell said the same thing, he said, we shall replace logic and reason amongst the general population by expert opinion on everything until they adopt expert opinion until a women can't change a diaper without expert opinion. And that's all been done. We've been trained since birth, our whole generation, to listen to experts even when we see the physical evidence or the realistic evidence that their expert opinion was wrong last time they still fall for it the next

time you know.
Neil: And they are never quite happy enough to put their names to things either.
Alan: Yes.
Neil: They are always unnamed.
Alan: What you will find, that's right, you see what they'll do now, and I read the article about a year ago I think on the air, it was from the Pentagon and other places because it was to do in fact with handouts from government agencies in the U.S. especially. But all governments are the same that they'd replace individual reporting with simply handouts and they wouldn't sign from where it was from. But it was all coming from the same ministries of information basically but under the guise of expert opinions. And all the newspapers are putting in these big articles verbatim without question and so on. They even did some open television interviews on some of the big stations a while back on that but it's all forgotten now. But that's how most things are coming out today. It's just expert opinions and, it's the same with the weather manipulators too and the geoengineering meetings they have all the time. They actually smirk and laugh amongst themselves whenever they mention "one day we might do it", instead of admitting to the public yeah we've been doing it for years and here's the outcome.
So we are living truly today in a kind of magic land of very high sciences all working at the same time, thousands of think tanks across the world working together to manipulate whole populations along the same path into a common world culture with a dominant minority at the top giving you your thoughts for the day for everyday of your life.
Neil: It's funny when you read any of these articles it says that, an expert says. Well an expert of what?
Alan: Yeah.
Neil: I mean expert is just a word. He might not even have experience on the subject he's talking about. They are just an expert you know.
Alan: Uh-huh.
Neil: Anyway.
Alan: Sure and I've said you know, I've said for years how come at least twice a century the big banks plunder you, premeditated plunder. They plunder whole countries and nations and continents twice a century at least and they get off with it. And the laws are never changed to stop it happening again. It's because they want that loophole and they want it to stay the same so they can do it again. We are living in a fake system and that's the key to it. If we have all of these fantastic experts going to the top economic schools and so on how come they never see anything coming? We are supposed to believe this, this nonsense. They never see it coming. It's all a joke, yeah.
Neil: {Inaudible} It's usually the politicians that {inaudible}. We are always told that these are the best people that we can get.
Alan: That's right. Yeah. {Laughs}
Neil: They're all thinking about how much money they've got {inaudible}. And they never will never do anything to get a decent job once they finish politics. But if they are the best we can get then we might as well do it ourselves because they always mess it up.
Alan: That's right.
Neil: Every time.
Alan: That's the impression we are meant to have because the politicians themselves and it truly is, they are obsolete, and they have been obsolete for an awful long time. I did shows years ago on that, from the big boys again and even back in the 60's Quigley and other people, Professor Quigley said the same thing. He said governments today are obsolete as they are projected to the public because the big corporate international corporation cartels who already ran the show back in the early 60's and had been for long before that in fact. And government goes to them for advice on everything. So that's how it's been for a long time. Politics is a Punch & Judy show for the general population. And sometimes you'll cheer Punch and sometimes Judy, and you'll throw rotten tomatoes at one or the other, and this is the game that they present to the public as being actually true. The Pentagon has more say in the U.S. along with the big corporations than the politicians do. And every politician knows it because they are all dependent on the corporations to back them when they want to run for congress or the senate.
Neil: So they are in fear as well.
Alan: Oh yeah. They are all paid off when they get massive donations by millions of dollars from corporations. They have many meetings with the different corporate leaders. It isn't here's a charitable donation, we believe in your cause and walk away. No, here's the deal, when you get in this is what you'll do for us. I mean it's as simple as that, yeah.
Neil: Yeah. As we are on about the politicians there's a great quote in one of the papers earlier in the week.

It's on Brooks Newmark MP, American-born English MP. He resigned because he was sending naked pictures of himself to various women across the world or something.
Alan: Uh-huh.
Neil: In online relationships. And one of them, one of them said she was shocked because he was an MP and he wouldn't tell a lie.
Alan: {Laughs}
Neil: That says it all. That's how naive people really are.
Alan: Yeah. These are the lemmings over the cliff, yeah.
Neil: I was also speaking to somebody on {inaudible} talking about you know Obama is the better of the two evils. I'm thinking, well why are you voting for evil at all?
Alan: Exactly.
Neil: Why? You know. And that {inaudible}.
Alan: If a system doesn't work regardless of the presentation that you are given to believe in, left wing, right wing, whatever it happens to be. If the same agenda goes on regardless from the United Nations and treaties getting signed with deeper ties and binding, and no party ever comes in that changes it, then it's the same agenda. The party system is nonsensical. They are both the same. They are all getting money to run you know, each individual in those parties, from the same sources.
Neil: Yeah and of course the public is basically in fear what's coming next. Oh my goodness that last one didn't do very well.
Alan: Exactly.
Neil: We have to hope for the best.
Alan: The definition of insanity is to keep doing the same things over and over expecting a different result when all you have seen in the past by doing the same things over and over is the same result.
Neil: Yeah. Okay we'll stop there for a piece of music and then we'll come back and perhaps talk a little bit about the current and past state of the truth movement. And you've already brushed on how fear is used in that as well.
Alan: Oh yes.
Neil: We'll go to a piece of music if Steve can throw something on and I'll come back and discuss that.
{Break}
Neil: Welcome back to Reality Bytes on the 16th of October with our guest Alan Watt. We were discussing fear before the break and that manifested itself in the truth movement as well and not just in you know the instilling of fear by people in the truth movement on people who are listening or watching them but there is a lot of fear within people, not myself I'm not worried at all, but I've heard broadcasters say I'd better not say this or I'd better not say that or you know I don't like them putting my face in a TV picture or all this stuff in case the boys come to get me kind of thing.
Alan: Yeah.
Neil: And what would you say to that? I mean it seems pretty pointless to me. If you are going to stick your head above the bar of it, leave it there and just go for it you know.
Alan: Yeah and I've told people before there is no point in getting paranoid about things because yeah they are monitoring everybody. I mean the whole population even for people who are sitting and just watching pornography all day and being a good citizen by doing so by the way because that's what they are pushing, is rubbish like that.
They know everything about every single person. And so even if you think that I better not say this or I better not say that and keep myself clean, they still know what's going on around you because you'll have little comments out to your friends and so on. You have no idea of how many ways you are spied upon, even when you are out walking in the town. And it's not just cameras they have up, they have little mikes, incredible great little mikes up too that can hear you talking in a doorway two hundred yards away automatically.
So I mean no, they know all about you by your chats and your texting and all the rest of it. They know exactly what's happening in your head. So don't be paranoid about it. And by the way they are not worried about most folk anyway believe you me. They are not worried at all.
But for the totalitarian system everyone must be utterly predictable. And that's why the average Joe is being completely monitored with all of their records of phone calls and all the rest of it too and yada, yada, yah, including what they watch on television, etcetera. So forget that, there is no safety unless you become a hermit on top of a mountain somewhere you know.
Neil: I read a couple of articles from I think it was the Guardian last week and when the British government was stating that we needed more power to snoop on people because you know we just don't know who is a

terrorist anymore, blah, blah, blah. And in the same paper was an article telling parents that they shouldn't snoop on their children because they will feel untrusted. I mean there's the hypocrisy. We can get spied on by the government but we have to trust the government.
Alan: Yes.
Neil: And it said that parents aren't allowed to monitor their children's behavior on the internet.
Alan: I know but in the same token they are using the old technique that the Soviets first used or the Bolsheviks and Soviets and then the Nazis and other totalitarian systems that they are encouraging the children to spy on their parents. I mean it's beautiful, isn't it? It is hypocrisy of course but it's an ancient technique, it's even in the Old Testament in one place too how they would turn the children against their parents, etcetera.
And so this technique has been used down through many, many ages. And it's very easy to do in a totalitarian type system especially to children who really are, I don't care what they say today, no, they are not just little adults, they are little children. And they don't have the wisdom and their brain hasn't even stopped maturing and growing and so on. So no, don't pretend they are little equals to you. This is a recent development. Children need guidance and if you don't give them guidance by the way that's when the totalitarians step in because children automatically seek something that gives them security and it's the very firm adamant, confident, type leader. And that's how totalitarian leaders get the followings. You see all of these techniques are being used today, yep, even through the school systems.
Neil: Yeah and now they are getting the children to sing the kind of, it's not even the national anthem, it's a song for the president or the song for the prime minister.
Alan: Yeah it's a form of worship. And religions are used too where they are taught to pray for presidents and all the rest of it, etcetera. So everything today truly is a joke in a sense. It's all a front and even the... We haven't know what a single person has been like, a politician has truly been like for about seventy years because public relations took over a long time ago through marketing and through photo ops and preplanned write-ups by script writers until the president or prime minister simply reads the lines written by someone else, a quick photo op and he's gone again somewhere else. It's just a showpiece. You might as well get a dummy, I mean that's all they really are is a dummy you know.
Neil: I was watching Cameron giving a speech the other day and you know they are supposed to speak from the heart and head and all the rest of it but the amount of time these guys actually have to look down and read off their piece of paper is incredible. I mean they can't seem to get through one sentence without having to look down and remember what they have to say.
Alan: Well that's the key because you see when they get off the script they make incredible gaffs, they slip up. And they are constantly being told to stick to the script. In fact when Ronald Regan was in, that was a really good example because he liked to chat away, and he'd get off the script and he'd start smiling and joking and so on and talking away and you could hear his wife in the background "Ronnie, Ronnie, read the script, read the script". That was live. Since then they've really stepped it up until they stick to the script. Obama is perfect. I mean he is trained in basic deportment you see. And he knows the gestures. He is shown what gestures to make, and that takes hours of training, I mean hundreds of hours of training in front of a mirror just like a singer, that's what they do. And to get the right gestures to match the part in the script and these are all professional teams that come in and all advise him and show him and step by step how to go through it. That's how everything is today, everywhere.
Neil: Yeah it's funny how there was a press conference held on Ebola there I think it was about a week ago, and you talk about getting off script, when they walked out of the door, through the door at the back of the kind of podium thing one of the guys still had his mike on and said we are screwed on this Ebola thing. You know and that's it. They know that they are standing up and telling a pack of lies and if somebody can just get them to get off script then as you say they make mistakes and I know that for a fact because as I told you before when in the Irish parliament talking to a so called green minister and he just kept changing the subject as he got into his mantra and he basically after about fifteen minutes just stood up and said I can't do this anymore I've got to leave.
Alan: Yes. In fact you know last year in the British papers there was an article, most of the papers carried it, that all MPs were given a grant by again their own government gives them a tax money grant to give them acting lessons. Now part of it as a standard technique they have used for an awful long time since FDR's day, when they are asked questions that kind of unseat or unnerve them they tell them don't answer that question the way it's presented, answer it the way you had liked to have been asked or about that question or even a similar type question but slightly slanted off. In other words answer the question you wish they had asked you. That's why when you hear the reporter is asking questions they never get an answer that seems to coincide remotely close to what they have just been asked. {Laughs} And they all do that.

Neil: Yeah I've seen them kind of redirect, it's called redirecting or something, isn't it?
Alan: Yes.
Neil: And they come out with this spiel, I'm glad you asked that question.
Alan: Yes. {Laughs}
Neil: And then they answer something completely different.
Alan: Exactly, yeah.
Neil: Yeah.
Alan: So what's the point in having them? What's the point in having politicians in?
Neil: They are entertainment I guess.
Alan: You see if you didn't have the politicians there you would have to accept you are under a very clever, sophisticated, scientific form of total control. And that's what they don't want you to know yet.
Neil: Yeah I mean if you are listening to these guys long enough you realize that they are not intelligent people really. I mean they are good at talking but there is nothing behind that kind of façade. But I mean that façade is what keeps people in fear because they are pushing this as a genuine health scare or terrorist threat or whatever it is. And they grab us with all the hand signals and they have this specific hand signal with the four fingers sticking out and the thumb is always up.
Alan: That's right.
Neil: And they are pushing it towards you and they all do it, they all do it.
Alan: They all do it. They are all taught the same deportment by the same professional teams. Remember when Margaret Thatcher got in? If you listened to her before, yeah when she ran initially and heard her name for the first time, her voice was high pitched, she talked very fast, her hair was completely different. They sent Ronald Reagan's PR team over to remake her image. And they gave her the complete hairdo, it was in the papers at the time, and they gave her elocution lessons and how to speak much deeper and like a man and things like that, and so on. And they completely gave her, completely, a complete makeover. And that was whole teams of professionals in deportment and speech and so on and acting. And that's done with every single major politician.
Neil: I kind of look at Hillary Clinton sometimes and think the way she is holding her head and everything, it's kind of like Margaret Thatcher, while kind of looking down on people kind of a thing. And I've gone across the board as well and Cameron to a lesser extent. I don't know if that's just because he's short or what, I don't know.
But getting back to the fear thing somebody's in the chat box talking about religion and politics and I mean religion in some countries more than others, religion is very heavily linked to politics and I lived in Ireland for a while so I saw it there and in terms of the Eastern countries where they have the religions over there and would those religions in those countries have the same kind of power in the political systems?
Alan: Absolutely. Again too here's a thing that's never touched on amongst the general population but it's well known with the ones at the top who study ethnology and anthropology and so on, if you look at China, China's history is absolutely incredibly ancient. And even three thousand years ago they had tried in different little areas or regions of China they tried communism, fascism, socialism, all the "isms" of today they tried it way back then. And they found that with the particular mindset and mentality of the Chinese people for instance that Confucianism and devotion towards a common cause, which is all of us basically, is the best way to motivate them to obey and comply. And teaching of shame because Chinese people are very family oriented basically, very, very strong. And you'll find that shame works the same with the family as it does to the nation. And shame is always pushed on the person who lets the side down you might say.
So they have a form of religion to an extent but basically a form of you might call it philosophy, which is drummed into them. And partially perhaps even genetic because you'll find the studies, again we are the most studied species on the planet, over and over with the same studies. And you'll find that language itself dictates your reasoning to a great extent and your behavior. And with Chinese people and even when you look at, different parts of their brains will light up when they are doing mathematics, from say westerners. Or going through reading certain things in the hieroglyphic type writing, the old type writing, different parts of the brain light up compared to us reading our basic Arabic type and Greek scripts.
So we are affected by so many things that the general population are kept dumb about but language itself can really alter your reasoning power as well. In fact you cannot, you couldn't fool a caveman. A caveman had his little cave, he'd have a wife and maybe a child or something and he'd be off hunting there with his big club and if a tax man came in, he could never convince that caveman to give up his home or to allow that cave to be measured so he could get taxed so the guy could take half his meat away from him. The guy, the caveman, would bash him on the head. In other words he couldn't be fooled by language. It wouldn't work on them you know if they didn't understand it. It's only when you start to understand a common language

and that's why it's so important when you study language itself and grammar. I used to wonder why the incredible science behind just basic grammar. It's because it was so important from the ancient times to the present. The Greeks knew this. It all dictated the way that you would think and how you'd be easily programmed to think about any particular topic put out to you in an expert way. And that really decides our reasoning and our motivations and how we will behave in the future on any different particular topic.
So language itself is a form of programming, similar to a computer to an extent in that the way that words are strung together and again with the visual and everything else that goes along with it, presentation of fear or wonder or whatever it happens to be, they can put it across in such a way that they can guarantee you at the end of a one hour show we will have all pretty well the same opinion on a well-produced con no matter what the con happens to be. But our reasoning will bring us to that because we are dependent upon the format of the language as it is presented to us to come to our reasoning decisions.
Neil: Yeah and of course political correctness is the tool that's used to make people fearful of saying the wrong thing.
Alan: Absolutely.
Neil: That's what kind of got us to there. There's a quote from Aristotle as well just to finish off, "He who has overcome his fears would truly be free". Would you say that's a true statement Alan?
Alan: Yes and in reality it is "The truth shall set you free". The old ancient thing that was copied even into the bible from previous civilizations because it doesn't mean it will make you physically free under any kind of system it simply means that the only freedom you truly have is in your own mind. But at least it is yours. If you can reclaim your mind as yours that's the freedom that you have. They can still go for you, they can tie you up, they can torture you, they can kill you, starve you to death, whatever it happens to be but at least you know what's happening as opposed to the person like Winston in "1984" that eventually crumbles and believes he's the cause of all his own misfortunes. It's not his misfortunes at all, he was trying to use reason, but he didn't realize that the whole key is to hang on to your own reason and logic to the very end. That's the only freedom you have, yeah.
Neil: Just to finish off there's a question in the chat box here from Ross to do with music. Is backmasking in music or subliminal messaging guaranteed to induce fear if that the intent of the message, if not what is the best antidote to it?
Alan: Backmasking was a bit of a con when it was put out there. The whole culture and media worked together as fashion magazines, write-ups on say pop, rock, whatever it happens to be, etcetera. It all works together and to create an image that's really fake about a group or person or whatever it happens to be. But so they would put out their own occult stuff that guarantees youngsters will really look into it to see what the singer is up to or this band is up to. It made them more popular. It is still used today with some singers like Gaga and so on. So there is nothing really intriguing to me about that. I understand why they do it. It's just to make them more popular and different from the rest you see. And youngsters like to be fascinated by scary stuff. But you don't need that to induce fear. The studies that have been done on music and drama and all the rest of it and on fear creation is phenomenal. And they know for instance if they want to induce the same feelings that fear brings on like sweating, you can have dilation of the pupils, fight and flight syndrome, you get the beat right, you match the average heart beat in a dance hall for instance, you start to steadily slowly increase that beat, your heart will start to keep up with it. Your blood pressure goes up and you'll get the same symptoms of fear even though you don't see anything that literally terrifies you consciously.
So it can be induced simply through the music itself. So this is a very old system and I've done talks before, they should go into the cuttingthroughthematrix.com archives and listen to some of the talks I've done on the big creators of this system that worked with the top behaviorists and sociologists. In other words you can create any kind of feeling by stimulating the effects of the feeling one by one, give them the effects and then the actual feeling will come upon them as though there was a real thing to be afraid of. Or it can make you horny or whatever as they know in the movie business. They can affect all these things so simply by simply inducing the effects one by one until they all come together and then you'll have the actual real experience as though something was scaring the hell out of you and you should start running.
Neil: Yeah. Okay just to finish off I suppose. We are coming up to the Halloween season where people are actually now paying to be scared. So there you go. {Laughs}
Alan: Yes. Halloween, yeah.
Neil: Or you get on these rides at the fairground and terrify yourself plummeting at sixty miles an hour on a couple of rails and then throw up at the bottom and wonder what's wrong with you.
But anyway, okay. Thanks again Alan for your time and sorry about the delays at the start it's one of those things. I think next month or very shortly we are going to move on to a different system and try it out.

Alan: Yes.
Neil: And get away from Skype all together.
Alan: Okay.
Neil: Okay. I'll talk to you again. I think it was the 13th of November if I remember right. And we'll talk to you again.
Alan: Okay you take care now.
Neil: Okay. Thanks a lot. Cheers.
Alan: Bye now.
Neil: Bye.

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

{Closing Music ♫}

Oct. 19, 2014 (#1467)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"The Double Bind Will Freeze Your Mind"
© Alan Watt Oct. 19, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Oct. 19, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on October 19th, 2014. Now, I hope you're all hanging onto your sanity as we go through all these massive cultural and social changes, legal changes and all the rest of the things that are coming down the pike, on everyone. Because remember, this is the century of change. You keep forgetting that. This is the century of change, that's what it was called in the 20th century through academia. Because the big boys at the top knew what was coming down the pike, where experts and scientists of all kinds would be in charge, of running the world, on behalf of their Masters who keep them awfully well padded on their big grants that they get. And they manage all of the general population, you know, the failures, the ones who didn't get up to that class and system. Because that's really what you're in, it's a new kind of scientific class system that you're living in today. And you're in a postconsumer society, as you've been taught to go into austerity. And many other changes too coming down the pike, as I say.

But I hope you're all surviving it and holding onto that sanity as we are rushed through it of course. Because you see, there's so much to change. Remember, they've got a hundred years to finish the whole agenda and they've got a massive list of things they've got to do to really condition the whole of society into a completely new way of thinking. Even some of those ways of thinking about different things have to be altered maybe five or six times according to the period in this century to suit the agenda, and then upgrade them again to the next step and the next step and so on, until you'll have many opinions over the span of your life, and many generations will constantly change their opinions because we're given our opinions by our betters, you see. It's quite simple.

There's nothing really fantastic about it because there's been so much documentation and books churned out about it for well over a hundred years, when the big boys in eugenics, etc., and the nouveau rich that joined what they thought was an elite – they're never really part of the elite, the nouveau rich, because they don't have the proper breeding, as they say; it takes a while to inbreed with them, if they allow you that is, into them, before you can come up the ladder. That's how the world really is run and most folk never think

about it that way because you've been trained not to. In fact, you've been trained not to think at all, just adopt the opinions given to you, and your station in life. And that whole class of people in that station of life, accept the opinions given to you by the media, very simplistic ones. And they don't have to make much sense to you, just adopt them and then you don't have any stress. Your mind is made up and you have no stress left, you see. You're good, in other words, you've been awfully good.

Perfect indoctrination, remember, perfect indoctrination starts immediately; you're born. It comes from your parents because they've been generally perfectly indoctrinated; they've never figured things out. They're generally unhappy with their lot in life, in many classes, but they never really figure out why. The little things why, yeah, they've never got enough cash, taxes always go up, money is always devalued because we live in a fake money system, but they don't even know that much and don't even think about looking into it. They've been trained to look for the paycheck getting higher once a year, you get the pay increase, and your cost of living goes up about 10 or 12 times more each year, until you're running backwards in actual fact, and into austerity. They don't even know that, or even why. In fact, people are bombarded with so many new terms all the time, of all these agendas, they switch off rather than try and keep up and remember anything at all. And because of that they're easily conned the next time and the next time and the next time.

Now, if you go back far enough, with the recorded history you're allowed to peek at, you'll find, I've mentioned before so many times, Edward Bernays. His name is more popular now because it's been prattled on so many times since by other people, that more folk know about him now than they did at the time, during his lifetime. But anyway, Edward Bernays of course is often given the title of the Master of Manipulation and Marketing, manipulating you, because being the nephew of Freud he claims that he understood the mind and the subconscious desires and how to play on those desires in order to sell things, etc. But he also was used by many presidents throughout his lifetime, he lived to a very ripe old age. He actually advised them on policies, how to put policies over to the public to be acceptable, on behalf of big corporate interests of course. Because government has more increasingly, well over 150 years, especially in the States, become more corporate and monopoly designed, and run and ruled basically. Because every politician depends on millions now to run and they get it all from big corporations; it's a payoff. We get you in and this is what you'll do for us while you're in. It's quite simple, folks. All this hogwash about maintaining your integrity as you go into politics is just rubbish. It's a Hollywood Disneyland kind of thing that you're brainwashed into believing. Nothing is further from the truth.

Everything has got a price in this world and unfortunately everything that touches money is corrupt. It really is true, because the whole scam of money and the system as it is today is utterly corrupt. There have been systems of more honest money where governments did print their own money and they would actually sell it off to the banks and then they could lend it out, etc. For instance, in Canada, for many, many years it paid the cost of the money itself so there was no debt money as such. It held a steady value in circulation, that dollar. Even during the Great Depression countries came to Canada to find out how it was managed so well. Because every other country had masses of debts piling up with compound interest and borrowing from private banks, whereas the Government of Canada at that time actually had a printing system, printed their own money and sold it to the banks, debt free. Whereas money today, 40 or maybe even 50 cents today is to do with paying off interest on the debt to the banks that it's borrowed from basically, that print it all up and give checks to the system that prints it. It's a racket in other words. And wherever you get something that runs a whole nation, and the whole world, something called money, you'll always have corruption anyway. Even if you went back to the old system and governments did print their own cash up, debt-free, and put it into circulation, and also put it into big building projects, etc. to get it circulated, the big corrupt psychopath types would work their way in until they were in charge of it, once more, even if it was government run.

You can't win because there's something that's always persistent in society, in history and so on, and that's corruption. It's persistent. The top psychologists for a long, long time have said that it's part of human nature, to an extent. The philosophers have known this too, since ancient times, that power can corrupt, and it certainly does, very quickly. Especially when powerful psychopaths, or psychopaths who become powerful, get into the system, because they crave power, they seek out the positions. I think it's almost a symbiosis where psychopaths lead and rule the world and the rest unfortunately, the decent people, have to follow, and suffer. That's how it seems to be.

But anyway, Bernays in World War I was given the job of getting America into World War I, to create public opinion to get them all on board for 1917, that's when the US entered World War I. He was so successful that afterwards he and some of his cronies wrote books on how they conned the whole nation, and they were pretty blatant about it, how they had created the system that got the US into World War I. There was a massive amount of flak about it and therefore, because of the flak and the backlash from the public, who don't like being conned even though they go along with the cons, they had various bills put out there to stop propaganda being used internally on the general public. It was never fully followed, obviously, but there were certain guidelines that kept it kind of restricted to a certain level you might say. Since 9/11 the big boys have been chomping at the bit to have total propaganda put out on all news across their countries, all the Western nations and so on, and some of them just went straight ahead because they had no restrictions. In other words, it was business as usual with propaganda of all kinds. Also, in the US they had to look at the bill again to try and repeal a very old bill that restricted them to an extent, only to an extent because they've always used it.

But if we look, for instance, and people have no memory today because they're hit with so many terrifying things at once, you see, every other day. And some people who supposedly oppose this stuff don't seem to help it much, as they terrorize you as well. But if you look into this for instance, and people have forgotten all about it, it's from about 2013 I think maybe or maybe even earlier. But it was from Fox Nation and they said back then...

U.S. Repeals Propaganda Ban,
Spreads Government-Made News to Americans
nation.foxnews.com / John Hudson / July 15, 2013

For decades, a so-called anti-propaganda law prevented the U.S. government's mammoth broadcasting arm from delivering programming to American audiences. (Alan: What they meant was propaganda.) But on July 2, that came silently to an end with the implementation of a new reform passed in January. The result: an unleashing of thousands of hours per week of government-funded radio and TV programs for domestic U.S. consumption in a reform initially criticized as a green light for U.S. domestic propaganda efforts. So what just happened?

Until this month, a vast ocean of U.S. programming produced by the Broadcasting Board of Governors such as Voice of America, Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, and the Middle East Broadcasting Networks could only be viewed or listened to at broadcast quality in foreign countries. The programming varies in tone and quality, but its breadth is vast: It's viewed in more than 100 countries in 61 languages. The topics covered include human rights abuses in Iran, self-immolation in Tibet, human trafficking across Asia, and on-the-ground reporting in Egypt and Iraq.

(A: As it goes on, later on too, that they had repealed that, as I say, they repealed the ban basically. Then another article came out, the one who started this lifting of the propaganda ban, that came out and it says...)

Congressmen Seek To Lift Propaganda Ban
buzzfeed.com / May 18, 2012

(A: He is the guy who brought it forward, on behalf of his big Masters. It says it was posted on May 18th, 2012, that's before that last article.)

An amendment that would legalize the use of propaganda on American audiences is being inserted into the latest defense authorization bill, BuzzFeed has learned.

The amendment would "strike the current ban on domestic dissemination" of propaganda material produced by the State Department and the independent Broadcasting Board of Governors, according to the summary of the law at the House Rules Committee's official website.

The tweak to the bill would essentially neutralize two previous acts—the Smith-Mundt Act of 1948 and Foreign Relations Authorization Act in 1987—that had been passed to protect U.S. audiences from our own

government's misinformation campaigns.

The bi-partisan amendment is sponsored by Rep. Mac Thornberry from Texas and Rep. Adam Smith from Washington State.

In a little noticed press release earlier in the week — buried beneath the other high-profile issues in the $642 billion defense bill (A: ...that's quite something eh.), including indefinite detention and a prohibition on gay marriage at military installations — Thornberry warned that in the Internet age, the current law "ties the hands of America's diplomatic officials, military, and others by inhibiting our ability to effectively communicate in a credible way."

The bill's supporters say the informational material used overseas to influence foreign audiences is too good to not use at home, and that new techniques are needed to help fight Al-Qaeda, a borderless enemy whose own propaganda reaches Americans online.

Critics of the bill say there are ways to keep America safe without turning the massive information operations apparatus within the federal government against American citizens.

"Clearly there are ways to modernize for the information age without wiping out the distinction between domestic and foreign audiences," says Michael Shank, Vice President at the Institute for Economics and Peace in Washington D.C. "That Reps Adam Smith and Mac Thornberry want to roll back protections put in place by previously-serving Senators – who, in their wisdom, ensured limits to taxpayer–funded propaganda promulgated by the US government – is disconcerting and dangerous."

"I just don't want to see something this significant – whatever the pros and cons – go through without anyone noticing," says one source on the Hill, who is disturbed by the law. According to this source, the law would allow "U.S. propaganda intended to influence foreign audiences to be used on the domestic population."

The new law would give sweeping powers to the government to push television, radio, newspaper, and social media onto the U.S. public. "It removes the protection for Americans," says a Pentagon official who is concerned about the law. "It removes oversight from the people who want to put out this information. There are no checks and balances. No one knows if the information is accurate, partially accurate, or entirely false."

According to this official, "senior public affairs" officers within the Department of Defense want to "get rid" of Smith-Mundt and other restrictions because it prevents information activities designed to prop up unpopular policies—like the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Critics of the bill point out that there was rigorous debate when Smith Mundt passed, and the fact that this is so "under the radar," as the Pentagon official puts it, is troubling.

The Pentagon spends some $4 billion a year to sway public opinion already, and it was recently revealed by USA Today the DoD spent $202 million on information operations in Iraq and Afghanistan last year.

In an apparent retaliation to the USA Today investigation, the two reporters working on the story appear to have been targeted by Pentagon contractors, who created fake Facebook pages and Twitter accounts in an attempt to discredit them.

The evaporation of Smith-Mundt and other provisions to safeguard U.S. citizens against government propaganda campaigns is part of a larger trend within the diplomatic and military establishment. (A: And that's true, they're targeting the regular people too, within the US, including the reporters too. This article goes on to talk about, it says, in December, remember this was back in 2012...)

In December, the Pentagon used software to monitor the Twitter debate over Bradley Manning's pre-trial hearing; another program being developed by the Pentagon would design software to create "sock puppets" on social media outlets; and, last year, General William Caldwell, deployed an information operations team

under his command that had been trained in psychological operations to influence visiting American politicians to Kabul.

A U.S. Army whistleblower, Lieutenant Col. Daniel Davis, noted recently in his scathing 84-page unclassified report on Afghanistan that there remains a strong desire within the defense establishment "to enable Public Affairs officers to influence American public opinion when they deem it necessary to "protect a key friendly center of gravity, to wit US national will," he wrote, quoting a well-regarded general.

The defense bill passed the House Friday afternoon.

So there you go. I mean, there's nothing you can get on the news today, and for quite a while now, that actually has any credibility, either whatsoever or a little bit or you name it, it's just... it's all confusion. But most folk in the general population don't remember these bills and so on that were put out a while back because, again, they're bombarded with terrifying articles every day and when you're under terror you don't remember too much at all, you're in fear of your life half the time and so on and so on. So that's how the world really is run.

Now, other countries like Britain don't bother about that because they've never had, really, any real bans on propagandizing the public for any particular, in any era actually. They don't have the various... In fact, even their so-called Charter of Rights is kind of vague, and oral apparently, which means you can constantly stretch it anyway you want depending on the time that you live in; it's stretched by those in charge. But in the US they have this thing that's been ignored for my whole lifetime, their Bill of Rights and all the rest of it. But here you go, the whole way is propaganda.

But don't forget, and it doesn't mention it here, that propaganda starts in school, big time. When you get through a system that's always been there to serve the nation and not yourself basically, and whatever they want you to believe or however they want you to behave is drummed into you at a very early age with psychological techniques being used to the maximum. Even the videos that you watch, etc., influencing your behavior, you'll cry at certain things, if you're a child when you see little furry animals getting killed or whatever, and then you're told who's doing it, and then you'll hate those people, who they tell you is doing it, even though it might not be them at all. It's things like that, it's so easy to influence children. And with the groupthink that they have now in school class systems where you all have to comply to the established order of the group, in other words the group is led... See, the majority of the public are led by powerful, what they see as powerful authority figures. The teacher generally takes that position and therefore to please the teacher most folk will comply and go along with it saying, yeah I like this person, and I'll comply and go along with their point of view, and they put their hands up. And if you keep your hand down you're ostracized by the group. This is not freedom at all, and it's not letting your own mind work for itself whatsoever. This is all wrong. But every country has copied this technique of course because it's so important in every generation you must indoctrinate heavily the children to serve the system as best as the Masters of the system want it to be served. Quite simple. Nothing new in this at all. But now it's all through the age groups.

Again, going back to books I have here from the 1920s even, they talk about perpetual education. Now, this didn't mean you kept taking night courses after school for the rest of your life. It was talking about education through your entire life and updating you to the new system of belief on different topics and so on and so on. Well documented by the psychologists of the day, and the social engineers of the day, and today you have everybody in on the act including the neuroscientists that are getting massive amounts of money thrown at them, and the behaviorists of course, as always, anthropologists too, social anthropologists. All this kind of stuff works together.

Now another thing too, I've mentioned for so long that, really, things haven't changed in the system that you're living in to an extent, it's been more hidden in the past, that big corporations ruled your nations and monopoly power rules the nations. The greatest example is always the US because at one time they had far more media inside the US, down to the small media that wasn't part of the CFR (Council on Foreign Relations), long ago, and they would write things as they were. Whereas in other countries like Britain where the Royal Institute of International Affairs, the big media moguls have always belonged to this same one group, they've always been in charge of whatever you're going to be told is happening, since its inception

basically, in fact, going back to before they gave it that name and they called it the Milner Group.

So, corporations really rule your nations, and they've always tried to hide behind a smokescreen to make you think you have rights and so on and so on. But in the US it leaks out of course, over many, many years, down through the ages, that, for instance, here's an example. One of the US presidents said a long time ago that whatever is good for GM is good for America and vice versa. That's how it filters into the consciousness of the population. And is that really true? Is that really true? You know with all their bail-ins they got not long ago, now they moved offshore and set up factories elsewhere with your cash. You paid for it all. So that's the loyalty they show you for buying all their cars and trucks. And the rest of them are all the same too. So, in international corporations they have no affinity for any particular country. They will generally have one main one they will use more often because they want that country to go off and fight their wars for them and protect them when need be, and that's about it. That's what it comes down to.

But you've always had this, what we used to call, corruption... running nations. The powerful psychopaths who get in, and the elite who already are in, the interbred elites for instance with the monied families, they've always run the world for their own benefit... and for their countries, definitely for their own benefit. They decide what kind of education, indoctrination and belief system you'll have at any particular time, and their wars were always for foreign conquest, was exactly that. They didn't pretend to go off to force democracy on countries, like George Bush Junior did, revolutionary democracy he called it. They went out there, and it was a pretense with Bush too because he knew it was for plunder, for their oilfields and everything else that they had. And it goes on, it's been like that.

The history of the world is just this, it's just greed, greed, greed by those at the top, who want to maintain power by having more material goods and financial backup for their own families, etc. That's really how it works. Now you have all the shareholders in on the act too, big shareholders, who will also want to make sure that the governments do what the big corporations that they own want, to safeguard themselves, not you. You pay for all the work. You pay for replacing bombed refineries across the Middle East for instance, you pay for all of that, you make brand-new ones for them. You put in new roads for the transportation of the oil for export and so on. You pay for all that stuff and the big boys get all the profits. And they call that good government, you see, they run the government.

But they're better in some countries at hiding corruption than other countries. Part of it again is the incessant propaganda you get. In Canada here you get very laid-back propaganda, it's almost infantile, where they give you only a few well-known faces that you've been brought up with, and daddy would never lie to you on the news, so you believe in them, like Mansbridge and people like that. And he would never lie to you, he looks you in the eye every night there at 6 o'clock, how can he be lying? And of course it never dawns on most folk who have grown up with it, that he doesn't even write the news, obviously, he just reads it, and he's paid big bucks for reading it, and being so trustworthy, you see.

But in other countries like the US occasionally it comes out of the corruption that's going on. They don't get the little folksy tales of different parts of Canada or Ontario or things like that that the CBC for Canada puts out, the government-paid news media propaganda station. And they don't go into the deep, deep, deep corruption things that go on within governments, of course. They're all on board with it, in their little clique. They all play the game, you might say, with those in control. But in the States sometimes it leaks out. Now here's one here. And in the States too you also have politicians who let things out of the bag, if they have jealousy where they haven't got contracts but some other politician or their families have got a contract that the government is putting out, you see. But one of the ongoing ones in the US, it always crops up and fades away, is the Feinstein family and so on. This one here was October 16th, 2014; it says...

High court clears bullet train (A: ...for California.) but problems remain (A: ...you see.)
sfgate.com / JULIET WILLIAMS, Associated Press / October 16, 2014

SACRAMENTO, Calif. (AP) — California will forge ahead with plans to build the nation's first bullet train by buying land in the Central Valley and demolishing buildings in the path of the $68 billion rail line after the state Supreme Court decided not to hear an appeal from opponents of the project. (A: There's democracy in action, we don't want to hear your appeal.)

The California Supreme Court's decision Wednesday to reject an appeal of a lower court ruling allowing Gov. Jerry Brown's signature transportation project to proceed was another win for the beleaguered project that has suffered repeated legal setbacks.

Demolition and engineering work has already begun around Fresno, one of the hubs on the first 28-mile stretch of high-speed rail planned for the Central Valley, but the agency is far behind schedule in acquiring all the land needed to actually begin construction, and the state has only found a fraction of the money needed before tracks will be installed.

One of the arguments made by the plaintiffs, Kings County and landowners in the Central Valley, was that the state failed to identify the funding for the first useable segment of the rail line, having only $6 billion of the estimated $26 billion needed for the first 130 miles.

At Brown's request, the Legislature this year approved the first permanent funding source for the project, setting aside a portion of the annual fees the state collects through carbon taxes (A: ...interesting. In Britain, I knew old folk in Britain who always said, they had learned their whole life that all the things that affect the world, like taxes, certain kinds that come in, special taxes, start in California. And that's what they would say, that all major social cancers start in California and spread everywhere else. And it's still true, you know. Anyway, carbon taxes...), a symbolic move that will deliver more money for rail but not billions a year. (A: And this is how you can tie in why carbon taxes are important, because all these big multibillionaires and trillionaires want all that cash to pay for their big projects, their big building projects.)

Dan Richard, chairman of the board of the California High-Speed Rail Authority, said in a written statement Wednesday that the agency will build a modern system that connects the state, creates jobs (A: ...they always say creates jobs...) and complies with the law.

"We will continue to move forward aggressively to deliver the nation's first high-speed rail system," Richard said.

Wednesday's decision also concerns only one portion of the plaintiffs' lawsuit. In a second phase still before a Sacramento County judge, attorneys will argue that compromises made to cut the price mean the bullet train won't be able to travel from San Francisco to Los Angeles in 2 hours and 40 minutes as promised in the ballot measure.

The 3rd District Court of Appeal agreed with the plaintiffs' complaint that there are legitimate legal concerns. . . . (A: ...etc, etc...)

Proposition 1A, approved in 2008, promised voters that the state would identify funding for the first useable segment of the rail line and that it would have necessary environmental clearances done before starting construction. Opponents argue that it has neither.

The court's decision not to hear the appeal "bodes poorly for all kinds of tax measures that go on the ballot because voters are going to say 'How do I know they're going to do this, how can I trust what they say?'" plaintiffs' attorney Stuart Flashman said Wednesday. (A: ...etc, etc.)

And I love the way they spin that even. In other words, how can you trust them for pushing this through, because the voters are going to say, how do I know they're going to do this? In other words, they don't mind the carbon taxes to pay for this big project. This project isn't theirs, folks, it belongs to the corporations, for God's sake, you know. See how they spin things a little bit there, to obfuscate who is really behind it all. No, the voters are not for this. They don't want to pay more taxes for anything, etc. etc. And then going back here again to 2013, go back again, how did it all start?...

Sen. Diane Feinstein's husband wins CA rail contract
calwatchdog.com / April 26, 2013 / Katy Grimes

U.S. Sen. Diane Feinstein's husband Richard Blum, won the first phase construction contract for California's

high-speed rail.

(A: And this author says...)
I'm shocked, shocked I tell you.

If I didn't witness the insanity and corruption in politics every day, I wouldn't have believed this. (A: ...he's being facetious of course.)

"The Perini-Zachary-Parsons bid was the lowest received from the five consortia participating in the bidding process, but "low" is a relative term," the Laer Pearce, author of Crazifornia wrote. "The firms bid $985,142,530 to build the wildly anticipated first section of high speed rail track that will tie the megopolis of Madera to the global finance center of Fresno. Do the division, and you find that the low bid came in at a mere $35 million per mile." (A: [Alan chuckles.] $35 million a mile, eh. Ah, where does the rest of it go, eh, all that, $35 million, where does it go? And of course, that's the usual scam.)

"As this fiasco progress, remember that this $35 million per mile represents the best California can do on the section of track the High on Crack Speed Rail Authority selected to go first because it will be the cheapest," Pearce said.

So, it's always in the families of the politicians that run the state and parts of the federal government and so on and so on. As I say, that was all done, that last article said, the High Court clears the bullet train but problems remain. So you know... Now, here's another one too and it says...

California readies pay-as-you-drive tax test,
coming soon to a road near you
autos.yahoo.com / Justin Hyde / October 17, 2014

(A: This fits in again with the Feinstein getting the contract, and the money to pay for it too because they always used the taxpayers money to build the big projects for their own private enterprises. And there's nothing new in that. Britain was this the front... The whole idea of Britain Inc. was a front for the British Empire which simply worked and paid for all the big private corporations to grab all the stuff across the planet for themselves. And the taxpayers put in the armies and funded that, and paid for it all. And they supplied the soldiers too, got slaughtered and all that, and did the slaughtering. And they supplied the railroads that they laid down, etc. etc. so as that the big wealthy families could include the countries, etc. But it's the same everywhere else.)

It won't happen immediately, or even within the next year, but not too far into the future you might pay a tax for every mile you drive — thanks to California. (A: ...all cancers start in California, right.)

Three weeks ago, California Gov. Jerry Brown signed into law the first test of mileage-based road taxes in the Golden State. The bill, which passed the state legislature with the backing of transit agencies, environmental groups (A: ...of course the environmental groups are all NGOs, paid by the big corporations.) and most major automakers (A: ...because they're in on the game too, with all their chips in their cars and tracking the miles.), creates a 15-person panel to oversee a pilot of pay-by-the-mile taxation by 2018. (A: So there you go, it's signed into law.)

The move makes California the largest state to explore how modern technology might replace the dwindling money from gasoline taxes used to build and maintain roads, thanks to ever-more efficient vehicles and less driving overall. Congress has been forced to fill the gap at the federal level with billions of dollars in temporary funding; in California, where residents pay 48.5 cents on the gallon in state gasoline taxes worth more than $3 billion a year, the state has borrowed from those revenues in recent years to cover shortfalls elsewhere. (A: They never use it for whatever they claim it's for. It's used for other things, including on their pensions and so on, and I'm talking about the bureaucrats and so on and the politicians.)

Of the other states which have explored such systems, Oregon stands as the most advanced, with its plan to offer a voluntary pay-as-you-drive tax setup next year offering 5,000 drivers the chance to pay 1.5 cents for every mile they travel in the state.

Now remember, this is the racket they used to get the drivers licenses through. For those who don't know, it was voluntary initially to get a driving license. Because it was thought nondemocratic, you see, this whole farce of democracy, if they forced it on you, they were restricting travel. So they made it voluntary. And the goody-two-shoes used it as snob appeal, oh I've got mine. Yes, I've got mine. And then they put in the voluntary insurance for the thing, travel. That was voluntary for a long time before the government always steps in, well so many folk are now using that so we're making it mandatory. Once they get the majority in, then it's Democratic, then they know it's mob rule and the rest of the public will go along with it. So that's how it works. So it's voluntary, you see, because you're all dying to pay carbon taxes, you know, because Al Gore wants to make more billions of dollars through his carbon credit companies, and other ones too, and the Rothschild Bank in Switzerland, the private one for the family, formerly got the contracts for all that, all carbon taxes for the planet is to go through their private bank. Because they're so altruistic, you understand. They're really philanthropists, they want to help you all. That's how they get stinking rich, by helping folk... get slaughtered across the planet as they plundered it. Anyway, getting back to the article it says...

. . . voluntary pay-as-you-drive tax setup next year offering 5,000 drivers the chance to pay 1.5 cents for every mile they travel in the state. (A: ...and people say, well that's cheap. They always start things off as cheap till eventually they make it mandatory and then they just jack it up, and boot it up and boot it up like everything else they boot up.) The Oregon system uses a pair of devices — one in vehicles, and one in special fuel pumps — that used GPS to track miles driven, then gave the appropriate credit or surcharge at the pump itself. (Oregon also found that drivers in a test program paid 28 percent more than they would have using fuel taxes alone.) (A: So there you go. They love the wee cons they put in there, that you can't figure out that you're paying more, voluntarily.)

But the backers of Oregon's mileage tax system say the technology could be far less complicated, and adoption far quicker, thanks to services like Apple's iPay and in-car Internet setups, such as General Motors OnStar. State Farm already has a pay-as-you-drive discount for its customers with newer Ford vehicles that use Ford's Sync to automatically keep track of how far they've traveled. As the Oregon officials imagine it: (A: See, that's why they put all that stuff in there, so as that you can keep track of how far you've traveled. I mean, yeah, that's stupid, you know how far you're traveling, the miles are already getting up there and reading off for you. Come on, of course it's not. It was all put in for the purpose that they're using it for now. That's why it was dreamed up in the first place.)

One envisions a time when all new cars will come equipped with mileage reporting capability. New car buyers will decide during the registration process whether to activate the mileage reporting capability already installed into the car or add an external reporting device. (A: And they're all getting cuts off of it, including the car manufacturers, you know.) They will also choose a provider for account management or default to government managed account. Motorists will then drive and periodically receive a bill by mail or email—their choice (A: See, they're giving you the appearance of choices here, initially, you see.) —that may be bundled with other value added services... (A: I'm surprised they don't do a scratch-and-win as well because that's how they usually get the public... you know, you might win something...) Motorists may check the bill details and pay online or by mail or authorize automatic payment from their smartphone, tablet device or the connected vehicle console in the dashboard of their car. (A: Isn't that wonderful, what they say? Now you're finding out why all of these things were given for you to buy. Not given to you mandatorily because there was going to be a law. No, to BUY. See, you pay for everything, and you think it's your choice of course.) Giving motorists the ability to choose their mileage reporting and bill payment preferences will make mileage reporting and per-mile charge payment simple and comfortable— as each motorist defines it. (A: Isn't that a wonderful PR piece there.)

If you think this sounds like another way for government to invade personal privacy, you're not alone: the American Civil Liberties Union has expressed concerns about unapproved tracking, and privacy was the top concern of those who took part in Oregon's trial. The California law requires the test panel to address privacy worries, but also says the system must take into account "public and private agency access, including law enforcement," of any data it collects. (A: That's not voluntary, eh.)

Movements may be a more personal form of data than even name and address; where you live is a public

record, but tracking someone's daily routine can reveal far more private information. Yet there are already many ways businesses can do so; every iPhone running the latest iOS 8 update has the ability to send location data to advertisers or remember a user's frequent locations, and license-plate scanning firms already have a billion plates on record.

Chances are, given the technology on hand and the money at stake, California will devise a system similar to Oregon's that can satisfy some privacy complaints (perhaps by tracking odometers only) but is also easily adoptable by motorists. With 17 percent of all U.S. new-car sales in the Golden State, and a need for road repair mimicked in most other states (A: See, they've already told you that the money that you pay for road repair doesn't go there from license plate taxes and everything else. It's used for OTHER purposes. But they always give you the same rubbishy propaganda, each time they want more dough, isn't it.), it's entirely likely that when it comes to taxing by the mile the old saw is true: As goes California, so goes the nation. (A: ... actually, it's the world, as I say, especially with their politically correct updates too for how you're supposed to view certain things and topics and all the rest of it, or else you're rather hateful and nasty.)

It's amazing, isn't it, the propaganda that we're just deluged with all the time. But these articles, during all the war blitzes, and to be scared, of terrorism blitzes, and all the terrorism movies and dramas that they're churning out all the time with the same repetitive stories, and getting used to the same repetitive names of groups, etc., yada-yada-ya. It's just incessant. And we're rushing, too, remember, through all the different free trade bills. We're going into CETA in Canada too as they update all the Pacific Rim free trade negotiations, etc. etc. etc. It's just... And that all takes a backseat during this be scared of Ebola, and be terrified of Al Qaeda, or ISIS, or ISIL. Wait until Osiris comes, then you're in trouble, and so on and so on. Or even worse, eh, ISIS, it could truly... ISIS could be the mummy, if the mummy really appears, mum is really nasty, you know. Oh yeah. Probably a little Horus too, eh.

But we're conned and conned and conned and the public, they forget all the important things like I'm reading tonight. Because what's happening, what I'm reading tonight is to happen across ALL of America, including Canada, and eventually Latin America, all across Europe you see, under the energy taxes and carbon tax bills that are being put through everywhere, you see. Yep. Everything today is on a world scale. Remember, what Von Rompuy said, the head of the EU Parliament even though nobody elected him because it's not democratic. He said, it was the end of the nation-state. He said, the nation states were obsolete. And they are obsolete, for those who don't quite get it yet. The few countries that are standing out and against this world monopoly are being bombed under, into, you know, antiquity right now, into the Stone Age. Have been for a while. Have been for a long time.

But the idea, too, isn't just that. They're also going to put chips... They've already got chips in some fuel pumps for your cars and the chips will also monitor how much you're using. And if they want to switch it off, by the way, if you're not paying all these taxes and so on, bingo, you're not going to go anywhere. There's choice for you, isn't it, choice. See, whatever the big boys want they get. But they do tell you in advance what they're after, through all the different organizations that they run through their front organization called the United Nations. And the big foundations owned by the big corporate owners and so on, that fund all the nongovernmental organizations, that are always protesting to get all these things through, and are paid well at the top for it, again, by the foundation's money and often backed up by government money too, by the way, your tax money, they get what they want. They do publish so many articles. It would be a full-time job just to try to keep up with two or three foundations in a year, never mind all the thousands of NGOs that are all connected together to make you think that there are a lot of different choices amongst NGOs. No, they're not. Many of them all get funding from the same few foundations, these NGOs. And many of the foundations they have, these hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of foundations, are simply fronts to distribute the cash to the NGOs from the main foundations, like the Rockefeller Foundation.

That's the real world. Not, Ebola, be terrified... and not, terrorism everywhere, oh be terribly terrified... and so on. We live in a fool's paradise. It's a fool for those who watch TV and nothing but fiction all the time because they can't handle the stress in life and they don't want to look into why they're feeling all the stress and so on. So they go into fiction and then they're getting completely downloaded with propaganda that's embedded in all the fiction. Oh dear, sad life, isn't it, for an awful lot of people... an awful lot of people.

But all these techniques have been used for an awful long time on the general populations and they don't

even know it. And we've been funding all their behaviorists, neuroscientists, and so on for so long now, to alter our way of behaving, our way of thinking or reasoning and so on, that most folk literally can't reclaim themselves and find out who they should have been. Remember what Aldous Huxley said, and I've said this so many times on the air that many other hosts have parroted it and keep parroting it, in fact they use my archives all the time. But the thing is, Huxley said on a Mike Wallace interview a long time ago, the scientific elites, with the powered elite, the dominant minority, you see, and the moneyed minority, he said, together can convince whole peoples, whole nations, to do things and accept things that possibly they should NOT accept.

Today with the propaganda being so perfect you don't have much choice but to go along with that, you see, or you're a bad person. Or they will give you these nasty terms like 'hater', it's like being called a Nazi or something. That's the intention of it. Nothing is lost in history and anything that has been used successfully after events can be slipped upon you. Because how can you... It gets off the topic, you see, it's a smear, it's a slur, and you wouldn't listen to a Nazi, they wouldn't listen to whatever. It's okay to listen to a communist because they have never, ever bashed the Bolsheviks and the communists for their slaughtering of millions of people, which should tell you an awful lot by the way. But they will use other terms to just knock you down if you don't go along with certain social things, that are getting rammed down your throats today, you see. And hater, and all that, how can you have a proper debate about anything when you're constantly smeared with something from the very beginning of your talk? It's impossible. And that's why they use these terms, you see.

As Lenin said too, he says, we shall win by slogans. They put out the slogans that are for something, you see. But they also put out the smears for anybody who can see through the cons of the slogans. Everything's like this. And you're living through this on a daily basis. How many of you have forgotten already the topics I started with, about the bills to allow propaganda to be used internally from all areas of government and agencies and pentagons and so on? You see, you're bombarded with so much information today you can't keep track. And you've got to keep track of the main things, not all of it obviously, but the main things, which are affecting you personally, and designed to hit you personally. Don't forget them. The mind has no firewall, they say, be careful what you watch on fiction, unless you're watching it to analyze it, don't get caught up in the emotive story.

Now, everyone forgets what they've learned before about Agenda 21, the millennium project, all these different terms for the same thing, sustainability project, etc. And you're meant to forget all of that stuff. That's how you also get someone off the right track is by terrifying them afterwards with many other things, until they forget it literally. And they do, they'll forget it, until you bring it up in their presence. But don't forget Agenda 21, how they want the essential vehicles only that are eventually to be on the road. Agenda 21 too, into austerity, teaching you to go into austerity in a post-consumerist, post-producing society because everything is made in China, and your Masters made that all happen too. All your national heroes made that happen, because you're taught to worship them, all the top politicians. Anyway, that's part of it, get you off the roads, essential vehicles only, gradually, gradually, gradually until only the extremely wealthy can afford them. There will be an exception for certain classes of people, you see, and essential... they'll get their little license to be an essential vehicle only... Well, it's for my corporation, you see, and so on. You might even get one for the cleaner once in a while, I clean the corporate offices... and things like that.

But anyway, you're conned by so many different techniques, as I say, you can't keep up, unless you keep your mind focused and switch off when you're being terrified by stuff that's deliberately designed to literally psychologically terrify you. And lots of it is, now that they've repealed all the internal propaganda bills that they have had in the past, especially in the US. For Canadians we get most of our propaganda via the US through the Canadian CBC. Because they're all working together and it saves Canadians money; the Americans pay for the propaganda that's put out to Canadians, so it's more money for the big boys in politics here to use for themselves.

Now, one of the many techniques, and I've gone through psycholinguistics into neurolinguistics, it used to be called psycholinguistics and so on, now it's neurolinguistics. It's such a vast field, it's a whole field of study in itself. It's really a profession in itself. But I've given you different clues and tips on to how it works and so on in the past. The people should go into CuttingThroughTheMatrix.com archive section and really go through so many of the talks I've given in the past. Because many other hosts have been using them all the

time and people who work with these hosts tell me they're always using these archives of mine. Also go into AlanWattSentientSentinel.eu and you'll find lots of stuff there too.

But one technique that's used, and here's the key, you see, they can scatter information out there but they don't connect it for you. Every study that's done on humanity comes from big grants from your government and government agencies, even the Pentagon, and also the foundations often match by taxpayers' money. Because after all, if people have their own minds governments couldn't really rule us as they do today. It couldn't happen. It simply couldn't happen, folks. They get you to think you're ruling yourself. One woman actually said that, I'm free, I can go out shopping and buy whatever I want. That was her definition of freedom. But that also works with lots of the public. Well, I can buy my iPhone, I can buy this and I can buy that, I can communicate to all these... and it's probably sockpuppets that they're communicating to most of the time, and they don't know it. But they still think they're free, you see. If they forced the computer on you and they forced the iPhone to track you, and they forced all this upon you, not voluntary, initially voluntary carbon taxes per mile... If they forced it down your throats your backs would be up and a movement would start up. So they always say, it will be voluntary to start with, you see, to start with.

The same con was used with Obamacare. Oh you've got to pay it or you go to prison, pay it or go to prison. Then they softened it to, oh well, you get to pay to go on it or you pay a fine the first year, knowing darn well it gives the appearance of choice to the people. Oh well, I'll pay the smaller fine this year rather than pay the big high cost of the insurance. It gives you the appearance of choice. Appearance, but there's no choice at all. This is called double bind in psychology and in neuroscience. And it's part of neurolinguistics which work on psycholinguistics, you see. It says...

en.wikipedia.org
Double bind

A double bind is an emotionally distressing dilemma in communication in which an individual (or group) receives two or more conflicting messages, and one message negates the other. (A: You see, gives the appearance of you get the choice to respond to one or the other, but both of them are important, that's how it's put across to you, both of them are important, but they conflict with each other.) This creates a situation in which a successful response to one message results in a failed response to the other (and vice versa), so that the person will automatically be wrong regardless of response. The double bind occurs when the person cannot confront the inherent dilemma, and therefore can neither resolve it nor opt out of the situation. (A: ...it's the law.... it's the law, choose.)

Double bind theory was first described by Gregory Bateson and his colleagues in the 1950s. (A: Now, these guys all got the grants because they were going into neurolinguistics and how to control population and con you and all the rest of it. That's why these studies are DONE, folks. That's why they're done. They're very OLD techniques that are used all the time on you.)

Double binds are often utilized as a form of control without open coercion (A: ...voluntary, it could be voluntary, right.)—the use of confusion makes them both difficult to respond to as well as to resist. (A: And that's why they never spell it out exactly. Folk were terrified of ObamaCare coming in, thinking, I've got prison maybe, that's what I've heard through the rumor mill, you know, or even worse. That's why they deliberately put this stuff out and obfuscate it. They don't give you all that you need to know to make a choice, you see.) —the use of confusion makes them both difficult to respond to as well as to resist. (A: ... it's a law.)

A double bind generally includes different levels of abstraction in the order of messages and these messages can either be stated explicitly or implicitly within the context of the situation, or they can be conveyed by tone of voice or body language. Further complications arise when frequent double binds are part of an ongoing relationship to which the person or group is committed.

(A: You found that too in those who followed communism in the Soviet countries. Because in the Stalin era especially, Stalin was supposed to be the ultimate person who could decipher Marx's writings. And it's supposed to be a science, remember, this whole thing was meant to be a science. And too, empirical science has to be tested over and over with the same experiment done many times over always coming up with the

same result, to be a science. But really, what was really a con movement to begin with, getting the masses to rise up and out an elite group, to put in another elite group, that's really what it was, with the population who then would be conned that they were all working, almost free in fact, for future utopia. Meaning massive profits for the elite who then ruled. That's how it was put across there. It was a great con because we're always looking for utopias and people always follow something that gives them hope of utopia, or even hope itself. That's what all the conology in Pharma is about, pills for everything. And you get the same with the so-called opposing force, which really isn't opposing it all, it uses all the same marketing strategies to terrify you, of dying by diseases and here's the cure, eat this grass that's been used for 1000 other things in the past. So it says here...)

Double bind theory is more clearly understood in the context of complex systems and cybernetics because human communication and the mind itself function in an interactive manner similar to ecosystems. Complex systems theory helps us to understand the interdependence of the different parts of a message and provides an ordering in what looks like chaos.

(A: This is so well understood, folks, by all the big marketing companies, especially the ones that are employed by government agencies to put things across to you. It's also taught to public relations officers from all departments from even your local police, etc. You get the PR person who is taught this kind of stuff to deliberately make things weakly diffuse so as you can't get an actual definite answer on anything, where you should or should not do something, you see.)

The double bind is often misunderstood to be a simple contradictory situation, where the subject is trapped by two conflicting demands. (A: And I've gone through the whole thing about the experiments they've done in Yale and other places, Stanford and so on, the prison experiments and so on. And the one they did as well, the shocking of people. People thought they were being told to put massive shocks through subjects by an authority, they were given the orders by an authority figure, to find out if they would comply or not. This is also, also the double bind thing comes into that. The person who thinks he's applying real shocks to someone, who is really an actor, has the conflict in their own heads of what they know they should not do, and this thing of obeying authority, the need to obey authority, an authority figure would then take the blame for things, that's how the simplicity of the mind works. That's how armies function. That's why armies will always slaughter whoever they're told to slaughter, regardless of Geneva Conventions or anything else. It doesn't matter whose army it is, by the way, as long as the officers in charge give the order, and even make it explicit, I'll take it, it will be on my head, etc., and yada-yada, even though it was never on the officers' heads, it's on yours.) While it's true that the core of the double bind is two conflicting demands, the difference lies in how they are imposed upon the subject, what the subject's understanding of the situation is, and who (or what) imposes these demands upon the subject. Unlike the usual no-win situation, the subject has difficulty in defining the exact nature of the paradoxical situation in which he or she is caught. The contradiction may be unexpressed in its immediate context and therefore invisible to external observers, only becoming evident when a prior communication is considered. Typically, a demand is imposed upon the subject by someone who they respect (such as a parent, teacher or doctor) (A: It could be a professor or anything, in an experiment, you see.) but the demand itself is inherently impossible to fulfill because some broader context forbids it. (A: ...your cultural indoctrination, you see.) For example, this situation arises when a person in a position of authority imposes two contradictory conditions but there exists an unspoken rule that one must never question authority. (A: ...which is what your government uses on you all the time. Well, it's voluntary, but it might not be. What?.... What? [Alan chuckles.])

Gregory Bateson and his colleagues defined the double bind as follows (paraphrased):

(A: And it goes through different examples of the double binds. Although they're giving a very simplistic ones here such as parents who tell the child to be silent, and then they understand if the child replies he has just spoken back to the parent. He's speaking back to them, you see. Things like that, very simple ones. But they don't mention what the real... The REAL purpose here is to find ways to put things over on the public. That's what all these studies are about, folks. When I was small I realized that all these testing on mice that would come out on science programs was nothing about seeing about how if you can train mice to go work in the mine or something. This is all to do with, if it works in one species of mammal or whatever it could work on others. It was all to do with us ultimately, you see. ALL these experiments and all these animals are about us, how to control us, manipulate us, retrain us, and constantly update us in fact, into new trainings,

without us knowing.

So the technique here, again, of double-bind, one of the simplest examples they give you here is to do with... it says...)

1. The punishment may include the withdrawing of love (A: That can be the same thing with the group's withdrawal of acceptance of you if you don't go along with the group. When the teacher says, what do you think, folks, and knowing darn well that most of them will go along with the teacher, one person says no, they get ostracized. It's called shunning, even in some religions the group will shun you.), the expression of hate and anger, or abandonment resulting from the authority figure's expression of helplessness.

2. A "secondary injunction" is imposed on the subject, conflicting with the first at a higher and more abstract level. For example: "You must do X, but only do it because you want to". It is unnecessary for this injunction to be expressed verbally.

3. If necessary, a "tertiary injunction" is imposed on the subject to prevent them from escaping the dilemma. (A: So they don't want you to even escape the dilemma. This is to see how, ultimately, to make you do what the elites want you to do, including the scientific elites, or any propaganda elites, like global warming, climate change, carbon taxes, yada-yada-ya. Understand? They actually... They corral you in, they lock the door that you get in, they tell you you're free at the same time, and then they put a third dilemma to stop you escaping the primary dilemma. Well, you can go in there voluntarily but if you don't go in there you might get shot. Huh? What? What? Do you see what I'm saying?)

These are the cons that go on all the time throughout society, every day actually, especially from government institutions. And you can't fathom it out. So what you do, is you seek out advice from other parties that are already established for you to get the advice from, and that's what you will take because otherwise you will break down trying to figure it out, through fear, if I don't comply, etc. etc. That's only one little technique of thousands and thousands that are used upon you from your birth. Sad, isn't it. Very, very sad.

That's how easy it is to manipulate billions of people across the world. Every country uses them. That's why all science departments across the world all meet annually and so on and exchange their information, and throughout the year they exchange all these informations and have the trips of scientists from China and elsewhere visiting you and vice versa. Quite something isn't it.

Getting back to what Aldous Huxley said, they could actually manipulate the people into accepting something that perhaps they should not accept, or for their own interests they should not accept it, or their better interests. It's happened throughout your whole life long.... your whole life long.

And of course all these changes that they put you through, even socially, are done to make life more tolerable, etc. for everyone, even though what they force upon you might be intolerable for you. If you find some sort of thing disgusting or whatever it happens to be you're not allowed to be disgusted anymore. Only certain people are allowed to be offended. In fact, you're not allowed to be offended. If you're offended you're hateful. It's all psychology, folks, and behaviorism techniques used upon you and you don't even know they're using them upon you, even in the arguments.

Think for yourself to reclaim your mind. Because believe it or not, there's no point in joining any group unless you have a mind of your own. If you join a group you generally lose your mind of your own. If their tenets of belief and their rules, unless you stick up for the rules and keep up with the rules, out you go, you're ostracized. And you've lost, you have to put your mind at the door, leave your brain at the door when you go into the meeting, you see. That's what the system of communism was about, as I say, when only Stalin said he, only he understood it. So everyone around Stalin had to say, well I can't really figure it out, you see, but he knows where he's taking us so I have to accept it all on faith that he knows where he's going, and I believe him. That's how it works, you see. Very simple. Simple trick.

A long time ago Bertrand Russell said the same thing many, many moons ago, they'd train the public to believe in nothing but experts, not even their own deductions, they would only believe experts. And you've

been there your whole life now. Yep. Can't have an opinion of your own by your own deductions. No, no, no, no, no. Your own reasoning, can't trust your own reasoning. If you're a sentient being, and everything is working properly, you're darn right you have a right to think for yourself, decide for yourself and say what you want about things around you. Nothing should be taboo. NOTHING should be taboo. Zilch. No matter how much mud or feces or whatever else is thrown upon you, you should be able to say whatever you want as a sentient being. It doesn't mean you're a nasty little sod that goes out looking to create trouble for trouble's sake just to get noticed. But to be a real sentient sane person you should be allowed to have your views and opinions on anything. Of course you must. After all, all those who want you to change, and update you all the time into new systems of belief and behavior and all the rest of it, demand that you accept and go along with these changes, and change yourself to suit it. They demand it. So what gives them this a special God-given right to do that to you but you can't give your own opinions back? No, true equality would mean that you could say whatever you wanted to say. And if something is nonsensical or totally manipulative, then you should be able to say so.

And don't believe for a minute the professions are free of this. All those who are of the global warming, all the top scientists, are all on a heavy big payroll. And human nature is not like some old black and white Abraham Lincoln movie made by Disney, because that's where all fantasy belongs, is in Disneyland, not in reality. Human nature is corrupt basically. And folk do know where their bread is buttered and who butters it for them, and when it's getting heavy slabs of bread and heavy slabs of butter they aren't going to contradict it when it comes to their big paycheck. No way. No way. That's the simplicity of human nature.

Well anyway, I hope you're surviving, as I say. Hold onto your sanity. Think for yourselves. Because unless you reclaim your mind you've got nothing, you're parroting somebody else's philosophy.

From Hamish and myself in Ontario, Canada, where of course it's freezing actually, and after a whole year of rain, constantly day and night, day and night, day and night pretty well with the odd exception, it's going into flurries now and snow, early snow... it's going to be a bad, bad winter. Because this rain will turn into the heaviest winter we've had yet, it was bad enough last year. And this is the heavy manipulation of aerial spraying, with the chemtrails, and weather modification and all the rest that goes along with it. That's another story, as I say. I've talked about it so many times before I won't talk about it again.

But this is how the sciences run the world today. It isn't just your mind, it's the whole physical reality around you that's being run with very high, advanced sciences. Most folk won't accept it. They won't believe it. And that's fine, their indoctrination is too perfect. They expect the media, that's on board with the conology, it's part of the system, to do their reasoning for them, like Brzezinski said. They've been trained. He said, they'd be trained, back in the 70s, to think that the media would do their reasoning for them and warn them about things. And it has been done effectively. They really do. Or, as Adolf Hitler said, if you're going to lie to the public, tell a BIG lie. Because the average person will tell a small lie but they go into disbelief for someone to actually purposely lie on a massive scale. Because you wouldn't do it, you see, you would tell a small lie. We are so well understood it's scary, folks. And it's terribly sad. And it's even sadder to me to watch millions of people walk around thinking that they're making all their own decisions when they're not at all. That's even sadder.

From Hamish and myself in Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

Oregon's Pay-Per-Mile Road-Funding Program Continues to Evolve

California readies pay-as-you-drive tax test, coming soon to a road near you

Sen. Diane Feinstein's husband wins CA rail contract

High court clears bullet train but problems remain

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Oct. 26, 2014 (#1468)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"'Canada Will Never Be the Same',
Perpetual Terrorism is the Game"
© Alan Watt Oct. 26, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Oct. 26, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on October 26, 2014. Now I'm sure most of you have heard about what's been happening in Canada, with soldiers getting run down by a car in Montréal, or Québec, and then you had the same thing happening with another terrorist plot, supposedly, of a guy who stormed the Parliament building. Now, all this comes at a time when what used to be the British Empire, now the British Commonwealth, is going along with the same antiterrorist laws and so on, furthering the right for all their security agencies to go be more intrusive. That's what's to come out of what's happened here in Canada. They always need an event to push to the next step.

But so much of these stories, and I shall read them later, don't make any sense. Really. Because you'd think that Canada was sitting back completely undefended, when it's in sending troops to Afghanistan and the Middle East for many years now, and that just doesn't happen. Because the RCMP in Canada, even during the whole Cold War – and the Cold War, remember, simply turned from the Cold War into Al Qaeda and now ISIS, and this is the same thing, ISIS and Al Qaeda. But they didn't just lay off all the guys and say, well that's all over, all of the security guys go home and we'll save cash, obviously.

They've had many, I'm sure, many, many practices in Ottawa to do with protecting all the politicians. Many years ago in fact, well 10 years ago, maybe more, I read about underground passageways had been built at enormous cost under the Parliament building to transport politicians out of there in emergencies, all these things. They don't wait until something happens. They were prattling on about terrorism long before all this happened, even back in the 90s. They prepare for it all. They spend money. They prepare for it. They've got all the staff they need, they can recruit more staff. Their security staff are just rampant. Even ex-politicians have quite a few RCMP guys, bodyguards, with them at all times. They don't just go home when the politician, for instance, walks into Parliament. And they're not part-timers yet in Canada, even though we're supposedly always broke.

So you know something, so many of these things just simply don't make sense here. It was allowed to happen, or whatever, you can speculate for as long as you want. But the stories that are put in there, I'm surprised the mainstream media isn't asking all the questions, like, why did this happen? When these characters who committed these offenses were already under observation, why would it happen? And we know in this day and age all these youngsters and so on are all twittering and tweeting all the time, they're all being monitored, and these guys were under monitoring already. So it's almost impossible for something like this to happen.

The head of the GCHQ in London right after 9/11 in the first few days came out and said, they had warned us this was going to happen, along with Israel and other countries, they warned the US. And they couldn't believe why it was not acted upon, their warning. Then again, it ties in with, we need a new Pearl Harbor, something on the scale of a new Pearl Harbor event, according to the PNAC group, the Project for the New American Century group, that published what they wanted to do across the Middle East on their own website.

Anyway, here we are in Canada and the stories literally are given to the public through a Department of Information – remember that – and these stories are all wrong, especially the one about Parliament. Because even if this happened the way they said it happened, you wouldn't tell the world, and would-be terrorists, that it's quite easy to walk into Parliament and start shooting. You wouldn't tell them that kind of thing. It's like an open door, you're telling them you got an open door there. And the guy who is the Sergeant at arms, who had served for many years in the RCMP, had to unlock a little safe and get out his pistol to go and shoot the terrorist. It doesn't make any sense whatsoever. Because supposedly they had been expecting this kind of thing for many, many years. They don't wait until things happen like this. It just doesn't happen.

But I'll mention these stories tonight and you can make your own mind up. Of course it happened, too, a few weeks after some other bureaucrat in the government had mentioned the lax, you know, not just security, they need to go further in observation of all the so-called homegrown terrorists. It's comical to me in a sense that they're using that term because that's the term they use for the little pot growers, it's homegrown plants that they were using, and so on. Now it says homegrown terrorists, like one day you wake up, you find that you've turned Muslim or something. It doesn't make any sense to me at all, especially when they're under observation.

Now, the RCMP, there's lots of books out there by people in security agencies and so on who've written books about the Cold War. It was identical to that system used in England, where the Parliament around even London, the whole of London, has hundreds and hundreds of what they called watchers, full-time staff who would tail, maybe they could have 100 people on one person suspected to be a spy or whatever in Britain, 100 people, tailing them all day long, literally 100. Some of them are on bicycles and so on, they'd pass you by, another one passing a different direction, he's watching you too. They're all in communication with each other through their little walkie-talkies and so on; these were not big visible walkie-talkies. They had other people on foot, etc. That's how many people they would put on one person. And that has not stopped.

And Canada had the same system because Canada, if people remember at all, and have studied up on it whatsoever, was the first country to have a major defector from the Soviet Union come over and expose a list of people who were spies in Ottawa, in the government, in bureaucracy, and in the US as well. It was Gouzenko. You find a lot of information about them and so on, what happened, and how they already had lots of different agents on the streets of Ottawa watching people from the Soviet Union at all times, etc. Identical to the British system. And that did not stop. That didn't stop. They never stop that kind of security. So none of this really makes any sense.

But I'll read some of the stories right now. The first one here is from the Toronto Sun and it says...

Homegrown terror strikes at heart of Canada
torontosun.com / Michele Mandel / October 22, 2014

Police have locked down Parliament Hill in Ottawa on Wednesday, Oct. 22, 2014, where a shooting occurred.

For the second time this week, Canadian soldiers came under attack on our own soil. Yet another terrorist took aim at the people who stand on guard for us, this time right at the epicentre of our democracy within metres of Parliament Hill. (Alan: Now, reporters are taught how to report, and facts are awfully important, it's trained into them to get facts and times and so on. And yet another, another shooter took aim at the people who stand on guard for us. . . If we had these shootings in Canada before, because the person, the two soldiers who got run down, were run down by a car, apparently.)

And even more egregious (A: You notice that's getting awfully popular, that word, egregious?) — a gunman targeted a Canadian Armed Forces soldier guarding the National War Memorial — a revered national symbol in memory of so many brave men and women who have given their lives to protect our freedom and way of life. (A: That's the standard thing that they'll say in all wars and so on, freedom and way of life, even though your way of life is never the same afterwards. That's what they say about Britain, it went into World War II supposedly to fight National Socialism and came out as a socialistic country.)

The Western way of life that seems to offend these Islamic terrorists.

This shocking shooting comes on the heels of Monday's car attack that killed one soldier and injured another in Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu, Que. The killer, extremist Martin Couture-Rouleau, was under watch by the RCMP and had his passport seized when he tried to fly to Turkey to join ISIS.

Just last month, Public Safety Minister Steven Blaney tabled his report "2014 Public Report On The Terrorist Threat To Canada" and warned "Terrorism remains the leading threat to Canada's national security." (A: It says, remains the leading threat, right, remains. It's not like suddenly we have to have protection against terrorists. It remains, so why were they not guarding, I mean really heavily guarding the parliament building? Because the story they're giving us is something out of Dad's Army, a British TV series about World War II, the comedy.)

How prophetic those words now ring in Ottawa.

Homegrown terrorists seemed the stuff of TV shows not too long ago. (A: And of course they do because it's been drummed into us since 9/11, and even before, the movies they were churning out were in Reel Bad Arabs and so on, as they called that awfully good documentary, getting all ready for this coming, that real life is imitating fiction today, that's really what's happening.) And yet these past few weeks have shown that the danger they pose has been very much on the minds of Canada's police agencies.

A few weeks ago, CSIS director Michel Coulombe told a House of Commons committee the spy agency knows of at least 80 violent radicals who have returned here after being involved in terrorism overseas.

"By the time I leave this room, it's going to change," he warned. "Are there some that we are not aware of? Probably. I don't want to speculate."

RCMP Commissioner Bob Paulson told the same committee meeting the Mounties are investigating 90 Canadians for suspected terrorist leanings — and we've now learned Couture-Rouleau was among them.

And it appears they were already aware of plans that were afoot. (A: So, they were already aware of plans that were afoot... they were beefed up already, heavy security and so on. It doesn't make any sense that they let this happen. It really doesn't.)

Last Friday, just days before the Quebec attack, the domestic terrorism threat level in Canada was quietly raised from low to medium for the first time in four years, according to an internal document obtained by Global News.

Intelligence agencies had picked up increased "chatter" from radical Islamist groups such as ISIS and al-Qaida about possible attacks after Canada decided to join the international coalition against ISIS.

But despite this warning, two fatal attacks have now followed. It highlights the startling and terrifying dilemma we now face: no matter how vigilant we may be, how can we protect ourselves from the radicals

hidden among our own countrymen?

Now an innocent man's blood stains the granite Cenotaph, spilled not by a foreign enemy, but by an enemy within.

And it really makes you wonder, really it makes me wonder in a way why this was allowed to kind of happen. Because in fact, when you read the other stories, and I'll read some of them here, it makes you wonder why they even allow these guys to walk the streets, if they're under such incredible observation. I mean, here's one article and it says...

Canadian PM hid in store cupboard while gun battle was fought nearby
theguardian.com / Lauren Gambino / 24 October 2014

(A: ...a store cupboard? Really? I mean, if you truly were a terrorist that's the sort of information you'd want to hear, that they're completely unprepared. It makes no sense at all. Everything that comes out from government is vetted by public relations and debated before it's released to the public. You don't say we're an open door, come in and do these things.)

Sergeant-at-Arms Kevin Vickers (A: ...and it shows you, he's the guy that shot the SUSPECTED gunman.) just after shooting dead the suspected gunman. (A: Well, he wasn't suspected, they have him on camera obviously and he was the gunman.)

Canada's prime minister, Stephen Harper, hid in a cupboard used to store electrical boxes and a ladder while a gun battle ensued in a nearby hallway of the parliament building in Ottawa this week, it emerged on Friday.

Members of parliament and party leaders including Harper were meeting in the centre block of Parliament Hill when the attacker, Michael Zehaf-Bibeau, stormed into the building at about 10am on Wednesday morning.

Officers from the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) trailed Zehaf-Bibeau as he rushed up the steps to the main entrance of the Centre Block.

As he entered the building, parliamentary security guards opened fire on Zehaf-Bibeau, a petty criminal with a history of mental illness, brandishing a 30-30, lever-action Winchester rifle that he'd moments ago used to kill Nathan Cirillo, an honor guard on duty at the nearby National War Memorial.

Meanwhile, Harper was hiding in a storage closet just steps away from the Conservative caucus's meeting room, sources confirmed to the Globe and Mail on Friday. Harper's security detail was not with him at the time. (A: Now, what do you mean they were not with him at the time? I mean, did they just go off for a tea break or something? I mean, this is nonsense. They'd been expecting, supposedly, this for years, and we've been involved as I say since 9/11, really, sending troops off to Afghanistan afterwards and elsewhere. The first thing any government does is massive security, you expect retaliation to major points within the countries.)

The RCMP commissioner, Bob Paulson, said a "multitude of shots" were fired during the exchange. A guard was shot in the leg as the gunmen rushed through the marble-lined hallway.

At some point Kevin Vickers, the House of Commons sergeant-at-arms, emerged with a pistol he retrieved from a lockbox, and engaged Zehaf-Bibeau as the pair of them darted behind pillars. (A: I mean this is nonsense. Because I mean, even the police now when they go into schools talking about drugs and things, they still carry their pistols and that. They're trying to say that no one's armed in that place? No, that doesn't make any sense at all. These things are all debated, what if, what if, and they have practice drills and all the rest of it too. And you always have armed guards everywhere in Parliament buildings.) In the rapid exchange, the gunman was struck and slumped to the ground. Vickers is widely credited with preventing a further tragedy by rapidly engaging Zehaf-Bibeau, who was pronounced dead at the scene. Vickers then rushed to ensure Harper's safety.

The RCMP commissioner said the exact details of the battle between Zehaf-Bibeau, parliamentary security guards and RCMP officers were still under investigation.

Shortly after the incident, Harper was hustled out of the building to a secure location. Some members of parliament said they were shocked when he climbed out of his modest hiding place, (A: So it must have been a pretty hidden cupboard. And they got that part from…) the Globe and Mail reported. (A: …and this is the Guardian's article.)

That a gunman made it so close to the prime minister and MPs has raised serious security concerns. Paulson confirmed on Thursday announced a change to the security detail to ensure the prime minister would not be placed in such a situation again. (A: I mean, I just don't understand. This doesn't make any sense. You don't wait for these things to happen, when you're sending troops abroad and all the rest of it and you're heavily engaged in this kind of thing. You just don't wait for something to happen.)

"I can tell you that now we've adopted a condition where we will stay with the prime minister in the prime minister's protective detail 24/7, no matter where he is," said Paulson. (A: Well as I say, they even give that kind of security to ex-Prime Ministers. Why didn't they have it? It doesn't make sense.)

Although the chain of events lasted just minutes, the area remained in lockdown for hours, with the last group allowed to leave more than 12 hours after the shooting began.

There were reports of multiple shooters (A: And again too, when the media goes rampant with hearsay or whatever or speculation, putting it out as fact, which they did, they said there was maybe two shooters initially I think, what kind of reporting is that? Are they conspiracy theorists? Since anybody else who talks out and asks questions is labeled that. How come the mainstream isn't called that?), and it took police hours to sweep the premise, Ottawa police chief Charles Bordeleau said on Thursday. He said police had received emergency calls that there was a shooting in a shopping mall, the Rideau Centre, which turned out later to be false. (A: And that did come across the radio too, that there'd been a shooting in a shopping mall. So that was false as well. Why report that when it's false? You should wait until you get the information, you check it before you print it or publish it.)

"Before we can discount any information, we have to verify it," Bordeleau said, explaining why the area remained on lockdown for so long.

An urgent email sent to MPs and staff on Wednesday instructed them to lock or barricade their office doors, which they were not to open for anyone, and to stay away from windows. This later caused problems when the police swept the area and, not having keys to the offices (A: I mean, this doesn't make…. Again, they have practice drills for all these things, as the States does and every other country does.), asked to be let in. Police rammed the doors of empty offices; reporters who toured parliament after the incident said several rooms were missing their doorhandles. (A: I mean, you're trying to say that they can't afford doorhandles and things here? What nonsense. Unless the politicians take them home as souvenirs.)

Parliament resumed on Thursday, a day after the attack, in a show of resilience by Canada's politicians. The Canadian government indicated that it intends to speed up proposals to toughen the country's anti-terror laws in the wake of the attack, including a measure that would allow "preventative detention". (A: …and that's what they've been after for a long time.)

The governing Conservatives have made no secret of their plan to install new anti-terror powers, giving the Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS) more powers to track, investigative and detain would-be homegrown terrorists. Harper promised the proposals would be brought forward.

"They need to be much strengthened (A: …all these measures…), and I assure you, Mr Speaker, that work which is already under way will be expedited," Harper told MPs on Thursday.

Details of those new powers have yet to be released, but justice minister Peter MacKay indicated to reporters that they will include measures to allow the preventive detention of suspected would-be terrorists. (A: Why

would they wait all this time when they have whole bunches of them under observation already, including the ones who did the running down with the car, and the shooter? They were already on the list and so on, why would they need more measures to just go after them? Especially when their passports had already been refused, because they wanted to travel abroad and join ISIS and things like that? That's what we're told anyway.)

"We're examining all those sections of the criminal code, and all measures under the law that will allow us, in some instances, to take pre-emptive measures," he said. (A: Now, and I think it was 1998, Allan Rock who was the Justice Minister in Canada passed an omnibus bill, and it just floated right through without any question, which even puzzled reporters as to why they're putting through all these laws, this omnibus crime bill I think it was called then, but it really was an antiterrorist thing including detention without trial and all the rest of it, back in 1998, because nothing apparently was happening, that's how the general public saw things back in 1998. Then Rock went off to work at the United Nations afterwards.)

Sergeant-at-Arms Kevin Vickers is applauded in the House of Commons in Ottawa. Vickers is credited with shooting the gunman in parliament. (A: They show you a photograph of him getting applauded in the House of Commons. It's a big PR thing too. There's even like whole teams of police all posing for photographs and things, just like TV, like it was a TV, you know, a fiction thing, a drama.)

At Thursday's parliamentary session, Vickers was honored with a standing ovation. An emotional Vickers thanked politicians and commended fellow guards and police officers for their swift action that brought the gunman's rampage to an end. (A: But it says here that HE brought it to an end earlier on in the story. So there were police officers there, and armed? I mean, what...?)

"I am very touched by the attention directed at me following yesterday's events," Vickers said in a statement on Thursday. "However, I have the support of a remarkable security team that is committed to ensuring the safety of members, employees and visitors to the Hill." (A: Well, if it took all this before they could go and get a shooter, I think there should be a lot of firings going on, don't you? If this is all the facts here.)

Vickers, 58, assumed the position after 29 years with the RCMP, during which he rose to the rank of chief superintendent. He had provided security detail for important guests, including the Queen and Prince Andrew.

"During extraordinary circumstances, security personnel demonstrated professionalism and courage," Vickers said. "I am grateful and proud to be part of this team."

So that's that story. And then you go into this story. I mean, if this story was true, again, like the doorhandles not being on the doors and so on, if this following story is true then carrying it out literally would be classed as some tiny little African Third World nation. Seriously. It says...

Ottawa attack: MPs fashioned spears while Harper whisked into closet
theglobeandmail.com / Steven Chase / Oct. 23 2014

Stephen Harper spent about 15 minutes hidden in a Parliament Hill closet after a gunman stormed Centre Block where he and the rest of the Conservative caucus were guarded by MPs who'd fashioned sharp spears from flagpoles (A: I thought this was a joke when I first heard it.), sources say.

After they heard gunfire outside their meeting room door Wednesday, members of Parliament snapped close to 15 flagpoles to make weapons. (A: Can you believe this? Can you believe this?)

"There were 15 flags up at caucus and all but two were taken down," one MP recalled. (A: I guess that's on the walls.)

"These guys were up there holding these spears ready to impale anyone who came in," the source said.

"It was that or get mowed down," the member of Parliament said of the threat posed by a gunman who was ultimately shot dead by Parliament Hill security. (A: So WHO shot the guy dead?)

Mr. Harper, meanwhile, had been whisked into a closet in the Centre Block room shortly after the gunfights outside began. (A: ...gunfights outside... that's plural, again.)

There were more than 150 Tory MPs stuck in this caucus room during the ordeal.

Many MPs thought the Prime Minister had managed to get out of the room and didn't know he was sequestered in what amounted to a cubbyhole. (A: So THEY didn't know where he was. There must be some sort of hidden panel or something.)

"Someone knew there was a closet there so they stuck him in there," the source said. (A: Now, I'd imagine security would stick him in it, which means they would be armed, surely, for goodness sake, not batons, not during all of this, and after this terrorism thing for years and years and years.)

"So for a lot of people it was as though he was gone." (A: Everybody thought the Prime Minister was gone.)

The Prime Minister's RCMP security detail ultimately rescued him and whisked him out of the building. (A: Where were they during all this fracas? Because earlier on in another article it said they weren't there sort of thing, and they had to be with him 24 hours a day, seven days a week from now on.)

It was a surprise to many Conservatives when Mr. Harper emerged from his hiding place to exit Parliament Hill.

Some MPs kept their flagpole weapons as souvenirs. (A: I guess that would go along with the doorhandles eh.)

"Everyone was taking their spears home," the MP said. "I'm going to frame mine." (A: Well, it's taxpayer-funded, why not.)

One soldier was killed by the gunman Wednesday and a Hill security guard was shot (A: That's the one that got shot in the leg I think it said.) fighting the assailant but is expected to make a full recovery.

Tory MPs reunited with Mr. Harper Wednesday evening at the Foreign Affairs building and Democratic Reform Minister Pierre Poilievre brought his spear as a memento, another source said.

So, that's that story. And you just got to ask questions. Seriously, with these kind of stories it's going to give every terrorist on the planet, no matter what kind of terrorists they are, the idea that Canada's utterly living in the dark ages or something. Well, even in the dark ages they had lots of troops around castles and all that protecting kings and queens. It's just astonishing that we're being told that they were fashioning spears. Then again, how would you fashion the spear, and whittling away and all that, unless you got knives and knives are not allowed in to the parliament building, as far as I know, along with other things, just like airports and so on.

Here's the one that happened just before it...

Soldier dies after being run down in suspected terror attack
near Montreal
news.nationalpost.com / October 20, 2014 / Stewart Bell

(A: Now after all this time surely it's either a terrorist attack or... They can't just keep go on saying 'suspected'.)

The 25-year-old, known as Martin (A: ...and then they put in...) 'Ahmad' Rouleau, killed after high-speed chase.

The driver of a car who rammed two Canadian Forces members near Montreal before being shot dead by

police was known to counter-terrorism authorities who believed he had become radicalized, the RCMP said on Monday as they continued to investigate the possible terrorist attack.

"This individual was known to federal authorities including our Integrated National Security Investigations team in Montreal who along with other authorities were concerned that he had become radicalized," the RCMP said in a statement. The force declined further comment.

The 25-year-old, known as Martin "Ahmad" Rouleau, allegedly hit two members of the Canadian Forces as they were walking in a strip mall just outside St-Jean-sur-Richelieu at about 11:30 a.m.

Early Tuesday, one of two soldiers hit by the car died of his injuries, Quebec provincial police said. The soldier's name was not released at the request of the family.

The second soldier's injuries were described as less serious, the Canadian Press reported, but police were not able to provide an update on the individual's condition.

After the soldiers were struck, police chased the man more than four kilometres until his car flipped into a ditch.

The man then exited his car, allegedly holding a knife, and police opened fire, seriously injuring him, said Sûreté du Québec Sgt. Joyce Kemp. He was transported to hospital, but police later confirmed he had died.

The suggestion the incident was an act of terrorism was first raised in the House of Commons by Prime Minister Stephen Harper, who said he was aware of the "extremely troubling" reports and that authorities were investigating.

The Prime Minister was briefed on the investigation by RCMP Commissioner Bob Paulson, Chief of Defence Staff Gen. Tom Lawson, and National Security Adviser Stephen Rigby. "Federal authorities have confirmed that there are clear indications that the individual had become radicalized. As Canada's national security agencies have said, Canadians should remain vigilant," said a statement from Jason MacDonald, the prime minister's spokesman.

Speaking to reporters at the scene, Sûreté du Québec spokesman Lt. Guy Lapointe said it was too early to determine whether the military personnel were deliberately targeted. "All I can say is that the theory that this is a deliberate act is part of what we'll be looking at," he said.

The soldiers were being treated in hospital. One was said to be seriously injured, while the other soldier's injuries were less severe, Sgt. Kemp said. Police were reconstructing the scene, with the brown car still upside down in the ditch.

A knife lay next to the car, underneath a bag. Sgt. Kemp would not confirm reports the driver had previously charged at police with the knife. "At this point, it's too soon to say," the sergeant said. "The investigation is still in its early stages."

Because the local St-Jean-sur-Richelieu police were involved in the shooting, the SQ has taken over the investigation. Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu is home to the Canadian Forces Leadership and Recruit School, which conducts basic military training as well as professional development programs and employs about 600 military personnel and civilians.

A Twitter account under the name Ahmad Rouleau featured the banner of the Islamic State of Iraq and Al-Sham, the terrorist group that last month called on its followers to kill Canadians because of Ottawa's role in the anti-ISIS military coalition.

"Islam is the only true religion. (A: This is I guess what was on the twitter account.) Anyone who want scientific proof of God that your terrorist Zionism Rothschild media hide, contact me or add me if you re open minded," he commented beneath an online Time magazine article last May. (A: Well, someone like that would obviously be under intense scrutiny, obviously, you know. It goes on to say in his twitter

account...)

Allah has promised the hypocrite men and hypocrite women and the disbelievers the fire of Hell

On a Facebook page under the same name, French and English posts - the last one on Friday - denounced Christianity and Judaism. "Allah has promised the hypocrite men and hypocrite women and the disbelievers the fire of Hell, wherein they will abide eternally. It is sufficient for them. And Allah has cursed them, and for them is an enduring punishment," he wrote.

No information has been officially released linking the incident to ISIS, but the possibility he was a lone wolf incited by the group's propaganda was being examined. On Sept. 21, ISIS spokesman Abu Muhammad Adnani explicitly called for attacks against Canadians. In his 42-minute audio taped message, Adnani urged his fanatical followers to single out a victim and "run him over with your car." (A: Now, if that report came out by the spokesman, the ISIS spokesman on September 21, they would have had everything in Ottawa completely on overdrive and beyond beefed up. Really.)

The use of a car to ram identifiable soldiers was reminiscent of the December 2013 murder of British serviceman Lee Rigby. In that attack, two men armed with knives struck him with their car near a military facility in Woolwich, United Kingdom, and then attempted to sever his head. They were later filmed making Islamist extremist slogans.

Following the attack, Canada's Integrated Threat Assessment Centre prepared a "Secret" intelligence report noting that the killing was the second of two attacks in six months that "appear to have targeted military personnel in public areas."

The document noted that the Toronto 18 and a 2010 group headed by Iranian-Canadian Hiva Alizadeh had also talked about striking Canada's military. "Canadian Forces personnel and facilities have been discussed as targets by domestic extremists in the past," said the 2013 report, released under the Access to Information Act.

As recently as Monday, calls to attack Canadians for joining the anti-ISIS coalition continued to surface. A Canadian extremist who converted in 2010 and now goes by "Abu Khalid Al-Kanadi" posted a message inciting attacks on Canadians.

"Yes, my message is clear," the self-proclaimed ISIS member tweeted last week. "Canada initiated attacks on the Islamic State, so Muslims in Canada, retaliate & KILL THEM WHEREVER YOU FIND THEM."

Adnani's 42-minute audio speech emphasized that victims did not have to be military. But the ISIS message has found little following in Canada. While a handful of Canadians have joined ISIS, Canadian Muslim organizations have strongly denounced the terrorist group and have held demonstrations against it. (A: So everybody's in on the act here and so on and yada-yada-ya.)

But this is the kind of thing that's going on in Canada right now. And you have to really ask a lot of questions, that are not being answered. And it really is hard in Canada to get information when there's investigations by not just security but police, even police, as to facts and details of things at all. They're very tightlipped, so you're left with more questions than any answers. But it's not like they wait for something to happen. That's not the way it goes. If anything happens in Britain, Australia, New Zealand, even in India, or Canada, the whole British Commonwealth system goes into the same mode with the same laws and rules and regulations and practice drills and so on at the same time across the board. They don't wait for it to happen anywhere else and that doesn't make sense, obviously, when you do. ...Sharpening things into spears and so on, I mean, they should really get any PR people, get new PR people altogether in fact. Because that will tell all the terrorists go to Canada and do nasty things because they're completely unprepared for anything. That's the message they would get, isn't it? Isn't it?

And here's the part about the passport dilemma. This article says Martin Couture-Rouleau...

Martin Couture-Rouleau case underscores passport seizure dilemma

cbc.ca / Laura Payton / Oct 21, 2014

Hit-and-run driver blocked from leaving Canada in months before alleged terror attack.

(A: They show you a picture of the guy and so on from Facebook.)

Martin (Ahmad) Couture-Rouleau's passport was seized by authorities who feared he wanted to go overseas to take part in terrorism, blocking him from leaving Canada and highlighting a dilemma facing security officials dealing with the threat of militants on home soil.

Couture-Rouleau was arrested at the airport in July while on his way to Turkey, RCMP Supt. Martine Fontaine said at a news conference in Montreal.

He was identified as a high-risk traveller and had his passport taken away, but there wasn't enough evidence for police to charge him and detain him. Fontaine said police had several interactions with him after that and were in contact with his parents. Police spoke with him as recently as Oct. 9.

One of the soldiers, Warrant Officer Patrice Vincent, 53, died of his injuries Monday evening. Police said the other soldier doesn't have life-threatening injuries.

The case highlights the problem for officials who stop citizens from leaving Canada because of terrorism fears: those persons remain in Canada, perhaps with the intention of causing harm to others. (A: Wouldn't it be better to let them all go and just refuse them to get back in to Canada? You know?)

RCMP Commissioner Bob Paulson touched on the problem Tuesday on Parliament Hill: asked whether it was possible Couture-Rouleau became more dangerous after his passport was taken away, Paulson said "certainly that's what follows from the analysis." (A: So because it was taken away he went on a rampage in Canada, in other words.)

"He was part of our investigative efforts to try and identify those people who might commit a criminal act of travelling abroad for terrorist purposes. So in that respect we were working him along with other suspects," he added. (A: Now, that means that they were following him and watching and listening to everything. It says, "...we were working him...", that's the term they use here.)

A top official at the Canadian Security Intelligence Service, speaking at a Senate committee meeting Monday, put the dilemma more bluntly.

"For every individual that we prevent, every extremist that we prevent from going overseas to engage in extremist activity, is one more individual that we have to investigate closely because they're radicalized to the point that they want to leave," said Jeff Yaworski, CSIS deputy director of operations.

"There's nothing more that we can do with the budget that we have (A: So they're after big budget increases, like they haven't got a huge one already.), except to prioritize internally as effectively as we can and I think we are doing that."

Yaworski said the agency's success rate "has been quite good."

"I'd be foolhardy to say that we have all the bases covered. We do what we can with the budget that we have, sir," Yaworski told senators.

The federal government has raised its internal threat level to medium due to an increase in "general chatter" from organizations like ISIS, but not because of a specific threat.

Couture-Rouleau was one of 90 people being monitored by the RCMP as part of 63 current national security investigations, the RCMP confirmed to CBC News Monday night. Paulson first reported the investigations to MPs on the House public safety committee earlier this month.

That covered "both people who intend to go [abroad] or people who have returned and have been referred to us by the service," Paulson said on Oct. 8.

It included people suspected of being involved with extremism-related activities, including financing, not specifically to fighting alongside militants.

Legal documents show Couture-Rouleau converted to Islam in 2013. He went by Ahmad Rouleau on some social media sites.

Immigration Minister Chris Alexander, who has said his department is revoking the passports of those suspected of planning to travel abroad to commit terrorism, wouldn't answer questions as he left a cabinet meeting.

On Tuesday, Paulson said the RCMP are investigating along with Quebec provincial police to determine the "breadth" of this incident and pursue every avenue.

Paulson said the RCMP don't think Couture-Rouleau was connected to other sympathizers. (A: So was he a lone nutter or not?)

A Quebec police spokesman said the RCMP are handling the investigation into the suspect and his motivations.

On Monday, the RCMP said the integrated national security investigations team in Montreal, along with other authorities, worried Couture-Rouleau "had become radicalized." (A: Well they keep repeating themselves in the same articles don't they?)

You only got about 80 or 90 people to watch, I mean, that's nothing. That's... They had hundreds during the Cold War. Hundreds. And they did, they were tailed everywhere they went and whole teams of people were put on each single one of them. So a lot of this makes really no sense at all. It really doesn't. Then you go on to this article here...

Immigration official mother of Canadian gunman reveals they were reunited last week after five year estrangement but has 'no explanation' for his terrorist conversion
dailymail.co.uk / By Associated Press and MailOnline Reporter / 23 October 2014

-Susan Bibeau, the mother of Michael Zehaf-Bibeau, said in an emotional statement on Thursday that she did not know what to say to the victims

-She is on the country's immigration board

-Her son had had his passport seized by the government before he carried out his shooting spree at the Parliament on Wednesday

(A: As I say, you know, when they can put dozens of people on one person, tailing them, and watchers and all the rest of it, for how this guy got with a gun, a rifle, anywhere near the Parliament building, when you're getting tailed, is really a mystery. Because as soon as he pulled the darn thing out the teams would have swarmed him or shot him before he even got near the building.)

-He fatally shot Corporal Nathan Cirillo, 24, by the National War Memorial then opened fire inside the building - before he was shot dead

-Terrorist's full name is 'Michael, Joseph, Paul, (A: ...which is very Catholic... Michael, Joseph, Paul...) Abdallah (A: That part isn't.) Bulgasem Zehaf Bibeau' according to court records

The mother of the Muslim convert who shot dead a Canadian soldier outside Parliament on Wednesday reunited with her estranged son over lunch just days ago - the first time she'd seen him in five years.

Michael Zehaf-Bibeau's mother Susan Bibeau released a statement on behalf of herself and her ex-husband (A: And apparently her ex-husband is over there too in the Middle East somewhere.) saying she did not know what to say to those hurt in the attack and could offer 'no explanation' for her son's actions.

'No words can express the sadness we are feeling at this time,' she said in the release on behalf of herself and Bibeau-Zehaf's father, Bulgasem Zehaf.

'We are so sad that a man lost his life. He has lost everything and he leaves behind a family that must feel nothing but pain and sorrow. We send our deepest condolences to them although words seem pretty useless. We are both crying for them.'

Susan Bibeau, whose son had his passport seized after he was designated a 'high-risk traveler', works as a federal public servant for the Immigrant & Refugee board and lives in Montreal.

(A: You know, a psychiatrist, the guy had a history apparently of mental illness and so on too and criminal activity, petty criminal activity. A psychiatrist would also say that he stormed the Parliament building because he had something against his mom. I mean, you just don't know. You just don't know. They got all these things going on at the same time.)

After the shooting, it emerged that Zehaf-Bibeau had recently converted to Islam and friends had been worried about his mental health. (A: Well, he'd had the mental problems for years.)

A criminal court database revealed there were 13 Quebec court records dating back to June 2001 involving him, including drugs charges, weapons charges, assault causing bodily harm and robbery.

His full name according to court documents is - 'Michael, Joseph, Paul, Abdallah Bulgasem Zehaf Bibeau'.

His close friend David Bathurst, a fellow convert, said Bibeau had told him that he wanted to travel to the Middle East, but it is not known if he made contact with any extremists.

(A: The mother released a note to the press I guess...)
'I am writing this note on behalf of my husband and myself. No words can express the sadness we are feeling at this time.

I his mother spoke with him last week over lunch, I had not seen him for over five years before that. So I have very little insight to offer. (A: Well, after not seeing him for five years you'd have to ask why he came to see her in the first place. If he truly was going to kill people and get killed too and be a martyr, they generally try and see or talk to relatives before it happens.)

We don't wish to be part of any media circus, we don't think it will add anything to the conversation.'

But sources told The Globe and Mail 'he had not been able to secure a valid travel document from federal officials, who have been taking measures to prevent Canadians from joining extremists overseas.'

It's understood that he traveled to the U.S on four occasions, most recently in 2013. Officials there are trying to trace whom he met while in the country. (A: Now, I'm surprised he even got across the border into the US, they're far more stricter. They'd have his court records there, because they share all the same databases as Canada.)

Bathurst says that after friction with the elders at the house of worship, Zehaf-Bibeau was eventually asked to stop attending prayers at the mosque.

In her statement Ms Bibeau did not reference her son's mental health or religious conversion. (A: So there you go.)

Zehaf-Bibeau's father is a Libyan businessman named Bulgasem Zehaf who once owned a local restaurant, Cafe Tripoli. The couple divorced in 1999 and his father reportedly fought in Libya in 2011. (A: I'm sure

CSIS and the rest of them will know he's been in touch with his dad before all this too. I mean you just don't know.)

I mean, this is amazing. But I mean this is the amazing stories you're getting. I mean, the whole point of anti-terrorism is to prevent terrorism, and you've got all this going on. And things just go ahead like, like no one's watching them. It just makes no sense to me whatsoever. And of course there are big laws and bills to come out of this. And more loss of privacy for everyone basically, because you might wake up in the morning and you become Muslim, you just don't know it, you had a bad dream or something, then that's that. This is what you're supposed to just expect and accept, etc.

But you know, many, many books were churned out during the war with the IRA that England was fighting, and many are still getting churned out yet by people all involved in it, on all sides by the way, including ex-MI-5 guys and so on. You wouldn't believe how muddy the waters are there, completely. It's not like the movies they churn out, just simple North against South deal, or Catholic against Protestant deal. It's way beyond that. Because all sides were using very sophisticated techniques of using people, even, you know, even to serve up the hatred and get it all really, really going into something major. Then you find out at the end too, it was a top MI-5 infiltrator who ran the IRA towards the end, and that all came out in the mainstream media too. So nothing is as simple and straightforward as it seems.

There's a lot of points to be won by allowing things to go ahead and happen, when you have big bills to push through and so on. And they always say that the end justifies the means, you know. That's a standard thing that all planners, and I'm talking about the real, real high planners, are taught, that they'd have to come... See, you aren't just radicalizing extremists or fanatics and so on. They're also radicalizing the general population and militarizing the general population that this is perpetual war. I've done articles before on this and go into the CuttingThroughTheMatrix.com website and go into the archive section and you'll find lots of talks I've given over the past. It's not speculation, it's all from sources, and mainstream sources at that. In fact, that one there on Perpetual War was from the US military magazine, one of the main ones, and it was by an intelligence officer, perpetual war was the way of the future. So you will never have anything the same in your lifetime.

Now, after 9/11, that very day in fact, before the dust settled, one of the voices, I don't know which one it was, came out on mainstream and said, things will never be the same again. Canada was given the same thing with the shooting at the Cenotaph. These are all the catchwords that they all use, it's almost like a dictionary of things that they have to say, etc. So you can speculate forever and ever but believe you me, things will never be the same again. But it makes no sense how far things supposedly have gone already, with no one, no one stopping it before it happens. It really doesn't make any sense at all. They don't have part-time people in Canada guarding important people. They don't have some cutback security force since the Cold War.

Remember, the Cold War really technically ended, supposedly, supposedly about 1990 or whatever, and went straight into Gulf War 1 with all the West involved, and that kind of, it kept going for a while, and then you had the invasion of Afghanistan and Iraq etc. after 9/11. So it's continuous war to an extent. As I say, extremists don't just radicalize their own bombers or whatever happens to be, and for martyrs, they also want to stir up and get sides fighting each other. In Northern Ireland the public were not radicalized at the beginning of it all. When the occasional shooting started they didn't just join a side and say, oh I'm a Protestant and I'll join that side, or, I'm a Catholic, I'll join that side. It didn't happen just like that. It wasn't until enough of their own people were getting shot that the people started joining the groups, and then hatred really began as more folk got shot in what you saw as something that you had an affinity to, a group that you had an affinity to there, a religion or whatever it happened to be. So all sides have stakes in radicalizing the people, or even militarizing the people too.

You even have the culture industry involved in the militarization of the general population. You've had it for years with the Pentagon putting out so much money into making movies, and every country in the West has gone into overdrive since 9/11 with putting out dramas about terrorism, terrorism, terrorism, terrorism, which also militarizes the population apart from just the police and all the different forces involved. This is the strategy that's used to mobilize, if you like, or motivate the whole population into going along with something. This is standard stuff that's taught by high security agencies and so on to their own members.

So it's a puzzle of course. But things are always a puzzle. We can find intricate details about the things that happened in ancient Rome, even down to assassinations. But getting all the facts or straight facts out today on anything that happens is almost impossible. Now, the big danger here, apart from all the different security laws and complete lack of privacy whatsoever, for everyone that is, which already really is there anyway, is that just like Northern Ireland's situation, interested parties would like nothing better than to get people stirred up against Muslims, and again, vice versa. And if more of these things happen, and more of the retaliation, even from verbal retaliation from media or whatever, steps up, then the other side simply becomes defensive too and hateful as well. So you have this roundabout of constant things that can't be resolved so simply as they could have been if they were all prevented. And that's the situation that has to be avoided now. But that's the world we live in today.

As I say, read the article Perpetual War and listen to the talk because I read it on the air a few years back. They said, get used to it, this is how it's going to be, it's one thing after another. War, remember, includes economic war and since about 2008 since the bank crash, supposedly, you've watched the prices shoot up and shoot up, because those who manage the system's currency have said that the constant inflation, meaning devaluation of your currency, is important to get out of the hole. And we accept that too. So economic warfare is part of it as well. There's all kinds of warfare and psychological warfare too. And as radicals are radicalizing youngsters you also have the other side putting out massive movies about how nasty these characters are.

For years and years, long before 9/11, as I say, getting you almost ready for it, everyone's in on the act, especially those who have a stake in it. That's the problem with today's society is that there are different factions on all sides, and those who are not put down as terrorists, they have stakes in all of this too. That's how complex it starts to get. So we live in very interesting times. But everything is pretty predictable. But the stories that they're putting out right now, it's like the Keystone Cops to do with the parliament building, it doesn't make any sense at all that a guy could simply shoot someone in the Cenotaph and then make his way into the parliament building, and you got the Prime Minister hiding in a cabinet, and guys sharpening wooden poles to make spears to protect themselves, it sounds literally like The Mouse That Roared or something, the movie.

Canada is not some third world country and it does have incredible intelligence agencies. The RCMP alone have a fantastic system. As I say, they were amongst the world's leaders during the whole long period of the Cold War and they kept their eyes on everybody involved, and they had teams of everybody following them and so on. That has not disappeared because the Cold War just... When the Soviet Union fell it immediately went into wars across the Middle East and so on. So that's just the way it is.

Back in the 1990s the security agencies advised governments, and experts too were telling them that the future would be pretty well terrorism, of all kinds, by disaffected groups, and emerging nations as they called it, and so on and so on. Lots of articles and lots of books churned out, awfully interesting reading and unfortunately most folk don't read them. But well detailed and all the speculation as to why, including the resource wars too, by lots of government agencies going into resource wars of oil and so on as things become kind of scarce, or the big moguls simply want to take more, or monopolies, etc. All of these different factions have stakes in this kind of thing, and maybe are keeping it all going for all we know. That's the sad truth about today's society. So there you are.

I should mention too, I hope you're all keeping well, as well as can be expected. In Canada too, the weather's been so bad for well over a year now that there's mud everywhere because the water from the snow melting never dried out all year long, there wasn't enough heat to dry it out, and the driveways were just nothing but mud and mud and mud. And there's going to be just as much snow this year, I think. Last year was really bad where I am and I think it's going to be just as bad this year again. Because all this perpetual rain is going to turn into perpetual snow on a daily basis. And it will step up the crisis there as well.

As I said, after 9/11 the hardest thing to hold onto from now on will be your sanity. And that really is where you're going with all of this stuff.

From Hamish and myself in Ontario, Canada, it’s good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

Canada under attack by homegrown terrorists

Canadian PM hid in store cupboard while gun battle was fought nearby

Canadian Prime Minister hid in a CUPBOARD for 15 minutes as MPs sharpened flagpoles to use as spears against the gunman

Ottawa attack: MPs fashioned spears while Harper whisked into closet

Soldier dies after being run down in suspected terror attack near Montreal

Martin Couture-Rouleau case underscores passport seizure dilemma

Immigration official mother of Canadian gunman reveals they were reunited last week after five year estrangement but has 'no explanation' for his terrorist conversion

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Nov. 2, 2014 (#1469)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"You Won't Find Truth by Being Aloof"
© Alan Watt Nov. 2, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Nov. 2, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on November 2, 2014. Now, I know we're all going through amazing times, with the age of transition as they call it at the top, as you are being trained into new systems, and accepting austerity because everything goes up and up and up, much faster than it did for a long time actually. The prices just jump and jump and jump because, really, your currency is being devalued all the time. That's inevitable too because under the laws of economics, as they teach in university, they say that the cheaper your currency, for foreigners that is, then the more exports that country with the cheap currency can produce, or get rid of basically and sell. And this is the con game that goes on.

It's very similar to Maggie Thatcher who said at the time, that they needed a lot of unemployment because it put the price of wages down, you see. Back in the 60s and 70s, especially in the US and Canada, people could literally hop jobs from week to week and no one said anything about it, including the employers. You would get paid at the end of that week and you could move onto something else, because there were such a shortage of labor at that time with all the production of factories and so on that were all across the countries at that time, before of course they sent it all off to China.

But I hope your luck certainly is keeping up, at least to an extent, as you go through this transition as I say, and you can get through all the struggles that are coming your way. Because everything is shooting up in the stores. Food, everything is just out of sight. We're supposed to be grateful that we can eat at all, as they keep gouging us with prices and prices and prices. And for every time, I've noticed in the past, over many different reasons that the prices go up, for, say, currency changes like they did in Britain way back in the 70s I think, early 70s maybe late 60s. They did value-added tax and a decimalized currency all at the same time, that really confused people, except the sharks at the top. Because every store got in on it too, especially the big chain stores, they put a massive increase too for more profit during this age of confusion they went through. But we find this going on all the time.

Society is pretty sick actually. And to be honest with you, it's really always been kind of sick. It's just that at

one time the public had a common morality to an extent and they wouldn't put up with it so much. People did get together and they did complain, and people had to listen to their complaints and do something about it. Today with psychological management, neuroscience and all the rest of it, and propaganda and the media being on board, it's much easier to control the people until they stop complaining and start grumbling amongst themselves. I've noticed too, even when the gas prices go up and up, especially in Canada here, they don't even bother grumbling amongst themselves. It's amazing how that has happened, and it happened pretty quickly too. They just accept things with a form of quiet resignation.

Now, everyone has heard my saga about the pumps, the well pump and so on, with the third one being in it right now. It's not quite working properly yet either; there's a leak at a seal actually in the pump, in actually the well. And then, to top off, on Wednesday I made a stupid decision to actually try and plan something and go to the store to post off a couple of things, and my transmission went to my car. Just kaput. Suddenly too. Just gone. No power, no drive power at all. I had to get a tow back, etc., and do a whole bunch of things the following day to try and ascertain if it was fixable in any way at all. I did the usual things to check for, and it's not going to be a job that you can do in the mud, etc. Plus on Friday I think I woke up on Friday to a foot of snow. A foot of snow, on Friday, so I will not do any more with it. That will just not be done in this kind of weather.

As I say, it's astonishing, I was just thinking you know, I think it was at the end of May pretty well, the snow eventually disappeared from where I live here. So you had June, July, August and September that just poured rain pretty well constantly. The ground never dried out from last year, from the spring. This field outside of me here where I live is absolutely sodden, there has been no heat to dry anything out. It's quite amazing. Because it's all Geoengineering, you see. It's amazing too how you get attacked for mentioning Geoengineering. But it's not really amazing when the big boys, and Cass Sunstein and the rest of them have said that, they would smear everybody as a kook who hit on actual facts of things to do with the big, big projects, global projects. All they had to do was label you as a kook or whatever instead of answering the facts that you put out, including all of the PDFs I have put out from the various Geoengineering institutions with all their data in it, and their ongoing data about increasing aerosols in the air, etc. etc. etc... as they continue to Geoengineer. Plus they said years ago that if they ever started doing it we would get nothing but constant rain in some areas, other areas of course, because they could manipulate the jet streams, would get pretty well burned to a crisp. And that's exactly what we have.

But facts don't count when they are out to smear you, do they? And of course people who have their minds closed will never look into anything themselves. It's like politics, those who are born to vote one way or the other will continue to do it their whole lifelong. Even though the definition of insanity is to repeat the same things over and over expecting a different result, when it never, ever happens. But that's humanity. No one ever said that humanity was logical. It's to do with your training and so on. Recently I read a study from Universities to do with belief systems of all kinds, including political belief systems and so on. They found that once people accepted the system of belief they will fight tooth and nail, they will never check it out, they don't want to check it out, to see if something is fallible in their belief, particular belief mode. And that goes with politics as well. Quite amazing.

Going back to the neuroscientists and some of the precursors of the neuroscientists who dealt with global future predictions, because they worked on global think tanks and they work towards a global society of trained public across the world, and constant training – they called it lifelong learning – as they continue to train you and train you and train you. They talked about this very, very system back in the 30s and 40s, including Bertrand Russell where he said, he used to think, and many people did used to think, all you had to do was present the facts to the people and they will respond logically and do the right thing. And he said, you can do more with the brass bands and military uniforms to get folk motivated than you can with all the rhetoric and facts in the world. And that really, unfortunately, is true.

Many people who think they're waking up are actually – I've always said this – they're not really waking up at all. They're simply waking to some part of their life changing and that's what they are objecting to and they are forced, that gives them no choice but to really look into why either they are getting hit in the pocket or something. So through financial crisis or something like that they have to look and at least question something. But they really don't want to question anything else. Then you have the disinformation agencies, and professional agencies that are out there too, which are given the job to wrap in all the UFO stuff, and

ghosts and all the rest of it, into the same thing, to discredit those who are putting out nothing but facts, because you're lumped in with the same bunch. A very old technique of course used by counterintelligence agencies for an awful long time.

So this is the age in which we live and the more confusion of the multitude of people going into sites or forums or whatever with their own particular slant on something or belief on something, the more confusing it can get. That's why the big boys are not worried at all, to be honest with you, about any conscious or global awakening on any unified scale. Only a unified scale would get any attention, but that won't happen. Because you'll find all the different people on the different forums, they're all fighting each other as they try to push forward their particular point of view. It's kind of like the old Russia before the Bolsheviks took over completely. There were so many different types or spins of socialism being pushed by different parties at the time, a mass of them, it was awfully confusing to the average person. And when they were all fighting each other and criticizing, etc., each other, the Bolsheviks certainly went in and took over. They then proceeded to eliminate the leaders of all the rival parties until no one could speak out against them.

You'll find that underneath many, many things, belief systems, of all kinds, including politics, naturally, that they're... Even though there's a congeniality on the surface and an intellectual discourse on the surface with many different groups and so on, and even with some religions too, you'll find that underneath that there's always that raw, very primitive side to it as well which could come to the surface at any time. I've always thought about what Plato talked about, that anything that happened in the past, or humans had been able or made to do, or conditioned to do, or trained to do, could be repeated if you knew the formula. And never forget that formula sitting quietly simmering, in all kinds of groups.

The study of humanity, and I can never stress this enough, is the biggest study on the planet, from the earliest times to the present. Because those who rule countries, and now the planet, have to understand, at least have a comprehensive understanding of how the bulk of the population actually works in order to control and manipulate and use people. Because we live in a system, really, of literally usury of all kinds, and there's many kinds of usury as well. But from ancient times, to live better than anyone else, which meant more food and everything else and things that you wanted, more wives or whatever it happens to be, at the same time you had to get something that the rest of them didn't have and have other folk working for you. The best way to do it at one time was to give them a religion of some kind and have them pretty well terrified if they didn't comply. And back that up with an army as well. The Egyptians did that too. So if you can get a belief system instilled in the people... Another way too is the communist system where the Soviets would train, especially the first couple generations of them, that they were working for a better tomorrow, a utopia. They would then suffer more hardship at the time thinking that they all own the country, they all own their future. But it never really occurred to a lot of them for a long time as to why those at the top who ran the system, on behalf of the people, lived so awfully far above all the rest of the general population. Nothing really changes.

It doesn't really matter if you call that a classless society, or democracy, or whatever. We're looking at the same system going back under the guise of this strange term called civilization. What does civilization really mean when you think about it? Civilization really goes back to recorded history, recorded by writing, etc., of all kinds of writing, inscriptions and hieroglyphs etc., which also meant there was a leisure class as well to be educated. Because if you're working all on the same level scrounging for food, or planting food or hunting food, then you wouldn't have time to learn these other things, like education in certain areas, therefore everyone truly would be the same. At the very most you'd have some sort of tribal leader, a primitive tribal leader who would have no more than the rest of the people, except their respect basically.

But that changed with the advent of something called wealth, which was valued by something called money. Whether it was weighed out or coined out, it didn't matter, those who had more of it, and when they conditioned the public that this strange gold powder or silver powder, or eventually coinage, was actually more important than that cow there or that milk the cow produced, etc., then someone had control over the minds of other people, and that's really the medium of exchange, what they call currency. As long as you have currency, unfortunately, you will never have an honest system. And those who have been in control of it for so long will never allow it to be honest anyway, it just won't happen.

If you own anything at all then technically that, whatever you own, should last, especially what you call

valuables. By valuables, I'm saying in a natural system, I'm talking about fields, seed and all that kind of thing, should last and they shouldn't be able to fluctuate in value from an outside source, especially somebody in a different country telling you what the value of that happens to be. What does he got to do with it, except self-gain, you see? But we live in the system here and we think it's all quite natural, that people you'll never meet, and most of you don't even know who they are, decide every day what the value of your particular currency will happen to be. It is an elastic currency. So why are you working so darn hard for it, when it can be watered down so quickly, or finished so quickly if they want to bankrupt you? They've done it so many times in history, the big boys who control it all, this is what they call science, [Alan chuckles.], the science of economics. So it can never be an honest system.

Even if governments did coin and print their own currency and sell it to the banks, which would cover the costs and all the rest of it too, and then the banks can loan it out to others as well who wanted more of it than what was in circulation, then you would still get the corrupt people moving into government, in control of all these departments, so that they can have their little cabal and be in charge of it. That has already happened in other countries too. Go back to Grant in the US who talked about the system that was controlling the currency of the US then, it was already in the hands of a few people. The biggest problem he had when he was president was trying to get any kind of control or say in how the money worked, who ran it and all the rest of it. So corruption, in other words, seems to be a normal part of society.

In the past they were awfully good at kind of cleaning up their history, especially the historians of the day. Because history means his-story, remember. Historians were authorized by kings and queens, just like the painters were who did portraits of the kings and queens, and you had better do a nice good portrait, or an awfully good history, or you lost your head. It was as simple as that. People do things and go along with corrupt systems for personal gain. That's why the politicians are well aware, and bureaucrats too, that you get up the ladder by knowing what not to ask. You sense what is a sensitive area and you steer away from it, you don't go into it at all, you don't ask questions, and you go up the ladder, so that you can have plausible deniability and you escape squeaky clean if any scandal erupts. That's how things actually work.

So society is run by psychopathic types, always has been I think. Even all the so-called 'greats', Alexander the Great, all the people called 'great' in the past went through life slaughtering folk all over the place, and they are called 'great' by historians because they change something, even if it was taking over countries or changing borders or whatever happened to be. They were called 'great', I don't know why but that's just the way it is. Maybe it's because the psychopaths who take over really admire that type as well, for being so great, powerful and a mass slaughterer. That's the farce of humanity, unfortunately, and history and nothing has changed. Psychopaths, it seems to me, have always had the rule over the minds of other people.

Psychopaths are great con people. There's also really nasty and wicked people too; they don't have to be psychopathic they are just nasty and wicked people. But psychopaths especially want the good life for themselves, the best life if possible, and they will con anybody and everybody to get it. They will lie quite easily, because they have that natural ability not to feel embarrassment of any kind whatsoever. They know even what expressions to put on their faces when you talk to them personally and it puts you completely at ease. It's like looking into a mirror at times because they will copy your expressions, so things seem familiar to you. That's what salesman are taught too, by the way, how to emulate the customers' stance, movements, gesticulations, speech and all the rest of it. So it's almost like yourself talking back to yourself, you're kind of at ease with it. And you are being taken for a ride. You don't know it's being done generally.

But people want to follow psychopaths. The reason is, and lots of sociologists and psychologists and neuroscientists, over a long, long, long time, have come up with the same conclusions. The people will follow these characters because the psychopaths will make fast easy decisions. Ordinary folk, or normal folk, say, we'll try and weigh the balance of consequences. The psychopath has no problem with that, because if there are any consequences he won't take the blame. He will immediately have someone lined up to take the fall. He has no guilt whatsoever, it's a foreign concept. Guilt, in fact, it's a mystery to him. And I mean, really, they puzzle over that.

Then we can go into all the wicked people, and wicked people abound, naturally, with various chips on their shoulder, feelings of inferiority, or superiority, it doesn't matter. They can play people along, to set them up,

thinking they are so smart when they have them fall. It's a form of wickedness. You find the same techniques being used by, and sting operations by police or even intelligence agencies, especially today when they can take youngsters from other countries living in your countries, maybe even born in your countries but really with ancestry going back to another country, as we see today with the antiterrorism laws etc., and they can put out their own agents, agents to grab these youngsters, set them up with a sting operation, even supply them artificial explosives and stuff, and then close in and then it's another great win for that agency. Oh they caught a bunch of would-be terrorists... So are they really nice or are they wicked? There's different ways to look at things. And we can't come to a fast easy decision on anything if you're a normal person. Again, a psychopath could. The end justifies the means to them and they get what they want.

Today we live in such an era of mass confusion, deliberate too. Deliberate confusion because they found that the more confusion they can put out there, and conflicting stories about things, even major events, then most people will switch off questioning at all and take the authorized version, even if that in itself is simplistic and full of holes, rather than try to figure it out for themselves. It hurts them to try and to figure it out for themselves. Now, why does it hurt them to think for themselves? Partly it's because they've been trained not to think for themselves, from early schooling right through to the present time.

Again, the big boys who planned this whole era we're living in, including the culture and the cultural changes leading to this era, step by step by step until we adapt and adapt and accept the system we live in, they knew that people will always adapt if they are taught and trained to believe what the experts always tell them. And it's constant today, especially since 9/11, not to think for yourself. Accept the professional and authorized points of view, that's the simple statement that's out there. If you do, you're a good person. If you don't, you are a kook, crazy or whatever, or you're dangerous in fact. So if you don't, as I say, accept everything and think for yourself you are an unusual person. That's if you are not really a kook, or, really, you're trying to say, well it's really aliens who are controlling the world, or things like that. That's the kind of thing that the intelligence agencies want out there, actually, and they put many front men out there to push that kind of thing as well, to discredit the basic facts and the fact seekers.

But if you ask a group of people today what kind of world they want and what kind of future they want, they can initially agree on basic things. But then, in a group setting, then group dynamics set in and you find that leaders want to rise and are able to combat each other in various ways to become the leader of the group, then you go off on other tangents. You find that for all of what you thought were similarities, there's a mass of ideas from all of them as to how the future should be. That's why in the ancient world too, again, because everything was studied, well studied in the Greek world for instance, they tried all the systems, what they called democracy, and the plebian vote, and authoritarian rule, a form of fascism, dictatorships, all these kind of things, they tried them all and they knew, in reality, that the best system to give the people was a system where they did think that they had a say, by voting etc., but in reality very clever early psychologists we'll call them, knew they could be manipulated. They could manipulate the minds of the general population because the majority will always go along with certain traits of what they perceived as a leader.

The leader's persona could be pretty fictitious, he could be trained to behave in a certain way. Just like today, they have deportment lessons and even acting lessons, and I read these articles from the mainstream over years gone by if you go into the archive section at CuttingThroughTheMatrix.com. In Britain they were given so many thousand pounds, the politicians, to take acting lessons and deportment, and how to look very convincing in front of television cameras, and how to pause at certain areas for impacts and so on in their speeches. And the speeches themselves are written by scriptwriters. I preferred the old Greek way because a lot of the people who wanted to rule, and did rule, were pretty well illiterate because they looked down on anyone who had to actually work for a living, and that meant having to study to learn how to read and write. So they would have scribes. You found that in ancient Egypt too, who were still workers, they weren't as important as the leisure class who didn't have to learn these tedious things. That carried right through into European countries too for an awful long time.

But as I say, you'll find that the more dominant the system is, where groups can rise to power through leisure, they must own slaves of some kind or another. Charles Galton Darwin said, slavery has always existed in one form or another. And you better understand what he meant by that, in one form or another... It doesn't have to be called slavery, remember. The easiest form of slavery is to make you think you are free

and to have you going along with experts and you think it's your decision to go along with them, etc. etc. Or, you think it's the right way to vote because the media has prompted you, in so many different ways, over months or maybe even years, how to vote. So in other words, the bulk of the population in what's called a democracy will always go the way they are trained to go and prompted to go by clever psychological manipulation.

We are so perfectly well understood, so perfectly well understood, and yet you truly couldn't have complete democracy. The anarchists, actually, and there are anarchist groups in the US and elsewhere who can't agree on anything when it comes to any major decision-making. I was sent a documentary on a particular individual in the States, who wanted a name for himself, and somehow he was related to anarchist groups. He popped down to New Orleans when the big flood happened down there, the disaster, and he managed to take over the anarchist groups because anarchist groups were sitting around and had votes with each other and they couldn't agree on anything. They got nothing done, to even give help out to anybody down there, because they were always arguing and fighting. But he managed to manipulate, etc., to get some authority, to get authority to himself and get a few things done. But he actually ended up being eventually a double agent, by the way, and he got awards later on. This anarchist, he got awards for turning in people who either were real, but even in the documentary they were set up to be bomb makers or something. It was quite an interesting documentary.

But as I say, given true, true freedom, you'll find an awful lot of differences amongst different people, who on the surface seem all united initially. So that's why the big boys really haven't any worry whatsoever about a complete social conscious awakening on a scale that will have any effect whatsoever with demands and so on for change. That's why Bertrand Russell said, that for people to try and overthrow the system that they were going to bring in, he wrote this in about the 1930s, he said, it would be easier for sheep to complain about the price of mutton. That's how futile it would be in the future with the techniques which were already understood and could be applied and tested out on the populations. Especially World War II, for instance, they found the people went into complete obedience mode for survival, when their lives were threatened, right down to starvation diets pretty well in Britain with incredible rationing and so on, and how they put up with so much hardship, and obey ALL authority figures right down to the very local level and the air raid warden, things like that. So much so that even the Greenpeace, one of the Greenpeace leaders a few years ago said, if we could only bring on that kind of atmosphere into Britain that they could get so much done, because the people would be so obedient. Well, that's what terrorism does too.

Now, why do normal people go along with things so easily? The reason is because they are normal. They are not leaders. They don't want to be leaders. They have no craving for power. They want to believe in a system, and they are in the system, where responsible people are just like the movies that they keep turning out where it doesn't matter what agency it is, they are so all together concerned about the general citizens that they can't even sleep at night as they're trying get the bad guys and keep the public safe. Things like that, they want to truly believe in the end. It never occurs to them of any deviousness in such a system, or the kinds of characters within agencies that can work their way up towards the higher levels. Because I think personally, by my own observations, it's generally the more psychopathic types who get up in any system, in any particular governmental agency.

They're pretty ruthless. They're very cunning. They can be slick. They can defame others on the way up, and claw their way up to the top quietly, and have other folk removed by spreading rumors about incompetency, this kind of stuff, they do it all the time. And they tend to get up to the top. Even those people who get up there with great names for the various Nobel prizes and so on, you'll find some of them to do with the sciences and simply have data collected from other publishers on papers, scientific papers, and then present it and put it forward as a complete compendium, and they get the award for doing it. But they themselves didn't do any research whatsoever. Everything is a bit of a farce when you lift the cover and have a good look at something.

But the general population have no reason to think about these things or even question them. And it never occurs to them to investigate any... or why the guy got the prize in the first place, or find out about him. The picture presented on the news and the story presented on the news is enough for them to go along with it. And again, why should... If you are naïve and you've been trained to be naïve, and you're pretty normal, then you want to believe it because that is a safe worldview, that you're run with a form of competency and

honesty in the world by responsible honest people. That's just the way it really is.

This made me think there, there's an article, that Tony Blair – one of the biggest conmen out there, open con man actually. I knew a guy who saw him when he was running for the Labour Party. Before he went into a meeting when he was with different trade unions there and members of the trade unions, he stopped his car outside the building. This guy I know saw him change into a different color shirt – took his collar and his tie off and the whole thing and his jacket – and rolled up his sleeves and walked in there like a working guy. Everything is appearances, and it comes so naturally to the con man, the psychopathic, that they don't even stop to think about it. It's instinct with them. But Tony Blair, as we know, became the multimillionaire, like many of them do actually, after they have gone in to serve the guys who put them in in the first place. Even with the whole agenda, with wars and so on, I'm sure that was all explained to Tony before he became the one-man band to get Britain into the war across the whole Middle East.

And he has been so well rewarded by J.P. Morgan and others since then, and he's become awfully, awfully wealthy. He just got a prize apparently by some big Association, some men's magazine too, for business, for his honesty and philanthropic gestures. When these guys put money into philanthropy it's for tax reasons, that's what it's done for, folks. One of the reasons why Blair, and they all get rewarded this way, is that they have millions of pounds or dollars to put them in to office to run, and nothing is a free gift from any big corporation. That's why, and it came out in the media too, that long before the Iraq invasion he had a meeting with all the top CEOs for the top oil companies and they divvied up who was going to get what oilfields and so on, to correspond with the US side because they wanted their share too. And that's how it turned out to be, it was all planned in advance. Nothing is really real out there.

But we are trained, you know, especially in the US, I think, in happy endings. Hollywood is awfully good at that since they control the minds of millions of people, and I mean, really control them, until we emulate what they put out there, in real life, the culture, everything. That's the biggest part of the culture industry and for cultural change. And the actors at the top often refer to themselves as 'the culture industry'. They know they are creating the changes in society generation by generation. But you'll find that people want to believe in the movies, especially the older type or the Disney kind where everything has a happy ending. And in an hour and a half from start to finish all the problems have been resolved and there's a happy ending at the end of it and everyone is smiling and happy. We humans want that. That reminds me of an opera. I think it was in the 1800s in Italy. It was a tragedy, a tragic opera, the way it was written, and there were riots in the streets in the city they played it in in Italy at the end, because there was no happy ending, with the heroine and the hero and I think either both of them got killed or one of them did. So because of the rioting and so on they went back to the drawing board and wrote in a happy ending, to keep them happy. That is just a trait of human nature. We want that perfect happy ending because it makes us feel safe and secure. Because unhappy endings can be too lifelike in a sense, it's very few people that get truly happy endings on anything, there's always compromise or something. And Disneyland of course and Disney World, it's completely different, they give you the fiction and we like the fiction because it gives us a form of security and a feeling of resolution at the end of something.

I've always said too, that when you're truly looking for truth you must be prepared for awfully big disappointments and things that will hurt you too when you see through things, things that you did believe in. Therefore when you seek truth you've got to be honest with yourself. I've likened it many times in the past to jumping out of an airplane without a parachute. You've got to go that extra mile to literally say, I could lose everything on my way down, all your beliefs, everything you held near and dear to you, in order to learn what the truth is. There's no such thing, and most folk take that of the second route, the second route being, I want to know so much of that but nothing else, that will scare me, you see. That's not seeking truth. You can't be selective about truth, it doesn't work that way. Or you are not being truthful with yourself, obviously, if that's the way it is.

And truth doesn't come in a Disneyland package for you like the movies. It comes the way it is. It's raw. It can be cruel. It's just what it is... and you are to accept it for being that. And that goes about humanity too. You've got to understand humanity too, really, are they really much different at the bottom, in many ways, from those at the top? How many folk at the bottom go along with the system that corrupts for personal survivability and self-gain? ...meaning their paycheck? Lots of them do. How many folk will stand up to do the right thing at work, for instance, if they see something wrong going on? But they need the support of

others, and the others will agree with them, but when it comes to, will you stand beside me? No they won't. No they won't. And that's how humanity happens to be. And the big boys know that too; they understand us just too well.

So when you go on the journey for looking for truth you do it initially, and it must stay with you too, you do it for yourself. Because the only true freedom in this world is the freedom to have your own thoughts. And sometimes you must keep those thoughts to yourself. Sometimes. Other parts of it you can share with people because they won't retaliate against you. If they do retaliate against you they won't even be happy to agree to disagree, they can be rather vicious. You have to be very cautious about just getting all the facts and saying, and this is the big disappointment most folk get... I've got all the facts here about things and so on, if I just show it to other people they'll suddenly wake up and be the same as me. No, they won't. That's always the shocker to people, because there's innocent denial. It's a painful thing to show another side of life to people, especially those around you or in family or whatever, and you can learn that lesson awfully dearly as they close you out completely or shun you, or disown you. Many facets to it, because it's human nature. Human nature, folks. So when you're doing a journey, do it for yourself. And when you do it for yourself don't be arrogant about it. Don't be arrogant and get nasty with people. Understand, it's only by a quirk of nature, a fluke, that perhaps you could be the same as them and someone is trying to give you truth and you don't want to hear it either. It's not their fault. The world we live in is very deceptive.

I've been on many shows where I've touched on the big international corporations, the really big successful financial corporations. By financial I mean even the producers too or the ones who own production such as the big car companies, as they cheapen everything that they churn out there. They put so much money into perception management because that's how we are managed, perception management, not reality management but perception management. Where a nice car seems awfully brand-new and shiny but it's a tin can. It looks like what you've been trained to believe a car should look like, for instance, until you use the thing, or you take it apart for repair yourself, and most folk don't, and they never find out, and it's so junkily, cheaply made, and getting cheaper all the time as they churn them out, to maximize their profits, that you have been conned. You've been conned, mightily in fact.

And this is taught in business management today, and economics, as smart management, and smart engineering, where the engineers are told to put not just planned obsolescence into parts, meaning fast wear out, etc., but lots of problems. Because they have done so many studies and surveys into how much money that each car once it is sold will bring in on repairs, and even the specific repairs. They know what every vehicle's going to get over a ten-year period, for instance, its aftermarket sales parts that are built in. The flaws are designed and built into them to, again, bring more money into the dealers and manufacturers. Smart engineering they call it. And again, that double meaning too, when you think smart, oh, it would be better for us. No, it's perfect for the boys who own it all.

Everything is like that today. From the basic hand tools you'll buy, all look nice and chromey at the start until you try to use them. And if you thought it was a reasonable price you'll find out when your knuckles get the skin removed from them when the jaws of those wrenches just slip and so on because the metal is so soft and junky, things like that. To even the supermarket type power tools. Looks like an electric drill. Oh, it's nice shiny plastic, brand-new and all that, etc., and you buy it, because yeah, it's shaped like one, it looks like one. But inside of it, it's meant to bust maybe the first few times you use it. Or the greatest con of all today, is the battery ones from these stores. You find that, yeah, you can charge it two or three times and then you'll be lucky to charge at the fourth or fifth, and the batteries are often more expensive than the actual sale price of the unit that you bought for the whole drill. Con after con after con, smart engineering and smart marketing has overtaken churning out good products, that relied upon their name at one time. That's what Bernays, the so-called father of marketing and advertising said to his trainees. He says, rather than resting on your laurels for making good products, you manufacturers must then train the consumer, train the consumer for your products. Rather than you training yourselves to churn out good products, train the consumer to buy your products. And you do it by deception. That's how you do it.

I had to laugh looking at the flyers that have come through here recently. Because they do it of course to do with the seasons, although it's all one season now it seems, rain and snow. You find that snow blowers, at one time you expected it to work as snow blowers. You know, it looks like a snow blower, there's a big bucket there, there's the auger in the front, etc, and it will blow the snow. And every one I checked out on

these flyers, that we are getting for sale, and they are not cheap. Nice shiny new good-looking paint, but very little metal underneath the paint mind you too. But that's all perception, isn't it. But if you look in, and I did phone up a couple to ask them, these things are all for occasional light use only. But they look like heavy-duty suckers, some of them are like 36 inch buckets on them and stuff like that. But occasional light use... This is Canada! This is Canada, folks. But most folk don't know what I've just said, they buy them, they don't know, they have never asked them. They've never phoned up and asked anybody that makes them. So now for a snow blower you've got to buy something called a heavy-duty one, which used to be a normal one because at one time that was a normal snow blower. It's like your organic eggs, at one time they were all... we called them natural eggs. So the natural now is organic. The same as your natural vegetables, is now organic vegetables, like a step higher than they used to be and much more expensive. Everything is perception management and a racket... as you are trained to suit the product, as Bernays said. And most folk adapt into it without ever questioning what all these words are and what they mean and why it is so. Isn't that scary?

And believe you me, as time goes on every year another bunch are born who are trained a bit more into this, to accept it all, than you were. And a lot of folk now are up to the age for drawing into the military who literally have never known a system, that wasn't really martial law and anti-terrorism, they have never known that. They think this is all normal. All they have seen on television and in their movies is stacks and stacks of movies and dramas about anti-terrorism. They think it's normal. So the idea of having a say in a system and having freedoms is really absent from their learning experience. To them it's normal. And that's a sad way to be when that's normalized.

And believe you me, you can normalize anything when so many professional think tanks are dealing with your reality, thousands of them. They have often known that most folk only want the truth that they already believe in and they could be rather nasty if you start to talk, and they will interject, very quickly in fact, rather than simply listen. It's something that the elite, even the old societies like Freemasonry have known for an awful long time. On the coat of arms that you'll see for the ones for the English Lodge, you'll see, it's, silence, watch and listen. That's something that most folk cannot do. You've got to bite your tongue and listen and watch, and you learn something. That's what they always taught in a trade, in fact, that you never argued with the boss, the master, you watched and you listened, and it's as simple as that. And you learned. If you're constantly saying, why don't you do it this way, etc. etc.? No, you're just wasting his time rather than getting the job done, and you have closed your mind from learning by watching and listening.

But most folk truly do want to stick to that which they already want to believe in, regardless of any other evidence that's presented to them, and you'll know it very, very quickly. Again, it's human nature. Human nature. It kind of reminds me of an old joke where a guru in Tibet sat at the bottom of the mountain, and he was well known and respected. At the bottom of the mountain there was a river, just ran around it. One day a young guy from the States came, a young fellow. He says, oh master, master I've heard all about you in the West, yada-ya, and I really want to be the same as you and gain all this wisdom. The old guy says, well, you must go up that mountain there and you must suffer all kinds of deprivation and hardship, and fast continuously, on and off and so on, and eat the wild herbs and grass and so on, and bear the elements. So the young guy says, and master what will the benefit be? He says, the benefit will be that you will learn humility and to always be cordial to your fellow man. The young guy was gung ho and the whole thing, and away up he went and he was there for about five years. After five years he came down from the mountain top, and he was so thin, was like a scarecrow, weather-beaten wearing an old sackcloth and long hair unkempt and so on. But he looked rather peaceable, you see. He said, master, master thank you for your wisdom, I went up that mountain there and I stood the test of time and deprivation, and was buried under snow and I was soaked with rain and it was freezing and so on, and ate the grass, yada-ya, and I understand it all now, master. And he says, so master what do you say is wisdom? The old guy says, wisdom, my son, is always to be cordial and friendly and agreeable to your fellow man. And the young guy blows up and says, no, it's not. He says, I've been up there for years and I know, there is the answer, and he points to the river, the answer is in the river. And the old guru said, you're right, my son, you're right. And that's how you have to go through life, often, because there's no point in arguing about things to a closed mind, you see. That's the world we live in and that's what the wise man knows.

So freedom is for yourself in the mind. Freedom is for yourself in the mind. You'll find the stories in ancient religions, all similar stories in fact, where great leaders have been stoned and cursed at the end, or crucified,

because the followers didn't get out of it what they expected him to do for them. The Christian story, Jesus doesn't, he doesn't kick the Romans out and get a rebellion going, and they wanted that, a lot of them. They loved him when he was giving them the free food and all the miracles and so on. They loved him for that. But once it stopped, that was it, they turned on him. That's the fickleness of human nature, and especially the mob mentality. What's awfully interesting too, and it's always missed even by those in religion, who are heavily into it, is the fact that he kept saying that his kingdom was not of this world...this world. As opposed to modern, certain sects of Christianity, who still expect the utopia on this planet and the earthly kingdom. So it's interesting that there are messages in so many things which are overlooked by so many, because people really want to believe in the physical world, nothing except the physical world, and that somehow it can all be fixed, by a supernatural agent, and understanding, and it doesn't ever work out that way. Because human nature itself has never changed.

So I'm sorry for prattling on about different things tonight but I've had a couple of other crises today as well to contend with. It's continuous here in fact. I learned a long time ago, it always happens again and again to verify that, that's how it is. As I say, from the well, it's just incredible. I put pumps in before and never had problems; this thing has caused so much of a hassle. As I say, then the car went Wednesday, just suddenly no transmission and no drive, no drive at all. Things like that. Then a couple of things I have to deal with today as well. And that's how it really goes. That's real life, it's no Disneyland's ending here and I don't plan on escaping into other fantasy to get away from reality. Reality is what it is. It's what it is. I don't say it's right or wrong, but that's what it is. Understand it, and if you understand it you'll make the biggest leap that you'll ever do in your lifetime. That's how things really are.

So we're in the age of transition, where you've been trained into a new system, in so many ways, most of you have already adapted into it. You accept there's no privacy. You buy all the gadgets and gizmos that even say in all their apps and so on, they are giving you no privacy whatsoever, and you accept that. That's a legality, you accept the change. Because you think you're getting some kind of benefit out of it and you put up with it, therefore you have no privacy, that's your choice. That's your choice. It never occurs to most people as to why agencies and all the rest of them at the top want all your information. As I say, it's been the dream of tyrants down through thousands of years to have constant up-to-date information on every single individual on the planet. And here you are. Here you are, and you've been taught that it's called progress. You accept it. You accept it. There's no clanking of chains around your ankles or anything like that. There's no looking back and there's a bunch of guys with strange long coats and hats following you around all over the place, maybe once in a while but most of the time not. They don't need it anymore because you're doing it all for them, voluntarily, through choice. And it gets worse and worse because they keep telling you they're going even further and further and further, and most of you, unfortunately again, will adapt into that too, because you get so much side benefit from it, and communication, or watching movies or whatever happens to be.

Whenever you watch a movie, analyze it while you're watching it. Don't fall for the story. Don't get wrapped up in identifying with the characters in it because then you've lost the whole point of indoctrination, how it works, and how your views are changed when you adopt the character and you identify with the hero or heroine for whoever it happens to be, and then you have your opinions bent out of shape, on things that perhaps you shouldn't allow to be bent out of shape, by fiction. Emotion has far more impact than reason, far more impact, it's very simplistic to put across and it works incredibly well. So analyze what... After a movie, think back and say, what were they trying to put across to me? Think about it.

Don't get caught up in hype and fear. Be aware of the causes of things. And free your own mind. That's a start. That's a start. And everything starts... As they say, the longest journey starts with the smallest step. And the smallest step can be awfully hard to take if you may have to give up something on the way. And that's a chance that you take.

Most folk want prepackaged answers that you just buy in a big bulk load, you know. Most folk, in fact, today who are into different religions go shopping for religions. It's like a supermarket for belief systems out there, including, the whole UFO-ology, etc. etc. etc. So many different things are prepackaged for you, and they are safe, they are safe for you, you feel safe in them, because it's all done for you. It's like buying a kit, everything is included, that's what you want and if it doesn't fit you you'll try another one. Like the suit doesn't fit, try another one, made-to-measure, made to wear, prepackaged stuff. That's what folk want

because folk today have been trained already not to make their own decisions.

I've given that famous statement so many times, that the big boys said it and the Club of Rome said it too, that democracy didn't work and they wouldn't need it anymore, because really they used it in the past as a con always telling you, vote for us and things will get better. Now they don't need it anymore because people, fewer folk vote anyway, they know the scams of the voting system, and they have all of their decisions made for them by the State. The State isn't just one super building somewhere. The State also is a cartel, it has cartels of big international corporations working on you too. And universities and so on. They all work together, folks, that's the complete State, your whole reality, to shape your mind into being the perfect citizen, who is easily managed and will go through life from birth to death without questioning anything at all. And they said at the Club of Rome too, eventually the people won't need to use their reasoning powers and make decisions for themselves because the state will be making all of their decisions for them. A lot of folk have already got there, already, and their apps and all the rest of it and all their gadgets are doing it, making their decisions for them, even how they pass their time all the time, and they accept that too. That's all part of total control.

It sad that it's true and it's very simplistic, really; there's nothing complicated about it. As I say, human nature is understood so perfectly, perfectly well. Your tax money made it so, because that's how they hired and created professional organizations to investigate your psyche, a long time ago and still ongoing, as they keep adding to it and adding to it and adding to it, and doing tests on whole populations and individuals all the time. And they will never stop. But they understand us pretty well, perfectly well. That's the sad truth about life.

Anyway, when I give talks I don't see them as downers, I simply see them as realistic talks. I said a long time ago, I wouldn't be a cheerleader for the general public, because the general public are making the decisions. Each individual makes their own decisions, they are prompted which ones to take mind you, as Cass Sunstein and others would say, and the behaviorists and neuroscientists. You're prompted all the time what way to go. But they take them, they make these decisions. And you can't be a cheerleader for people who don't want to think for themselves, or truly make decisions on their own by themselves. You can't help folk like that. So don't try to be some kind of Messiah to save the world. You'll be lucky to save yourself, and a few friends. Because even when you think you have reclaimed your mind, there's a war on to try and make you lose it again. That's reality, folks.

Reality is not an easy ride, not for most of us anyway. Not easy at all. And we are filled with all the problems that we have, especially as we go into the age of austerity, paying more money for junk, that we need at the moment, and the junk gets more junkier with every generation of it, and more expensive too at the same time to maximize the profits for the corporations. Because they said, greed is good. Right. That's taught in university, greed is good. You can't change that by yourself by saying, please stop it and be awfully nice to the rest of us. It won't happen, folks. It won't happen.

But you've got to first save your mind. Once you do that other answers can come along. But first you got to save your mind. Reclaim it. Plant the flag for yourself. And start using it. There's always a price to be paid because you will go through sorrow and various other things when you realize so many things that you truly, truly wanted or believed in are fake. That's part of the price. You'll lose friends and so on, that's part of the price. But then, are they really friends? Does everybody want clones like themselves that they call friends? Of course not. If they do, they're not friends at all. If they're truly friends they'll still respect you regardless of what direction you take.

Well that's all for tonight because I've got a stack of things to do and try to get through this incredible week coming up here too, as we're going through snow and rain and snow and rain. Last night it went down to about 10 degrees below freezing on the centigrade and about 20 degrees or so Fahrenheit; that's about two or three nights in a row. So the geo-engineering is having... it's really working, you know, as you can tell, as they bring upon a good part of the country into global cooling. And as they predicted a long time ago, at the geo-engineering conferences they had, if they ever started it you would have nothing but rain all the time and it would turn into snow. Well, this winter is going to be even worse than last year, and I knew last year was going to be terrible and I said so. It's going to be even worse this year, believe you me.

From Hamish and myself in Ontario, Canada, it’s good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Nov. 9, 2014 (#1470)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Correction of Perception by Deception"
© Alan Watt Nov. 9, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Nov. 9, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on November 9, 2014. I hope you're all ready for this incredible winter you're going to have, if you thought last winter was bad enough, in a good part of Canada and the States, and elsewhere for that manner. Because we really are under tremendous weather control basically. It's weather control. Stuff that started off as weather warfare a long, long time ago is now weather control across a good part of the planet. Most folks don't know because they don't look out from their little gadgets they are playing with all the time, and it's so much fun apparently.

But it's just astonishing how rapidly each agenda, which is set out and talked about and published in many, many articles over many, many years, sometimes 100 years, come to fruition and you can see them happening in your lifetime. The things that people are conditioned often to accept are coming along, through their movies and all their eco-movements and all the different things that you hear about all the time, and their brainwashing at school, too, where they really push all the new stuff for that generation that's going to face it all so that they will accept it much more easily, it always comes true. Because you see, and I'll touch on this tonight too, it's Smart cities, things like that. But you're under... Everything is smart now. Smart cars, smart bombs, you name it, everything is smart... except the general population apparently. And they do surveys on the population constantly to see that your IQ is constantly plummeting. So they are on route with their agenda, up-to-date with where they want to be at this particular time, as we all seem to turn into zombies.

It's quite fascinating to me to read some of the big players' own books about it, like Brzezinski and so on, when they go through, say, technotronic technology and warfare used on the general populations by their own governments, which would pacify them or make them angry or depressed or whatever it happens to be, just by altering the frequencies. Old technology, again, but it's everywhere now with cell towers across the whole planet. So we are under smart... smart management, you see. Everything is smart management. Smart schools, smart management, smart buses to get them to school and back, and smart cars, etc. Which means that what used to be left to you, all the decision-making in the cars and so on, are really up to the

guys who put the programs in and are constantly monitoring it.

The other night on a late-night radio show there was a guy who gets phenomenal money for some TV show he has on cars, it's been going on for an awful long time. The same kind of guys that give you the write-ups on automobiles and... It's just like the old gourmet things, guys who were gourmets and would give chefs and restaurants great write-ups, or bad ones, depending on how he felt, or who was paying him to give them a bad one, and also giving him good pay, under the table, to give them a good one. It's the same with cars and everything else too. This guy was, oh, lauding all the wonderful technology of new cars and all the rest of it. It was quite fascinating to listen to his guff. A good term that, a good name, guff, you see; we don't use it enough today. The guff was just flowing out of this guy with all their smart chips and cards and smart everything, and how they can even program your car remotely from all the cell towers and so on, and update the computer in it. Of course you won't know it's being done, or even what they have done to it, never mind hacking the darn thing when you're driving it and maybe giving you a Lady Di maneuver in a tunnel somewhere. But the thing is, this is old technology but they are going full-steam ahead now that the chips are so cheap, getting all made in China you see.

But everything is smart, and it's all to take control away from you in every area of your life. Quite fascinating and quite funny in some ways, because life is rather funny, especially the way we accept everything that comes our way. I've even noticed the people at the gas pumps when they are going in, they don't complain as much as they used to do. You used to hear them bitching all the time with a few cents going up on a liter or a gallon. But now it's just all quiet and they accept things. They've been trained to accept everything. Isn't that amazing, that, eh? But we are constantly being worked on by the smart guys that give you smart everything, and they know, since they are smart, how you should live and work and behave and all the rest of it. Because we're pretty dumb apparently, you see.

It was quite interesting to go into the old histories of the class society, as opposed to the supposedly classless one, which has never existed. But the class society is alive and well. One of the worst classes, really, is the middle classed ones who work in technology for their masters, the really stinking rich ones, you know, the one percent. And how snobbish they have become with all their organizations, as they flatter each other and pat each other on the back for being so clever in managing the whole herds of people. Of course the more they use terminology like that, and they do use that kind of terminology, the more they despise the herd that they are supposed to be managing. That truly is a human trait, and it will always be with us unfortunately I think. And you can make anybody like that, into a real snob. You can.

I've told this story about the postman in the last place I lived, how they gave him a hat. He never had a hat before that but they give him a hat. And by God this guy turned into a little majordomo and started going around... I saw him measuring the height of the postbox off the ground, place after place, and leaving notes there about fines and fees if you didn't comply. Even though you are on a dirt road and the height kept altering according to the gravel they put on it, it would lower it you see and then it would get worn down and then the post would be higher again. And I had words with this guy a few times. Then they gave him a little sign for his car, postman, and he got even worse. He got even worse. So I said, you'd better give a special postbox here, one we can crank up and down to suit you, you know. Then he started, oh it wasn't far enough from the side of the road, etc. etc. Well, the road itself is widening and narrowing according to all the gravel they put down and it would get flattened down again. But that's how people are.

I know a woman who had cows, milking cows, and she had a lead cow that she put the bell on. For years the rest of them would follow, you see, no problem at all. Eventually she changed the bell and put it on a different one, and this passive cow suddenly became aggressive to the rest of them and rather nasty. These traits seem to be through all the living species. It's just astonishing, isn't it? It really is astonishing.

But yeah, you give, really, nobodies, and there's lots of nobodies today that are made somebodies by the movements that are being designed by the elite to take more control into their own hands and away from all of you, and they use these middlemen to take over and manage us all, you see, in a more smart fashion. This is just absolutely fascinating to see how it all works, and how snobbish they become. It's like the old stories about the employees of the very wealthy houses, the staff, in the 1800s and in the early 1900s, and how the staff themselves would become more snobbish than the folk that they work for. And that's true, you know, a lot of them did. Because they despise the folk outside, mainly because they were afraid of them.

Otherwise, if they weren't working in that big house and cleaning the floors, and taking away the chamber pots, they might be working in some rotten dirty factory somewhere, and they would have to be one of them. Quite amazing, isn't it. Sad thing.

And it's true, you see, humanity really is not logical. There are many traits put onto humanity that others have studied for an awful long time, centuries and centuries and centuries, and that's why Bernays was so successful. Because he came from a long, long lineage of people who studied purchasers, people who bought things, from people like themselves, their ancestors. And they could find all the traits out, and the snobbish traits, and what appeals to snobbery too, to be different. People forget, in fact, that even in the 1800s, even in other countries in earlier periods, certain things could not be worn by the peasantry. When silk came out it was forbidden in England for ordinary folk to wear any kind of silk. It's kind of a joke too because they couldn't afford it. But if they could afford it, it would have been a really big offense to wear it. You would be pretentious, you see. And the elite have to have all that kind of thing. Also when you go into the histories of France, especially, one of the most decadent countries at times in history, where the big ladies in the 1700 and 1800s had huge parties and balls and all that and they would order their finery... their finery that they are dressing in, jewelry and so on getting specially made, just for that one party, and they wouldn't wear it again. Isn't that something? There was one of them that wore a bird cage in her hair; they made them so darned high, it was like three stories high, just to be different than all the rest. Vanity and pomposity. Quite something isn't? Scary, that.

Now, the wise people of course down through the ages studied us, all of us, and they knew how they could manipulate us, because we're not quite logical, you see. This thing called humanity, we are human, human. Human is not a... If you're human you're not a robot, you're not Mr. Spock in Star Trek. You have other things, other drives, all these things working subconsciously, and you have emotions, you have a lot of emotions all working, sometimes against each other at times and you're not quite logical because of it. You have fancies for things, you fancy things now and then, which again, is an emotional thing as opposed to a necessity, something which is necessary. So this has been well studied, how we really, really tick. And that's how we are so well managed too.

Especially today, now that you live in such big, big cities, the super cities. Because Plato talked about it, that really, this new order would come in these cities, the construction of cities, artificial constructions where an artificial society would be set up. Because people inside cities exist to create some other abstract thing called money, as opposed to just growing what you need and eating it and so on or hunting. They use coinage for money, some kind of currency, and everything that you need in the city must be brought in to you in the city. Therefore the cities would be the dynamos in a sense to bring in and dominate the world. And now we have super cities and again, down to Smart Cities. Commerce, commerce, commerce rules, you see.

Once again, in the city, because you are in an artificial construction, you've been brought up with a lot of traits that you don't really actually have, and a lot of little fears you don't know you actually have. Because as you are growing up you will start to realize, before you earn money, that money is a real necessity in the city and that creates a fear if you don't have it. Therefore, you either compensate for it and go through university and go into economics and things like that and make lots of cash or you become a chartered accountant. Because folk who make money make money off of folk making money, as opposed to actually making something useful. That's how the world really is. The cabinetmaker and so on at the bottom, they don't make the cash. It's all the middlemen above them that makes the cash and the guys that live off of them. There's a whole business industry living off of you. And then you add modern government in to it and now you've got a huge monster living off of you, all for good purposes supposedly with all of the different agencies and so on, so we are told, and they live better than you do. And you are at the bottom actually making things that are useful and necessary. You see. It's a fantastic system and very few folks stop to analyze it and think about it.

Because they are, as Plato said, they must have lots of entertainment in the city. They are kept entertained. Since really, you can't just go for a walk in the country, in the city, unless you're way on the outskirts. In some cities it's just all flat or motorways around it so you can't just head off and get lost for the day and come back later on, with a walk that is. And therefore everything must be entertained within the city, artificial entertainment. In the old days folk often entertained themselves, not so long ago by the way. Children did the same thing. They lived in little towns and villages and they'd be off for the whole day

somewhere else exploring streams and brooks and climbing trees and all that kind of thing, and they were never bored. Never bored. Plus they were healthy, because they get a lot of exercise. Obesity was unknown.

But today everything is artificial, and the more artificial it becomes the more artificial the people become. Because then they think it's normal to have all these extra gadgets and so on to keep you entertained. And the gadgets themselves aren't just entertaining you, they are conditioning you for the next part of the agenda, for the eco-friendly stuff and all that stuff that all the big corporations are in on, even though the big corporations are the biggest polluters, because it's got nothing to do with reality. It's agenda driven. Everything is agenda driven. Absolutely everything.

You all know of Agenda 21, the agenda for the 21st century put out by the United Nations, and the Millennium Project, which are different names for the same thing. As soon as the public, and the dissenters as they call folk who are aware of it, you are now a dissenter, you see, because you chat about it, then they change the names all the time. They even had that on their website too, from the United Nations, to use this or that one, or one of the half dozen terms that they are using for it. Sustainability is another term for the same thing. Smart growth is another term. Things like that. The whole idea is to get you living into communes, communitarian areas, you see, where you can get managed. Because you're not going to be driving in about, oh, maybe even in 20 years' time, maybe less than 20 years in fact, it will have been drastically reduced by 2021. So you will be stuck in your little communitarian area and you will be living in austerity, which means basic essentials. But they will still make sure you get lots of apps and cheap gadgets and things to keep you entertained, and get your direct orders from the agencies that are all running you. It's all planned and it's getting implemented in different countries in the world right now in fact. They've tested it out on islands across the world, different parts of it, as well, to iron out the flaws so it will work seamlessly when they put it in.

So we are constantly being managed because we are in the age of... This is the century of change, the 21st century, according to academia, and all the old ideas that all the control freaks have drooled over have to come to fruition in this century. Vast population reduction for a post-consumerist and post-productive society. And also into austerity and the greening thing which means that you'll be living, eating vegetables, like the old days, the old feudal system. Meat will be a very rare thing for you, while the elite themselves will still be having their 20 course big dinner parties and so on and eating the best steaks and so on, etc. etc. But not for you. Not for you, you see, your genes don't warrant it, apparently, because you are not successful. Even though you may think you're successful and getting along, and you might think you're actually middle-class...

Middle-class is quite something else, you know. A lot of folk in the US surprisingly think they are middle class. Whereas in the UK they wouldn't be considered middle-class in the UK system. The middle class in the UK used to mean, literally, you always wear a suit and tie, always, and you own your own property, completely, things like that. You see. So even what's given to the general population to be in the middle class is not really true. And the upper middle class in Britain were called 'somebodies'. It's a term used at Royal parties when people would come to the door and get announced and the King or the Queen, right into the 20th century, would ask, who's that? Oh that's Mr. and Mrs. So-and-so. And the king would say, is he a somebody? Because you had to be a somebody to be accepted into the lower strata of that particular class, the lower strata. And nothing really has changed.

Today you have to be ruthless, psychopathic and awfully successful as a psychopath to get up there, awfully successful. When you look at who rules the world and does the work, because those at the top don't believe in work. They really don't believe in work. Anyone who works is not part of themselves. The top, say, 1%, for instance, have managers, managers to manage the wealthy people's finances and investments for them. They are more powerful, technically, than many of the more naïve groups that get up into that category and actually own the money. They are more powerful because they have their organizations and they can meet together and design systems of investment, for all these different rich, rich people, and put them into their green investments, of controlling communitarian aspects of society that's coming in. That's how it's done. The CEOs that manage all the big, big groups of insurance, for pensions and so on, investments and things like that, they are more powerful because they, again, have their groups and their circles and their clubs and organizations. And now they have a massive amount of money, although technically it belongs to other people, and they decide where it's all going to go, and how it's going to go, and what conditions are put on it

when it goes to do something. That's how the world is really run.

So it makes a mockery of this farce, that's always pushed in your face, called democracy. But democracy was always a farce. The idea is utopian but the reality is always a farce, you see, because you can't get a utopia with human nature being human nature, which isn't always logical. There's more to us than pure logic. There is emotion, lots of emotions. Lots and lots of emotions. And your emotions will often change according to your age group and the milestones that you go through traveling through life and the wisdom acquired and so on. Your opinions too will on different things. Even the things you condemned, often when you are young, and your parents, you'll end up doing the same thing yourself, and you will understand why it happened to your parents, things like that. Whereas before you would be fighting and fighting them, because you are different, you see, you're special, you're different, and you belong to a new generation, as your teachers keep telling you. Then you are getting used again for other agendas and you don't even know it. You don't know it.

A good documentary to watch, by the way, is that One Percent. The One Percent it's called. I think it was made by the son of the Johnson & Johnson family, and he interviews his parents too and you'll see what I'm talking about. Where really, their parents really don't know so much, those particular groups, they don't know so much, of even how the money is run. But the big managers that belong to other big groups, and other big families for investment, they know where it's going and why it's going, and they'll belong to organizations that they won't tell you on the documentary. They are more powerful in a sense.

I was thinking of Bernays. Bernays said that, and in the early days of marketing and mass advertising and so on he said, rather than just publish and publish and publish lots and lots of leaflets for advertising and so on. He says, send agents out, send them out into communities, but before you go do your homework, look at the phone books, see what churches are there, as an example, what organizations exist, all kinds of organizations, find out who is the head guy of every organization and go and see them, get them on board – and by the way, that also means paying them under the table if need be to get them on board – and the followers that that pastor, or whoever he happens to be, or community organizer, whoever he has following him will be the unwitting dupes and tools to be used to push your agenda. That's what he said. This is a guy who advised presidents galore and created the consumer society, technically he was one of them. It was a huge massive organization behind him, he was one of the front men.

But, it was also to start shaping cultures, whole cultures of nations, not just the US. And also, how to get them into wars and use them for wars, whole nations to be used for wars, for private business. And he actually did it in the US. He used the US to invade a place in South America, because one of his biggest clients was the United Fruit Company. And the country had elected its own leader in a democratic election, the people demanded wages and so on, better wages rather than slave wages they were getting, for this private corporation that previously ran the whole country, by a US corporation. So Bernays created a whole movie, like the Pathe News, for cinemas and just bombarded them with this, communist now in government, communism and, oh, it's on your borders of the US. And the US eventually sent troops down there, got the guy out – Nixon was there too apparently. They even planted communist books on the president's tables, etc., to say, see, he was a communist. No, he wasn't. And things went back to normal at the United Fruit Company, the biggest client that Bernays had, they ran it as they always had done afterwards. But they used the US taxpayer to do it all, for private business. That has never stopped by the way. Never stopped.

Now, from that era of Bernays when he first started off, he was involved in everything including the setting up of the League of Nations for President Wilson. Of course the group behind Bernays himself had bigger plans for the world and we are living through it today. But Bernays, as I say, really paved the way, openly, more openly as to how it's done, and whole instruction courses into universities and so on were based on his marketing ideas, not just marketing but how to manipulate whole populations of people to buy your particular rotten product. In other words, it's perception management. That's the key to everything.

Whereas before it used to be people had to come out, and people who make small engines, say, like generators and things like that, things that were useful, they had to live on their reputation. They had to create something that actually worked, it was pretty good and lasted a long time, little maintenance or easy maintenance and things like that. Where Bernays' idea was to cheapen the product and just tell people it's better.... Oh, thousands say... Thousands say it's great. Little statements like that will actually work. Isn't

that amazing? Whereas the old ads were very staid, you can depend on us, then they would tell you the details of why you should buy this small engine, buy this lawnmower, whatever it happened to be. They would tell you how it was built, how it was sturdy, the gauge of steel that was on it, it wouldn't just rust out in a few years, the kind of engine that was in it, things like that.

But then he did away with all that until you are sold the dream... Here's your car on the road, a road that's the virtual road today and you are the only car on it, with all of these mountains and lakes to pass and all that, and there's no cops behind you to watch the speed limit... And by God, it's all yours, with this fancy looking piece of tin with wonderful paint on it. Everything's got a great paint job to make you buy it. It looks like a car but it's not really, anymore, it's junk, with built-in obsolescence, and built-in... Every part will just deteriorate so fast. They know exactly even how many ups and downs that front shock absorber's going to take before it packs in. They knew that back in the 60s for goodness sake. That's how everything is designed.

But now that they're using the Bernays techniques, they've trained the public that junk is normal, because it looks new. And they have trained them just to throw out the old and buy a new, even though the new one will only last about two or three months and it's bust and you buy a new one again, if it's a vacuum or whatever it happens to be. It looks like a vacuum... it's nice and shiny plastic... brand-new. Things like that. How easy it is to con the people. Now, when they can con you so easily, and they have you trained now into this format, they can con you with everything, and they do.

The news, it's got worse since Bernays pulled that United Fruit Corporation stunt, created his own news industry, just to blacken a country, using propaganda and lies, and get the country invaded by the US military, for his private company. It's so far ahead now that everything is propaganda today. There is not a... I don't think there's an article out there that has any actual or any real factual basis. Most of the articles today are to program you into accepting something. Most of the articles just fly out, you'll find that it's giving false paradigms, they call it, and false choices, where in reality you don't have to take any choice, they're giving you this or that. They are both false but both lead you up the garden path in the same direction. That's how perfectly understood we are and how perfectly manipulated we truly are. They set the choices, not you. And the opting out choice is never really there. So we are in the age of perfect management pretty well. And if you think it's perfect now wait until 10 years' time, if we are around by that time, and you'll see how perfectly well it's all managed.

Now, they brought in the carbon taxes in California, and pollution taxes, things like that. Other states are following suit, some in the US have already followed it. Canada is very quiet about it but they will simply do it in a very clever little way, not to cause any ripples, and the public will eventually catch on through word-of-mouth as they get hit at the gas pumps or wherever it happens to be, whatever way they're going to pay it, and you'll find out that way. Because Canada's more of a colony, it still has that colony mentality where they don't have to tell the public very much at all. It's rather strange, that. Australia is kind of much the same in a sense, although Australians in the last 10 years or so are far more observant of what their governments are up to. That's the kind of perfect management. That's the key to everything.

It's amazing to me with all that goes on, the important things that matter to you, personally, are completely brushed aside and forgotten, with the deluge of stories, real, half true and fake. Because you're constantly bombarded on a daily basis with...with... with... junk... called news. What is news? You can make up any story and call it news. It's new, that's where news comes from. It's new. It's a new story. And that's what they do. That's what they do. Quite amazing. Even a US Supreme Court judge a few years ago on a case involving Monsanto said that, the news doesn't have to tell the truth, there's nothing written anywhere, there's no agreement made anywhere that news must be true. And now that you're fed propaganda, with the bills in the States especially that they put through, the old techniques of using the Voice of America techniques on countries outside the US for propaganda purposes can now be used on all the American citizens too. They don't have to do that in Canada or Britain because they've been doing that forever. They don't have these different rules. They can do what they want in a sense. And they do. They do. Especially when you have big companies, television companies and radio companies, which are owned technically by the government, and everybody on board is sworn to secrecy, at times, as to how they operate, and they are an arm of government. Very, very effective for propaganda purposes. Quite something else.

This is the age of maximizing greed, another term for profit, today. It's taught in universities that greed is good, Milton Friedman, you see, and there's no conscience whatsoever. The whole idea in commerce is to sell things. It doesn't matter what you're selling or how well or poorly it's made, just get it sold and use perception management to sell it all. Con the public, get the money and it's buyer beware time, it's their own fault if the schmucks bought it. That's how it is figured. That's normal, normal tuition today in these different fields. I mean, with cars today that are so chintzely put together, and forget the nice shiny paint and nice contours, etc. in the lovely ads you've seen. They are put together with junk. Thin steel, nice thick shiny paint though. It looks rather solid, it's all mainly paintwork, and the rest of it is plastic. And you can go around the new car and see everything that's going to fall apart in no time at all. And you're going to pay something like it's a mortgage to buy that car these days. How they've altered the perceptions of the general population so fast, and their logic and their critical evaluation of things, so easily done, in such a short span of time, really. And if something is going to start falling apart after three or five years, and you're still paying it off, as I say it's about a mortgage today, why don't you just say, this is junk, you know, is there anything out there that's not junk? And I tell you, it's a hard thing to find things that aren't. Unless you're really into big, big money, and even then the bodies are going to rust apart and so on.

However, this guy I mentioned earlier who had this TV show on autos and so on, apparently is completely enthused by all the eco-stuff that's coming through for the cars. Absolutely enthused. And actually isn't enthused, he's paid for being enthused by the big manufacturers themselves. And he says, oh yeah, we're on the road to smaller engines, you see, to be eco-friendly, smaller engines but they will still be in rather midsize or even large-size vehicles because they're going to turbocharge them. You know, it will blow more air into the intakes and that will give the impression that it's zippy and all the rest of it. But let me tell you something, you see, I was brought up in Britain and Britain was always making small cars for the people. And these small cars, generally little four-cylinder jobs, the engines would burn out at about 70,000 miles, sometimes before it. Because it's high rpm, everything is moving much faster to go at the same speed. The laws of physics can go through them all and it simply wears them out much, much faster. Very simple, folks. You can soup-up a Honda 50cc to do 100 miles an hour, it's been done in the past, something the size of a mop head, but it will burn it out in no time at all. You just can't get past that. You either have the capacity or you don't.

The other thing that this guy was enthused about was, they're going to bring in aluminum bodies. Oh, aluminum... aluminum bodies. It will be slightly hardened aluminum, which will crack right along its length if it gets a little knock. Never mind trying to paint aluminum, you have to get special acid primers and things to get anything to stick to it. But salt, salt will destroy aluminum in no time at all. The winter roads will destroy it completely. And you're going to get all the different electrical things happening with it, with the dissimilar metals, as you bolt this to any kind of steel whatsoever, it will just burn holes around the bolts. But this is an improvement. Meaning, and the countries are all on board for the car manufacturers, because the car manufacturers, never mind the cheap bauxite where they make aluminum from across Africa and naturally dirt cheap for them, their prices won't go down though even though their profits will go way up, making it a lot cheaper again. But the thing is, that your car will fall apart faster, if you buy another one, you see, so it's good business for them.

Everything under eco is a racket, a complete racket. It really is. A complete racket. It used to astonish me when I first saw American cars, the old boat type cars, the big long things with wonderful suspensions on them. These things could run along the road too, never feel a bump, generally. Not like today. Of course gas at that time was cheap in the US for sure. But those things were really transcontinental cars, they could literally go coast-to-coast across thousands and thousands of miles. Because they were designed for that, big engines, the V8s, things like that, so they would last longer that way. You can do the same thing with a small car, sure you can do it if you put enough cash into it, and the manufacturers can churn out something that will go coast-to-coast, by the time it gets from coast-to-coast it's going to be burned-out in the engine department and other things as well. You can't get away from the higher revolutions per minute, you can't do it with a small engine to get the same speed and so on.

But these are the cons that are pulled on society all along. That's why the big corporations are on board with this eco-stuff because it means more money for them, bigger profits and selling you even worse junk that will have to be replaced even faster than the present junk they sell you. I can remember a guy in Britain a long time ago who was on television, who was so fed up with the nonsense problems with vehicles,

especially rust, because in Britain the Ford company especially was notorious for it, and they have been charged many times for even... They showed you hidden cameras, or cameras that guys that could put over the walls in the plants and the yards in plants, and you could see them being brought out, where the bodies had been welded together, and they would leave them outside in the rain, no primer, nothing on them, to get rust started in the vehicles. That was the normal procedure. And then another time they did it in the same plant, you saw one of the foremen and he had a chisel, and he was scraping it just below the windshield wiper blades on the metal there, and everybody had noticed that's where this particular model always started rusting, things like that.

But what do you see in the ads? Oh, a car you can trust... All that kind of stuff. This is, again, getting back to what I said before about human nature. This is human nature. Because we're not just logical. And believe you me, the whole legal system, which is also a big con as well, run often by criminals themselves and monopolies. But the thing is, the traits are all throughout humanity, how to keep your job by showing initiative... [Alan chuckles.] ...like scraping brand-new chassis to make sure they start rusting. That's a guaranteed way to get a promotion in some of these companies. But human nature too, is cunning. It's very cunning and very deceptive, very often. And as the culture goes down this hole into complete greed factor, and it has been for a long time, the worse it becomes, these traits come to the fore. That's why big bank managers are given sometimes quite a few million dollars bonus at Christmas and things like that, where really, they are supposed to be a business that takes care of other folk's money. That money at one time used to go back to the people who deposited their cash. Not anymore, as you well know. Because you live in the age of greed is good. Greed is good...

So now you got plastic cars with aluminum chassis and frames, and good luck, folks, good luck. You'd better get... You'd better look for something that's made of steel right now, it's not in bad shape, because probably by the year 2021 you'll be lucky to get a car at all that can actually drive and last for more than two or three years. That's how things really are. But we're living in a planned system. Every area of your life has been planned. As I say, communitarianism must get pushed through rapidly, and it is being pushed through by the way, with all their smart cities run by smart people who are all part of this smart education in their own smart categories at smart universities, all to manage you. Because you are the human herd, as they say. That's what they call us, the herd, managing the herd.

Now, Singapore is a test site for many things that are put across the world, eventually, because it is a small well-managed little society. This article is from CNET and it says...

Singapore plans to be world's first 'Smart Nation'
cnet.com / Aloysius Low / June 17, 2014

The city-state unveils plans for sensors that can help manage traffic congestion, detect air pollutants, and even remind you to take your trash out.

SINGAPORE -- A new plan that aims to turn Singapore into the world's first "Smart Nation" was unveiled by the island state's Infocomm Development Authority (IDA) (Alan: It's called IDA, isn't that sweet.) at the opening of the CommunicAsia trade show here today.

Trials will begin soon in the Jurong Lake District, a new urban hub about 40 minutes from the city center. Above-ground boxes connected to fiber-optic lines will be deployed across the country at street lights or bus stops.

These new boxes can be hooked up to sensors that can detect air pollutants, heavy rainfall or traffic jams. One particularly Singaporean idea is for sensors that can report how full rubbish bins are, paired with cameras that can detect litter -- and remind litterbugs to pick up their trash. (A: I knew folk years ago that went there for a visit and literally, if a bit of paper falls out of your pocket when you're pulling your wallet out or something, not that you need your wallet anymore, most of them... I think it's the first cashless nation, then it's like you just committed a murder or something. It's a huge crime there. It's like a police state. And you needed your ID card, long before 9/11 happened across the world, you needed your ID card there to get on buses and trains and everything else. It's all cashless, you see.)

Given's the country's tiny land mass -- not much bigger than the city of San Francisco -- it sounds more like a smart city. But while the scenario sounds tailor-made for a happy civic society, privacy remains a concern, as does funding.

Well the thing is, you see, all the cell phone stuff, all the data is being funneled through into all the agencies there, and security agencies and so on, as they bring everything together with their fiber, Internet access systems and cell phone systems. They've even made it cheaper there to get very high-speed Internet. They've got, I think it's one gigabits per second service, similar to the offer by Google fiber, and you can get it for 50 bucks a month, yeah, it's about 50 bucks US. So that's how they do things. That's how they get folks to go into... The same... We all know this too, they make it a bit cheaper to start with and you'll say, God that's really cheap. When they give it to you free, beware. But watch, watch, anything that's free is a big con to get info from you. They call them mouse traps, they bait it saying it's free and everybody rushes in like crazy, or they give you it cheap. And you are paying so that government agencies can monitor you. Quite amazing isn't it?

But that's the Singapore one. Then this article too, it says...

Smart city sensors ready for roll out, Casey tells Web Summit
irishtimes.com / Nov 6, 2014 / Liam Casey

We have put sensors on 40 bikes in Dublin (A: Ireland.) and right now they are feeding back to us data about pollution, traffic congestion, you name it, says PCH boss

Liam Casey, founder of $1 billion turnover PCH, has predicted that a smart city sensor project demonstrated at the Dublin Web Summit could be rolled out in cities around the world.

Mr Casey said PCH has invested in the project along with the Tyndall National Institute, TSSG Waterford, the National College of Art and Design and Vodafone Ireland.

"It is a phenomenal project," Mr Casey said. "We have put sensors on 40 bikes in Dublin and right now they are feeding back to us data about pollution, traffic congestion, you name it."

"This has so many applications and it's coming from Ireland. I can see it being big in Chinese cities but why stop there? Every city needs this," he added. (A: You think it's all so as they can see how much pollution is around? Do you really think that? Do you really believe that rubbish? Hmm?)

Dr Kieran Drain (A: What a strange name, that, Kieran Drain... Drain... eh, as though... the brain drain...), chief executive of Tyndall, said: "This is the smart city. The sensors we are trialling in Dublin today are small, but we'll get them even smaller and lighter. Knowing about air quality and traffic all over the city can help cities plan better." (A: Really? For what?)

He also said the trials called the CitySense Project had lots of implications for individuals. "If you have bad asthma it can tell you whether you should go out. (A: How many folk have asthma? Hmm?) If you want to go to work quickly it can tell you what the traffic is like in real time," Dr Drain added.

Mr Casey said he had visited the Tyndall National Institute many times and wanted to introduce its research to start-ups going through PCH's accelerator in San Francisco called Highway1. "Tyndall has so many idea we can help be commercialised," he said. "We want our entrepreneurs to tap into the phenomenal things they are doing." (A: Do you think it's all about pollution? Do you really believe that? Nonsense. Nonsense. Nonsense. It's all part of the totalitarian system of complete observation in the totalitarian information system we are living under today, to get better and better for those very purposes. Quite something.)

And also, everybody forgets this because we are bombarded with news. Most of it is hyped to terrify you, and that's what takes the biscuit because folk, human people will always go to things that terrify you before you will look at any other story, because you're scared stiff, you see, you might save yourself, that's what you think, naturally, if you read or listen to the terrifying stuff first. But when you're doing all that you're missing all the other things that are really going to hit you because this terrifying stuff generally doesn't

materialize. Everything is done incrementally as you are trained and trained and you adapt and adapt. But this says...

Red Zone activated for Brisbane G20 summit with 70 items banned
couriermail.com.au / Neil Doorley / November 08, 2014

TENS of thousands of inner-city Brisbane residents have woken this morning to the stark reality of life inside the G20 Red Zone – where flying kites and carrying eggs could attract increased scrutiny from thousands of extra police.

The declared zone – stretching from South Bank to Kelvin Grove, Bowen Hills, Fortitude Valley and Woolloongabba – has been established in a bid to stop the violence that crippled other G20 host cities, like Toronto in 2010, where police were overwhelmed by the "mass disorder'' which included rioters torching cars, trashing businesses and attacking officers.

As residents digested this news, fences and barricades began going up around South Brisbane, as streets became no-go zones.

The 6000-strong police force (A: ...that they are putting up for this...) will be armed with additional powers from today as 1500 interstate and international recruits (A: Interesting, international recruits for the cops...) are briefed and formally sworn in as part of a special G20 police force. (A: So now you've got a G20 police force, folks, international. Isn't that something, eh.)

People face fines up to $5500 for possessing any of the 70 prohibited items inside the red zone which include weapons, handcuffs, explosives and some placards. (A: Well, with weapons and handcuffs and explosives they would have to put all the cops out because they can't get in, they will be the only ones with them.)

The list also includes less obvious "threats" such as reptiles and surfboards, as well as household items including eggs, cans and glass jars.

Those trying to get to work inside the heavily guarded restricted zone at South Bank and around major hotels will face military-style checkpoints.

...there's democracy for you. In the one that they mentioned there in Toronto in 2010, I remember, and it was on the web of course because people were lucky enough and can photograph a lot of stuff today. But you actually hear the cops saying that, their rights inside the area were completely suspended and that this was not part of Canada. During this whole meeting the area around there was not a part of Canada so you didn't have rights if you went into it. Democracy... People still vote, you know, they still vote. And isn't it astonishing? Really. So it's called the red zone. It's all about really what they can't bring in and so on. That's really what it's about. Crowd management, public order, motorcades, etc. etc. And they have the big meals, you know, the 20 course meals because they generally have that, at least, 20 courses as they start talking about how to implement austerity amongst, you know, the general masses. Normal stuff, you see.

And also, what's really interesting that's getting missed by most folk are the big tests, they call them stress tests that the big banks were told to put on them by the Bank for International Settlements and the IMF, to test them, supposedly. It's so they won't have another immediate crash. We know they're going to have another crash, of course, and the stress tests are all part of it to see if it's ready to crash. Because you see, the bail-ins go into law, they are activated on January 1st of next year, in just a couple of months' time. It's going to be in vogue. They'll start grabbing all your cash out of the bank, for their investors, but they will take all of the depositors' money. It's normally called theft, but not when you make it legal so it's not called theft anymore. Anything that is illegal hasn't been passed as okay. It's quite amazing to run the legal system, isn't it? Just by changing words, something that is forbidden and illegal becomes legal. It depends who you are, though, of course, and who is doing something. But it says...

ECB publishes secret Irish bailout letters
bbc.com / 6 November 2014 / Andrew Walker

The European Central Bank has published previously secret correspondence in which it pressed the Irish government to seek a financial bailout.

The Bank's former President Jean-Claude Trichet wrote to the Irish Finance Minister in November 2010.

He threatened to cut off emergency funding to the country's banks. (A: There's choices for you. You know.)

Mr Trichet said the support could only continue if the Irish government made a written commitment that it would seek financial support. (A: So you have to put out a commitment that you would SEEK financial support.)

The letter to the Finance Minister Brian Lenihan, who has since died, said there should be a commitment to "fiscal consolidation" which means austerity, or cuts in public spending and tax increases to reduce the government's borrowing needs. (A: This European Union has been wonderful, isn't it, with its European Central Bank and all that, dictating to all the other countries. We've had such bliss since then. This utopia is here, folk just don't notice it, they're too busy worrying and going into austerity.)

Ireland applied for financial help two days later and has received loans from the European Union, the International Monetary Fund and some individual countries, including Britain. The total foreign assistance agreed was €67.5bn (£52.8bn), though in the event it was not all paid out.

So, blackmail is blackmail. What kind of choice is that? You know. What kind of choice is that? And who encouraged them, all the big, big banks in Ireland to give out all these loans in the first place? The same group that's now, you know, demanding that they sign these agreements. It's quite amazing, isn't it? But they don't make these things illegal, all this blackmailing stuff and so on.

The World's Oldest Bank Is The Biggest Loser In The ECB Stress Test - businessinsider.com / 10.26.14

And then...

South Africa suffers another credit rating downgrade
bbc.com / 7 November 2014

(A: ...as well. Which is nothing new. Because they want... You understand, this article actually says...)

The ratings agency Moody's has downgraded South Africa's sovereign debt rating.

(A: That Moody's and a few other ones, Standards and Poor and so on, all have other big clients. They don't work for free, if that's what you think, and give out all the stats about things. They have their clients, and the big clients are often the big, big banks that lend out, you see. So if they can get Moody's to downgrade a country, then that country's government when it borrows money must pay a higher interest rate when it has been downgraded. This is great for the big banks, the international moneylenders. Everything is such a racket! It really is such a racket. Quite something, isn't it? Quite something. Ah, what can you do? And meanwhile too they're saying... They're blaming the South African miners, the guys that are down in the mines there and getting all the diamonds out for the rich corporations and things, and they get paid pennies but they are demanding more money. So what do they do? This is, again, blackmail again... Oh, the country is going under if you don't get back to work. And political payoffs, that's how it will all work out, you'll find. That's how things always work out, in democracies, you know. Quite something.)

And also this little article here too. This is from a site called Alice Through the Looking Glass and it says...

alicemooreuk.blogspot.co.uk / 8 November 2014

ChildrenCount Survey in a world that counts

"Concerns have been raised by a local councillor about a controversial children's survey for 9-16 year olds, which he feels are inappropriate and intrusive. Councillor Tom Marshall has questioned why the survey includes questions about drug-taking, (A: This is for nine-year-olds.) relationships with parents, thieving, knives, and drugs." (A: Also by the way, it has things in there about anal sex, asking the children if they have had it yet. I guess they'll put them into rehab if they haven't because apparently it's the in-thing.)

"Speaking to the 'News', Cllr Marshall said: `These surveys are akin to unsolicited phone calls that are received and are an unsolicited intrusion into family circumstances. (A: It's all data collection as they get into what's going on in the families, you see.) , The language is inappropriate for a number of children. Questions regarding thieving and drugs are being presented almost as if these activities are normalised. Many parents would be most unhappy that there is any suggestion that their children are in the minority for not even contemplating these things. (A: And that's true. Especially if it's the politically correct things that they are pushing to do with sex and things like that, all kinds of sex and stuff. You see, if you're not doing it there's something wrong with them, apparently. Amazing how normal is always changed 180 degrees, like fads, you see. Isn't it? At one time they burnt witches, now they got their organizations everywhere. Yep. And they are all New Agey and trendy. Hmm.) Questions on relationships with parents/guardians are clearly inappropriate and could be used to instigate the named person with interventions at some point in the future.`"

They've got GIRFEC [Getting It Right For Every Child] there too and I've read the articles many times in the past. Go into the archive section at CuttingThroughTheMatrix.com and you can read these particular articles. Because Scotland is definitely a test bed for intrusion into, again, smart management of families, you see. Meaning, your betters have... will basically... the state, in other words, will literally rear you from birth to death. Now you are appointed counselors that go in there for every child born there. Smart isn't it, very smart. And the parents will be sitting back, like the peasantry, as these people strut in the door, right to the child and off to do whatever they do with them, which probably isn't very pleasant at all, knowing them, because there are an awful lot of pervs out there these days, especially in those kind of fields.

But that's the life we live in, folks, and you're looking for sanity in it? Are you looking for sanity? When 9/11 happened that night on the radio I said, the hardest thing from now on... Because I knew the whole agenda was going to get rammed through. The hardest thing from now on, under the guise of terrorism of course, the hardest thing from now on will be to hold onto your sanity as we go through all of this. It is going to be for the rest of your lives, as you are updated and reprogrammed to adapt into the next step and the next step and the next step in so many different areas of your life. You see. And it's all done by smart people, working for smart organizations, in your smart little community. And you're giving all your data on your smart phone, etc. etc.

Smart... smart has got a double meaning too. It means, if someone strikes you it can smart, it can hurt. Think about it, folks, all these folk that are hurting you, and you don't even know it. Think about it.

Well, I hope you're all surviving out there as we're plunging into the winter with our new terminology they keep coming out with, the solar vortexes, and northern polar vortexes, and oh my goodness... It sounds all sci-fi, doesn't it, as the North wind comes down from the North Pole and blasts us all with cold air. Because apparently the North Pole is melting. You know, I would've thought it would've gotten warmer if that was the case. But no, oh no, it's getting colder, you see. It's like O'Brien in Orwell's 1984 as he says to Winston, when Winston is really out of it, he's been tortured and drugged and never knows. O'Brien the torturer says, if I tell you, Winston, I can fly, then I can fly, and you will believe it. You see. That's where we are today.

Don't use logic, whatever you do, because it doesn't come into the picture. In fact, logic was trained out of you at Junior school. The teacher doesn't want your logic on things, they have agendas to put forth, it's called the curriculum. And you've got to get the proper brainwashing to be approved and get that quality approval stamp as they pass you on a little certificate. That means you are fit enough and dumb enough to work in their system. You see.

So life is a bit of a joke, as I say. Definitely a bit of a joke if you stand back and just sort of look at it. And it's getting crazier all the time. As O'Brien teaches you, he can fly if he wants to and, you know, you can't because you are just little old you. And East Asia is our ally today and it will be our enemy tomorrow. And if

you parrot him on that you will say, oh no, East Asia has always been our ally. You can't win, you see, by using the facts that they hand to you to debate using your logic. You cannot win. It's not meant that you win. You are meant to be trained to doubt your own logic, that's the whole point of it all. Perception management, not what is, but how you're going to perceive it. You see.

From Hamish and myself in Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

Singapore plans to be world's first 'Smart Nation'

Smart city sensors ready for roll out, Casey tells Web Summit

Red Zone activated for Brisbane G20 summit with 70 items banned

ECB publishes secret Irish bailout letters

The World's Oldest Bank Is The Biggest Loser In The ECB Stress Test

South Africa suffers another credit rating downgrade

ChildrenCount Survey in a world that counts

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Nov. 13, 2014 (#1471)
ALAN WATT
"CUTTING THROUGH THE MATRIX"
(GUEST ON REALITY BYTES RADIO WITH NEIL FOSTER)
Originally Broadcast Nov. 13, 2014 on awakeradio.co.uk
sovereignindependentuk.co.uk
communitypressgroup.com

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca
alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up:
alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

{♫ - No Lizards, No Aliens, No Nonsense. This is Reality Bytes in association with Sovereign Independent UK and One World Chronicle. -♫}
Neil: Welcome to Reality Bytes Radio on the 13th of November, 2014, with our monthly visit by Alan Watt of cuttingthroughthematrix.com. Are you there Alan?
Alan: Yes I am.
Neil: As I have explained to you before I moved over to the States and my partner here, girlfriend, has a severely autistic child. And I've never really experienced autism very much but over the past couple of months it certainly kind of hit home as to how kind of life changing it is to the people around the person with autism and how much effort has to go into kind of not just trying to understand them but consoling them to some extent. And my girlfriend doesn't use any of the pharma drugs; she just stays away from them completely which means that you get to see the real deal as it were. And this happened straight after the MMR vaccine. I don't want to go into too much detail but the vaccine was given against her will and well the consequences are there for everybody to see. And in terms of autism I mean we know, well in terms of autism when did this first start coming to your attention?
Alan: Well back in, they knew this back in the 1970's at least because they taught in medical school various adverse reactions against the increasing amount of vaccines they were giving very young children. And in fact there was lots of controversy at that time because, and nothing has changed as far as the theories all go that young children under a year or two years really, their immune system hasn't developed so the whole idea of a vaccination made no sense at all. In other words the vaccine is supposed to put something into your system which is weakened, a virus or whatever, and generally a virus and it gives your natural immune system a chance to find ways to beat that and when the real thing comes along, the full strength thing, it can destroy them. Well if your immune system isn't working yet it made no sense to inject lethal stuff into babies basically because they couldn't fight this new thing and they couldn't produce the antibodies supposedly that would make it effective when the real thing came along. But it made no difference at all, the same mandate has gone ahead because big pharmacology and the big lobby groups in pharma, and you know governments run on lobby groups now and politicians are elected basically by the money that backs them, and the money that backs them has all got strings attached to it. So it's a catch-22 where big business runs the medical system and they won't turn around and look at all the data that's come in since this new kind of autism came

out.
The old kind of autism really you could tell from birth, and it was very rare, that there was something wrong. The normal milestones even within the first year in autistic children didn't develop. They didn't get to the stage of dada, mama, and so on. Whereas the new type come after generally the inoculations, the MMR especially, and all the other hosts that are given to them before they are a year old now and suddenly they start to regress. They are already saying mama, dada, and then they go backwards again and then they stay that way pretty well. That's the new kind of autism. It's well accepted, as I say, in medical universities and so on and their tuition but it's not known to the public and the big battle has been since then as how to suppress the information because the medical systems again are run by pharmacology, more and more so in fact. In fact the big, big pharma starting with the Rockefeller brothers long, long, long ago took over. They created the American Medical Association for instance that became the world leader for a long time and they set up the whole indoctrination educational system for training doctors. And so they've become the pushers of drugs mainly. And along with that comes a religion, a belief system that you'd better go along with it. And along with it also comes this belief system that it's for the greater good, and for the greater good you will always get so much of a fallout and adverse reactions.
That's accepted all through our system of money and profit and so on, from putting a nuclear reactor in your area, they even work out how many will come down with cancers in that area, and it's an acceptable loss versus the economic benefits and that's how they work it out.
It's the same thing with all these vaccines. So the belief system, the religion that goes along with it is that yes you will have, because of different genes and so on, and varied types, because they are all different you know but there is always a certain category of, you might go through life perfectly fit and well and healthy but if you get that vaccine and your genes reacted in a different fashion then, say, the majority, then you will come down with a severe handicap for the rest of your life.
Neil: Yeah I was going to ask you about that because I was wondering, I mean is this some form of experiment to try and find out, how can I put it, well what I was thinking was that possibly how do you see if you are genetically prone to have this effect to whether it's the mercury or some other ingredient in the vaccine? And obviously the excuse that people say well it's not the vaccine because everybody would be autistic. You know. Well obviously some people are more prone to it than others. And going back to Russell and people like that who wanted to find out who the intelligent people were, I mean is it possible that they actually know that these vaccines will, to use a word that's in the chat box, lobotomize people who do have what would be termed exceptional intelligence.
Alan: Yes, but also too people don't realize there are different batches of vaccines. They are carefully monitored for batches. And for instance in Britain with the National Health Service, it was the greatest experiment of all because they could give certain batches to say the ordinary schools, the public schools. The public schools in Britain as you know it's different from the U.S. The public schools are actually private in Britain, whereas the general comprehensive schools are where the general population go, the taxpayers. So there are different batches that go to different ones. But it is interesting that the initial studies that came up showed that these things were breaking out first amongst the ordinary population where their children went to the tax-funded schools as opposed to the private institutions and so on.
So there are different batches and they are well aware of what they are doing as far as I'm concerned because the greatest problem they had even when they first came out with the vaccines on a national scale was political actually. They had revolutions going on in Russia and different places. They were afraid of the power if the working class ever had more and more power in a true democracy and so they tried to stymie it in so many ways but one of the things they came up with too is to basically drop the I.Q. points of people. And we know today for instance it's plummeting even more, with more vaccines the I.Q. is going down all the time officially from the United Nations' reports themselves. And so I'm sure the U.N. is very happy with their own reports since they are all onboard with this whole agenda.
So I think it was about 2 years ago they announced that it was down another 5 points. Well your I.Q. doesn't drop just like that. It's innate. You are born with it basically. You can hone certain skills absolutely, but you are born with it. And so it's dropping as time goes on and that's just not normal at all. So the fingers all point to poisons of all kinds of course in industrial, we are post industrial but there are still a lot of chemicals around. And then you have all this incredible amount of vaccines they are pumping into young children and pregnant mothers which used to be forbidden because the fetus as it is developing is incredibly prone, especially males, they are more prone to these things, especially autism, I think it's a 60% more chance of boys getting it and 40% of girls. So as the fetus is developing in the womb and the mother is taking all these shots then it makes an incredible difference along with the bisphenols and all the rest of stuff and mercury that they pump in.

But I think personally too it's also to do with the types of viruses they are pumping into the children. These are attenuated viruses but they are also, some of them are modified, they are modified in the laboratory. The same laboratories by the way that also work with viral and bacterial warfare for national governments.
So they are coming out with these things and they're putting them into children, something that's never been seen in the human body before technically, there is no memory in the cells on how to cope with these things and so a certain percentage will always come down with the problems, with obvious symptoms. But I think the general population are affected regardless in other ways including the cancers and so on.
Neil: When you talk about different batches being sent to different places I mean there is no way we are talking about different countries as well. And obviously they're studying the effects of these vaccines; do you think they are searching for that specific effect?
Alan: As I say there was a political fear when vaccines were being talked about. The big globalists of the day, even the Fabian Society writers especially, were petrified about helping, say, the poor. And they said there are too many of them. Now, eventually, they knew back in the early 1900's we would eventually go into a postindustrial era. And they are always planning 50 to 100 years ahead all the time and they thought that too many people in the working class who were unemployed and so on would overthrow the system of the natural-born leaders, which was the upper elite you see.
So they discussed this and they were furious about it. And then of course the Royal Institute of International Affairs that has one of its branches called the Royal Society, which deals with the sciences, toyed with the idea using their favorite, most favorite slogan in the CFR, Royal Institute of International Affairs, they said, "Don't look upon this as a disaster, how can we use it to our advantage?" And they actually toyed with the idea of reducing the lifespan with it. And again selling it even to the medical profession with yes they might die faster, but their lives will be disease-free for a certain amount of time. And so they had this nice kind of rationale to make it sound more pleasant. And that kind of holds true today by the way.
They knew for instance that the polio vaccine had simian-40 virus as well as about a hundred other viruses contained in it. And they gave it to all the children across Britain for instance and that was the first generation that came down with all brand new kinds of cancers very quickly. And it's been awfully successful as far as the depopulation agenda goes. There is no doubt about that.
So that ties in with the big, big meetings they had across Britain and in other countries to do with the future having too many of the failures you might say, the ones that Darwin had left behind hadn't evolved properly, all the working classes, because H.G. Wells and many others decried this. And Bertrand Russell even decried this helping fight diseases. In fact Russell who attended all the world meetings, he worked with the Frankfurt School, the Macy Group, and so on, and the League of Nations and the United Nations, he said that it's a pity that we couldn't get a new bubonic plague that would wipe out most of them.
They are quite open, and this is in their own writings. You can find it, read their own books today, and they helped set the agenda for the present. So we are living through an awful lot of social planning, political social planning, they both go together. And even indoctrinating the people themselves, the victims, that there are too many people so that the victims themselves are parroting this kind of stuff through all their different shows on television, etcetera.
You can normalize the effects, the side effects, by withholding information and saying well it's across the general population but they don't tell you the specific groups that are being targeted first and show the symptoms of these vaccines first.
We know that it's almost impossible to hide it today. In fact China came out with a study this year, it was based in Shanghai China and they found that the biggest problem, the causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide and but especially in China because that was one, because they saw the American data and so on too, but in China they found that in 2009 but it was published this year, 42% of the problems with young children, pediatric drug reactions and so on, were caused by vaccines.
So it's 42% and they say themselves it is underreported because many of their physicians also brush off the symptoms. But these were all reported by doctors themselves, not parents, after giving vaccines to the children and watching the symptoms going from mild skin rashes to deadly reactions like anaphylactic shock and death and so on.
So as I say it's published by the Institute for Safe Medication Practices. And it lists the top 15 drugs as well causing serious adverse reactions in children. So they are well aware of it. It's the most common problem with pediatric children, it's death and various nasty reactions from mild to severe after vaccinations.
Neil: Well because Martha said that doctors were doing a bad job of keeping people alive. And in terms of doctors I mean they obviously see the effects of the vaccinations they've given. I mean there must be many, many doctors out there who know the cause and yet you know they continue to give vaccinations to children. And but surely there has got to come a point when some of these doctors are taken to court because they are

knowingly severely damaging children, well and adults as well of course, through the flu shots and everything. I mean do you ever see the day when these doctors, some of them at least who possibly aren't making as much money as the big guys, step up to the plate and say no more?
Alan: There have been some. They are crucified. They are crucified in the press because the press is owned of course by the big moguls that belong to the same big top elite agencies and all working together. A lot of their advertising actually comes directly or indirectly from pharmacology and so on. And the medical associations themselves want to maintain the power that they have. I mean it's a great thing to have the title and it goes to a lot of folk's heads. But also all doctors are licensed and they do like the money they get. It's rather good money and they don't want to lose their jobs. And this is an unfortunate trait with our system today and it's getting worse and worse all the time where greed is good. That's taught in universities today and don't feel guilty about getting stinking rich at any cost. And it's taught to even the bankers now in universities. And that's Milton Friedman's philosophy. So it's also through the medical association as well. It's an awfully great income and the last thing that they want is to lose that kind of income and the incredible status that goes with it where they've taught the public to worship them through mass drama portrayals on television for years and years and years beginning with Dr. Kildare way back yonder and going on ever since. And all the movies they put out too.
So they've given them almost a magical status, a superior, but medicine itself is nowhere near as exact as they like to portray to the general public. So they need that persona, that fake persona of magic and superiority to get the public to go along with things.
Neil: Yeah. Somebody in the chat box is saying that many people don't even see the doctor nowadays; it's either a nurse or a health worker now that administers the vaccines.
Alan: That's right.
Neil: And I assume they know very, very little at all about what they are doing but I'm sure the doctor certainly does. I mean I can't imagine that any of these doctors don't have some idea that what they are doing is wrong.
Alan: They are well aware. They are well aware because they get the infants coming in and they vaccinate them and they see them within a week or so, within actually the next day often, and second or third day with the high temperature and doctors are trained now that that's all normal. And they tell the parents oh that's okay it's just a little fever and they'll get over that. But they don't tell you the consequences of a lot of them once that fever dies down. A lot of that fever is centered right in the brain and when you get fever, high temperatures, in the brain with young brain cells and so on, a lot of them are destroyed and it disrupts the neural pathways, etcetera. And not only in the brain itself, it also affects the very new system, the endocrine system, that deals with hormonal messaging and so on between different organs and secretions, etcetera. So it disrupts everything, including the development themselves of normal cell growth in the body.
So they are well aware of all of this stuff but doctors as I say in this present day and age it's even worse. Greed is their primary thing and very few of them, and I mean I think it was doctor, was it Wakefield in Britain, that came out and he was crucified by the British Medical Association and the U.S. one. And he came out with concrete proof and so on and then of course the other side do their own studies with their paid characters who write up glowing reports who refuted it but the facts are still there and this keeps getting repeated all the time like this recent study from China. So there is no doubt about it. The GPs are well aware of it. They will never admit to it because they personally don't want a lawsuit and they don't want to lose the status and incredible income most of them get.
Neil: Somebody in the chat box is asking if you have an issue with the science of vaccines. Well the science of vaccines is kind of a misnomer, isn't it? The whole thing is bogus. What would your take on that be?
Alan: Well a lot of it is kind of bogus. It's based on theory. And what you will find too a lot of these, it's like the flu vaccination, and I've read many articles about the flu from the medical associations themselves, before the flu season starts for instance and I know the theories, they always pick the three prevalent ones in China or somewhere and they try to put them together that year to prevent the flu but it's always the wrong one. They always tell you in the spring, oh, here are the results of it, we got the wrong ones picked, we didn't realize this other flu had been more prevalent. This is what they always tell you and any studies that have been done on follow-ups show you the same amount and often more of the people who got vaccinations got the flu than the ones who didn't. That's standard every darn year so the whole thing is pretty well bogus. But the effects, the adverse effects of the flu shot are well known too.
Neil: Yeah I mean I've noticed it particularly since coming over to the States I mean everywhere you go there are signs up for free shots for anything from the flu.
Alan: They've got them in grocery stores and everywhere. Some of these shots too they had the aerosol ones for a while there. And you went into shopping malls and you smelled this kind of ether smell and they

are spraying, they're spraying people directly in nasal sprays to get the shot some of them and you are smelling this stuff. It's traveling all through the air in the mall and you didn't give permission to get that thing, as they are giving it to someone else. And they are also injecting it and so on in grocery stores and places like that where there is food around. There are even cafeterias in hospitals in New York they were injecting the nursing staff with.
So it's just incredible how they could go against all their own hygiene reports and so on and do this kind of thing because big pharma and the big industry that terrifies the public to get shots tends to work more than reality and common sense.
Neil: I know just what you were saying about the nasal spray one, the flu mist. Somebody in the chat box pointed out there is live virus in that. So I mean that's getting sprayed around hospitals as they are doing it.
Alan: Yes it is.
Neil: It's a live virus right in the corridors.
Alan: Absolutely, absolutely.
Neil: So I mean that's crazy.
Alan: And even in the polio vaccines too, they gave the polio vaccines early on in the 50's say, and in the 40's and 50's, and they found in follow-up too... You see Polio, most of these viruses pass through people harmlessly. And it isn't unless you have maybe an injury in the body a lot of the viruses will imbed itself in and take off.
Again on some people it depends on your gene type. It doesn't mean it's hereditary. It means that the two parents, the particular mix of the two genes, create a different outcome in the child. And you may be perfectly normal as that child but something that wouldn't harm either parent even will affect you. They are well aware of this kind of thing and so a certain amount of these viruses imbed themselves in the gut for instance especially. And once it's in the gut it tends to stay that way. They found that by the way, they found some of the vaccines from childhood vaccines, they found the attenuated viruses. And these attenuated viruses because they were created in the lab have actually signatures on them. They can actually identify the labs that grew them and so on. And they find them 20 years later in the gut of that same person that had it as a child. So, and they've got autism as well. And these have all been repetitive studies that all keep showing the same things over and over and over.
So there is no mystery. There is a massive suppression. So much so that even you'll find that I think there was a lawsuit filed in 2010, two Merck virologists did it under the False Claims Act against the former employer, and they alleged that the vaccine maker lied about the effectiveness of their mumps vaccine. And the U.S. court judge gave the lawsuit a green light to go ahead. And they got the emails coming from the top people in the CDC telling the other people to be awfully careful about the sensitive data they might have to hand over and to hide other stuff and only give so much to the judicial committee that were investigating it. And there is another lawsuit against that now. So even the CDC that's supposed to look over these things is totally corrupt but that's nothing new about the CDC.
Neil: Well any more than the World Health Organization. In terms of autism as a special disorder I mean it covers a vast kind of array of different neurological disorders. It's almost that they've got all of these disorders for want of a better word and just lumped them all into this thing called autism. And as you have said earlier they experiment with different batches of vaccines, I mean do you think they are creating a different problem with each of these batches and they know what they are doing in terms of specifically creating a problem to study it?
Alan: I'm pretty certain they do that too. A long time ago before they had, say for instance the greatest thing to experiment with is when you have a National Health Service under a centralized authority like the British Commonwealth countries that Britain itself has because then you have instant feedback to data to a central group who get access to all that data. And even the ones that are feeding it up from different areas don't get access to all of the data you see so you can keep it very secretive at the top. And so they did definitely experiment on certain selective areas within the National Health Service in certain regions to find out effects of various vaccines and medications. They still do it today by the way for the greater good of course. That's the excuse they always give when they are found out, but you are dealing with governments remember that sprayed various poisons on the general public, even radioactive ones, back during the Cold War. I've even put all the links up on cuttingthroughthematrix.com in the archives section and programs on them because these were put out even by ex-government employees coming out and admitting this stuff using footage of them spraying around areas of England and Norfolk to find the coverage rates if the Russians ever attacked and used this method, we'll try it first in a milder form. But the milder forms actually had forms of cadmium in it and so on like that, highly carcinogenic. And so we are all guinea pigs absolutely for much, much bigger plans. There is no doubt about that at all. But with the National Health Service they can follow

you through your whole life from birth to death and they've got a complete list of everything that they have given to you at every doctor's visit, every medication given to you, every vaccine and so it's perfect. They are perfectly aware of what's going on.

Neil: Okay there's a question here. It was a two-part thing. In terms of like okay when I was young I got vaccines and I don't know if I was damaged in any way or whatever. I have no way of knowing I suppose. But maybe I'm just not susceptible to it but I don't know. I couldn't answer that. But the question was I've had my vaccine shots before I even had a thought of the harm these things do like when I was really young. Is there any way to get this stuff out of your system afterwards?

Alan: Oh you'll get a lot of the alternative side of things claiming everything under the sun because there's big money... There is as much big money in all the alternative health industry as there is in the pharmacological industry. It's all based on fear, both of them. But if it's a virus that imbeds itself in say the gut lining, I'm talking about in the cells themselves, this was all done remember. These vaccines during a time, especially during World War II, where they found a method to strip off the outer membrane of say bacterium and so on and they could actually merge it with forms of viruses and attenuate, again attenuate the nucleus of the actual thing and put it out there in spray form. They tried this in Canada during World War II in different parts of the population and that came out in a book using completely declassified information from the Canadian government under the Freedom of Information Act in a book called "Canada's Secret War" or "Deadly Allies" was the other title of it because Canada led the world in this; and once these cells get into your body they literally imbed themselves. They are not recognized by the phagocytes that kill them off that patrol your body and the leukocytes and lymphocytes and so on. And they imbed themselves inside your normal real cells, different kind of tissue cells. And they are designed actually to go into different kinds of tissue cells, specific ones, even the ones in your brain. And once they are in there the phagocytes can't recognize them. They might know there is something around there but they can't identify what it is because your own membranes of your normal cells hide them and cloak them and they breed inside there and what they do then is burst once there is enough of them. That's your standard, nasty, nasty, the bad diseases work that way and then they immediately seek out good cells and imbed themselves inside that, the new ones.

Sometimes that's what creates a lot of the autoimmune problems in fact. They will say for instance your rheumatoid arthritis, we don't know what causes it, it's a mystery they've said for about a hundred-odd years. Nonsense, your body is detecting something, even the waste products coming from these things, and attacks the area, either your joints or your tendons to the joints and so on and you end up with fibromyalgia and all kinds of problems and arthritis. Your body is attacking something. It can't identify the actual, get at the actual ones hiding inside your cells but it's around that area. Or it's in the intestines and you end up with all kinds of Crohn's disease problems and things like that. So they are well aware of this but they can't tell the public. Again for the greater good you might get diphtheria and die if you don't get the vaccines and all that nonsense.

Neil: Well I read something on the air a few weeks ago; I may have actually even talked to you about it, whereby they've given whooping cough vaccines, pertussis vaccines, to pregnant women in the UK. And it actually says on the insert that it's never been tested on any animals and they don't know if it damages the fetus or not and yet you know the doctors will give it to women. And I mean that's surely some form of well neglect at least.

Alan: Yes and also I think it was about a year or two years ago I think in the UK as well, or definitely it might be the States, it came out too that they had an outbreak of it and they had to admit themselves, the medical associations had to admit that it was, it came out after they had given the shots out. And certain areas, the areas that didn't get the shots, didn't get the whooping cough at all. So it was definitely vaccine-caused.

Neil: Yeah. I have a question here, it's kind a bit off topic, could you ask Alan to discuss Arthur Koestler's book "The Ghost in the Machine" in relation to lobotomizing the critical thought area of the brain?

Alan: There is no doubt about this. As I say we are the most studied species on the planet, forget all the animal wildlife and all of that. We are the most studied species on the planet because everything is a system here. And a system runs on having a majority of the public working, paying taxes, so that a small elite can always live higher than the rest of you. And the elite always run the money system, the governmental system they run and own, and they also decide to use scientific management. So they hire all the specialists you see so that even they make sure they are trained in universities, certain groups, to be their specialists to con us and also use sciences upon us and so on.

But they did discuss an awful long time ago about and the Soviets were awfully big into this too, there is old footage in fact up on YouTube where they were so intensely fascinated by the brain. If they could understand the brain, Pavlov was into it too, but if they could understand it perfectly they could control the

people and get rid of all the nuisance factors that people bring along with them as humans, to be managed well in other words. And there is whole, there were prison camps in the Soviet Union early on too in the 20's where they had the skulls, the skull tops of people lying in beds and they were doing all the probing and stuff and so on and so on to find out specific areas, taste, smell, thinking, all these things, the emotional side, the temporal lobe, all of these different things and they pretty well mapped it well. It was so perfect in fact that they actually were cutting heads off dogs and I put the YouTube link up the old footage that they showed in Britain as they were glorifying the early Soviet Union in Britain by the way. And you'll see the dogs, they'd start pumping blood into it and so on, into the head, and the eyes open and it blinks and they put a feather to its nose and it reacts and all that.

But remember that's what they showed the public. They were way ahead of that. They have done it with prisoners as well, taking their heads off and done this kind of thing, way back in the 1920's. And what they were really after was that part; they call it the "Ghost in the Machine", the part that makes you you and independent and sometimes a problem because you like your independence and so on. And that's not good for managing people when you are independent.

So they want to find areas of the brain where if they could interfere with that then you would be a follower, a passive follower and a very obedient one at that. It's been the dream right up to the present time of the elitist groups including the transhumanist groups. So, they pretty well mapped the parts of the brain where they are critical and work together to do with independence, critical evaluation, decision making, all of that kind of thing. They know where they are and because enzymes for instance that feed different cell tissues are awfully, awfully interesting, but they have always known for instance an awful long time that certain cells, tissue cells, gather their food off the carriers that come along, the enzymes, because those enzymes, those particular enzymes vibrate at a certain, very small electrical frequency. They'll pass different tissues but once it gets to the one that it's meant to go for or attracted to the cell it's attracted to has the same vibrational frequency and it crosses over and feeds that specific thing to that particular tissue. The same thing happens in parts of the brain with the neurotransmitter chemicals and so on.

And so they know darn well how to switch things off and on in the brain by putting in parallel frequencies, even adding certain things to your diet or a vaccine it doesn't matter, or even spraying it on you from the air it doesn't make any difference. And they'll travel to that particular part of the brain, using a similar frequency, but there is something altered within it. The brain will accept it say, the tissue, and a certain area will accept it as the proper transmitter chemical and so on, and nutrient, and it will actually switch it off. That's the big area they are still working on today, big, big science they're working on, turning on the different transmitters in the brain. And in fact even the published material they will put out for the general public will say oh they can switch off pain centers and switch off this and switch off that. Yeah but they can also switch off your decision making too, you see, and make you very passive and obedient and easy going, very likeable but easy going and obedient to the system.

That's what Brzezinski and others said a long time ago in their own writings, Zbigniew Brzezinski, and many others that eventually, and the Club of Rome said it too, that democracy wouldn't work, you had to have passive people. He said the general public wouldn't need their critical thinking abilities because the state from an early age right through their life would be making all their decisions for them. That's the ideal population for the world for the future, and the present actually.

Neil: Yes and in terms of the vaccines and the other toxins I should say stuff sprayed from the air or stuff in the food or whatever, do you think they are trying to bring about that kind of perfect cocktail with toxins?

Alan: Oh they have done it. They have done it. Bertrand Russell wrote about it and he had two books, "The Impact of Science on Society" and another book on science too but he said in it, he said, this is what he said, I'll be as close as I can to what I remember; it's a paraphrase of it. He said, he was talking about the problems with society not being obedient and this problem of independence and independent decision making and so on, as far as managing the herd goes, as he calls them, but he said that we'll have various things, poisons and so on, in the food; he says the problem is do we put it in the food, the water, or vaccinate it into them. And he said we shall do this, definitely by all these methods, plus we shall by means of the needle, he says, we can decrease their I.Q. points and so on. And that's exactly what we are seeing today.

Neil: Yeah. I just think of the alphas in Brave New World.

Alan: That is where all that came from. And remember that Brave New World was written about 1933 and the Huxleys of course were big into this world management system. They were the scientific elite class. In fact Julian Huxley, the brother of Aldous, said that when he worked at the United Nations and he was all for Planned Parenthood. He's the cofounder of Planned Parenthood, depopulation of the working peoples and so on. But he was also into finding ways of again making them more compliant, obedient, by the use of science. If the science could be improved to attack certain parts of the brain they could control whole populations.

And you add into this mix what Brzezinski said too in "Between Two Ages", the book, he said that we now, back in the 70's he said, we now have the means of using frequencies across whole continents, certain frequencies, low frequencies, which can pacify the general population and make them very happy etcetera, etcetera, even under conditions of course that they shouldn't be happy. So this has all been done today with the cell phone groupings across whole nations now.
Neil: Yeah of course they also said that people would come to love their servitude without actually knowing they were slaves.
Alan: Yes. A lot of them do actually you know.
Neil: Yeah well yeah definitely. I think it's slightly more over here sometimes I think. It's just the culture is a bit more how can I put it, it's just over entertainment over here. They need to be entertained. I'm mean it's bad in the UK but I don't think it is as bad as it is here but of course I suppose America had it first and they certainly had made good use of it.
In terms of again, going back to the vaccinations, I mean how far do you think they are going to take this, is it just going to be you get something at birth and that's going to cover everything, that's going to make you this docile adult and live a life for however long you are allowed to live? Maybe there will be something in it that kills you off at 30 or 40 years old or whatever I mean.
Alan: It's already pretty well, it's actually already here. I mean the cancer rates are phenomenal. I've talked to doctors in hospitals that deal with cancers in different countries and surgeons you know and they are finding new kinds of cancers all the time that they have never, ever seen before. There are so many that they can't even put names to them. They are so quick in appearing and they grow incredibly fast. They target specific tissues absolutely and in a very short time the patient's dead.
These are again new specific types of cancers across the whole civilized globe especially. Under civilized that means you are more prone to get all the vaccinations and special foods and everything else. So it's already pretty well here. The life expectancy has not gone up at all for most folk, men and women. And cancers are killing them off. There is no doubt about it the I.Q. is dropping so it is already working very well as far as the big boys are concerned and the public are not reacting. Bertrand Russell said eventually too, and remember he was one of the top creators of the present culture that we are living in today, and even changes in the culture you haven't experienced yet. He and the big planning committees worldwide, for the United Nations, worked on step by step how to degrade and bring down the cultures and then how to manipulate them into the new cultures, etcetera. And he said eventually with the use of all these methods, meaning the food, water, inoculations, vaccines, and the use of the needle especially to dumb folk down, he said, revolution would become impossible, as impossible as it would be for sheep to complain about the price of mutton. That's what he said. Well I personally think that's here.
Neil: Well it's a perfect analogy for the sheep walking about on two legs around here. Going back to the doctors, I mean in terms of their own children, I mean they must have a, I don't know if they have a bit of a dilemma, do they get special vaccines for them?
Alan: Some of them I know have had different vaccines for their children. Other ones don't give them to them at all. I think there was even an interesting article that came out about a year ago on some TV… America is great for the TV personalities; they even have it in patriot movements. They always create a personality, big marketing agendas, professionally done. But I think it was Dr. Oz that was on television, and he's always pushing the vaccinations, then it came out that he had massive personal shares in the vaccination companies in the vaccines he was pushing. But he had an interview and I think he said in the interview, and this caused a bit of a scandal, he said that he doesn't allow his own children to be vaccinated.
Neil: Yeah well I think that is fairly typical of a lot of, how should we put it, the better-paid doctors. I think there is a book, oh I can't remember, his first name is Neil as well, but he had all the graphs in there of all the so-called childhood illnesses and shown where the vaccines came in almost as those diseases were eradicated. And there were also charts in there for how many doctors and nurses and general medical staff vaccinated themselves and it was a very, very low percentage.
Alan: Yes. I also know, I also know for a fact, I mean and it's also proven too by the articles in the mainstream even, where they are actually having to force nursing staff in the States and maybe elsewhere too, but in the States, across different states, in New York especially, that's where it all started, they are forcing them to take the vaccines and believe in their own profession, in other words the drug industry, if they want to keep their jobs or they are fired immediately.
But I also know personally by talking to different ones that some of the doctors and the nursing staff had agreed to write down that they had given each other the shots when they hadn't, because they really don't trust them. Now when the medical profession involved don't trust them, because they see the effects, it's just so, it's evil. There is no other term for it; it's evil to force the population to believe this is all good for us.

Neil: Yeah. Well I mean I think if anybody in the medical profession, doctors, nurses, whatever, takes that kind of approach and chooses to falsify documents to suggest that they have taken a vaccine when they did not and then they go on to administer it to somebody else then there has got to be some level of criminality there.
Alan: Oh there definitely is but the whole system we live in, and I have no problems saying this, it's a corrupt system. It is a criminal system. The only reason it isn't called criminal is because they run the legal system and they make it legal to do what they are doing. All you are left with is the term immoral. That's all you are left with now these days.
Neil: Yeah. I was just thinking in terms of somebody going to the doctor and the doctor vaccinates a child, and the child gets autism or some other illness and you find out later that, that doctor refuses to vaccinate his own children.
Alan: That's right.
Neil: But he is giving it to yours. I mean surely a parent...
Alan: And then he'll also refute that it's harmed your child. It's ultimate hypocrisy.
Neil: Yeah. I mean in the UK, the NHS in the UK has a vaccine damage payment scheme. And yet they will tell you it doesn't damage your child. So I mean it's crazy. But even if you look at that though, the amount of money they offer you is dependent on what benefits you are on and all this, and basically you lose everything else if you take this payment. In the long term you are going to be worse off because your child is going to cost so much money to look after. I mean an example here with the autistic lad here, he's 12 years old, he is 160 lbs, he barely eats anything apart from chicken nuggets because that's all he will eat. He drinks this false kind of milk, this chocolate milk. That's all he will drink, sometimes water. And he's $200 a month on diapers. You know but there is no help. There is no help for that. He's just an ordinary child.
Alan: And many of them too that take different amounts of aid have to write a legal, sign a legal form, that they'll never try and sue any of those companies, those vaccine companies they say could have caused it.
Neil: Yeah. Well I mean there is no help for this child. He's classified by the state as an ordinary child. He costs the same as somebody that's going to an ordinary school. But the other thing and we only have about ten minutes left. The other thing was the television and videos and computers. Now this little lad here, he is obsessed with certain cartoons. Particularly the trailer adverts on these videos. And he will repeat them over and over and over all day, the same one, over and over and over again. And I watched him yesterday I think it was, he was on the computer, and he was watching the, somebody had posted, I mean he's not unintelligent. He is very intelligent, he can spell things out on the computer, he just can't talk. But he knows what he's doing and he knows how to find things on a computer. And he found a video for 20th Century Fox and all the different open sequences it's had over the years, and he was watching this constantly for maybe an hour just back and forth, it was the whole thing. And I don't know what it is, maybe it's the certain way the lights are on the computer or the TV that makes them, I mean it's almost an addiction.
Alan: Well it's meant to be addictive even for children who haven't got the diagnosis. There's an incredible amount of science that goes into creating cartoons today. They've got neuroscientists working with them, they always have had by the way, and psychologists and the ones who put in their latest indoctrination of political correctness imbedded in the cartoons and so on. But they also now have, you have MIT working with them and different ones as well to group certain pixel lines together too because they have found that language itself, remember language is body, visual, auditory, and tactile and so on. So it all comes together and when you realized that there are certain things that you won't consciously remember by seeing something, it's like subliminal, embedded little groups of pixels that flash little colors that are almost imperceptible to your eye, are highly acute to the child and that hits them even faster. That technology was invented by the Pentagon. And I've read the articles on the air in previous years from the Pentagon talking about how they can manipulate the transmitters in the brain and so on and signals and responses. And they even claimed so much so that if they wanted to by transmitting certain frequencies and pixel groupings and certain flashy little colors that you can barely see, they can actually alter not just the brainwave patterns of the recipient or the watcher but they can also turn off the signal that goes to your heart if they really had a nasty enemy to kill and literally your heart would simply stop beating you know.
So this is incredible stuff when you realize what you are watching. Everything has been weaponized and when you have big social agendas that are imperative to the elites, they keep having world meetings about all of this stuff, about us, then they mean what they say and they use, they've got unlimited financing from us, you know the slaves always pay for their own chains or bullets that are used against them, and they are using it on the general population.
Neil: Yeah. I just wondered if he's actually, as you say the imperceptible colors that aren't visible to the normal human eye if you like, I'm wondering if he's actually seeing them.

Alan: His brain won't have the various cloaks in between that tend to cloud it and it would be more acute to him. Because as I say autistic children are far more perceptible to certain visual cues and so on. Little things that might look normal to you could terrify them because they look huge to them sometimes. And even movements that are normal to you could be distorted almost like an LSD trip where a giant is coming towards you but it's just another child. That can happen so they can be more easily affected by these impulses on the brain.
Neil: Yeah. I mean he actually watches the television, I mean it's a color television, he's the only one that watches it in the house but he has to have it and you know it's just one of those things. And he'll actually watch it through colored glass sometimes, different colored glass. Like glass balls or something or a colored plate or whatever. And some of his reactions are, it's almost like he is seeing something completely different from what you are looking at.
Alan: What you are seeing is very similar to say LSD where in fact they brought out all these, I don't know if you understand it, LSD was brought out by the CIA and put across America. MI5 did it internally. They used to throw sacks of it over, literally free sacks over the university walls to get them started, the students started using it. And they put out all their heroes again. The personality cult as always, and then the people follow it, Timothy Leary and so on. And Aldous Huxley as well, doors of perception, pushing all this stuff. But they even brought out, because they'd done all the experimentation on glasses they could wear like the John Lennon type glasses, the little round ones. But they had cut flat crystal pieces across them to give you almost like an ant's view, or a bee's view all around you of these different distortions. It's like a hundred different pictures all in the one eye basically through these glasses. So they were, they knew darn well what it does and with autistic children they sometimes have that similar effect, it has it on them too. So, this all ties completely in to alterations of perception according to neurotransmitters and so on the way they are perceived in the brain.
Neil: Yeah. Paula has just reminded me, she took him to a movie theater once and he passed out as soon as the movie started. Just unconscious and then they had to take him out obviously. You know it's, you talk about different reactions but there is a fan in the living room ceiling. And if that's on when he comes back from school he just you know, he kind of freaks out a bit. And generally that's what happens but yesterday he wanted it on, he switched it on and he was standing and laughing at it. So you are never quite sure what's going to happen next kind of thing.
Alan: That's right.
Neil: You know that's the way it is. Are there any? Where do you see this ending? I mean are they trying to make everybody to some extent on the autism spectrum somewhere?
Alan: Well they are trying to because they keep expanding their psychiatric definitions, attention deficit and ADHD, and on and on it goes. And then they bring it into a spectrum disorder, then they normalize it. They have been normalizing it for years actually with special classes in schools for special children they call it. And things like that never existed when I was young because we didn't have all the problems. We were all in the same classes. But today it's so obvious that they have to separate them. Or else get them special tuition and then they dumb down the general classroom in the process so they are all having the same very, very basic and more minimalistic education. Which again ties in with they are easier to manage because they think they are getting educated but they are not. So they have normalized the whole thing in society. That's what you do with a good crisis; you normalize it until it is normal.
Neil: Yeah. Paula was just mentioning video games; a lot of children have seizures from video games as well. I suppose that's the same thing as the sensory kind of overload and whatever it is they are seeing in the games themselves of course we will never know. I mean have you seen any studies where they're deliberately putting things in as a kind of experiment to see if people can see them or not?
Alan: Remember the Military Industrial Complex invented video games, these games to train their troops, to desensitize them from killing. So the more they can do it in a fantasy world, just bang, bang, bang, bang, bang, as they go through their game, then the idea is that, and it actually works, they'll just automatically kill. Because it is not a normal thing to do, killing like that. They automatically kill whatever they are told to kill to get through and that works awfully well.
Then they decided to market it to the children. They actually discussed, had top Pentagon meetings about it where they knew they'd have all these wars in the late 90's ongoing through the Middle East and so on. They had it all planned, and they said they would need a population that would grow up and be young enough, just the right age to join to be a new military. And so basically they raised a whole generation on the video games just in time for it all, Gulf War I, following into Gulf War II, it was really a continuation of the same thing to achieve all of these objectives. They also militarized the public by giving them so many movies that it's all normal. They have militarized it. The terminology's in books if you look around on all of these things

and it's all through the population's vocabulary now too, so they have militarized the general public as well for this very, very thing.
So these games desensitize you. The early studies that came out too, even in the early 70's, and 80's, they were having, and 90's, they were having children having complete convulsions in Japan with some of the most, like Pac Man and things like that. And it came out in Japan that they had been testing this out on children to see if they could induce seizures and so on and it worked awfully well. That was mainstream at the time.
Neil: Yes. Somebody actually just put that in the chat box just as you were talking there; it was on experimentation that took place in Japan with some cartoons as well.
Alan: You'll also see it too with the flickering fan syndrome depending on the new transmitting frequencies at that particular moment. It's very much like a flickering TV, the old-fashioned TV sets that could flicker once in a while. They also could cause seizures in people who are prone to epilepsy. But a flickering fan can have a similar effect. It can cause almost a euphoric experience, almost as if you are going out of the body experience, woo, you know, all that stuff. And sometimes it can cause you to be frightened with it because it can alter different frequencies in the brain itself and make you get nervous or anxious.
Neil: Yeah well certainly the fan in the ceiling is, you know he's clearly frightened of it at times. But it was just yesterday he was looking up at it and laughing.
Alan: That's right.
Neil: So there you go. Okay Alan, that's our hour flown by. It's great to talk to you again and we'll speak to you next month and we need to arrange a different day because I think I'm traveling.
Alan: Okay, yeah.
Neil: And I'll possibly be somewhere else out there. Okay, thanks again for your time and we'll talk to you again next month.
Alan: Well you take care of yourself.
Neil: You too. You've got winter up there; it's still sunny down here. We're okay.
Alan: I'm below the zeros all the time here now.
Neil: Yeah. Okay, talk to you next month.
Alan: Okay, cheerio.
Neil: Take care. Cheers Alan. Thank you.

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Nov. 16, 2014 (#1472)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"International Taxes and Free Trade Will Rob the Wages You've Just Been Paid"
© Alan Watt Nov. 16, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Nov. 16, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on November 16, 2014. I hope you're all getting through all the struggles of modern living as we go through the big agenda. Because things are getting pretty bad, aren't they? We know this, you see, through all the long history of the free-trade deals, going way back actually into the old British Empire days and John Dee who coined the term the Brytish Empire, which was to be the embryo of the trading system, which would also bring under its control, or London's control really, the mercantile system of the world. Along with it would come the political system, that would get exported, and then they would run it from a central system.

That was picked up, of course, if it never died away it could be the old underground stream as they called it, that's what the alchemists used to call it, underneath it, the farce for the public of alchemy, there was also scientific elite at the time. You can find that in New Atlantis and so on, with Francis Bacon for instance, things like that; it's quite fascinating to read it. But there was definitely a scientific elite of the day. You find that this idea of a world system under the control of the proper people... In those days they used to call them the bluebloods, the proper bloods, the ones who had the good breeding, and it was a hereditary thing because they had held onto their fortunes for a long time, after slaughtering lots of people to get it. But that's the history of the world.

The group centered in London, it never gave up this idea of fostering control. And all wars technically are economic wars, but along with it an economic system, especially trade deals and so on and with treaties comes binding, binding laws and all of your domestic laws must change to accommodate it. People don't realize that you can't still have your old-fashioned normal sort of laws that you're used to or accustomed to and have free trade. It doesn't happen that way, because you end up in a central/regional authority to be run of course under the control of a world governmental system eventually. And the Royal Institute of International Affairs is still pushing the same thing today. These are the guys that created the CFR for the US side and for other countries; they already had the British Commonwealth countries in the same club you might say, and with all their other elites. You find also that through binding treaties, and before that there

was lots of wars to take over countries and loot them obviously of all their resources, for the economic masters of the day, and it hasn't changed. Under different guises it's still the same.

Britain, for instance, really has… at least the Prime Ministers keep telling you, oh they have no real rights anymore, because Brussels, the big super government that runs the whole of Europe now, is superior and when they dictate something it must be so, including mass immigration to destroy the domestic culture. And that's what it is. It's not because we are all wonderful and so on and we're so prosperous we can take lots of people in, or everybody in. But the fact is, it was to destroy the domestic culture, that was more rebellious than the ones that they are actually bringing in. And that's to be the same system across the world with the free-trade deals.

That's why in the States of course, as they deepen the so-called ties – that was the old term they used, deepening ties, but now it is simply free-trade deals and binding agreements – that you must allow the free movement of goods, only with selected corporate multinational corporations mind you, and labor, across all national boundaries. And eventually the boundaries are to disappear. Or as Karl Marx said, he was on the same agenda taking it from a different side because they always use two sides, they would wither away, national states would wither away. And we also had Van Rompuy in the EU parliament of course, the top honcho, who isn't elected of course by the people because it's anything but Democratic, saying the same thing. I read it on the air from his own speech that he gave at the parliament, the days of the nation-state are over.

Now, if you don't have a nation anymore what's the point in voting? Because it's bad enough when you get a centralized government, again, a tenet of the Communist Manifesto that was also sponsored from London and New York. But if you can't have a local government even to demand things, you have no rights at all. Something that's far away or even centralized is, oh we're too busy to listen to your little problems. That's what they tell you. And when you get a regional government with many countries involved, then you have absolutely no say whatsoever. And you are being trained to go along with this but through dictatorial powers as opposed to pure persuasion and conology, as I call it. That's the term I always use, conology. We're ruled by conology, it's a fascinating subject.

Going way back, and with the onset, in fact, of the push towards amalgamation of Europe, you find that world wars were necessary too, and that's the excuse they gave for getting the secret governmental department set up. Since 1948 across Europe every Parliament had a branch of this secret government that was to gradually implement economic trade deals, and then it went under the guise of free trade, and then it got into the guise of, and give up all your rights and join the EU parliament, which is this super Parliament. But again, getting back to Karl Marx, isn't it amazing, he said that there could be three world regional governments over all regions that's under them, and that would reduce the national governments down to provincial governments, like tiny little localized ones, and they would all be under the yoke, the regional ones, of a super world Parliament.

Now, since the very onslaught of it every country has used the same technique, because this is the same group that are behind writing up these trade deals and amalgamations and so on, step by step by step, and you get accustomed to it, until you ignore it altogether, you adapt to it. We are always adapting to whatever they give us, you see, and it is through fiction, it's through nonfiction, it's through the youngsters coming into university who are immediately brainwashed in all of their politically correct updates, and that's how it's put forward, until the ones going to university come out thinking everything's normal, everything's quite normal. Even though you might be waving the same flag somewhere, but it's a completely different system running the country, you don't notice that. You still think, oh there's our flag so it must be the same thing. But it's not. Not at all.

The US is amazing for that. Because it is so stereotyped in the US of certain music, military martial music, and the flag-waving and the symbols, the symbols of the nation. The leaders drape themselves in the symbols, it's all done by the public relations guys for them of course, the big machinery that makes them superstars, and they can take you anywhere they want, because you still identify with them… Well, they must still be American, you see. So we are well under this way.

But I was reading the early economic trade deals for the European system, and it was called the common

market. They called it the common market, you know, that's how they introduced it, all these trade deals. And there was those two sets of books and two versions of it. One for the public to hear, that there will be, oh my God, they'll be so prosperous, everybody will have so many jobs, I mean you could take your pick from hundreds of them, everybody could take their pick, and prosperity would come your way, and if you didn't join and vote for it you'd be left behind. That's been repeated in every country, because the formula works, and it's all put forward by the Royal Institute of International Affairs, which is a private organization, remember, and it includes lots of politicians, the top ones, absolutely, and its branch in the US, the Council on Foreign Relations. It's amazing how things really are as opposed to how they are portrayed through propaganda, isn't it?

But in the common market idea, and the objections that came out at the time to it, people, economists and everybody else said that it's impossible, because they knew their history in economics, to do binding treaties, because they affect all the surrounding laws to do with trading, etc., but that filters right through the whole justice system into the rights of the people as well. So we are well underway. It's been on the go for years now. Most Western countries have been deindustrialized, that was all part of the plan. And the Far Eastern countries are suffering too because it was decided an awful long time ago, before I was born, that Australia and New Zealand and a few other countries would join, they would basically be the satrapies of China, and China is also on board with it. If you think China is independent and going their own way, forget it, folks. The same guys that created modern China also created your prosperity in the 50s and 60s and then took it away again. That's what really happened. That's the real history of the world.

Super conology has been used all along… all along, to trick you, and to give you that version of reality. Because your sanity is judged by the way that you bounce ideas, from things you have heard from the media and so on and sources, off of your friends. And they get the same bombardment. And if you all agree on things, because you've all heard the same things, then you must be quite sane. That's how you see it. But if you say something different, if you're the very odd person that goes deeper to really know what's going on, to find out why. And you find the history of this, it's ancient, ancient history, and the techniques have always come through from the same sources as I say, then you find that there is a much, much deeper and more explanatory version you can find of why everything is happening, where it's supposed to go, long-term, by step-by-step intergenerational training for adaptation into it, until they have what they want. And we're pretty well about 90% there, folks, for those who don't know that.

The US is not the US of even 30 or 40 years ago. Britain is gone, completely gone, today. It's so overrun with immigration that even back in Margaret Thatcher's day, back in the early 80s and so on, she said, oh we've got to open the doors to mass immigration because not enough people are having children to pay off the national debt. Where have you heard that before? And since? Because all the countries have used it, the same thing. You see, you're really a slave, and Charles Galton Darwin said that and he explained very well in his book The Next Million Years. He said, there has always been slavery in one form or another and we are in the process of creating a new more sophisticated form of slavery. This is what some of the characters in the 19th century were talking about, a technique of mass persuasion using conology and the understanding of human nature to get the public to do anything that the elite wanted them to do.

Never think for a second that you have bumbling bureaucracies at the top and bumbling agencies. That's all a cover for what they are really up to, believe you me. They don't make mistakes at that level. They don't. And they know you exactly and they know how you will adapt to everything, because they got thousands, now, of neuroscientists, a big, big field, with all these prostitutes leaving university getting right into it for governmental and government appointed jobs for private systems, all this massive, megalithic system of smaller agencies all working for the government. That way of course they can say, well, we are independent. But technically they are all working for the government, they're all getting your tax money. You see. And if you complain to government about these agencies the government will say, well, they are not ours, you know, they are private and we cannot interfere. That's the trick they have used for such an awful long time, and they are still using it. Clever, isn't it, but they are really clever at the top. And they do, from the top think tanks that they have, stacks of big think tanks working 24 hours in shifts per day, to fool you and con you on every single level. And they bring in the neuroscientists and the psychologists and the behaviorists and so on, and the whole plethora of academia, to make sure that the big plans will never go awry.

Now, we are fooled on every level. Nothing is forgotten or comes out of there by accident. You find that

even in the alternative media. Nothing has been forgotten by the big boys, nothing whatsoever. I actually had to laugh because for years I would get up in the morning and I'd go through all the world news and so on, and explain the parts that the media were not telling you, to make sense of the story – because I know my stuff, you see – and why things were happening, and why this story was in the paper and here's the other side of the story, here's the rest of the story, the other three quarters which they omit. I used to laugh because the next day talk show hosts would be parroting the same stories. I felt like I was working for them, because they never mention you, at all. So nothing has been missed, folks. I don't tell you to go and vote for people either, or some savior is going to come along, it doesn't matter who it is, and do it all, you know, the one, the Hollywood one that they always give you in the movies, you're the one, you'll clean up town. Forget that, folks, unless you are a real schmuck. It won't happen. It never has. It never has, in history.

But that's the cons that go on, left, right and center across the world from the top, right through the structure of your system, right down to your local governments and local councils even, everybody is on the take. Everything is a scam. Because you see, the morality that we used to have has been completely eradicated, from the days of Freud onwards basically, and maybe even before that there will be some too, but really from the days of Freud. And even if you're not religious, the fact is, you had common moralities given to you. Even if you were an atheist you couldn't help getting away from the common moralities of decency and etiquette amongst people and you didn't meet strangers and start effing and so on, and cussing and swearing and being awfully lewd, like you do today, that is now the new normal. The Frankfurt School, another big branch that was put out there to destroy your cultures, deliberately, and they admitted it in their own writings, lots of books they put out, and all the characters underneath them. I've gone through all of this in the past of course, go into cuttingthroughthematrix.com website into the archives and you can hear lots, thousands of talks I've given as I have gone through all of this too. It's been used up and many folk have churned out books on this information. I've even had characters in universities that keep in touch with me, and they went through universities using the information I put out for their thesis. It's quite amazing. And I'm sitting here wondering how you fix the transmission in your car, that they want $2500 for, because I'm not selling you stuff to keep you immortalized and to fight off every possible disease under the sun, to make a massive buck. I'm just telling you how things are. And that's why I started all this stuff in the first place. But I definitely don't con you. And I don't tell you who to vote for. Because voting really is a waste of time.

If you had an honest politician they would be Kennedy'd very quickly; not that Kennedy was an honest politician, and McKinley before and so on. They'd get them popped off so fast, it's so easy to do. They don't have to make a big spectacle of it, like killing of the King, and Kennedy. They can do it, they generally do it very quietly, you come down with a massive cancer or something, just spontaneously. Sir James Goldsmith who was no angel himself, who came over to the US and talked to the Senate, and you can hear the old tapes, I played them on the air, of his speech in the Senate of the US, he came over from England. He was a top tycoon, this character, who had no problems about ripping oil off of other countries and all the rest of it for many years. But when he saw what was happening with the European Union he came out and tried to form a party to break away all together, all together, from the European Union. He said, it will destroy you. He explained all this, what would happen in the US, to the Senate and the next week of course all the guys who agreed with him in the Senate voted to go along and pass all the trade deals regardless. Because they are all corrupt, folks, that's why they are put in there. And they all belong, again, to the private organizations under the Royal Institute of International Affairs, such as the Council on Foreign Relations and its other branch that it spawned, which is the Trilateral Commission, and all the other little things that you hear about too. That's the reality of the world.

But the corruption, as I say, is right down to the bottom level. If you find, for instance, and I even had to laugh this week because I couldn't even walk up a kind of steepish hill, that's nothing at all in the summer, on this little side road. And it was so icy, incredibly icy, but you could imagine if you were driving, and I couldn't drive because my transmission was gone. But you could imagine if you were driving, it's like a sheet of ice at times. You get a bit of sun, it partially melts it but then it will freeze at night and it's worse than ever, it's like glass. You phone up the local works department and, oh the guy hums and haws, and he says, we'll give it a scattering of dirt on the hill, you know, a scattering of sand or something, you know, a couple of buckets or whatever. And oh, he says, that would cost about $500, he says, oh. He said, I've done that already, which is an absolute lie because I've gone up and down it, and walked up and down it since the

snow started even and I haven't seen a damn thing put on it, there hasn't been a scrape put on it by the snowplow, not that it's deep enough for the snowplow but they haven't even done that, but there has been no graders that have come up and sanded it. But you see, if you were to really examine the books, that would be put down as it's been done. Why do you think, even in small townships, that's generally family run, you know, daughters and all the rest of it, and mayors, etc. Because all that money is flowing in from your taxes, so they can live awfully well off of you.

Again, getting back to Charles Galton Darwin, in the 1950s writing that book, The Next Million Years, he said it's the natural right for the elite to do things which the public are not allowed. He's talking about ripping you off and that. After all, how can a small elite live off of you, and you are working harder than them, for God's sake, hmm, but they do, don't they? Because money and wealth comes from you at the bottom. That's why they are all in on the scam. The same with corporate welfare, all the big multinationals and corporations get huge grants from different countries, because, oh our projects are so big we can't do it all ourselves, and you finance them. Sometimes they will even get the government to put it forward by the lobby groups to pass bills that you will start the construction, then they will take it over as privatized and it's theirs for nothing. This is common stuff. And we accept it all. You accept everything, folks. Because we're so entertained, like never before.

Plato said it too, cities would be the method to use, because nothing is natural in the city. No one is plowing fields in the city or feeding themselves or anything else. They are all living off the rural areas basically through taxations. But that's how he said they would put forth their system in the book The Republic. It's quite funny, isn't it? But the public never catch on. If they get away with their little niceties, little nice things, oh it will comfort us and so on, and get their feet up at night and zonk out in front of that TV, and get further propagandized by it no matter what they are watching, then they are quite content. Do you know the studies that they've done on all of us? It's just astronomical. Astronomical! They know you better than you'll ever know yourself.

Now, getting back to the topic of free trade of course, and the next step and the next step and the next step, as they go along and along and along, and you get little bits in the paper, a few lines that tell you absolutely zilch, which implies to you, well don't be concerned about it, it's got nothing to do with you. You will only get angry or irritable, and motivated in fact, if the press tells you to do so. Otherwise it won't happen. They know this, the big boys. That's why they tell you every so often, oh there's mass protest in this country, they are using slaves and so on, blah-blah-blah. And then before you know it you've got a charity group on the go, fleecing you for tax money to go up and help these poor girls, that they tell you have all gone missing, whether it's true or not. But they don't tell what's happening to you at home and where it's supposed to go with you: Complete austerity. Postindustrial, post consumerist austerity. And I've said for years now, the whole idea was to reduce you down to basic sustenance basically, sustainability. That's what the term sustainability means, down to the lowest common denominator where all your income will eventually go to everything you need to basically, basically survive. Water. Food. Electricity. Heating yourself in the winter. All of these things will be heavily, heavily taxed.

The whole Agenda 21, for instance, which is also called the Millennium Project and sustainability and so on, it's all the same agenda. It's the same thing from the same people. They even gave it different names once the public were catching on, through guys like myself, telling you what it means with Agenda 21. The United Nations had an article out to all their NGOs, to call them different names so as that the public would start to get confused and forget about it. It's all done, folks. When plans are made and it's all signed into agreements, it's done. And you have to eventually get faded and phased off the road, completely, and live in your little community, where supposedly there will be private transportation only for the big corporations, which run the buses and so on for you. But no private vehicles except essential vehicles only: police, fire, ambulance, bureaucrats, agencies and so on, and governmental. That's where it's supposed to go.

Remember too, the Club of Rome, and I launched that one too, from their article in the 1970s, their book called The First Global Revolution, they went through that too. Democracy wouldn't work, and they all knew that. They weren't talking off their own bat basically as a think tank, a private think tank. They were talking because they are part of this big global structure financed by the same people. It's got many branches, again, to obfuscate who is really behind it. It's the same bunch behind it, always. They said in that book that, there's too much bickering, for instance, amongst people and parties, they can't get their big agendas

through, so they would need new techniques to ram agendas through. That's when the ones that came up with, oh pollution and so-and-so would be used against the public, to bring us into serfdom basically, and into the sustainable communities, and do what you're told, etc., and you would adapt to it step by step by step. Now we know it's through terrorism that they're going to use.

But in The First Global Revolution they talked about, yeah pollution and all the rest of it was all man's fault, and deforestation is all man's fault, therefore the enemy would be man. Man, you see, and that's what they would use against you... It's all your fault, it's all your fault for breeding and having children... Right? Meanwhile the domestic people, the population of the natural people of various countries have been plummeting in the so-called industrialized, or post-industrialized nations, plummeting since really the 1930s. So you can't be good, you see. A lot of folk only started having two children when they were told to, in the 1920s they started the big push for that. They said actually after World War I that, they had not killed off enough people. So in the 20s they pushed again for, oh cut down the families, cut down the families. And books came out saying, we'll believe that when all the big top politicians and all the House of Lords in Britain, when they compared and used their children, and they were having sometimes 10 in a family. But you were to have less, you see, maybe two. So the goody-two-shoes went, okay I will have two. So you have two, and that's just basically maintaining each other, the population, you know, male and female will breed down the road and have offspring. Then they reduce it to one, you see. Now your population is not only level, it's falling dramatically. Again too, even if you try and get two it falls dramatically because there are still children that die in childbirth. Now you've got autism and everything else involved as well. They are disabled, and that's what they call it. If they were disabled, and I'm using their terms of course, and all the PC terms, and they are allowed to, by the way, in top academia to use the terms they've been using forever, as they tell you to use other terms like challenged and so on. But they said that no one would... they would be ineligible for marriage partners.

They want a fast takedown in Africa, places like that. But in the West they couldn't have fast takedowns because the people would... I mean, even we, we are all dumbed down, propaganda indoctrinations, we'd start to notice, wouldn't we? So as more and more folk become disabled and disabled and have chronic diseases, and even chronic aging diseases that would hit them much, much younger, right down to the new ones and you got arthritis, juvenile arthritis they call it, and that's normal, just like autism is normal now. We adapt and we adapt because we are bombarded with propaganda, and anything to do with emotion, when they bring emotion into it and you see the poor children, you're not thinking straight, folks. You're not asking the right questions like, why did this suddenly go sky-high? Then again there's a whole history behind all of that, why it happened. Of course we know why it happened, I've given many talks about it before. But, a slow takedown, and you've got it. You've got it.

And truly, let's be honest too, if they are really worried about too many people, and they've signed all these agreements, and even the US and Canada and so on have signed them with China, to depopulate and bring down the population, then you would close your borders and so on. You wouldn't be saying, oh at the same time we're bringing in mass immigration from some of the most populated countries in the world, like parts of India. And don't forget that India has the second largest middle-class, yeah, it's really the largest middle-class population in the world. You always see the poor in Nepal and they're poverty-stricken, because they got a huge population, they also have a much, much larger middle-class population and they are exported basically. That's one of their main exports from India, are people, with a good education and so on. And China is taking them in too now. But as I say, if they're really worried about all that you would not be bringing them, would you?

Because it's all a ruse. Because you see, to rule over a whole world you must destroy the national cultures, that caused them too much trouble down through history. In other words, they fought back at times. And they have a sliding scale of the ones that they are more afraid of, right down to the ones that they are not worried about at all. You see. Again, another... It's a whole, whole history behind that, that will give other folk ideas for stories which you will hear about soon, I'm sure.

Here's an article here and this is from The Center for Progressive Reform. Progressive reform... that's an old term, by the way, that the Communists used in the West. Because the Communists were working for the same group, you see, as the top capitalists. And reform, you better understand what reform means, folks. When they say reform they mean a completely different way of living. Agenda 21, that's what it is, but they

will never mention the term again in these articles. It says here...

Thirteen Essential Regulatory Actions
Barack Obama's Path to Progress in 2015-16
progressivereform.org

(Alan: Oh, it's always progress. But the thing is, you're going backwards with your finances and all the rest of it and the cost of living. Because if they could have mandatory annual quantitative easing they call it, which is inflation, reducing the buying power of your dollar, you see. But the costs don't go down, they go up and up and up, and more taxes.)

President Obama faces a Congress united by party, but fundamentally at odds with the agenda he intends to pursue. Republican legislators will not advance legislation to effectively address the top public health issues of our time (A: So there is the segue to get you off track, public health issues, they're really worried about your health, the same folk that mandate inoculations, and get the stats on what's happened to the folk that are getting them. And here they are...) —climate change (A: ...climate change, the big con of climate change, you see. I mean, you've always had climate change, that used to be the daily weather differences, that is climate change, folks. It's all conology. And you've got the aerial spraying and so on, it's down to a fine art now, it's routine, daily. I mean, even the BBC a few years back said that we are stopping long-term forecasts because they couldn't predict the weather anymore. Because you see, the air forces were not telling them what they were going to cause that day, by the sprays that they give them. Anyway...)—climate change, air and water pollution (A: You are deindustrialized, the air has never been so clean as it is today. The factories, there are few factories left except the ones involved in the military-industrial complex. But the air is much, much cleaner. But, apparently it is worse, apparently... Everything is doublespeak, isn't it?) ...)—climate change, air and water pollution, workers' rights, and food safety. (A: But I like that air and water. These are the same guys that have been putting chemicals in our water for years, knowing darn well the effects of it on you. Again, it depopulates you, there's various cancers and it certainly dumbs you down.)

If the President is to accomplish much of anything on these issues during his final two years in office, it will almost certainly be by means of regulation. (A: Now, what they mean is executive orders.) He need not strain the bounds of his authority to do so, of course. He simply needs to see to it that the various agencies of his Administration move in a timely way, with an appropriate sense of urgency.

The Center for Progressive Reform's Issue Alert, Barack Obama's Path to Progress in 2015-16: Thirteen Essential Regulatory Actions (or here in PDF) (A: I'll try and put that PDF up tonight.), by CPR President Rena Steinzor, CPR Senior Policy Analysts James Goodwin and Matthew Shudtz, and CPR Policy Analyst Anne Havemann, identifies 13 essential regulatory actions that agencies are working on right now to address critical public health concerns. All of these actions can and should be completed before the President leaves office. These rules come out of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (A: See, they're not there for the reasons that you have been brainwashed to think that they are there for, folks.), the Department of Labor, and the Department of Transportation. (A: Now, think about all these things. Total control of your water, your food. I mean, they are mandating more and more poisons to get put into your food, and the GMOs and all the pesticides that go along with it, and they will up all the doses of that. And the Department of Labor, who can work and who can't work, etc., or who gets priority jobs, even incomers from outside. And the Department of Transportation, because you see, they want the cars off the road. They are to be phased off the road in about, oh, it's not that far now, I think they're talking about 2020, early, starting it, until you can't afford it, you see. And then they will put various penalties and taxes on so it's much more expensive to drive. They will put higher taxes on even repairs for your vehicles, things like that, road taxes, insurance, and so on until you give it up. And the idea is that you will move out from rural areas into the overcrowded cities, and then you get the public transportation only. That's Agenda 21.) All are now years overdue. Each day, people get ill and too many die because earlier Administrations dragged their feet on these problems. (A: You're deindustrialized... Canada has been deindustrialized from about, it was starting in the 70s, and through the 80s and 90s. Then everybody went up, lock, stock and barrel through the free trade with China, that they earlier first agreed, a big, big agreement to the World Trade Organization, another private body by the Royal Institute of International Affairs/Council on Foreign Relations, and whole factories uprooted and were gone. You paid for it all through your tax money, that was part of the trade deal, so that the big corporations wouldn't lose a penny.)

Because time is short and so much work remains to be done, the Issue Alert (A: It's called issue alert...) recommends that the President appoint a senior White House advisor to be the point person to organize and ride herd (A: ...ride herd... I like that... [Alan chuckles.] Guess who the herd are?) over the considerable effort that will be required to make these and other rules final by no later than June 30, 2016. (A: Well, they all had a big meeting about that already. I mean sorry, they had one in June of this year, and one for next year, and one for 2016 is to be where they get all these things augmented and put into place.) The authors choose that June 2016 date because it effectively immunizes the rules from repeal under the Congressional Review Act, (A: Are these guys working for... Why would you vote when they're obviously not working for you? And never have been. And if you don't know by now you never will. I mean, phew, you know, talk about lawyers, lawyers ruling everything, eh. What do you expect?) should the Republican Party take control of the White House and hold both houses of Congress in the 2016 elections. (A: It won't make a darn bit of difference who gets in because they're all working for the same boys at the top.)

The "Essential 13" regulatory actions highlighted in the issue alert are:

•National performance standards to limit greenhouse gas emissions (A: ...that's your driving and your heating and everything else.) from fossil-fueled power plants. (A: Now, a power plant, a vehicle is a power plant, folks. I hope you understand this. This will get parroted by talk show hosts tomorrow by the way.)

EPA rules that would reduce climate-disrupting greenhouse gas emissions from new and existing fossil-fueled power plants, saving thousands of lives. (A: Now, just to let you in on the whole driving thing and cars. They have been adding more and more ethanol to the gasoline for years now, and they up it every year, until your engine is burning out much faster because it makes them awfully hot, and it puts holes in the pistons and pits everything. It also attracts lots of moisture, it's a pure spirit that they add in and it attracts moisture into your fuel tank so it rusts things out even in there. But I've read the articles from the latest study that was done in the big car companies and small engines companies and everything that you use at home even, are complaining that a brand-new, say, small engine for instance, after a week on the ethanol mix that they are selling you, it looks like it's been used for about 20 years, it's all pitted and almost holed and so on, and chips off of it because of the high heat it puts out there. They call it biofuels, you see. It sounds wonderful, bio, everything is bio, you see. See, they don't have to ban you from driving, just make it impossible to keep going, as you can't afford a new engine every few months. And I mean that, because as they up this ethanol you wait and see folks, it's going to happen.)

•Preventive controls for processed foods.
FDA rules that would prevent catastrophic foodborne illness (A: ...what catastrophic foodborne illness, eh?...) outbreaks caused by processed foods, such as the recent Salmonella-tainted peanut butter outbreak that killed nine people. (A: I remember when they said it was even inside the eggs... Oh dear, eh. What nonsense.)

•Produce safety.
An FDA rule that would prevent catastrophic foodborne illness outbreaks caused by tainted fresh produce, such as the recent Listeria-tainted cantaloupe that killed 33 people. (A: Phew, there you go folks. Could it be the stuff that it's grown in? Could it be the GM stuff, genetically modified? blah-blah-blah, and all the pesticides? Are they going to tell you? Of course they'll never tell you.)

•Imported food safety.
FDA ruled that would hold imported foods, which comprise 15 percent of all foods consumed in the United States, to the same high standards that apply to foods produced domestically.

•Silica standard.
An OSHA rule to better protect the 2 million U.S. workers exposed to dangerous levels of silica dust in the workplace. (A: These are the guys that are spraying you from the air, folks, and have been since 1998 on a daily basis, and they are worried about what you breathe?)

•National ozone air pollution standard.
An EPA rule that would annually prevent up to up to 12,000 premature deaths. (A: They always rationalize

something by bogus figures they pick out of the air. And I mean that, they do pick them out of the air. Do you remember when the crash came in 2008? the supposed crash, it was all no news before? A spokeswoman from the Federal Reserve was in front of some panel for inquiry and she demanded some huge massive amount of money. It seems huge to us because we deal in peanuts, compared to these guys who demand millions when they demand money, and to them that's peanuts. Actually, it was like a down payment almost, a deposit, that she demanded from the US taxpayer via the government to bail out these banks and so on. They asked her about one month later why she picked that number, it was an ongoing inquiry. She says, well it just seemed like a good round number at the time. They obviously had no idea why they picked it, they just picked it out of thin air. Everything they give you, stats and all the rest of it, it's just out of thin air, folks, the statistics and so on. If we get back to the last little bit of the same article it says...)

•National ozone air pollution standard.
An EPA rule that would annually prevent up to up to 12,000 premature deaths. (A: They just pick it out of the air. Premature deaths... Really? Ok. You know.)

•"Waters of the United States" regulatory definition.
An EPA rule to ensure that wetlands and smaller water bodies receive the full protections of the Clean Water Act. (A: Really? Again, think about all the aerial spraying and all the chemicals that are coming down on you.)

•Child farm-labor safety rules.
EPA and Department of Labor safeguards to better protect vulnerable child agriculture workers, one of whom dies in a farming-related incident roughly every three days. (A: It seems they're precise doesn't? Does it really, every three days?)

•Crude-by-rail safety standards.
A Department of Transportation rule that would prevent train derailments and crashes involving the more than 415,000 rail-carloads of flammable crude oil traveling across the United States each year. (A: Really? You know who bails them out and fixes the lines and so on? Even that one that we had in Québec earlier this year? The taxpayer picks up the tab. The private company that owns the tracks now and the freight and so on, they said that they would go bankrupt and if they would go bankrupt you would get no freight at all. There you go. That's how it was given to the public, that excuse. They are not caring about what comes off, in fact, there's less and less safety standards. In Canada when they privatized the CN rail, that passes me all the time, eventually the new company of course got rid of a lot of its staff, the unions disappeared pretty well. You don't see the guys going along tapping the rail tracks and so on, and looking at the conditions of the spikes that constantly raise up and back out of the railroad ties. And it's unsafe and unsafe and unsafe. It's all speedo, speedo, speedo, less people employed, etc. And you know, even the workers that are employed to deliver the freight now and all the guys involved in it, are on standby, they're not full-time anymore. Standby, and so they are only given two or three hours warning before the loads are ready to go out, to save the corporations money.

•National stormwater pollution controls.
An EPA rule that would prevent harm to lakes, rivers, and streams caused by polluted stormwater.

•Coal ash waste disposal standards.
An EPA rule to require power plants to better manage the more than 129 million tons of coal ash they produce annually, in order to prevent contamination of adjacent ground and surface waters, as well as disastrous spills.

•Concentrated animal feeding operation water pollution standards.
An EPA rule to regulate disposal of the more than 500 million tons of manure produced each year. (A: This is the carbon stuff and, oh, methane... methane, oh my God, eh, is going to kill us all off.)

•Permit "eReporting" for the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System.
An EPA rule that would strengthen the agency's ability to respond to water pollution violations. (A: You are going to pay. If you think you're paying a lot now for your water in the cities and so on, and for your food

and everything else you need, and even to drive, you better take courses on living in poverty, folks, because that's another term for AUSTERITY, as these guys put it across. And I'm not kidding about that.)

Read the full report, Barack Obama's Path to Progress in 2015-16: Thirteen Essential Regulatory Actions. Or read it in PDF form.

I'll put that up tonight, this article and the PDF is in the article, you can download it yourself. And many talk shows will grab this stuff, because I'm doing all their work for them, and prattle on but they will never mention where they heard it from or the explanatory stuff I fill in for you to explain why it's all happening.

Obama's $3bn for climate fund could kickstart action on global warming
theguardian.com / Suzanne Goldenberg / 14 November 2014

(A: This is, again, like the deposit for it. [Alan chuckles.] Your money of course.)

Barack Obama's handshake deal with China and $3bn pledge for climate finance could break down the wall between rich and poor countries (A: Ah, see they care about you being rich and poor, eh?) that has blocked action on global warming for 20 years, observers of the negotiations said.

(A: ...even though we're freezing here. Where I'm living here there was two days of sunshine, I mean, like two full days of sunshine all, what would normally and used to be, summer. This place used to be baking because it was berry country, berry picking country, all the blueberries and so on, all the berries grow up this way. Not anymore, folks, as they intensified the spray and intensified it, it's been like floods every year, and winters that just don't end. But reality doesn't matter, does it? I can remember, it was a court case a couple of years ago, again in the US I think it was, and one in Canada too. It was a farmer who Monsanto was after, one of their private armies sort of thing, because they supposedly found seed that was mixed in with his crop, that he did not plant of course. The judge said eventually that, he didn't care how that seed got there, whether a bird pooped it out or it got blown in by the wind or whatever, it's on his property, he was guilty and that was it. ...to get him out of business. And when the facts came up he says, facts don't matter. That's the reality we're living in, facts don't matter anymore, folks. Don't try and enlighten people who don't want to get enlightened, because facts don't matter to them, they don't matter at all.)

The White House announced the $3bn pledge on Friday, putting climate change firmly on the agenda of the G20 summit in Brisbane and injecting momentum into United Nations talks ahead of a key meeting in Lima this month. (A: ...they are on the go all the time. The guys who set up these big meetings literally have their work cut out for them the next 20 years, because there's meeting after meeting across the whole planet. Why do you think it's all over the planet, folks? Places you can't get to? To attend? Not that you would get in the door. It's all secret, folks. It's one big agenda. The G20, I mean, is that why you vote for folk because they are now in charge of the business of the whole country? Really? It's the same thing with countries that borrow money from the private banks and moneylenders, the big money lenders, to give through agreements to other countries to supposedly help them out, Third World countries. Is that what your government is? It's a business? That's what it is, it's a business, and your government is acting like a big banker. And then of course every time the Third World country says, well we can't pay it anymore, or the big movement comes with the pop stars coming out, the old aged pop stars coming out on crutches saying, oh you've got to forgive the debt, forgive the debt... as they cuss and swear and say, oh forgive the debt, yeah. And so it's a wink-wink, nudge-nudge system, you know, the old Mason system, everyone is in-on-the-know except the public. It's agreed upon this, you see, because then the government that borrowed the money in the first place to give away, can then write it off. But you the taxpayer, you're the guarantor, you have to still pay it off. Then they give them another loan. It's fantastic. Government is nothing but big business for the big, big boys that run the world, folks.

The $3bn is the most pledged by any country to date to the Green Climate Fund, (A: Hey, GREEN, hey... oh...) set up to help poor countries fight climate change. (A: Oh isn't that nice? Isn't that nice, eh?) The US contribution puts the fund at nearly $6bn towards its initial $10bn goal. (A: It doesn't go to that at all, folks. In those countries that are complaining about it in Africa, and Africa's got a lot of little countries and some big ones, are getting bombarded with things like, oh, Ebola and all kinds of disease, because they are

an awful nuisance, you see, complaining about things, and not wanting to go along with this greenhouse stuff. Because you see, some of them would actually like to have a light bulb in their homes, and oh, that would cause pollution creating energy for that light bulb, oh. I'm not kidding you.)

Robert Menendez, one of four Democratic Senators who had pressed Obama to stump up (A: ...oh yeah sure, he had to do that...) for climate finance, said the pledge could unlock UN talks. (A: The United Nations is a private organization set up by the Royal Institute of International Affairs/Council on Foreign Relations as a front group. Did you vote for the UN or anybody in it? No. It's not Democratic either. And they keep telling you to be Democratic.)

"The announced $3bn pledge is exactly what's needed to bring developing countries to the table for a meaningful climate agreement in Paris next year," the New Jersey Democrat said in a statement.

Campaign groups also widely praised the pledge as a sign of Obama's commitment to his climate change agenda – but a number of groups said America could have done far more. (A: I wonder who those groups were, eh, all the NGOs working for big foundations, working for the same group.)

The Catholic foreign mission movement, the Maryknoll Office for Global Concerns, said America should have given five times much more. (A: Well, so what if the Catholic Foreign Mission Movement said that. You know, there's even one group of nuns, a particular school of nuns that are signed on as an NGO under United Nations, who are all for all this stuff across the world, you see. Everybody's on the take. I guess the nuns and that, it must be... a habit or something.

But remember too, back in the 1800s the revolutionaries that were to push this whole system through much faster, that's what they hoped for, into the same system, by the way, it wasn't going to eliminate all the big tycoons at the top at all, that was all nonsense. They wanted a slave population working for a future utopia, that's what the Soviet Union did for years, they never saw it of course, but never had a chance of seeing it because it was all a con. But back in the 1800s the revolutionaries churned out articles and they were put into books and so on and they said that they would use existing organizations, the same stuff that Bernays talked about. Don't go looking for a group; if they are there already use them, take them from the top and the followers don't know, they just keep following. And they would use the CHURCHES, folks, through infiltration, over generations, and then they would use the churches, so here you have the Maryknoll Office for Global Concerns. And you are going down the tubes financially, you are to be taxed more so your government can supposedly give it away to help Third World countries. They've been helping them for years. In other words, in come the multinationals, the same multinationals, and they put branches up and factories up and give them slave wages for God sake. And when the people eventually start to get organized for unions, what do they do? They move. Oh, wake up, eh. WAKE UP!)

Brandon Wu, a policy analyst for ActionAid, said the pledge was a fraction of the support for the energy industry.

"The US spends up to $37bn per year on fossil fuel subsidies. We can do better than $2.5bn over four years for a fund designed to help people in poor countries who are already living with the effects of climate change (A: That must be us, eh? Inflation, dollar devaluation, in Britain it is the pound or the euro and so on. It must be us getting poorer. Look at all the thousands that die in Britain every year now. It's normal now, in their homes, not in the streets but in their homes, living in REAL austerity, folks. Because they've been pushing that for years, they are more effective in Britain. And it's mainly old folk who can't afford the fuel to heat themselves, and they die, and it's now normal. And guess what? The public accept it. They accept it. It's normalized.) – supporting action that will save lives and build a better future for us all," he said in a statement.

As expected, the Republican leadership in Congress attacked the pledge, (A: Well, they always have to go through this show for the public.) and threatened to block funds.

"Obama's pledge to give unelected bureaucrats at the UN $3bn for climate change initiatives is an unfortunate decision to not listen to voters in this most recent election cycle," (A: When have they ever listened to voters?) Jim Inhofe, an Oklahoma Republican and the likely incoming chair of the Senate

environment and public works committee said in a statement.

“The president’s climate change agenda has only siphoned precious taxpayer dollars away from the real problems facing the American people,” he added. (A: Hmm, well he speaks for us, doesn’t he? Everybody. Oh God Almighty, he’s the one... It’s all a show. It’s all a show, folks. They always give you someone who says what you’re all thinking, and I mean on every level of media, EVERY LEVEL of media, and you follow blindly.)

Another article too...

US and China strike deal on carbon cuts in push
for global climate change pact
theguardian.com / Lenore Taylor, Tania Branigan / 12 November 2014

Oh, climate change. Getting back to the 1970s, the pollution, you know, and blah-blah-blah, man is the enemy... Climate change, PERFECT, isn’t it? Perfect. Most folk today, you see, go from their environmentally controlled homes to their environmentally controlled car to their environmentally controlled office, if they’ve got a job that is, and it’s the same on the way back, and they don’t notice what’s happening outside them. They simply adapt and adapt and adapt. Because the media doesn’t say, isn’t this darned weird weather, when it has snowed every darn day in places around Sudbury, where the top of the jet stream where it loops, that’s what they said of course in their articles for changing the weather that that’s where they would dump it all. The biggest, heaviest dumps are right on the loops because it carries it, it has a bigger carrying area on the way back down again to the States. And they never mention too, the weeks of about 35 to 40 below freezing, like it was normal? Really? Well it is now.

US and China strike deal on carbon cuts in push
for global climate change pact
theguardian.com / Lenore Taylor, Tania Branigan / 12 November 2014

US President Barack Obama looks on as Chinese President Xi Jinping (A: Jinping, eh...) speaks during a joint press conference in the Great Hall of the People (A: ...I love that Soviet system, communist. At the Great Hall of the People...) in Beijing. (A: They’ve got a whole slave population there, and the guys that used to be millionaires are multi-billionaires now, that run them all. The People’s Hall, The People’s Army... Oh, everything is the People’s. Really? [Alan chuckles.] What a joke, eh.)

And then this other article too...

US-China emissions deal puts extreme pressure on Australia to do more
theguardian.com / Lenore Taylor / 12 November

(A: See, they push everybody. Because you see, Australia, as I said, and New Zealand are now under the region of China. That was decided by, guess what? The British establishment, London establishment. Not British, it was really London. The British folk have no more say than the Americans or Canadians or anybody else has on what goes on. But London is the boss, you see, a big financial center. OLD families, as they say. So Australia must buckle under too.)

The Hazelwood power station in the Latrobe Valley, Victoria. (A: They show you these power stations.)

Australia is under intense pressure to announce a target for post-2020 greenhouse gas reductions after the shock announcement from US president Barack Obama and Chinese premier Xi Jinping of new national climate change goals. (A: The new national ones, eh.)

The US has agreed to cut its emissions by 26-28% of 2005 levels by 2025 – a doubling of the pace of its reductions. (A: So by 2025, folks, you ain’t going to be driving. And leading up to it, don’t think you can drive up to it. No, they’re going to be putting things up and up and up until by that year... See, they don’t forbid you, they make it impossible to do so. That’s what they do. They’ve always done this technique. Incrementalism, you adapt and adapt and eventually it happens. You think you’re making a decision, well I

better get rid of that old banger then and move into that city… Yeah.) If Australia were to make similar cuts by 2025 against its 2000 benchmark, it would need to reduce emissions by between 28% and 31%.

Asked where the deal left Australia's climate change policy, the expert adviser to the former government Professor Ross Garnaut said: "Exactly where it was before the US-China announcement – up shit creek." (A: What a way for a guy to say that, eh, a guy in charge of climate change policy from the top. By God, Hollywood has given you a whole new vocabulary and way of saying things haven't they? And they have, because they gave you your culture, the whole world adapts from it, they all watch the same movies. One of the biggest exports from the US apart from the military industrial complex things and so on, is, no kidding, Hollywood movies. They churn them out just like tin cans, boom, boom, boom, boom, boom. And it alters your way of thinking, through emotional pieces written into the scripts and you adopt these emotional outcomes as though they were your own.)

Australia has so far said only that it would "consider its post-2020 target as part of the review … in 2015 on Australia's international targets and settings", taking into account what trading partners promise, and has been strongly resisting discussion of climate change at the G20 on the grounds that the meeting should focus on its central economic agenda. (A: Well, you can't have any practicality here, folks, because you see, it's not your agenda, it's theirs. And they always get what they want.)

It has not announced how it will determine its post-2020 target, nor when it will make it public. (A: No, no they won't tell you when they make it public. And he goes on and on and on…)

And then, if you even go into, I think it's Wikipedia, or WikiLeaks I should say. Wikipedia's awful, it's all conology again. But this is…

Press Release - Secret Trade in Services Agreement (TISA) –
Financial Services Annex
wikileaks.org / 2014-06-19

Today, WikiLeaks released the secret draft text for the Trade in Services Agreement (TISA) (A: That will be all over talk shows this coming week as guys grab this stuff and put it out there.) Financial Services Annex, which covers 50 countries and 68.2% of world trade in services. The US and the EU are the main proponents of the agreement, and the authors of most joint changes, which also covers cross-border data flow. (A: That's all your information being shared, folks, like it hasn't been already, but now it's a seamless flow of all data.) In a significant anti-transparency manoeuvre by the parties, the draft has been classified to keep it secret (A: …because you see, you live in a democracy…) not just during the negotiations but for five years after the TISA enters into force. (A: So it is to STAY secret.)

Despite the failures in financial regulation evident during the 2007-2008 Global Financial Crisis (A: You see, everything is secret…) and calls for improvement of relevant regulatory structures, proponents of TISA aim to further deregulate global financial services markets. (A: Who runs you, folks? Do you think the guys at the top who run the cash system run it all including all the politicians? Who do you think puts them into office? And backs them financially to get into office? And gets the big machinery to market them to the public and make them superstars so that you will vote for them?) The draft Financial Services Annex sets rules which would assist the expansion of financial multi-nationals – mainly headquartered in New York (A: … no kidding, what a surprise…), London (A: …ha, really…), Paris and Frankfurt – into other nations by preventing regulatory barriers. The leaked draft also shows that the US is particularly keen on boosting cross-border data flow, which would allow uninhibited exchange of personal and financial data. (A: That's all of yours. Not the big boys and the CEOs and the corporations, folks, that's all of YOUR data. See, it's all getting set up for the world government, folks. If you don't figure it out, well, I can't help you. If you don't want to figure it out because it scares you, I can't help you there either.)

TISA negotiations are currently taking place outside of the General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS) (A: There are two different kinds of GATS treaties, you see. There is also the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, you know, so it's taxes too, and the other GATT.) And the World Trade Organization (WTO) framework. (A: Again, a private organization set up by the Royal Institute of International Affairs/Council on Foreign Relations. By the way, they also drafted up the NAFTA agreements, they came out publicly and said

that they drafted them up and gave them to the government to sign. Did you vote for them? No. They're private.) However, the Agreement is being crafted to be compatible with GATS so that a critical mass of participants will be able to pressure remaining WTO members to sign on in the future. (A: So they will blackmail them, push them.) Conspicuously absent from the 50 countries covered by the negotiations are the BRICS countries of Brazil, Russia, India and China. The exclusive nature of TISA will weaken their position in future services negotiations.

The draft text comes from the April 2014 negotiation round (A: They had a big round there, quiet and all that.) - the sixth round since the first held in April 2013. (A: Look at it, they are really ramming all of this through.) The next round of negotiations will take place (A: ...and it has already by the way...) on 23-27 June in Geneva, Switzerland. (A: Where else, eh, another big financial center for... secrecy.)

Current WTO parties negotiating TISA are: Australia, Canada, Chile, Chinese Taipei (Taiwan), Colombia, Costa Rica, Hong Kong, Iceland, Israel, Japan, Liechtenstein, Mexico, New Zealand, Norway, Pakistan, Panama, Paraguay, Peru, South Korea, Switzerland, Turkey, the United States, and the European Union, (A: It's much, much better having a union. You see, because you can put it through, all those countries through under one group, by one Parliament, that's why they want the same for the Americas.) which includes its 28 member states Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus, Croatia, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, and the United Kingdom. (A: And it goes on and on.)

But I'll put these links up tonight for those talk show hosts that want to parrot it. And I wish they would send me a few bucks once in a while, or at least mention you.

Anyway, folks, most folk won't want to know this stuff, it scares them and they want to believe in positive thinking, of course, that they have all been brainwashed into, and wishful thinking really, that's really what it is, that they can go on forever the way they are, even though they've been backpedaling for years and adapting to the backpedaling. But you can't help folks that don't want to know. And lots of folk really don't want to know. It's too negative, as they say, and they've all been trained not to look at the negative. Because it makes you depressed, you know. You might have to, for God's sake you might have to do something, and start writing politicians and saying, you're all scam artists and we don't trust you anymore, you all work for the big boys, things like that. They'd rather have the nice rosy cozy stuff with the PR announcements by the marketers and the public relations experts, as they prop up your politicians in front of you for their photo-ops and the prepared speeches, even the little quips that come out of their mouth are all written by experts and teams of people, TO CON YOU, folks. That's reality.

Well, from a very cold Canada, I don't think it has gotten above 0°C during the day here for the last month, and probably a bit longer actually. If you think last year was a doozy for freezing wait until you see this year because they are really stepping it up with the spray. It's so perfect now, it's routine stuff. There's no hit and miss with it anymore, they can give you exactly what they want to day by day. And they do.

And I hope you're all managing to get through. But this is for the people who are interested, and who don't panic, and who, I'm not selling you anything to save your lives or anything like that, and lots of products and all that, through fear-based advertising and fear-based talks and so on. I'm just telling you how it is. If I was, I would be stinking rich, folks, and I'm not. As I say, it's bad enough trying to get a transmission repaired for $2500, for me that's an awful lot of money. You can always send a few bucks here if you wanted to and you can find out how to do it at cuttingthroughthematrix.com. Make good use of it, most of you already do, everybody else does, because it's free. And you can help me tick along too. And you can cut through the nonsense, that's what I do, I cut through it. Cutting through the matrix... all the cons, and I mean all of them.

From Hamish and myself in Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

Center for Progressive Reform - Barack Obama's Path to Progress in 2015-16

& PDF - Thirteen Essential Regulatory Actions

Obama's $3bn for climate fund could kickstart action on global warming

US and China strike deal on carbon cuts in push for global climate change pact

US-China emissions deal puts extreme pressure on Australia to do more

Press Release - Secret Trade in Services Agreement (TISA) - Financial Services Annex

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Nov. 23, 2014 (#1473)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Reclamation of Your Brain is Worth More than Cash or Fame"
© Alan Watt Nov. 23, 2014

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Nov. 23, 2014 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on November 23, 2014. Now, I've mentioned many times that you have to hang onto your sanity as we go through the big agenda, a huge massive agenda planned an awful long time ago, long before you were born, most of you in fact, even your parents and your grandparents for that matter. Because cabals of men way back in the 1800s, even before for some of them in fact, manifested themselves as clubs and organizations. Some of them used empires, like the ones in Britain. The Alfred Milner group of course, it really took over a good part of Africa. They merged eventually with the Cecil Rhodes group as well and formed the Royal Institute of International Affairs and the idea was to take over the world's resources.

These were all banking families, really big banking families that were involved in doing this, but they were awfully active. And their sons took part in a form of massive deception, massive deception, so that they could actually have the countries they wanted to take over appear to attack, and always actually do attack, but appear to attack British settlers, for instance, so that the British Army would go in and pay, the taxpayers would pay for it all of course, and they'd get the occupying group out of other countries and take over. That's what they did in South Africa and Rhodesia and it's well documented. It's part of history. It's not conspiracy as such, the guys who were involved obviously were conspirators at the time, but it is an open conspiracy because a lot of it was published, you see. HG Wells called it that, The Open Conspiracy, in one of his writings; that was the title.

But you'll find Carroll Quigley, the professor of Georgetown University who was way up there teaching diplomats across the world how to work on the ethnic groups, and using anthropology, the study of their history, their cultures and so on, on how to take them over for this world governmental system. Because Quigley was an elitist and that's why he was picked by his masters of the Royal Institute of International Affairs and the branch in America called the Council on Foreign Relations; it's the same organization. He talked about the techniques that would be used to take over the world basically. It was just too untidy for people who want to dominate the world. Individualism, amongst the masses especially, simply could not be

allowed because it caused problems when they wouldn't go along with governmental policies, and the governments were serving the masters of the money. So you understand, you can't have all these different masters and submasters down below, you've got have one organization running the world. They came up with this idea a long time ago through the Milner group that they would take it over by deception, the whole world, by warfare basically. They would then send in their own boys, their own families that would take over the ownership of diamond mines, gold mines, and eventually oil, etc. etc. And that's still going on today. They call it resource wars today, from the Pentagon and other places. And Britain has been in partnership with the US on this policy for an awful long time... an awful long time actually.

They also hoped that world wars would, and they wrote about this too, if they had world wars then countries would be brought to their knees through the loss of life and property, incredible loss of life, etc, and the cost of it all too that would be passed again onto the taxpayer. So they fomented wars to get wars going and get people on their knees until they say, please stop all this, let's have peace at any cost. Of course the price they wanted, at the top, the masters, was to amalgamate whole countries into big trading groups with one system, one political system, all based on the British system, and then they would have three main trading blocs in the world. They called it free trade. It's still ongoing today of course and the Middle East has been ravaged, and then into Asia, with the wars, ongoing wars since Gulf War one basically, it hasn't stopped.

The big boys, and I've read all these articles from the mainstream on the air many times if you going to the archives section at CuttingThroughTheMatrix.com I won't have to repeat it all. But those who have been following this know that they, Tony Blair for instance, had the top leaders of Shell and BP and all the big oil companies attending him before the West invaded Iraq. They decided then to divvy up the oil production to these big corporations, and America was totally involved as well. The taxpayers of both countries would build brand-new refineries to make them more efficient, and we've been doing that of course as well.

Free-trade is awfully good for corporations because you're living in the age, as Quigley said, where the CEOs of corporations would be the new feudal overlords of this new feudal system. And that's what we are in. It uses democracy to get the public to sleepwalk through it all, thinking they have rights and freedoms, as they gradually take all your rights and freedoms away from you, into a managed scientific society, or scientifically managed you might say. That's where we are today.

Now, we've all been brainwashed, I mean brainwashed through education, as they constantly rewrite history for instance, to believe what we're told to believe in our own age for our generation, and it is updated all the time. Things go down the memory hole, as George Orwell said in his book 1984, and that's where we are today. Articles just disappear as though they had never happened and major events that you are suspicious about, because you don't get the answers from all the questions you put forward, when the answers you're getting make no sense at all, you can't get details on things. So major events to get conflicts going, or to take all your rights away through anti-terrorism or whatever, or even the World Trade Center, are really written in advance. In fact, your minds are prepared in advance for it, because the movies of Hollywood etc.

Through fiction you're programmed to expect something to happen, so when it happens you immediately follow your cues, the cues have been laid out for you to follow. And people do follow them. So we had the haranguing of the Arab countries from the 70s right through to 2001, and immediately, before the dust cleared, Kissinger's voice and others and Brzezinski said, it's Bin Laden who's behind all of this. Just like that, you know, just... wonderful isn't it, how they... These guys really have good crystal balls. But that's the policy, it's written in stone now and you really have no rights and freedoms under a form of martial law. They don't use the term martial law, but when you have no rights and freedoms that's what you've got. It's quite simple. You don't need the term to be used to be living under it.

In fact, through the 70s and 80s and 90s what used to be called the old Communist techniques and party lines and so on had started to be used by the so-called democratic parties to do with multiculturalism, the massive inflow of people from abroad, etc., to destroy the native populations of Europe, etc. That was also part of the plan of the Royal Institute of International Affairs, through free trade they would basically bring in as much labor as they wanted to across borders, national boundaries. They would do away with national boundaries, etc., and even do away with the nation altogether. Von Rompuy at the EU talked about that about a year ago, it was the end of the nation-state.

So we are simply living through a big plan, a well-documented plan actually, for those who care to read up on it. And you don't really need to know the fine nitty-gritty of the day-by-day blows. That's a caveat to an extent, or a canard because you are misled. Your minds can get fried trying to follow all the little nuances, etc. of this massive program. You simply need to know the outlines of the basic agenda for everything and make sense to you. And there is no guessing about it because as I say, it has been well written about by those involved at the very top. I've mentioned the different people involved many, many times. Aldous Huxley talked about it. His brother Julian at UNESCO talked about it even more in depth. They wanted a scientifically controlled society, for our own good because the average person at the bottom had really, wouldn't evolve anymore. Evolution is a big part of this.

The elite said back in the 1800s that they had achieved their elitism and their status, their noble status, by special selective breeding, by marrying wealthy people to wealthy people who are successful, and holding onto their money for generations, therefore they were the natural leaders of the world. Then the banking profession had exactly the same thing. Of course you have different ethnic groups involved in all this too. They came to a deal a long time ago that everyone at the top of the tree in every country, who had achieved wealth and power, were part of the global elite, so that you have a big, big happy family at the top now. But everyone below that are just simply defective genes that would not evolve. Once in a while you would have a throwback of a strange combination where you have someone who is really intelligent and they would have to be either brought into the fold to work for the system and the agenda, or eliminated, according to Lord Bertrand Russell. He said, if we can't bring them in we'll have to eliminate them. That's the real world in which we live.

Because they don't want people really communicating the real truth to people in a way they can understand quite simply. It's a dangerous thing. As I say, Mao Tse Tung in China, he said he wasn't afraid of anything, even weapons and so on; he was afraid of a big idea. And that term, the big idea, it's what's used by the group who rule at the moment. They often call it, it's a big idea. George Bush senior called it, this global agenda, a big idea. You see. So these are code words and terms and phrases which they use amongst themselves, but they will say to the public who will never quite understand what they are going on about. Of course the media participate in this too, they don't tell you either what they're talking about. So you're left... Most folk just dismiss it, you see. They have been legally told but they don't really believe it, because there's no one to explain to them, you had better take this seriously, they really mean what they say.

Remember, everything which is declared from what appears to be the heads of state and so on in countries, whenever they say something in public it's a legal declaration and that's lawful, you have been told. And you basically go along with everything, you acquiesce and give up your freedoms because you don't question and say, no I'm not having that, not for me. You must reclaim your mind. It's yours, after all. It's your birthright, to have a mind of your own and you must reclaim it and have it as your own. Even if you don't agree with the policies or even the political correctnesses rammed down your throats today, you must keep a hold of your mind. Even if you don't voice what you know because you know you're going to get stoned in one way or another by the mob, who have been brainwashed, and they will turn on you in fact if you have any opinions contrary to their own brainwashing.

And many of you also look for leaders, because you've been trained to always look for leaders. It's also a tribal instinct where you would elect a chief at certain times of invasion, of pending invasion, to get you through hard times, things like that. You see it in all the Hollywood movies where someone is selected, in the sci-fi or whatever it happens to be, you're the one, the one, the one. And it's always going to be done by the one, you see. So we are being trained to always look for saviors who do it all for us, and they never seem to materialize. It doesn't stop you from wishing, of course, because you're trained that way and it is instinctive too. But remember, that simply yapping about things or complaining about things, or isn't that terrible what they're doing to you, isn't going to change anything. You must literally reclaim yourself and reclaim your dignity. And have some dignity too by the way, because again that is your birthright. You have the right to think what you want to think, it doesn't matter how incorrect it is politically. After all, it's your mind and not your controllers' mind. Don't have a controller. Think for yourselves.

And therefore you don't have to follow the so-called daily battles. It's not a battle at all by the way, of people who simply expose, expose, expose, or keep your mind trained as though you are in some kind of race and if you look away you'll lose the race or you'll die, it's like a horror movie. I've mentioned the amygdala and so

on, how it's activated during horror movies because you identify with the person who is running away from the monster, and if you look away your life might be in danger. Subconsciously that's how it really works. That's why folk watch even the most terrible horror movies to the very end, because your primitive part takes over, for survival. And the same technique is used on Patriot Radio and things like that too.

But the whole point of keeping your mind is to have some peace within your mind and you're not going to get it when you're being terrified every day. It's not a battle as you think of it. It's been an ongoing agenda, believe you me, and all the ones at the top are on board with this agenda, no one at the top is not in step with the agenda. Even when you're given fake politicians, which are all fake as far as I'm concerned, who come out and say all the right things at election time and then do the opposite once they are in. That's the standard technique that's been used for way too long now of course. And there's no recall for them when they don't fulfill any promises. After all, why should you live on promises? And why should you look for other people to make things right for you? It's not going to happen. I mean it really isn't going to happen.

You have to reclaim your own ability, your own mind and your own rights for yourself, because you have the right to live. And you have the right to live the way that you want to live basically. It doesn't mean you interfere with other people or make yourself a darn nuisance, like some psychopathic mogul on the way up. You have to be decent to people and reciprocate in-kind but at the same time you don't get stood on as your neighbor eyes your property.

Now, property is a right and a necessity. Every creature in the world has somewhere it lives. And creatures don't pay taxes for that. They don't have to get engineers coming in and doing eco-tests, environmental tests to see if you can live there or not. They make their homes where they want to make their home, somewhere they must make their homes, and that is their home and nothing else is going to go into that burrow, for instance, without getting its head bitten off. That's the way of nature, and if we are all part of nature then you have the same rights.

When crashes come and the big boys at the stroke of a pen destroy the economy or crash your currency, whatever it happens to be, which is of course what they've done over and over through centuries – they plunder you in a major way at least twice a century, the rest of the time they are devaluating it because the con of money, today, is simply a printing press and someone who gives them the right to print it up, and you give payment to the one who gives you the right back, with compound interest. So every dollar or pound put into circulation already has an existing debt to it so the value of it is lowered all the time. That's as simple as it is actually. So therefore, you expect your silly little wage increase every year, that doesn't take into account the actual real cost of living going up, but you are still trained to expect that. So the more numbers you have in your paycheck the more you think you're doing okay. And it's not true at all. If you had £50 a week or $50 a week in the US back in 1900 you were doing okay, believe you me, and you could buy an awful lot for 1 dollar or 1 pound back then, not a cup of coffee like today.

So-called built-in inflation, or as they like to call it now quantitative easing, but you definitely have the right of somewhere to live. Because when they crash economies, believe you me, the first ones who suffer are those who have ridiculous, incredibly ridiculous mortgages for their little home, and their burrow, and those also who are renting as well. Because the big boys who rent can make a killing during this time by getting rid of their tenants who can't pay the exorbitant rents they are paying and out they go on the street, and then the big boys can then rent at a higher price. Everything is a racket in the system of money and the concept of money as we've had it for a long time, it's completely corrupt and a complete con as well by the way. A complete, complete... complete con.

That's the only way anything could change in that respect is if a completely new money system was brought in, not with the same characters who've been running it for centuries and centuries, and not by outside people, of government. And even then if the government really took care of its own money, like Canada used to do, people would infiltrate into politics and eventually get appointed over them, and you get the cabal there, because that's the way that money operates. It literally attracts the psychopath into the game, and he plays for keeps and he plays to win, and he's utterly, utterly ruthless. But as I say, if you don't have somewhere to live that's yours you're completely finished when things go really, really sour. And that will never change in the system unless the system itself somehow, and again I mean magically because I really don't believe anything is going to change the system to be honest with you. The big boys would never allow

it, never allow anything to take away their incredible prosperity from total fraud. It won't happen.

But you need somewhere to live and as I say, a rabbit has more rights than you have. If you put your hand down a rabbit burrow and there's young there, that mom is going to bite your hand off, believe you me. That's the way things are. But not with people. You've been trained to be passive, to obey bailiffs and rules and laws, and your fear of course, and unfortunately your training overcomes your natural rights.

Now, getting back to this whole idea of free trade. The idea goes way back in Britain to the days of John Dee. He coined the term the Brytish Empire; that was the language back then and you could pick the spelling you wanted to, it wasn't standardized until much, much later. He explained this to the royalty of his day and he talked about free trade being a pillar of it. Through free trade they could basically force... – because free trade would never be free by the way; it was for those who already had power and wealth, incredible power and wealth and therefore he said that – eventually they could put the same political system across the world. Very interesting, in fact, very interesting concept, because they would gradually merge with it. Another way to do it, he mentioned, and this is elaborated on by Quigley, through free trade through the British Empire of that day and through the Cecil Rhodes foundation and the Alfred Milner group, these are all banking boys and the sons of banking boys like Curtis, etc. They said too, through subterfuge, take over countries, dominate them through the military, put in your system of what would be called eventually democracy – remember, the idea of democracy is relatively new, by the way; the young Winston Churchill mocked this idea of democracy. But anyway, they all use the term democracy when they want to go and invade, because they're going to free people you see – everything is doublespeak, very Orwellian – and they would take over the resources.

They would establish the same system of bureaucracy, primarily bureaucracy by the way. Because you see, you don't elect bureaucrats. They are in for life. They are interested in themselves and follow the rules that will make them get up the ladder, help them up the ladder, and to make sure that their income goes up and up and up and they can have more power. Adolf Hitler said the same thing in the early days. He said that they didn't have to bother about other political parties, in the early days. He said, we need the bureaucrats on our side, that's what you need. Absolutely, because those in charge of governmental departments, once they are told what the agenda is they will work their whole lives towards it, and all the staff underneath them. It's quite simple. And that's how it is today. Every bureaucrat in the federal governments of the world are on board pretty well with this global agenda. Because it's going to serve them awfully well, or already has by the way. It makes sure that their jobs are very secure because now they have made themselves more important, more necessary to get this global agenda through. They work tirelessly towards it. Plus so many of them belong to the big groups, the private groups of the Council on Foreign Relations, Royal Institute of International Affairs, Cecil Rhodes foundation and so on and they have had scholarships early on and picked by these big foundations to go into the bureaucratic positions. The doors are opened and they are put in there. That's been going on for well over a century.

Now, to take the world over and rule it in this new scientific fashion, on behalf of those who decided long ago that they were the natural Darwinian elite, then you have to have an agenda which encompasses everything in human nature and human life and existence. That's your minds, that's what you do and work at and so on, do all of that, how you interact with other people, how you will behave with other people, and hence your indoctrination into political correctnesses, all the changes that are put forward that come into actuality. So you're living through a massive time of change. It's called the age of change, the Century of Change actually, this 21st century. It was called that in the last century by high levels of academia working in sociology departments, etc., for the big boys who gave them all the grants and put them in there in the first place as professors.

So everything has been covered basically, including the outlooks for those who think they are resisting. There's many caveats out there, again, and the people who you might follow, but in reality nothing is being done by them at all except they are raking in a lot of money. Because every sector has been covered here. Every sector has been covered. I'm not saying just give up. I'm saying, this is how it really is, folks. That's the hard news. You're waking up into a system, hopefully you're waking up, into a system, which is completely established already. And those around you who are not waking up, as they say, or clued in, have had perfect indoctrination which has worked with them better than it has worked on perhaps you. So that's how the system really, really is.

Individualism is the main enemy. It must be eradicated and that's the whole purpose of things today. Groupthink... groupthink is in from the schools onward, as you all sit in a group, and you must all vote on certain understandings of things, and agree about the understandings, the authorized understandings, to get passing marks. That carries onto your whole life now, as those people around you who are brainwashed will turn on you if you say something that doesn't make any sense at all. Because doublethink comes in to understanding. Doublethink is a term meaning you can hold two completely opposing views in your head on the same topic at the same time. Again, that's from George Orwell's 1984.

Now, another big part of this agenda was also the grand finale of the banking system. Because remember, the Royal Institute of International Affairs was formed from the Alfred Milner group, that had all the top bankers in the City of London and New York, etc. Because the CFR is simply a branch of the same group for America. They couldn't call it Royal, it wouldn't go down well in America, and now, I don't really know, I don't think they care much, but back then they couldn't use Royal so they called it the Council on Foreign Relations. So we're going through a massive agenda. It's been going on for generations now and they have the public really where they want them, where they don't complain too much about big things that are happening, they don't know how to organize properly, if they do organize they're infiltrated and taken over, pretty well immediately, and definitely demonized, always. So therefore they can't really have any... Some of them even become mainstream as they are given the go-ahead to push things in a certain direction that are harmless to those in power and control.

So eventually the entire world's money supply was to be ruled by the same bunches that take over all the countries and amalgamate trading blocs that used to consist of many independent nations. That's what we're living through today. The Far East/Pacific Rim bloc, that encompasses Australia, New Zealand, China and a lot of other places. Of course the whole of Europe amalgamated under one major political Parliament system, the EU. And the North American Union for the continent of the Americas and that encompasses other countries in the Caribbean etc. So free trade, free flow of goods, which is only for keeping people out of course unless you're a member of the club. It's not for everybody. If you try to get your little company to ask for things they will hammer you to send mail and tax you like the blazes. But for the big boys themselves it's a way of keeping everybody else out, to eliminate competition. That's really what it's about. Everything is done by deception, and that's what it means.

A World Trade Organization was set up, another private organization which was set up by this Council on Foreign Relations /Royal Institute of International Affairs, the same group. They set up the Bank for International Settlements in Switzerland, that is the big power today. They set up the IMF, the International Monetary Fund, a private organization belonging to the same group again, etc. etc., and all the central bank employees at the top all belong to it too. So they've pretty well already sewn up all the money system, but they've still got more to do with it and that's why you'll get more crashes as they amalgamate all the cash together and start devaluating some and bringing other ones up to par, to equalize them before the total amalgamation.

But you need bank crashes in the meantime and that's what I'm about to touch on just now. It's to do with the incredible amount of preparation they have put into the coming bail-ins, as they call it, where they rob your savings money actually and your RSPs, that will ultimately disappear as they crash the whole system, in order to bring in total power for the supreme rise of the supreme ruler for the Bank for International Settlements, the private organization at the top. That's where we are today. Here are some articles I will just touch on tonight, that's really going on and affects you all. Here's an article and it says, it's from Bloomberg of all places. Because remember too, it's like Albert Mackey, he wrote The Encyclopedia of Freemasonry but he said, we never talk so honestly and openly as we do when we are in public. Because the brothers understand one thing and the public, they really don't take much notice of it, they don't quite get it. But Bloomberg is coming out here, and here's how it works you see. Because the old Alfred Milner group talked about the rise of their own banking system that would rule the politicians and rule governments and everything else, everything is dependent upon what they called their money, at the top, and their right to create it and do as they please. So it says here that...

AFL-CIO Asks Banks to Explain Payouts to Government-Job Takers
bloomberg.com / Madeline McMahon / Nov 20, 2014

(Alan: Now, here's the open revolving door policy of banks to government, government to banks, of high-level employees.)

The AFL-CIO, the nation's largest labor organization, called on seven Wall Street banks to explain why the firms pay additional compensation to some employees who leave for high-ranking government positions. (A: That's exactly what Quigley said they would do, and they had already been doing it back in his day in fact.)

Richard Trumka, the group's president, sent letters to banks including Morgan Stanley (MS), Citigroup Inc. (C), Goldman Sachs Group Inc. (GS) and JPMorgan Chase & Co. that asked for more information on how shareholders benefit when banks reward executives for taking government jobs, the AFL-CIO said today in a statement.

The deal is a "golden parachute" for entering the public sector, Trumka said in the letters, copies of which were posted online. The AFL-CIO said it owns stock in all the banks and would consider submitting shareholder proposals at the firms' annual meetings if it didn't receive responses.

"Unless the position of these companies is that this is just a backdoor way to pay off a newly minted government official to act in Wall Street's private interests rather than the public interest, it is very difficult to see how these policies promote long-term shareholder value," Heather Slavkin Corzo, who runs the labor group's investment office, said in the statement.

The AFL-CIO became interested in the issue after examining regulatory filings providing compensation details, Corzo said in a phone interview. "We know that there are folks out there who have these provisions in their contracts," she said, declining to provide names. (A: No, they won't tell you the names of them because you see, they are powerful people, although you have never heard their names, high-level bureaucrats who went straight into Wall Street, and down the road they go back from Wall Street into politics or bureaucracy again).

Some bankers' contracts allow for stock awards, including restricted shares, to vest immediately or other incentives to be paid if they accept a high-level U.S. government position. U.S. Treasury Secretary Jack Lew was asked at his Senate confirmation hearing about such a clause in his contract with Citigroup, where he worked as investment banker before returning to government employment, according to a transcript.

Trumka also wrote to Bank of America Corp., Wells Fargo & Co. and Lazard Ltd. (LAZ). A spokesman for Morgan Stanley didn't immediately respond to a request for comment, while spokesmen for the other banks declined to comment. (A: Because they'll do it in unison, they'll get in touch with each other and say, what have you said? We said nothing. That's what they do.) The Wall Street Journal reported on the letters yesterday.

Some Democratic members of Congress have expressed reservations about Wall Street executives filling government positions. U.S. senators Elizabeth Warren of Massachusetts, Dick Durbin of Illinois and Chuck Grassley of Iowa have said they'll oppose the Obama administration's nomination of Lazard investment banker Antonio Weiss to be Treasury's top domestic finance official.

Tax Inversions

Warren, in an article published yesterday on the Huffington Post website, questioned Weiss's fitness for the post, saying he lacked the experience and background to do the job. Durbin and Warren also have concerns about Lazard's role in several tax-inversion deals, in which companies reduce their U.S. tax bills by moving their addresses overseas, people familiar with their views have said.

Well, nothing's going to happen here, folks, because you see, no matter what you find out about the big boys at the top and their operations and how they work, they are all powerful, they are completely all-powerful and nothing will happen about it. Apart from that, the Congressional members and senators now can legally do insider trading, because they know about all the governmental deals and they can invest in stocks and so on of companies that have got contracts with the military or ones coming up. So they made it

legal for them to do it, but it is illegal for you to do it. So they are not going to complain about this. They can't, actually, complain about it; they are all bought and paid for.

Now, this other article is awfully interesting and important and this is from The Economist. It says...

New rules hemming in banks
will make it easier to let them fail—with luck
economist.com / Nov 15th 2014

(A: Now, it used to be bail-outs where they use your tax money to bail out their big corporate pals, their feudal overlords of course as Quigley said. The new term is to use bail-ins where they simply rob your money in the bank, but they must pay their shareholders. The shareholders are different, because they made it a worldwide policy, worldwide policy, in every country, that they must be cushioned and taken care of before anybody else. So those who simply deposit money in the bank will have it stolen, because they are not shareholders, they're depositors. It's all semantics really but that's how the legal system works.)

WHEN big banks totter, taxpayers usually foot the bill. Given the chaos that would follow an outright failure —bank runs, frozen payment systems and so on—bail-outs have long been the least-bad option for governments. That might end under plans unveiled on November 10th by the Financial Stability Board (FSB), a group of international regulators, that should make it easier for banks to fail without recourse to the public purse. (A: These organizations, by the way, are all set up by the Royal Institute of International Affairs, the same guys that drafted up, literally drafted up, themselves, for the amalgamation of the European countries into one system. And the same guys who openly said in Canada, the Council on Foreign Relations, that they drafted up the ones that were signed into law for the amalgamation of the Americas – Canada, the States, Mexico and all the Latin American countries. This is amazing, all private organizations. But they sound very official don't they?)

Under the proposed new rule, the biggest banks will have to hold buffers, or "total loss-absorbing capacity" (TLAC), equivalent to 16-20% of their assets (the loans and investments they make)—vastly more than in the run-up to 2007. The bigger cushion comes in two main forms. First, banks must hold more equity (the money shareholders put into the business) relative to their assets. Second, bondholders, who put up much of the money banks go on to lend, will now be expected to shoulder losses after shareholders are wiped out. (A: So there you go.)

The principle of "bailing in" creditors is welcome. Though in theory many of them should have been hit in 2007-09 (A: But they always have that, they always save their own investors.), in practice imposing losses on them proved legally difficult and so seemed foolhardy to attempt in the midst of a crisis. (A: Amazing, really, when you think about it, a bank is a private business and so those who invest in that bank should take the loss like any private business. But no, they pass it on to the general public, through their gophers, the politicians.) Now, bonds are counted towards TLAC only if they explicitly bear losses when things go wrong. One such instrument, known as contingent-convertible bonds, or "cocos" (A: [Alan laughing.] I guess that's for the nuts.), is counted as debt in good times but automatically turns into equity if capital ratios drop below a certain level.

The TLAC rule, which comes into force in 2019, will apply only to 27 "systemically important" global banks such as HSBC and Citigroup. Smaller lenders are exempted, as are bigger ones in emerging markets. But they are widely expected to face similar rules soon. (A: Actually, they've already signed agreements for 2015, for those who don't know it. January 1, 2015 they kick in for bailing-in banks, in your own countries. I read them on the air last year and this year.)

Mark Carney (A: ...who was the guy who ran the bank for Canada, although it is not really a bank as such. It's really a group of politicians that make deals with the private lenders, that's the new bank. The old one was a real bank.), the governor of the Bank of England (A: That's where they moved him to because they just rotate them around, because he's-their-man sort of thing.) who also chairs the FSB (A: [Alan chuckles.] The Financial Stability Board, eh. It's amazing who they put in.), has made clear TLAC is not designed to prevent individual banks going out of business. The aim is to limit the potential reverberations, making a bank failure more akin to that of an airline or a carmaker, say: painful, complicated, but ultimately not a

threat to the wider economy. (A: Really? Hey, the economy... Never mind you, the public... [Alan chuckles.])

That in turn should remove the implicit subsidy big banks have unfairly enjoyed. Because creditors have long assumed the banks were “too big to fail”, they also assumed they would be repaid even if things turned sour. The upshot was that big banks borrowed at rates that were in effect subsidised by taxpayers. (A: ...for those who didn’t know that. We pay for everything. All big corporations get corporate welfare from us, we pay it all.) Removing that subsidy will dent bank profits—and rightly so.

The TLAC proposals push in the same direction as four previous initiatives designed to make banks sturdier (see table). Bankers complain of a Rubik’s cube of rules: improve one ratio and you may find that another one deteriorates. They also fret that all this new rule-making is scaring away the investors whose money is needed to raise capital to the required level.

In theory, TLAC should be the last big global rule on capital. But regulators are still busy devising a system to ensure banks can be “resolved”, or wound down, should they go bust. (A: That’s what they’re saying here. So it’s quite interesting going through the spiels we are given about what they’re doing to make sure that it’s harder for them to fail basically, which it’s not at all. It’s making it easier for them to steal all your money. That’s really what this whole lot is about, folks, and to devalue your money. You understand, when they bring in new currencies and things they always devalue your money by at least half, meaning the purchasing power of that pound or dollar or whatever it happens to be.)

Whether “too big to fail” is indeed over will only become clear during the next crisis. (A: ...so they know it’s coming, folks, that’s what this is all about. It’s the agenda, you see, to make sure that one group, the same group, the Royal Institute of International Affairs, rules the entire money system through their Bank for International Settlements, of the entire planet. That’s what it’s about.) Imposing losses on investors such as pension funds or small-time punters is politically tricky. A recent bank failure in Portugal, Espírito Santo, resulted in a state-backed rescue which spared many bondholders. Hopefully next time will be different. (A: That’s the bondholders, not the depositors.)

And ha, this other article is awfully good and it’s from Reuters. It says...

European banks face up to 1trn capital hole
reuters.com / Helene Durand / Oct 24, 2014

LONDON, Oct 24 (IFR) - European banks could be forced to sell billions of euros of subordinated debt or completely reshape their corporate structures if global regulators go ahead with new rules seeking to stop banks being "too big to fail".

According to the most pessimistic estimates, the European banking sector as a whole could need to raise as much as 1 trillion of subordinated debt to meet new standards for Total Loss Absorption Capacity - or TLAC - that the Financial Stability Board is set to agree in November. (A: That’s happening right now, folks, for those who are missing out what’s really going on.)

The FSB is the international body (A: ...because it belongs to the Royal Institute of International Affairs/Council on Foreign Relations group.) that brings together the work of national financial authorities and wants banks to hold an additional safety buffer equivalent to 16% to 20% of their risk-weighted assets.

This potential supply comes on top of the up to 600bn European banks need to raise to meet the already demanding minimum capital requirements set by the Basel Committee and competing supply from banks in other regions that will have to meet the same requirements.

"If you were to add the numbers today for the Global Systemically Important Banks (GSIBs) (A: This is what they’re calling them.) In Europe, they are 500bn short (A: I guess that’s euros.) of TLAC in the right form or in the right place," said Simon McGeary, head of the new products group at Citigroup.

"The problem for many European banks is that they have senior but it tends to be at the operating

company."

Analysts at BNP Paribas said in a recently published note that they expect the additional requirements to be met with a mix of Tier 2 debt, holdco senior debt and a reduction of risk-weighted assets. They estimate that for the European sector as a whole - that is, for the GSIBs and smaller institutions - TLAC could mean 1trn (A: I guess that's euros again.) of subordinated issuance.

So, when money becomes complicated, I mean, adding up things and subtracting them, it's not difficult to do. When they make it supposedly into a science of economics, it means you're conned, folks. When anything simple is made into some kind of science, with all fancy terms and names and so on, and lots of wonderful theories, which are guesses, and they are taught as fact, then there's a con going on, and money has always been a con. Because you see, those at the very top make money off of you. All labor, really, produces what they call money. It's all labor. But those who deal with the money make more money than the ones who labor and actually create things, at the bottom. That's the facts. Very simple isn't it?

This article here, from the Financial Stability Board, the private organization that belongs to the same bunch. It's only one group running the world, in the front positions that is. It says…

FSB reports to G20 Brisbane Summit on Progress in Financial Regulatory Reforms (A: …for Australia.)
financialstabilityboard.org / 14 November 2014

The Financial Stability Board (FSB) published today the following documents delivered to G20 Leaders for the Brisbane Summit:

•a letter from the FSB Chair to the G20 Leaders, reporting on progress in financial reforms and highlighting the major issues for the attention of Leaders, with an attached dashboard summarising the status of implementation by FSB member jurisdictions on priority reform areas;

•a report to the G20 on the FSB's review of the structure of its representation;

•a progress report setting out the FSB's approach to transforming shadow banking into resilient market-based financing to date, and a roadmap for further work in 2015 that has been presented to the G20 for endorsement; and

•a comprehensive overview report on progress in the implementation of the financial reforms in order to strengthen financial stability.

(A: These links I'm going to put up by the way for those who really care about what's happening. Because this is the cons as they all maneuver together under their big pyramidal system to bring the money under total control of those who basically have ruled it by separate family members across the world for a long, long time now into one official system, you see.)

Financial Stability Board
financialstabilityboard.org

13 November 2014 – FSB publishes Standards and Processes for Global Securities Financing Data Collection and Aggregation.

The FSB is seeking comments on the proposed standards and processes for global data collection and aggregation on securities financing transactions.

(A: So all data collection of all finances across the whole planet, global securities financing data collection is happening right now. They amalgamate all information together using all the governmental systems and everything else, and small business systems, all going into one system.)

12 November 2014 – FSB publishes update on the effects of reforms on emerging market and developing economies (EMDEs)

The FSB published a report which provides an update on the monitoring of the effects of financial regulatory reforms on EMDEs, following up on its previous reports on the subject.

(A: So what they're doing in other words is, it's all to do with the developing economies. We pay for the developing economies, what we do is we give them huge massive grants from the overseas development corporations, that are private organizations tacked into your government from the United Nations, which again, was set up by the Royal Institute of International Affairs, the same bunch again, the CFR. So they've got it all sewn up basically. But for emerging nations, as they call them, Third World countries, we pay for, again, more factories to get moved into them and we build brand-new ones for the big international corporations that already run all factories across the world. It's quite interesting really. Boring too but it's interesting to know what's going on.)

12 November 2014 – FSB reports to G20 on Progress in Reforming Resolution Regimes and Resolution Planning

The FSB published today its report to the G20 on progress in reform of resolution regimes and resolution planning for G-SIFIs (A: They should turn out a whole dictionary for this stuff.) and reports the initial results from the launch of the resolvability assessment process, RAP. (A: ...which is called rap [Alan laughing.] Resolvability assessment process, you get the rap, eh, you get a bad rap. But all rap is pretty bad anyway.)

11 November 2014 – FSB completes Peer Review of the Netherlands

The FSB published today the findings and conclusions of the peer review of the Netherlands. (A: So Holland is into it as well, and so on.)

10 November 2014 – FSB consults on proposal for a common international standard on Total Loss-Absorbing Capacity (TLAC) for global systemic banks

7 November 2014 – Reappointment of Chairman of the Financial Stability Board
(A: Mark Carney is the boss of that now; he was the chosen one a long time ago by his bosses, which we are not going to name.)

So I'll put this up tonight for those who want to follow it, and I'm sure many will be copying it tomorrow and putting it out all across the net, or getting their lackey employees to do it for them. But anyway, that's the money system. Because that's the big one to be used at the end, according to Quigley, to have total power over the whole globe and every person in the world basically.

And this article too, is from The Telegraph.

Goldman Sachs: Supermarket groups must close one in five stores
telegraph.co.uk / Graham Ruddick / Nov 2014

(A: It's amazing, Goldman Sachs is into everything. They were the guys who also were employed and paid by governments who wanted to join the EU and the European group. Greece employed them for it and Goldman Sachs overlooked certain things, deliberately, and got Greece into it, and set them up to fail in the first place. But they got paid anyway. And the Goldman Sachs group, believe you me, is the chosen front of the big international banking groups and families because they also own, you'd be surprised that they actually own the military-industrial complex in the US and Britain and elsewhere, through their various groups and companies which they own. And they actually own them. But here they are doing studies for stores now, the big supermarket chains.)

Store closures are 'only viable solution' for Tesco, Sainsbury's and Morrisons, say analysts

Britain's biggest supermarket groups must close one in five shops in order to turn around their performance, analysts at Goldman Sachs have warned.

In a damning report on the grocery industry, the Goldman analysts (A: I almost said anarchists there...) said closing stores is the "only viable solution" if the major food retailers are to grow profits again. (A: Well the reason they are not growing profits is because there already are chains owned by the big boys and they are gouging the public beyond belief today. In the last couple of years in fact the prices have just soared, again, with quantitative easing thanks to the global agenda, meaning inflation and devaluation of your currency. The purchasing power of your currency is down so you need two bucks for the same thing that cost one buck; basically that's the simple way of putting it.)

The comments came after Waitrose boss Mark Price told The Telegraph that the "Big Four" supermarkets could be forced to start closing shops as the industry faces its biggest transformation since the 1950s. (A: Now, they should still have the mom and pop ones and the family-owned businesses and all the green grocers and so on. If they had all of that the competition would keep prices low, you'd get a better quality and so on, instead of this gunk they call food today, which is mainly chemicals, prepackaged and so on and prepared. And the cons they do with vegetables, which are incredibly so overpriced, not by the farmer but by all the middlemen of course, that belong to these big organizations, the purchasers. The cons to keep them looking green in the store, they spray them with chemicals early in the morning before the public get in. It's just astonishing, folks, what you're eating, and it has all been approved by your governments.)

Shares in Tesco, Sainsbury's and Morrisons, the listed supermarket groups, have already fallen by 50 percent over the last year as their sales have slumped. (A: Well, there you go.)

Now, the US, it's interesting again. Jack Lew, the guy who, you know, from Wall Street to government and back and forth...

Jack Lew named Treasury secretary by US Senate
theguardian.com / Associated Press / 27 February 2013

Budget expert Jack Lew is to be the next Treasury secretary after the Senate voted 71-26 in favour of his nomination.

The US Senate has confirmed Jack Lew as Treasury secretary, affirming Barack Obama's choice of a budget expert at a time when Congress and the White House are at odds over sharp government spending cuts. (A: ...except for people like Obama's wife and that, who's all over the planet on her special planes and stuff, buying stuff.)

The Senate voted 71-26 to support the nomination.

(A: You know, Alexander Hamilton gets a bad rap in the US history because he had a different view of things than Jefferson and others. He actually applauded, unfortunately he applauded the great conquerors of the past, who made the changes you see, you know, the man, the man who did it and so on. But he did make some interesting comments too when the US was deciding, did they want a king, or whatever they wanted, or what kind of system they were going to bring in. He said, do you really want people to have votes and so on and big government? He said, if you have kings and queens then you might have a decent one every three families or so, three generations, but with government employees, and their families, now you've got thousands of them who want to live like royalty off of the public. Well, he made an awfully good comment on that and I thought it was awfully good, and it's awfully true today, isn't it? Because they want to live like kings and queens, all of them, but the money they are raking in, I mean, they pretty well are. They've got all these cushions there so they don't hit the street, believe you me. They got jobs for life and they are doing awfully well, off of you. As I say, those who handle and manage you and your cash live way better than you that actually make things at the bottom.)

Lew takes over just before automatic spending cuts are set to take effect. He is likely to take part in any negotiations to reverse the cuts and in key budget talks next month to continue funding the government. (A: [Alan laughing.] Why is any government borrowing money to start with, folks? eh? You think about it, think about it. Yeah. And through the free trade deals, as they stop import taxes for incoming products from overseas and things like that, that difference has to be made up by you, the personal domestic tax payer, because they ain't going to take those losses, you see. That's all negotiated into these deals, we'll just pass it

on to the taxpayer what we are losing from import duties.)

Lew began his government service in the 1980s as an aide to house speaker Tip O'Neill. He has also served two stints as White House budget director. (A: So there you go. Do you think Obama picked him? Of course he didn't.)

Is Obama's 'Beast' too fast for Brisbane? President's people...

(A: I don't know if you realize that just like kings and queens, you see, and now you got thousands of them to support and make them live well. But the president's people, these are the groups who go around before kings and queens and so on to make sure that the route they take is all scenic and nice. You know, during World War II Adolf Hitler's group, when he lived in the bunker, for any little trips he took, for any of the staff who were in the bunker with him if they took trips outside, they would pick streets that were not bombed and so on so they'd look nice and neat and tidy, etc. But it's not just... Where do you think Hitler got the idea from? The kings and queens down through history have always done the same thing. I can remember when there was a scandal a long time ago in Scotland, I read about it many years later. It was at the new University they set up in Stirling and the Queen was to open it I believe. Oh, they hired people to do all the gardens and everything and so on, and many thousands and thousands and thousands of pounds were paid, by the taxpayer as always, to put all the selected plants and herbs and things from all over the world in this garden. And the Queen's people who go around in advance of all the route, said, the Queen doesn't like this color and that color and so on. So they had to uproot and throw away all of these imported heathers and everything from across the world. So you talk about the decadence... See, the richer folk at the top, especially those who think they are ruling, the decadence that they are into is just astronomical, and to them it's a little whim, it's no major thing, because they are not paying for any of it. And then they had to paint, for the Queen coming to Stirling, they had to paint the whole railway station and all railroad stations that her special coach went through on the way to Stirling, and even cover up the ladies and gentleman's toilet signs. It was unseemly... It may offend her little eyeballs, you know, things like that. Well, it's no different from the president's people, this article is about that, who went to Australia.)

Is Obama's 'Beast' too fast for Brisbane? President's people 'requested demolition of city ROUNDABOUT' (A: ...that's the roads...) so his beefed-up Cadillac doesn't have to slow down at G20
dailymail.co.uk / Freya Noble / 13 November 2014

(A: ...we'll just pass it on to the taxpayer, eh...)

Ahead of the G20 summit this weekend in Australia, Barack Obama's delegation made a request to have a major roundabout in Brisbane demolished. (A: ...just like that. To hell with the public that are being inconvenienced and all the rest of it, eh, and have to build it back up afterwards.)

The reason: So his enormous presidential car 'The Beast' will not have to slow down outside the University of Queensland, where the US President is due to give a speech on Saturday. (A: Of course his speeches are written by somebody else. These guys are just front men, folks. They're awfully well rewarded though, they will put anything through that they are told to put through.)

However Queensland authorities apparently rejected the request to demolish and reconstruct the roundabout outside the university's St Lucia campus, The Australian reported. (A: So I'll put this up tonight as well.)

And then this article too...

Russell Napier Declares November 16, 2014 The Day Money Dies
zerohedge.com / 11/12/2014

It is with regret and sadness we announce the death of money on November 16th 2014 in Brisbane, Australia. (A: It opens with, from the actual cabaret called Money, this song money, money makes the world go around...)

'A mark, a yen, a buck, or a pound

A buck or a pound
A buck or a pound
Is all that makes the world go 'round;
That clinking, clanking sound
Can make the world go 'round.'

"Money" from Cabaret by Kander & Ebb

In the musical Cabaret, Sally Bowles and the Emcee sing about money from the perspective of those witnessing its collapse in value in real terms in the great German hyperinflation of 1923.

Less than a decade later, and a continent away, a young lawyer from Youngstown, Ohio noted on July 25th 1932 how money's value could also fall in nominal terms: (A: Oh, he's just noticed, eh.)

"A considerable traffic has grown up in Youngstown in purchase and sale at a discount of Pass-Books on the Dollar Bank, City Trust and Home Savings Banks. Prices vary from 60% to 70% cash. All of these banks are now open but are not paying out funds." (A: So in other words, cash in the hand is worth more than money deposited in the bank. It's the same old story, you see.)
The Great Depression - A Diary: Benjamin Roth (first published 2009)

In Youngstown the bank deposit, an asset previously referred to as "money" (A: ...and that's what they used to call it, you've got money in the bank...), had fallen (A: So what you had, money in the bank, had fallen...) by up to 40% relative to the value of cash (A: ...in the hand.). The G20 announcement in Brisbane on November 16th will formalize a "bail in" for large-scale depositors raising the spectre that their deposits are, as many were in 1932, worth less than banknotes. It will be very clear that the value of bank deposits can fall in nominal terms. (A: And it goes on to talk about it too.)

Money has been a racket since... its invention. And all it is, is an idea, remember. A price is an idea, used to come up with stuff that was hard to get a hold of. So the big boys who wanted to run the early money system in ancient times of course made it silver, and later gold as well, but silver was the main one because most folk would not get a hold of it, so they made sure that they ran the mines and they could manage the release of it.

Another article too...

Small step for G20, a leap for TBTF banks
afr.com / Mark Bayley / 17 Nov 2014

(A: Again, to do with Mark Carney...)
Mark Carney, BoE governor and chairman of the FSB, presented the findings of the FSB's earlier release on 'Adequacy of loss-absorbing capacity of global systemically important banks in resolution'.

This is the big, big one, folks. And we saw what happened to Ireland, for instance. They wanted them on the IMF, because when the IMF comes in they run your policies in the country. They cut your welfare, they cut your healthcare, everything, to keep the profits and everything up. But it's also because then they can rule you. So, so much for your democracy when a foreign group comes in and rules you. Literally rule you, that's what they do.

And this article too, it's also from Zero Hedge. It says that...

Wall Street Stunned As Iceland
Dares To Jail Banker Involved In 2008 Crash
zerohedge.com / Tyler Durden / 11/20/2014

Wall Street bankers are staring agog at headlines coming from Europe where, in Iceland, the former chief executive of one of the largest banks in the country which was involved in crashing the economy in 2008 has been sentenced to jail time. (A: Yea!!!) As Valuewalk reports, in receiving a one year prison sentence (A:

That's awfully cheap, one year eh…), Sigurjon Arnason officially became the first bank executive to be convicted of manipulating the bank's stock price and deceiving investors (A: Well, they all do that. I mean, they've always done that.), creditors and the authorities between Sept. 29 and Oct. 3, 2008, as the bank's fortunes unwound, crashing the economy with it. It appears he was as shocked by the verdict as Wall Streeters are, "this sentence is a big surprise to me as I did nothing wrong." (A: A good psychopath will always tell you that.) It was likely all for the people's own good… (A: [Alan laughing.])

Some thought it would never happen. (A: …and he goes on to it in a more detailed story here.)

What, me guilty? was the bank executive's response upon learning of his fate. "This sentence is a big surprise to me as I did nothing wrong," (A: Well that's what they all say, you see.) Arnason was quoted as saying in a Reuters article after he learned of his punishment.

Other Iceland bank executives also convicted

The Reykjavik District Court had lopped off nine months of Arnason's sentence, saying they were suspended. Other bank executives involved in the situation were convicted: Ivar Gudjonsson, the former director of proprietary trading at the bank, along with Julius Heidarsson, a former broker at the bank. They each received nine-month sentences and six of those nine months were immediately suspended by the court.

All pleaded innocent to the charges, as the fallout from the 2008 crisis continues to this day in the north Atlantic island and around the world. As a sign of thawing in the crisis, Reuters reported that earlier in the week Landsbanki, the successor to the failed Landsbankinn, agreed to extend a deadline to restructure bonds to the end of the year. If a bond restructuring agreement is reached, it could help the government lift capital controls which were imposed due to the crisis.

So it's quite funny, as I say, the cons that go on, forever, and in perpetuity… And when they pretend to fix things, it's not; it's so that they can plunder you more down the road, folks. That's how it really works. Because remember, it isn't just cash they are after; they don't need cash at the top. It's total financial control, which runs every part of your life. It's all finances, finances, finances. The power of the purse has more power than armies. In fact, when the power of the purse says to the armies, you can't get any more money for weapons and so on, they simply collapse, folks. The power of the purse is to kick in now, according to Quigley, and here we are going through it all, and it's all been readied for us too under the guise of protecting you from further crisis, etc, etc, etc. Isn't it amazing? But is it really?

You see, those who want power, the psychopathic types, and believe you me you can get a lot of inbred psychopaths in these wealthy families. They literally, they are not stupid people. Don't think that for a minute that they are stupid. But they also employ awfully good intelligent people, well-trained to deceive you beneath them, who also have kind of psychopathic traits. They've always been in the business of plundering economies, monopolizing markets and trade and everything else for themselves, cutting off materials for the companies that are not part of their takeover groups and then the companies go belly up because they can't get the parts for it or the material or whatever. This is the standard operating system. You'll hear folk who are even in certain other industries, including information, talking about, well the big fish eat the small fish, because they are in the business to wipe out everybody else. That's unfortunately the way the system works and you'll always have these kind of characters. And when you have amalgamations of these characters working together, how can they really fail? You understand, how can they fail… when they are all working together for the same ends. And they have covered every part of society, civilization as we call it, and your way of life and everything else is all encompassing the big war on the public. Because you see, you can't be trusted, they say, and you cause problems because you won't go along with big agendas, and you won't keep forking up your income so they can take the money off you for their big international corporations, to supposedly keep the peace, etc. etc. etc.

Tyranny never comes out and says, yeah we're tyrants. They did in ancient Rome because tyrant wasn't a bad name at that time, it was something that was necessary every so often when corruption hit a particular zenith. But today a tyrant has a different meaning. Tyrants will always come in and say, it's for the good of all, etc. etc. etc. And they use, again, linguists, neuroscientists, psychologists, behaviorists, all of these people to educate you, meaning indoctrinate you and brainwash you into accepting everything, and even

preparing you for it all too, including all of your politically correct updates through their big branch too of fiction, the fiction creators who also call themselves the cultural leaders in Hollywood, etc. It all works together, as Plato said, the music industry, dramas, which were plays back then in Plato's day, today it's movies and so on. You are persuaded far more easily through emotion in what you watch than actual reality itself. It's awfully well done.

Well, I hope you're all surviving, folks. I'm sure you're all noticing the weather, because it's time that you noticed the weather, you see. The big boys want to make sure that everybody knows the weather is kind of weird, as you have deep freezes in this part of Ontario for weeks now and then you have the sudden thaw, which used to come in January. It was normal then, called the January thaw, but now they are giving you it a bit early to make sure you notice it. After dumping lots of snow they want flooding in certain areas until folk will say, hey something is wrong, and then they will demand from the government through its, you know, selected spokespeople, government do something, do something. And they will say, yeah well you're causing all this weird weather, and you're going to pay amazing carbon taxes and energy taxes and so on. Because all of your money is to be taken away from you in this age of austerity, meaning plunder, and bring you down to poverty. And that's just really the way it is, folks, you know.

I don't bring you bad news, I just tell you it the way it is. That's all. It's up to you how you handle news. And it's up to you what you want to do for yourself. And the first thing, as I say, is reclaim your mind. If you don't have your mind then you've got nothing, you've got literally nothing. If you're full of someone else's ideas or they are telling you what to do about it, you are being fooled again and someone is fooling you generally for a massive profit. Think for yourselves. That's an incredible goal, you know, thinking for yourself. And when you do think for yourself you are much happier. Because nothing surprises you, you know what's going along, you know all the cons that are getting played, and your mind is prepared for things down the road. You know. That's really how it is. There's so much to this, that's many, many talks in itself.

But, I hope you're getting something out of this and not panicking like crazy. Because I'm not in the business of terrifying the life out of you and then telling you what to do, so that I would get awfully rich. By the way, mentioning money, for those who enjoy what you're hearing, and for those, I wish they would, who have grabbed the material and ran with it and published stuff and so on from it all, it would be nice to get an occasional donation, just to help me tick over. Because I just tick over. I could go the total opposite way and be big business but I'm not going to do that because that's not the goal of it.

I think if you're involved in big business you get greedier and greedier and greedier, and you'll use all kinds of dirty tricks to panic the public and sell things to them and stuff like that and that's not what I'm really out to do. But apart from that too, you get approached by the big boys, because whenever they sniff big money getting made too they want a cut of it, and then they can help you along and get it up even higher, as long as you give your cuts off to them. The world is totally corrupt.

And I think money is the key to promoting all kinds of corruption, unfortunately. Because everyone is terrified of the usual things, poverty, homelessness, disease, friendlessness, no friends, and things like that. Because these are basic requirements, food, shelter, clothing, all these things, and when you're poor you know what it's like, you don't want to be down there, believe you me. So therefore you're terrified of the basic things, and the big boys know it too, that's why they're always telling you to beware of the basic things, you might lose them all, you might lose them, and terrify you, and that's so as you will work hard and stay in any rotten jobs, etc, as they fleece you with more taxes and everything else and devalue the currency. So you're not going to win by even obeying them; it can't happen. It won't happen. It's not meant to happen. They've factored everything in.

But you can reclaim your mind and that's a pleasure in itself. It doesn't mean you're going to be awfully full of friends across the world but you will have peace of mind within yourself. And once in a while you'll meet someone who's of an ilk, a similar ilk. Plato said it himself, if you meet four or five people in your lifetime and influence them you've done an incredibly good job. And if you've got four or five friends throughout your life, that means you don't have them all at the same time, generally, you've done awfully well too.

Today everyone's been fooled by, you know, their friends on Facebook, and 'friends', all that nonsense. It's all nonsense. It's not real. It's not real at all. Because in this system of corruption, when you are wiped out

financially, you're on your own. You're on your own, folks. There are some groups that handle it better, because they saw this in the Middle Ages and so on, the Mennonites that came out of them and different ones like that. They realized the cons that went on with cash and money and lobbyists getting Kings to put laws through so you have to buy all your tools for agriculture from one massive company, things like that. That happened, you know, and that's why a lot of these groups formed. They decided to make all of their own stuff themselves, be totally self-sufficient, and down through the ages they would always be able to survive and be self-sufficient. There's not enough of those particular types of groups. There's really not. Everybody else, though, who thinks they are free, and freer than the Mennonites, are actually over a barrel because everything they need comes to them, they have to buy it, through this thing called money, that's never the same value one day to the next. What a joke is that.

But as I say, you can help me out, folks, because I've got bills here myself and as long as I get my bills paid, I generally say I'm doing pretty good when I get them paid. And you can help me tick along, hopefully, because I've got all these sites to pay for. I've got a vehicle, as you well know what has happened with the transmission. I've also got pump problems with the well, etc, all these different problems coming down. Plus I've got bills to pay like you all do and I don't have probably as many types of bills because I don't have cell phones and all this kind of thing and I'm not buying apps and all that kind of stuff either. I'm down to bare essentials almost, and that's a good way to be as well by the way.

So I hope you're all doing well and surviving and I hope that you will think for yourselves. Don't just parrot me or someone else. Think for yourself. I can show you ways to do things but it's up to you to think for yourself. Reclaim yourself, it's your birthright.

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

AFL-CIO Asks Banks to Explain Payouts to Government-Job Takers

New rules hemming in banks will make it easier to let them fail--with luck

European banks face up to 1 trillion capital hole

FSB reports to G20 Brisbane Summit on Progress in Financial Regulatory Reforms

Financial Stability Board

Progress and Next Steps Towards Ending "Too-Big-To-Fail" (TBTF)
Report of the Financial Stability Board to the G-20

Goldman Sachs: Supermarket groups must close one in five stores

Jack Lew named Treasury secretary by US Senate

Jack Lew's Grotesque Citi Employment Deal and the Institutionalization of Corruption

Obama's people 'request removal of ROUNDABOUT' to make way for The Beast

Russell Napier Declares November 16, 2014 The Day Money Dies

Small step for G20, a leap for TBTF banks

Wall Street Stunned As Iceland Dares To Jail Banker Involved In 2008 Crash

For more information please visit the web sites:
cuttingthroughthematrix.com and alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Nov. 24, 2014 (#1474)
Hour 1 – "The Fabians"
Hour 2 – "The Alien Agenda"

ALAN WATT
"CUTTING THROUGH THE MATRIX"
(GUEST ON REALITY BYTES RADIO WITH NEIL FOSTER AND THOMAS SHERIDAN)
realitybytesradio.com

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Neil: Welcome to Reality Bytes Radio on the 24th of November 2014. And if there is anybody here who tried to go on realitybytes.com unfortunately that site was taken down somehow about four minutes before we went on air, when it's been running fine for over a week with no problems whatsoever, but there you go. We have two guests tonight as many of you will already know. I have Thomas Sheridan who I have spoken to many times before and Alan Watt; similarly spoke to many times before on a variety of subjects, as with Thomas. I thought it would be a good idea to get both of them on tonight to basically have a chat amongst themselves. I'm just going to sit back in the wilderness as it were and take a few notes and if I think of anything to ask then I'll come back and ask but Thomas are you there?
Thomas: Yes I am indeed.
Neil: Alan, are you hearing okay?
Alan: Yes I can, yep.
Neil: Okay. Thomas put a couple of subjects forward, one was the Fabians, and the other one was the whole distraction if you like for want of a better word, the Alien Agenda type of thing. We are going to start on the Fabians so Thomas if you want to start off and I'll leave you to it.
Thomas: Well my interest in the Fabians was rekindled while I was researching for my last book on the history of the occult in Germany in terms of how the occult was being used in social control. And H.G. Wells in particular, his..., how the Fabians were not just, they were not just a political organization, they were very much a social engineering and cultural operation as well. And I was very struck by how in particular H.G. Wells was using Science Fiction to almost future proof the world going forward. You had the likes of, "Things to Come", which is basically showing the world of today in terms of when it gets to the point of where you have this group called, Wings Over the World, which is referred to as the freemasonry of the air. And they drop this thing called the gas of peace on rogue nations who do not agree with the, you know, Wings Over the World format of how global society should be concentrated towards this kind of scientific global lab rat kind of mindset where human beings are essentially just cogs in an enormous kind of socialist machine towards the scientific end, purely a scientific materialist end. And I never really realized how deep this ran until I read an autobiography of H.G. Wells who was a particularly appalling human being in many ways. He was a ferocious bigot. He basically couldn't stand anyone who wasn't at least you know lower upper class, public school educated, and Presbyterian. There was no place for anyone in the world that did not conform to his sort of respectable notions

of society.
And while I was researching for the book I came across a quote by H.G. Wells where someone had accused him of being basically a national socialist in terms of his philosophy, in terms of his ideas. And H.G. Wells replied by saying that he was a combination of basically Hitler and Stalin. And if he was a dictator he would be very, very cruel. And we were brought into ideas of how he wanted to, I mean incredible ideas, like he wanted people to all wear standard uniforms. And people would not be allowed to own boats because if they did they would try to escape to islands off the coast where they would try and live outside of this socialist utopia that the Fabians had envisioned.
But more than anything else it was the idea of culture, of building these kinds of pathological mythologies in the heads of human beings which were to future proof the Fabian ideas going forward. And this was not a new thing actually with H.G. Wells and it happened before in Germany. But in terms of the Fabians and again they've show with the emergence of this Russell Brand character who has come out very {inaudible} connected to the New Statesman magazine, again part of the same cultural creation. The idea that people in terms of popular culture, not just science fiction, not just pop music, not just TV, everything really even down to sport are fundamentally aligned with the Fabian trajectory of social engineering because this is, we're basically living in a gigantic laboratory. We are the rats and the Fabians are the, shall we say the researchers tinkering with our consciousness.
Alan: Yeah there is that. There is no doubt about it there was definitely a much, much bigger plan. Even today they are very quiet about all the other forces involved in this organization. You'll find that for instance that the Milner Group, the Alfred Milner Group that eventually formed along with the Cecil Rhodes Society, the Royal Institute of International Affairs that literally drafted up the European draft for amalgamation and for the America's too with the CFR, which is their branch as well. They were involved heavily in the Fabian Society and many of their members were crossovers between the two in fact. They had to get the working class on their side by telling them that they would be their champion's. They would use a form of Marxism initially for rights, etcetera, or better conditions. No one complained about that back then, it was awful. And they fooled the working class. They needed an army behind them to bring all of this in.
But when you look at their big players who were involved where they really wanted to take the people eventually, it was very close to communism. And even in the early days of Lenin right through to in fact to later dictators in the Soviet Union, they boasted in the Fabian Society that they had a direct line to Moscow and that is a fact. They did definitely have a direct line that was working there. The right idea was to bring in this form of elite management of a whole society and then eventually a world. A scientific elite management starting with Britain and then the empire. They would use the empire and eventually eradicate a lot of the initial domestic races that existed there by massive immigration and so on. They came up with the idea of free trade too and free movement of labor across borders way back in the 1800's.
And then when you look at who backed the Fabian Society financially a lot of the money came from Lord Aster. And he was an American businessman and he and his wife moved to Britain and he became a viscount, and then lord. And they had back then, their money was estimated in today's terms in the multi billions of pounds actually. So big, big money was backing this group and they needed the working class army to think that it was actually their movement and in reality it was a specialist scientific elite at the top that was going to run the general population from birth to death. And train them from birth to death to serve the system basically, happily serve the system. So that's quite true. There is much more involved in the Fabians than meets the eye until you really go into the deep memberships that crossed over into the Royal Institute of International Affairs.
Neil: Well of course the Aster family is still involved in the system today with David Cameron.
Alan: And so are the Benn's by the way. You know in Britain you have the Wedgewood family that were, they were the champions too of Darwin. In fact they were intermarried completely with Darwins, his mom was a Wedgewood. And they became the Wedgewood-Benn's. And you had Anthony Wedgewood-Benn, and you've got a daughter I think and maybe a son in as well who reclaimed their title because they also have titles. Tony Benn was put to the side for a while because he had to get the labor party behind him but now the son has reclaimed the title, the hereditary titles. These are big important wealthy families running the labor party today and of course the Fabian Society is still alive and well behind the scenes as a big, big think tank that works with the global establishment, the United Nations and so on to bring in the scientifically controlled society.
Thomas: If you even look at the family tree of the Wedgewoods, the Huxleys and the Darwins, it really is basically the farm of today's you know bureaucratic administrative elite. You know the ones, the next level down from Royalty.
Alan: And if you look at Julian Huxley, who was in UNESCO, he wrote copiously in fact about and really detailed about the big world agenda. And he did say there are different groups, there is a financial elite he said at the top, the dominant minority, and then you have another dominant minority that are employed by the financial elite, and that is the scientific elite. And he said, I and my family are part of the scientific elite. They also include the social sciences and psychology and so on. So it's all combined and that's how we are managed

today basically, and below that you have entertainment and education and everything else to augment it all.
Thomas: And we are also turning culture on its head, well using culture to turn history on its head. In Huxley's book, "The Devils of Loudun", about basically a witch trial, a witch burning trial that happened in France during the Reformation. He totally turned around the central character in this, this episode. When you read the actual real history this guy, this priest, {inaudible} was absolutely psychopathic. He had a scant disregard for women. He was causing tremendous problems between the Catholics and Protestants in Loudun at the time, in the town which was basically a powder keg. He knew he had protection of the French monarchy. Now when Huxley, Aldous Huxley, wrote this book on him he completely twisted it around to portray this sort of womanizing psychopathic priest as a kind of a Jim Morrison, free spirit, free liberal, ahead of his time who was burned for being you know basically a 60's hippie type character living during the Reformation. And in reality he was nothing like that. He also portrayed the nuns at the convent, the sisters who were involved in accusing him of witchcraft, as being hysterical females but completely kind of left out the fact that they had basically been mind controlled and destroyed. So what we are having also with these Fabians and these, well often it was the Fabians, but also that generally connected circle is this idea of also taking history and really writing it. Again future proofing historical characters.
Alan: Yeah. That is still going on. It's going on all the time. Constantly history is always being rewritten. Some of it actually, most history today is actually pre-written, like 9/11, the whole agenda down to screaming out it was Bin Laden on the first day it happened before the dust settled. It was all pre-written and every authorized historian knows they have to go by the official story that was all laid out at the very beginning. That's how precise it is today. And no one can contradict it or you are a conspiracy nut or something like that. So that's how things really are and again the Fabian connection with the Cecil Rhodes group, and Cecil Rhodes remember wanted a world society run along the British lines, the elite British lines, and they would use the empire to do it and join up again through trade pacts and treaties with the United States. And they would form this world empire type of deal and bring commerce across the world but it would always be held in the hands of the few big corporations at the top. They have achieved every goal pretty well that they laid out back in the 1800's.
Thomas: And if you look at the labor party, its connection through the London School of Economics, which was founded essentially by the Fabians through George Bernard Shaw. You can almost, you can barely find a senior labor cabinet administer while they are in government, either the chancellor or the prime minister himself, who does not have a direct connection in some way to the London School of Economics.
Alan: Yeah and Sidney Webb again was fundamental in setting that up. I mean the Webb family, Sidney and Beatrice, they were part of the Potter family too, like Beatrix Potter, they lead out the foundations for what they call women's lib. They would use elite families, their daughters, to promote it initially so that the rest would follow down below, all the lower classes. But the intention really again was to create armies of people who would obey this, what they would call the new governmental system. And that whole thing was militarized. It was all armies, armies and the separation of the genders and so on. And that again is where you know later authors got their ideas like, "1984", you'd have the women who were the dedicated virgin sort of thing serving the system. This was all laid out back in the 1800's for those who don't understand that. And these guys I mean, at the top, at the very top, you have the war-making machine working again, and they are owned and run and operated by the financial elite of Britain in those days. And that was an incredible amount of money that they had in those days because they owned a world empire. They could loot a country's resources like crazy and they were doing it.
And so you had the Cecil Rhodes Foundation society along with Lord Rothschild because the two of them created it together and they were going to take over the world's resources, gold, silver, eventually it went into oil later on, things like that. But they also had the war making machinery involved in them too. They had an arm and a branch for every facet of this future society that they'd hope to bring in.
And you can go back into Cecil Rhodes' education. He was educated by Dean Ruskin at Oxford University. And Dean Ruskin came up with the idea of the British Empire rule which definitely would merge back with the U.S. down the road, again be part of this whole world empire system. They'd bring in other countries and the later countries they would bring in would be China and the Far East and so on. Well that's exactly where it's all been going all along.
So the American branch had to be called the Council on Foreign Relations because royal wouldn't suit them very well, the Royal Institute of International Affairs. And for the labor people there would be the big army of workers along a Marxist type system and also the soldiers from the same groupings. They would be trained by the Fabian type indoctrinations that they had.
They also were into the eradication of all the old religions. But they also brought in the New Age religion back then from Hinduism actually. Annie Besant one of the members of the Fabian Society, founding members, she was one of the theosophists. She took over from Blavatsky, Madame Blavatsky. And she really was to bring in this new thought because really Hinduism when you bring it to the West is a definite form of mind control where

nothing is real unless you have a master or a guru who tells you what is real. Even if the thing they are telling you is real is not real at all you will believe them. It's a new thought, a new way of conditioning people into a belief system which is nothing to do with any actual reality. So that's all mixed up together. They didn't miss anything in fact and Besant said, Annie Besant said herself she would literally end marriage amongst men and women. Everyone would eventually serve the state and the state would finance women to have children.
Thomas: And culturally a lot of that, this was capitalized in the 1960's in the whole swinging London Carnaby Street you know.
Alan: It started before actually. They tried it in the 1920's with the jazz and with the speakeasies or booze cans you know. And that's why the same grouping was used with the religious movements to bring in prohibition as in the States for instance. And before that very few young people actually went out to get drunk. And so it made it a very sinful thing to go to so it was great. You know anything that was bad you'd go and do. And they brought in the jazz; they brought in coke at the same time in the 1920's for those who don't know that. And they got high and so on. But the problem was they didn't have the pill or any kind of contraception and the same happened in Britain with it too and so suddenly you had all these orphanages springing up to take care of the children that were unwanted and it just kind of fell apart from there. They brought in the mini skirt then too, the Charleston dance, etcetera. So they went back to the drawing board and right up into the 1960's, they took the tax money off the public to create some kind of contraception and when the 60's came out they had the pill ready and they just simply regurgitated the same agenda again and it took off from there.
Thomas: The back street abortion flourished during that early 20's period because they didn't have the pill as you said and also people like Frank Sinatra's mother was a, she was an abortionist, who worked you know around the jazz scene in Hoboken, New Jersey. And that was a way, there was actually so much demand, there was so much you know pregnancy at that time because of this sort of like proto 60's mindset that, that was the only industry that literally flourished in poor and immigrant neighborhoods.
Alan: In fact Armand Hammer's father was an abortionist too. You know Armand Hammer, his father was an abortionist and a quack chemist who you know was put in prison a few times for doing abortions and so on and killing folk with his quack cures. And Armand Hammer, again a big member of the Marxist organizations, in fact he had an apartment set up next to Lenin and then Stalin eventually, Armand Hammer the son, he promoted all of these same agendas throughout the West to destroy the old system to bring in this new system of total scientific control. Remember that's really what the Soviet System was about too, it was scientific control of the masses as I say. In fact Annie Besant also coined the term along with Beatrice Webb, they called it the collective. That's why you are called the collective. Everybody who is not one of the elite is the collective.
Thomas: Yeah the socialist thing...
Neil: Sorry Thomas, just a quick word. You mentioned the sexual revolution back in the 20's Alan and I think there's a documentary, it is "Sin Cities"?
Alan: Yeah. That's right, yeah.
Neil: I think it was Shanghai, London, and Berlin was it, that were, or Paris.
Alan: That's right. The sin city, you had Paris, yeah. And it's an excellent documentary; it's called "Sin Cities". And it's amazing because I think Canada were the ones who promoted it all. And everybody who comes on, these social critics that come on glorify, absolutely glorify and drool, because it's politically correct to glorify this kind of stuff of the degradation of the whole people of these cities where they created massive financial depressions, women were flooding in there dirt poor. They were put on stage in Berlin for instance stark naked and at the end of the nights these massive halls where various rich folk would all have sex with them, like massive, massive orgies. They even had women who were in all stages of pregnancy so that guys could have sex with them in the different stages of pregnancy depending on what fetish they were into. It was absolutely disgusting and they flooded those cities with drugs too, absolute hell and they called this a great liberalization of society, absolutely disgusting. And then they had it in Shanghai as well. And you'll find the big, big even the cosmetic heads of the day built great massive casinos and brothels all over Shanghai at the time too. And the White Russians who were driven out of the Soviet, the early Soviet Union, ended up prostituting themselves there because they were dirt poor as well. It's just disgusting how it is glorified, the total degradation of humanity. And it's all to get people used to the idea that human beings were nothing really, that we were just another animal, excess population, our unwanted children could just be killed on the spot even after birth. And of course they went back to the drawing board after that when it didn't catch on too quickly in America in the states and gave us lots of indoctrination until folk think nothing about it today, it's just a... I've actually heard women talking about it and they'll say it's like getting a wart removed. That's how life is valued today, yep.
Thomas: Yeah. When you have the likes of Gloria Steinem with her "I had an abortion" t-shirt and then she campaigns against you know having animals shot but it's no problem you know killing humans.
Alan: Yeah. That's right and Steinem too came out and it's all public open record, this is not conspiracy stuff. I never talk about this conspiracy stuff; I talk about documented stuff from the sources that do it all. And Steinem did work for the CIA. They set up her magazine and financed it all along. You understand your CIA

and your MI6 and MI5 and so on are not working for you, they are all part of this one big system again including the Fabian Society and all the other think tanks they have working on managing a massive world population. And believe you me they have no favorites in a country that they are based in. You are all the same peasantry as the peasants of China to them.
Thomas: Well she began by spying on her fellow students, liberal students. She was spying on them because they were liberals. What she was basically, and being paid by the CIA to do it, that's you know the quality of character that she has and then she turns tables completely and she becomes one of these so called liberals herself. Going from the, you know the ultraconservative, like old-fashioned blue blood style, to the new liberal. It's like a typical psychopathic switch, it means nothing, it is like taking a CD out of one machine and putting it the other. Absolutely no principles or any kind of conviction, just tell me what I believe this week and who I'm working for.
Alan: That's right and that's the same thing too with some recent prime ministers of Britain. They were spying on their fellow students and they even ran for the labor party and won. So it's the same old thing over and over again yeah.
Thomas: How they could switch parties you know crossing the floor.
Alan: Winston Churchill did that all of the time. {Laughs}
Thomas: He did do that all of the time, yeah. {Laughs} He was actually, that was based... He was the definition of a political animal in that sense, in that he was for his own political survival even to the deaths of hundreds of millions of people that took it, from the Dardanelles when he sacrificed 5,000 British seamen to get new warships to the Ottoman mines and guns, all the way to goading the United States to nuke Russia in the 1950's. Purely his own political survival that had been you know inbreed into him since his childhood in Blenheim Palace. But it never left him. He was the pure political psychopathic machine.
Alan: Oh yeah. Right down to handing over Poland and a few other countries after World War II, signing them all to the Yalta Agreement during the war with Stalin that the Russians would get those countries. I mean they just wrote off whole countries, yeah you have these people; these millions of people, just take them you know and do with them as you wish.
Thomas: And the concentration camps in South Africa you know while he was pontificating in the 50's about the horrors of the Nazi's and then later the Gulags in the Soviet Union. Here was the guy that basically invented the idea of mass concentration camps of women and children and not only to attack these communities but also to basically you know dishearten the enemy troops you know who knew that their wives and kids were starving in a labor camp. This was invented by Churchill.
Alan: That's right. It was the Boer War sure. Remember too though he also belonged to again this one organization that eventually was called the Royal Institute of International Affairs, and the Alfred Milner Group. But even Churchill, this is how elite this club is, this organization, even Churchill during World War II wasn't completely in the loop because there is an inner circle and an outer circle. And that's where you'll find that "1984" has it in it too, the inner party and the outer party, and Winston Churchill was outside the loop, and when he found out he blow up and talked about it in parliament in a speech. He said, it's amazing that a group of very, very rich and old families have been manipulating us through about four or five different wars, he said. And he was only angry because he wasn't completely in on the whole picture at the time. After that they had to bring him in completely.
So the front men are often used, they are little egotists, and they certainly benefit financially themselves with all of their deals, like Tony Blair, but they are not necessary in the upper inner party. The inner party that Milner set up was very secretive. In fact he said in his own writings, and Carroll Quigley talked about it because he was their historian for a long time later on, but he said that they based it on the Jesuit technique. Which is an awfully interesting technique of going into places pretending you are one of the people, getting gradually to know them, never argue with them no matter about their political views, and then gradually subvert from within. So they have done this across the world. They fomented revolutions in countries. They are still at it today, it's one of the biggest, in fact it is the biggest organization for power in the world is the Royal Institute of International Affairs today and the Council on Foreign Relations. Every top newspaper man in Britain, every editor in the States as well must be a member of it to succeed or you will not, they will simply close ranks and believe you me even your paper supply will be cut off if they don't want you up there, incredible power. And Reuters is part of them too, owned by the Rothschild's. So they control the news of the world, of the entire planet. This is how it really is, yeah.
Thomas: This infatuation they have with the Jesuits has always been very interesting to me especially you know because these people claim to be atheists and you know totally against religion and yet they have people like Peter Sutherland who is basically the head of everything, the former Goldman-Sachs chairman, the head of the World Bank. This guy was educated in a very exclusive Dublin Jesuit college where they teach the students how to manipulate and control situations through complex games of chess.
Alan: Uh huh.

Thomas: The Jesuit power structure is enormous in this world and yet we hear about everything else. Or there is an assumption that somehow the Jesuits are purely, you know they are purely you know associated with religion and theology, administrative things within the Vatican, or they are a historical entity.
Alan: Uh huh.
Thomas: But even if they are not overtly religious when you start looking at these, how many of these people who were actually in many of the top power structures, a lot of them have Jesuit schooling.
Alan: Oh even Stalin had Jesuit schooling. So yeah, there is no doubt about it. I mean their educational system is far superior then anyone else's because they certainly have it down to an incredibly good art on how to indoctrinate children but also give them an education which, they teach reason for instance, which isn't taught in public schools anymore or general schools, comprehensive schools. Logic and they also teach them oratory as well. Oratory is awfully important again to go into in order to avoid arguments and debates, but also to win your debate by using logic and reason and very skillful oratory. It's all to do with logic. That isn't taught outside of them.
You'll find that the speakers, even Tony Blair, again a guy who was paid to inform on a radical labor party member when he was young. You'll find that he was taking that too and he had all the earmarks of oratory when he went up to make world speeches on wars that he wanted to get Britain into. He was a one man band basically, very skillful, etcetera, in that one department. But he was also psychopathic. That's why he was also so good at it. So these guys are all over the place. They are top CEOs of corporations, they have all the same attributes of skillful oratory but also the psychopathic personalities. They are actually selected for that purpose.
Thomas: Oh I have no doubt about that and that was probably rooted in the Jesuit thing. If you look at the BBC itself as basically a Fabian subdivision or offshoot in many ways. They had this long running TV series from the 60's called, "Seven Up" where the idea was to follow the Jesuit idea give me your child at seven and I'll give you the man. And here was the BBC that followed these same people every seven years to produce this documentary. And the idea is that they are putting out there is they are showing the life of Britain through these people every seven years and they are up into their 50's now. And it's nothing of the sort, it's really a gigantic big laboratory that the BBC are running according to Jesuitical principles of monitoring people, seeing that, and even if you watched the programs themselves there are all kinds of circle editorials. Like there was one guy at one point who had basically dropped out of the system in the early 80's and he basically became kind of like a hermit artistic type person. He had basically woken up and he was living in Scotland in a caravan. And the whole thing was portrayed as what a loser he was, what a failure he was. He had all of these opportunities in life and all he wants to do now is live in a caravan in Scotland and talk about philosophy and poetry. And every shot was composed to make him look like a sad sack and a loser you know coming back from the shops with his little plastic bag of groceries. And then in a follow up series seven years later it show a most remarkable turnaround of all. It was this character, and they show that he's now a lived in politician. He is going from door to door. So suddenly he is not a loser anymore. The BBC are portraying him as you know the ideal man who while he went off the rails for a while and started to think for himself but now he is firmly back in the system. And the more you watch the "Seven Up" TV series and how it progresses you realize that it's not so much showing people's lives as they change but they are using the show to actually coach behavioral models among British society every seven years almost like giving a little talk of this is how you should behave. These shows get enormous ratings.
Alan: Yeah it's predictive programming too because you see the general population have been trained to accept opinions by superiors and any authority figure is a superior, including the BBC. In other words official broadcasters, official is the term from the BBC, speak in a very authoritarian fashion. So much so by the way that they trained the Soviets and the Chinese if you listen to radio China, Beijing, you'd swear you are listening to the BBC the way it's presented to them, the public. And they found the people will follow this as an authority. And you are given your opinions on things. They are very cleaver in the way that they lead you to the opinion at the end. And of course people do, they never question. I mean why should you question something when you never suspect you are being lied to? You'd never suspect it. You've been trained not to suspect it. And why would they lie to you about something like this? But everything that you are seeing is a carefully orchestrated psychological indoctrination with behaviorism and many other, and neuroscience involved in it too, psycholinguistics which I call neurolinguistics. It's all professional and when you see how many people work on one show for one hour with all of the experts I've just mentioned, these different scientists, it's staggering. To make sure that you will accept the opinions on this topic that they are going to leave you with. You are downloaded with them and that's used across the world now. It's a perfect system.
And again going back to the Fabian society with the Hinduism to break up the old religions and then merge them together into a new cause, a future, always working for a future utopia, it's been perfected basically. To bring about a utopia you must create as much misery as possible beforehand and then offer them the lifeline you see of this utopia. And that's perfect. The incorporation of all the Marxist ideology and the top capitalist ideology merged together. That's what it's really all about. So you are a perfect soldier for working, not so

much for a good pay and so on, but for very basic essentials and for a good cause for the future and serving the world state. That's always been the goal of this.
Thomas: Yeah I agree completely with that. This idea also of Hinduism you could {inaudible} into the actual writing of the Fabians if you look at what, you know, the class system and the cast system, it's the same thing basically.
Alan: It's the same thing, yeah.
Thomas: And you have the ones to reach the top of the cast system within Fabianism or socialism whatever they have planned, the scientific revolution, will ascend into the utopia of the technocratic future. At the same time you had H.G. Wells referring to the rest of the people who weren't fit to enter the scientific future as being congenital invalids. This is exactly the same as the Hindu cast system of the untouchables.
Alan: That's right and George Bernard Shaw again another co-founder of the Fabians, he, and you've probably seen a stain glass window where you see them bashing on an anvil, like blacksmiths, the world into a new shape. In other words the proper shape. The whole structure of the world and everything in it is to be bashed into, hammered into shape. And it's quite fascinating. George Bernard Shaw I mean in his book too, "Man and Superman", you see, he explains that. Those who could catch on to technology and understand it and work with it would come through into this new wonderful age but those who couldn't, who belong to the old age, simply would have to be eliminated.
H.G. Wells went further in his book, "A Modern Utopia", where he said, it's supposed to be in the future but he said that, how did they achieve this utopia that's there in the book, this fictional book, and as he's traveling through parts of the world and everybody is so well behaved, there are not many people around because it's depopulated. And they've depopulated, he says, we thought about just killing them off, like George Bernard Shaw said. George Bernard Shaw actually came out and I've put up the link on my site, cuttingthroughthematrix.com, where you can actually see him and hear him in an early, early clip talking about, "You will have to come to us when we are in power. ", he said, meaning the socialists. The true socialists, not the working people. And he said, "And you'll have to tell us why we should let you live because you must serve the system." So these guys were way ahead of it. And H.G. Wells said, he said "But the way we settled on eventually was..." in the Modern Utopia he said, "...was to gradually sterilize the people of the lower classes that simply haven't evolved properly and were not needed in a postindustrial system." That's where we are today.
Thomas: Yeah that's where we are today. A lot of people have to get this idea into their head because it's true that the modern world that we live in is fundamentally and expression of neurosis among Victorian aristocrats in that they were fearful in educating society. They were fearful of a literate society. And that's the world we live in. It didn't come out by accident. It was a formulated plan brought together by a bunch of Victorian neurotics, terrified of losing their privilege. And that's the world we live in.
Now what you were saying there about the well behaved society, that's more and more noticeable. I know quite a lot of people who owned businesses from chip shops to restaurants to... you know they have been employing young people for the last you know twenty, thirty years, and they all tell me the same thing that the kids today they are far more well behaved then say they were in the 70's.
Alan: Uh, huh.
Thomas: They are very compliant but they are also useless. Like you could put them in front of a sink with a tap and you can say wash those dishes and they literally would not know how to wash the dishes there or even have the sort of cognitive you know self-awareness to even conceptualize that oh this is the water, this is the Brillo pad, and this is the dish. They have to have absolute and precise instructions.
Alan: Well here's the key to that. The key to that goes back to another think tank that belongs to the same group that we're talking about, and it's called the Club of Rome. And in their book called, "The First Global Revolution", they have all that in it. They said that, they always use the term democracy to fool the masses basically. But and in reality is says, "Democracy would never work because there are too many conflicting people, parties, groups, subgroups and so on all fighting each other for power". And because of that they couldn't get their big agendas through. They are talking on behalf of the elite and therefore they would have to ram things through under different guises but still give the pretense of democracy. But in that they said too that eventually the system they would bring in, and this was again corroborated by again Wells talked about it and so did Lord Bertrand Russell, another big member of all of these groups. And Russell even went further along with it than the Club of Rome, and they said that eventually the people would be unable to make decisions for themselves. They won't have to because the state will be making all their decisions for them from birth to death. That's what you are talking about right there. They've all been trained to get along with groupthink and to be politically correct. They actually have the inbuilt default position, as they talk in neuroscience about it, where if you mention a certain topic that's taboo they will blush and turn all colors and become awfully embarrassed, but they will go back to default even if they start to agree with you they will go back to the default position.
This also ties in with FDR's wife in the U.S. She was a great fan of Pavlov. Pavlov was given so much money

from the West to experiment in Russia on all this passive population, they couldn't rebel or say no. They were opening up people's skulls and experimenting with their brains while they were still living and all of this kind of stuff, it's incredible. And Pavlov came up with the school system of perfect indoctrination as well using the same techniques of conditioned responses and basically what's called the default position, setting them in the children's minds. And FDR's wife said in her trip back from the Soviet System, she said it's so different to see the children on their way to school compared to those in America, she said, in America they are jumping up and down, they are playing and pushing each other and having fun, she said, but in Russia there is none of that, she said, they all go along but they are so well behaved. She thought that was wonderful, these unsmiling children all you know like in military fashion on their way to school. This is what she wanted to bring in. And this was all part of this big system that tied in again with Fabianism and the society that the Royal Institute of International Affairs, with its other branch by the way, called the Royal Society, were all involved in the same global movements toward this dream of theirs too. They've pretty well achieved it by the way.

Thomas: And along with Pavlov you had Watson in America and his behaviorism which was even discredited in his own lifetime. It's like so many, like Malthus, and so many of these others. It doesn't matter if they are "scientists", like Pavlov, it is discarded. And I find it amazing that Pavlov in so much of his work is cited by the New Age movement as well as some kind of noble quest and that's remarkable as well. But anyway Watson, you know, again appalling things done to children, training people like robots. Assuming that the mind of a dog or the mind of a rat was the same as that as a human being. And even within his own lifetime his work was completely discredited but it still becomes a central tenant and structure of these people's agenda.

Alan: Oh absolutely because he did push all the tenants that he was promoted to push that all people can be completely trained and now it's not all untrue, a lot of it is awfully true unfortunately you can condition people if you get them early enough at school. The school system is geared to not... You know yourself, everyone knows that's been through the school system, the teachers want the correct answers in other words you parrot back what they are telling you. They also want groupthink today. That's a big thing this group-think in school whereas before you had some individuality involved, today it's group-think where you must all vote on something with a conclusion or else you are the odd man out. It's so bad in some schools in the U.S. that they actually put a flag up outside the classroom of someone who has not gone along with the group. And so you are forced by peer pressure to go in or you are ostracized. You might even end up getting drugged along the road but this is how bad it is. We are in; we are totally in the system that Sydney Webb and his wife and the rest of them dreamed up. We are here.

Thomas: Yes it's totally a cult, it's almost like a cult compound kind of situation which is also why these people have paid tremendous interest in things like, not only political cults like the Chinese culture revolution, but also these flying saucer and other channeling and New Age cults. And that's why you know if you look at Jonestown, the CIA were all over it. I believe that just all the successful cults that have a reach, if not every last one of them, the intelligence services at some level is manipulating them.

Alan: Oh yeah.

Thomas: If you read the books on it.

Alan: Massively.

Thomas: Yeah it's massively and you see so much of it is tied up with this idea of the... They use the cults on two levels. One, this idea of the salvation from another planet rather than actually you know having it in your own life here. The people in the cults are deluded into thinking that they broke away from the sheeple in society, not really getting the irony that they are actually a second, another kind of sheeple. And thirdly the intelligence services they groom and you know you look at some of the most horrific cults, a lot of them such as the ones in France and Switzerland like the Solar Temple. All over the place the intelligence services can be connected to them. And these are often the ones that ended in the most horrific kind of acts of violence both to themselves and to others. And this is another thing that people have to understand as well is that much of the salvation that's given to people is also another form of behavioral training. That your idea that your gods or your goddesses are coming from the moon or in the future or are channeled and so on. That this is another deception that everything in your reality, your whole entire reality is a piece of pathological theater that's been created for you by some powerful psychopaths in positions of power. And even people who think they are fighting or against the psychopaths have bought into these same ideals like joining cults, like getting involved in political movements that are clearly one more part of it.

There is one thing Alan that I've been wanting to ask you for years because I've been listening to you for a long time, how do you feel about George Orwell? Was he a good guy, was he a bad guy? Was he one of us, one of them, or was he a mixture of both?

Alan: He had been brought up of course as a hereditary bureaucrat really, his family were bureaucrats and he had a top position as a young man in Burma and other places as well looking over massive plantations. Britain in his day, and people don't realize it, Britain still ran the opium trade. It was all royal families which, and the members of only royal families that were allowed, that were actually owners of it. And his father ran the Burma

Opium Company. This didn't come out, nobody knew about it, they thought it was done away with in Britain until a politician called Thompson stood up in parliament in the early 1920's and said, he just found out, "What's the British Opium Company?" And when they opened it up it was a crown corporation that only members of the royal family had shares in. And they were using the British taxpayer and their armies to police these things and make sure the plantation ran well.
So Orwell took over from his father to an extent for a short period of time. Then he came back and he'd been trained to be a revolutionary in the same mode as the Cecil Rhodes group of expanding the British Empire for the greater good etcetera, etcetera. And he went off as you know to fight in the Spanish War, civil war. And he came back and talked in the socialist circles he had talked to before and the people turned their backs on him when he said that he thought, because they've all been brainwashed to believe that communism was the same as socialism and for the working man's rights. And he said it has nothing to do with that whatsoever. They didn't want to hear that. He also said in one of his books that he couldn't understand why they kept pushing, wanted to push curse words and sexual curse words, all through their writings. They wanted the right to do this back then. And he said no, why degrade society. Then they caught on that the whole agenda they were getting paid for was to totally degrade society, to entirely destroy it and bring in a new society.
So he did turn against them towards the end. And he did come out with "1984" to explain what that was all about plus "Animal Farm" which is excellent and everyone should read the book. Don't bother with the cartoon stuff, read the book because he shows you all the cons they use with the use of terminology and changing terminology, gradual, etcetera. And you don't even notice it's happened.
But he went off to an island off Scotland eventually as a recluse. He was paranoid at the time that the group that he used to work for were going to come and kill him, including their communist branches as well, because they ran all sides from the Royal Institute of International Affairs by the way. And he went down to meet the boat every other week or month when it came in to watch whoever came off it because he was absolutely certain they were going to try to kill him. And this was kind of verified to an extent because he had tuberculosis and when he ended up in the hospital, almost a closed shop type hospital, his friends had to rescue him because he was dying in the hospital because they were giving him the streptomycin without a supplementary drug that must go with it. If you don't get the drug with it you can die very quickly from these intramuscular streptomycin injections, and hemorrhaging and so on. So they pulled him out to save his life. So he had turned against them in the end for sure.
Thomas: Yeah that was the conclusion that I came up with as well. Especially in regards to that what you just, said his life of isolation on Lewis off the coast of Scotland. That was an extreme measure and again you know we see his own life story as a reflection of the system when he was in Spain, when he was in Catalonia. When he was teaching English one of his students was the guy who went on to kill Trotsky in Mexico. And you know you have then... Trotsky was living at the time with the artist Frida Kahlo and her boyfriend Diego Rivera. These people were then tied way back to the Rockefeller's.
Alan: Yeah.
Thomas: So within a very short period of time you could draw a perfect line between the Rockefeller family through Diego Rivera, Frida Kahlo, straight through to Orwell, and then back to Huxley. It's just too clean and too perfect. And he showed us that.
Alan: Remember too, you have to remember during World War II the members of the Fabian Society, the top members, also worked for MI5. That's verified. So here you have the guys running the communist system, that worked with OSS, which became MI6 eventually. They had Lord Bertrand Russell in there. They had the guy who wrote the Thirteenth Tribe, that was, it slipped my mind right now but you had a few of them at the top that were members of the top Fabian Society members all working for MI6, the early MI6, they were called OSS at the time. And they had top clearance for intelligence, etcetera, because they used them to fight the German system. And that ties in to what Carroll Quigley said and he's a historian for what's called the Royal Institute of International Affairs and the Council on Foreign Relations. It's the same organization. He said we don't care who we bring in, he said, communists, dictators, tyrants, socialists, whatever, he said, they all work for us. And that's how it really is. One system runs it all you see.
Thomas: And the long term psychopathic deviousness of it. You have the, at the same period, well right before the Fabians you had the British Israelite Society who creates this basic bible nonsense, but the agenda always was from before the Balfour Agreement, was to create a kind of a Western plug, an Ulster, a plantation in the Middle East in order to so they could keep their eyes on the oil and gas, and other things there such as phosphates and so on that they knew were going to be needed for the emerging economies in the future.
Alan: Yeah.
Thomas: And we are never taught how long term these plans are. These people think in centuries where the rest of us don't even think in decades anymore. Many people today can't even think
Alan: Well that's why they called it the Fabian Society too, after Fabius, you know the general in Rome who said rather than hit people head on and have conflict you simply do it the long way around and subvert it quietly and

slowly and do it in a long term motion basically. And that's what all the early founding members of the Fabian Society said too. It might take them a hundred years to achieve this or even longer but eventually they would achieve it. But as I say they brought in members like Arthur Koestler. Arthur Koestler was the guy who wrote "The Thirteenth Tribe", "Darkness at Noon", and so on. These were all novels put out, well at least "Darkness at Noon", was a novel. And he worked with MI5 too. But Arthur Koestler wrote books to bring you around to again the struggle of society, the struggle through all the terrible things that were happening without saying yeah we caused all these terrible things to happen so that you'll come to us when we say we are going to champion you and get you out of the mess. So it's a perfect technique. They cause the problems and then offer you the solutions over and over again. And when you are struggling you grab the lifeline and you end up in a worse mess.

But again getting back to the form of mind control, they work with the Tavistock Institute. We can never miss this part because the Tavistock Institute was an early mental hospital. And they had carte blanche by the British Government and royalty, the system that really ran the British Government, to do whatever kind of experimentation they had to on the human being as a subject. And they stuck early implants in their heads, in the late 40's and 50's and into the 60's, for remote controlling although they were hard wired by wire from the brain to little push button devices, things like that. Aldous Huxley in his speech in Berkley was just, he became enthusiastic. It was very hard to get him enthusiastic, but he would get awfully enthusiastic when he talked about the experiments and how wonderful it was how they could control the minds of people by literally putting implants into the brain, etcetera. And it ties in with the Soviet trips they took. I don't know if people realize it, but it's incredible if you go into documentaries like "The Soviet Story". You'll hear as I say George Bernard Shaw talking about it in the Soviet Story, an actual clip from him talking about you'll come to us if you want to live and tell us why you must live, we should let you live. And then he goes off into... You'll see people in beds with their skulls off, all open to the air, non-sterilized, the whole bit because these folk were expendable, bed after bed. And they were probing their brains physically, no gloves on or anything else. But the thing is, in another documentary I've got, which they showed in Britain by the way, they used dog's heads. They cut them off at the neck, they kept the blood supply going artificially through it into the neck and then they'd have the eyes of the dog opening. They'd massage its nose and so on and see if it would close its eyes and open its eyes. They were doing it with human beings in the Soviet Union. And all these guys went over there like H.G. Wells and Shaw and all these guys and came back and said how wonderful it was in the Soviet system. They saw that happening but they kept their mouths shut. They thought it was great. This is how they had to find out. The Ghost in the Machine, as Koestler said, is you and your spirit, what makes you tick in order to totally control society. And when they did, they said, no one could ever rebel again. In fact you'll find that Lord Bertrand Russell said, he said, it will eventually become so impossible, so impossible to rebel against our system, as impossible as sheep rebelling about the price of mutton. He said that's what it will be at the end. That's where we are today almost.

Neil: Okay with that we'll go to a piece of music and then we'll come back on a different topic, the alien distraction.

I have a quick question which is simple yes or no I suppose. Were H.G. Wells and Orson Welles related?

Alan: I don't think so.

Neil: Do you say no Thomas?

Thomas: No, I don't think so, no. If he were it went back a long time. No, they weren't directly related you know. They weren't like cousins or anything like that.

Neil: Yeah, okay. And another one, you both mentioned the intelligence organizations all working together, I mean they are obviously a very important part of this because they can control the start and finish of wars and all the rest of it. Do you think at the moment they are kind of toying with the idea of really creating problems with Russia or is that just like taking us into a new Cold War as it were, a fake Cold War?

Thomas: Well that's a dodgy one because at the moment Russia is going through a very quick and sudden economic problem. The ruble has devalued tremendously against western currencies in the last couple of months. So whether they are actually flying these jets into Portuguese airspace and submarines into Swedish waters it may just be a distraction like Putin for you know what was going on at home. Do I believe that it's real, that Putin is not against them? No, I don't believe that at all, not me anyway. I think he's just another one of them.

Neil: Well I tend to agree with that.

Alan: Yeah. He is one of them. He is one of them. And if you go into his early history you'll find out his real name and his original family and what they were up to. You'll get a different story for sure and don't forget too, the Soviet System, the ex-Soviet System is part of the EU as well. That's still merging into the EU with all of its different steps it goes through, step by step by step, into merging with the EU.

So it's really smoke and mirrors for, to keep the public on edge. They always keep us on edge, threats of this and threats of that, that don't materialize.

Neil: Yeah.
Thomas: We are getting Goldstein's deception, Eurasia, East Asia, Oceana. We are getting that. That's what they are sending.
Neil: Okay. Welcome back to Reality Bytes Radio on the 24th of November, 2014. It's four o'clock, well five past four Eastern in the United States and nine o'clock, five past nine in the UK. I'm going to change topics now and talk about "The Alien Agenda" as it's been termed. The idea that we are all going to be invaded by little men from Mars or from somewhere in a far off land, something like "Star Trek" or something. Alan, in your research where did this idea first come from?
Alan: Again, it came from the think tanks which were involved in, again like the Fabian Society and all the other associated think tanks which are massive today of how to... If you want, if the people are catching on that too many things are wrong at any one particular time you must give them something to look at, that could even be religious. So they came up with the idea, and also to unify the world, remember the goal was always to have world government with these groups or world domination. And to get the people to go along with these things they'd push up along the Marxist line the continuous war, it's so destructive, which of course it is, etcetera, etcetera, and we can't go on like this, we need some outside intervention. If only something outside would come and force us to unite the world to fight it then we'd all come together under a global structure which would be necessary to defend us all. And then they came out of course with the big movie, it was "The Day the Earth Stood Still", where a big flying saucer lands outside the White House I think it was. And the whole idea is that this alien has to get in touch with members of the United Nations, scientific members though, they had to all be scientists. They'd tie it all in again with scientists running the world. And so he goes off in search for the scientists that would eventually put it across to the public that if they wouldn't unite together to stop their destructive behavior then these aliens would eventually have to destroy you because you were dangerous. And you can go back further, they came out with it in about 1948. That was supposedly the first sightings of UFOs over the White House. The guy who saw them all in an aircraft happened to be a CIA member. {Laughs}

So it's the same old thing again, giving people this fake pantomime to an extent. It's something to believe in. Something that would take over from standard religions again and get them working towards something. And initially they brought forth something like the aliens are here to help us because that really took off. And then with the whole scientific age of going to the moon and all of that. They pushed all the Science Fiction, Star Trek, etcetera.
It's interesting how the Star Trek series was put together because they gave you situations on Earth with different peoples, races, whatever it happened to be, and put it into outer space to show you that the Enterprise, interesting name too, the Enterprise. Because it's also God bless us on this enterprise, you know, E pluribus Unum, and so on in the States. But enterprise is to do with market you know and economy, etcetera. So it goes around space trying to unite all the planets into a global universal federation, which is simply the United Nations. And they give you the unfair things that happen to minorities on the way, and they make them all free, etcetera, and bring them into this great trading group in outer space. And the ones who will not join this federation are always the bad guys. They are primitive, barbaric, etcetera. It was simply a projection of everything in the world that the Fabian's and so on have been pushing for such a long time already put into an outer space setting for a unified world. And so, but it fascinated so many people in the way it was done.
But you also find top leaders like Lester B. Pearson of Canada, who also was head of the United Nations for a while, but he also became prime minister and he, I've got his books here, his old books, and one of his workers was also a high level bureaucrat in Canada who was called Escott Reid. And Escott Reid said in his memoirs when he worked with Pearson, he said, we drafted up along with Alger Hiss, who was a communist boy who eventually was found guilty, etcetera, for his treason. But they drafted it up, the whole charter of the United Nations, to try to unify them all, again heading towards world government. And he said in it, in his book he said, "Make no mistake, our goal is world government." Well that's never been given up yet today. That's what the United Nations is there for. The United Nations was set up and the League of Nations, and they were both set up by again, the Royal Institute of International Affairs, Council on Foreign Relations. It's the same group. It's the same guys who wrote up your amalgamation into Europe for Britain, and the guys who drafted up the NAFTA Agreement for the amalgamation of the Americas too. They openly said that in Canada, they drafted it up and presented it and then it was signed. These are private organizations remember.
Now Lester B. Pearson back in 1957 had a similar idea to "The Day the Earth Stood Still",
And it says:
"Perhaps there is a hopeful possibility here in the conquest of outer space. Interplanetary activity may well give us planetary peace. Once we discover Martian spaceships..."
Alan: It's always Mars, going right back to H.G. Wells.
"...Martian spaceships hovering over earth's air-space, we will all come together. "How dare they threaten us like this!" we shall shout, as one, at a really United Nations!"

Alan: So in other words they are bringing the Earth together to fight them. This is a guy who was way up there at the United Nations and as a prime minister. So this was followed on. Ronald Reagan mentioned it many times during his time in office as our threat from outer space if we don't all come together.
It's been a great thing to create a mass mind control movement of people who are dedicated followers of ufology as they call it and make them all work towards what really is this Marxist system toward a global system where they'd use all of your tax money again as a tax base from the workers to explore space, etcetera, etcetera. Which really again was like a caveat because the whole idea was to put up all the technology that they would eventually use to monitor all of us. That's what the Star Wars Program in Reagan's era was all about. It had nothing to do with shooting down satellites, it was to do with what would be used today. Before we even had the computer they were putting this stuff out in space, getting it all ready, because they knew when they were going to give us the computers to monitor us, and the cellphones and everything else. This is how it really works. It's very clever and we are led like you know, by the nose, like bulls by the nose, by our masters of the mind. It's perfect. They've never given it up today.
Thomas: Well people have been seeing lights in the sky forever. It's a well-documented phenomenon going back centuries, and thousands, millennia, going back. And it's only since the late 1940's that people have been coached to see a strange phenomenon of a light in the sky, it's automatically an alien spaceship. Lights in the sky have always been seen long before this, particularly at times of great emotional psychological or social stress. For instance they were very highly recorded during the time of the Ottoman Empire when it looked like the Ottoman Empire was going to overrun Europe. People in communities in Austria and Germany and Switzerland were having these visions of lights in the sky. And at the time they believed that there were aliens you know that were coming to save them from Islam. I believe that these actual experiences are probably either plasma or else they are actually psychedelic experiences. Some kind of collective psychedelic experience of some kind. But they've copper fasted the idea again that they're aliens.
Now there is a strong connection I discovered going back to Germany in all of this. In the first, the very first high quality science fiction films ever made were made in a massive UAF movie studio, it's just outside Berlin, in the 1920's. And you had directors like Fritz Lang making films like "Metropolis" and "The Woman in the Moon". These were very well made science fiction films. Fritz Lang was an interesting character, he said, if you want to get people to believe in science fiction the whole focus must be on making the actual process of the spaceships and how they operate and fly as believable as possible. So he put tremendous resources for the 1920's into building realistic models of spaceships, realistic portrayals of the lunar landscape of alien beings of future worlds. And so much so that this had been part of a kind of continuum in Germany since about the 1870's. When somebody, an English guy wrote a book, a guy called Edward Bulwer-Lytton I think was his name. He wrote a book called "Vril: The Power of the Coming Race". It was basically a science fiction novel about these alien angelic beings that lived inside the Earth. And the book was such a sensation when it was translated in Germany that you had these people like Rudolf Steiner and Blavatsky walking around with a copy of it under their arms like it was the Bible, saying that the book was absolutely true, no way could it be fiction, it has to be true.
So you had the first widespread belief in this kind of alien salvation race. The genesis and gestation place took place in Germany at the turn of the century and then moving up towards the era of National Socialism where it was totally believed in, completely. Especially with the films that have been made in Berlin at the time which were so good.
Now when it really took off in the West was the Roswell incident. And now what did we have at Roswell? We have a guy holding up pieces of tin foil and that's basically it. The newspaper and the story about flying saucers, always on a military base; they are always on military bases these big sightings. And no one ever puts one and one together and then realizes that the whole thing is a PSYOP.
But anyway your man, this guy Jessie what's his name, I can't remember the second name, the captain, the Air Force guy, pictures of {inaudible}, little stories about an alien flying disk that crashes. Then the story is deliberately suppressed to make people think that it actually happened or the government is covering up something. And they do not put the obvious together, because it happened in 1947, they do not put the obvious conclusion that this was a psychological operation developed by German and Nazi scientists brought over during Operation Paperclip who had been running the same PSYOP towards the end of the defeat of National Socialism, like the building of this idea that the Fuhrer had a wonder weapon. A wonder weapon that had been derived from miraculous sources that was going to save the Third Reich in its final moments.
The same German minds were brought over to the United States, given jobs in the OSS as you said before under the CIA and MI5, the modern version, and they were given this brief to use the same kind of ideas, of the weapon in the minds of people because the United States had to create this... They knew they had this phobia and this anxiety was coming along because the Russians were about to detonate an atomic bomb because of Marxist groups in the U.S. that leaked the secrets over. A true sort of a built Bolshevik's type sympathy network into the Soviet Union. And they had to condition Americans to believe that. We somehow have alien

technology, spaceman technology that is going to save us. We have the edge.
And that continued all during the Cold War right up into Reagan's Star Wars announcement at the United Nations, that we would all band together and to fight a global force from outer space or an alien force from outer space with a global army. And you had you know silly films like "Independence Day" where you see the Israeli jet fighter guys looking at the Iranian jet fighter guys and giving them the thumbs up, and all this kind of like nonsense, this kind of like one world fighting the aliens.
And of course you had to notice the amazing closing ceremonies at the 1984 Olympics of a spaceship landing in the stadium in Los Angeles and a big plastic alien coming out and talking about how he approves of humanity coming together, as if they are not going to blow us up if we continue to like work together. And all this kind, and yet people, to this day it amazes me that people cannot, when it comes to this UFO stuff, this alien stuff, they cannot look at the obvious conclusions that we are just being played for suckers here. That you know there are so many other explanations for these mysterious phenomenon which I completely agree do exist. You know everyone is conditioned with the knee jerk thing, it's an alien in a spaceship who's come to Earth and they are either here to destroy us if we don't behave ourselves or save us if we do.
Alan: Yeah. That's a good part of it but then Russia, and again too Germany was, they were the leaders of the Scientific Age, even in the 1800's thru the early 1900's. And they were even making, a lot of people, individuals were making small rockets to go up you know into the sky. They were fascinated by this whole idea. And that tied in with the age of nationalism as well and how again, not just weaponry but advanced science would come from a super nationalist type system. And then they created the Vril Society. And the Vril Society was again the society that came up with the idea of super weapons that Hitler would eventually use, etcetera. Out of this world type science that we'd be involved in, etcetera.
And they also came up with the idea that ties in with this Vril Society of the inner Earth. They put that out there too. The Inner Earth, there is actually an Inner Earth Society, with a black sun inside the Earth and people lived there, etcetera. And Bulwer-Lytton who was a Lord in Britain it think actually. He wrote a book about it, a fascinating novel the other's copied in many ways to do with these super advanced beings that now lived inside the Earth. And they are still pushing that same stuff today with Mt. Shasta in the U.S.
But getting back to the whole CIA operation, it's massively funded this, incredibly massively funded across the world. And they have professional speakers on them that make their whole entire career of pushing the UFO Agenda. They have late night talk shows that go on forever, for years and years, with guys that were always members of the CIA and high clearances and so on that actually host them. You can't get away from this CIA connection with any and all of it actually.
This is tied in again with Parsons who also created the precursor of what we know as NASA today, it was the American Rocket Company or something, that they called it initially. And Parsons was in with Aleister Crowley, again another mind control expert to create all the cults across the world as well. Because all of this is mind control for those of you who don't understand it. It really is all to do with mind control, giving you a new belief, a new fascination that will keep you running in circles your whole life long. You will lose your reasoning abilities along the way, and you become very, very gullible. And when you are gullible those with the expertise can then indoctrinate you very easily and have you follow them. It's just a perfect system that was worked out long ago, yeah.
Thomas: Yeah, Parsons was at the JPL, the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, and he was known as the rocket fuel of the anti-Christ, that was his nickname. He was also involved, he was a close associate of Hubbard. In fact he and L. Ron Hubbard were so deeply involved in both the occult mind control and science that even Aleister Crowley wrote letters complaining that they have gone too far. That they had actually gone way beyond what he would actually have attempted in terms of mixing, you know basically people have to understand that magic is just a form of science you know, in a way. Or a kind of theatrical version of science.
What I find interesting too is how this, how the idea of the aliens themselves have evolved. If you look at the Vril Society, their belief of the alien was almost like an ethereal being, a spirit. It wasn't actually, it didn't have form. And then when it got to the United States around the time of the, all those, the red scare movies and all the Sci Fi movies of the 50's, the aliens were always, they were very humanoid looking in many ways. They were very you know there was the one with, "The Day the Earth Stood Still", the guy who comes down to the White House, just him and a robot. But he is portrayed as almost kind of like a Christ-like figure. You know he comes down, it very much plays on the Jesus archetype, something that these psychopaths who run the system have always played upon. And then you have the evolution of this through the decades.
Alan: And they rose, I should just but in and say he also rose from the dead when he got shot. {Laughs}
Thomas: That's right yeah, that's right.
Alan: So it very much looked like it, yeah.
Neil: And they even, you had more humanoid, you saw more humanoid aliens around the time of "Star Trek", you had Mr. Spock and Vulcans and many other alien races. And then you had the, and then you started to see the kind of Babylonian kind of demon creature, the Pazuzu. You know the Zoomorphic kind of reptilian insect,

demon type version of the alien come in.
And then playing, of course we have the alien as the savior Christ, and then they move into the sort of like, the sort of like, the demonic archetype the dark parts of the human psyche that have been cultivated since the time of you know Babylon and Sumer through the gargoyles and to the point now where we have two kinds of aliens, the ones that are kind of like these angelic New Age beings who are like you know St. Germain, these sort of galactic federation of light super beautiful humanoids who are on the good side, and on the other side you have these kind of Babylonian gargoyle demonic reptilian insect archetypes. These kind of Pazuzu, Zoomorphic transposed behind a rocket ship who are the bad guys. I'm amazed by how many intelligent people who have woken up who again cannot see this Punch and Judy show for what it is, adults.
Alan: Yeah because it is written so well. Again you have got to get back to the fact that you have lots of neuroscientists and behaviorists working with these writers on how to put it over to the general population so that it's very, very believable and fascinating. It's got to be fascinating you see. And it's got to be logically, the way it's put across, logically fascinating and that's how they present it to them. It's a great escape for those who are terrified of everything in life, the economy and everything else. It's a great escape to an extent as well. And they start putting their faith in outside intervention coming to help us. So technically they've been disabled. They are outside of activism of any kind whatsoever. And they are no problem to government authorities.
Thomas: And it also allows them to sit back and accept the development and technologies which are basically out to destroy us. So they can accept all these horrific military technologies under the assumption that like a Star Wars thing does, it's to protect us from aliens, when in reality it's a bunch of weapons pointed at us.
Alan: Yes of course. And as I say too NASA is constantly putting out nonsense there because NASA is one of the strongest arms of the Military Industrial Complex. It's a military organization. And under the guise of space exploration they will fleece the taxpayers of billions and billions, maybe even into the trillions now, to do with weaponry and repairing weaponry in space. That's what the shuttle system is all about is repairing weaponry in space and preparing us all for this incredible system that's monitoring every single one of us down to the weapons eventually they will use on us from space if need be with pinpointed accuracy, pencil lasers that can literally put a hole right through you from outer space if you are a nuisance. That's all set up already in space. That's what the true Star Wars Program was all about.
Thomas: Well NASA is...
Neil: Can I just come in there, it's interesting. I read something about insurance companies now getting onboard with all this stuff saying that if anything was caused by aliens your insurance policy was you know, wasn't valid. You know it's like an act of God thing.
Alan: That's right. Well even the Mormon's got their insurance policies out too for the rapture where they get whisked off and leave their families behind and the insurance is going to pay their families to keep going. {Laughs} So, there you go.
Neil: And you mentioned Thomas whether the aliens were here for good or bad and of course there was a thing in the paper, I don't know if it was a year or two years ago, where they said the aliens were watching because of our CO2 emissions and if we didn't stop it they would come and stop it for us. {Laughs}
Thomas: Yeah and prior to that they were watching us because of the Cold War.
Alan: That's right.
Thomas: It's always the same religious archetype.
Alan: That ties right in. It ties in with the total agenda, that's right, the total agenda. And using environmentalism again, control of the weather, upset weather, etcetera, all of that to save us. It's always to save us all. It's to take all of your rights away from you. It's always to save you all. And in every facet this massive army is, actually they called it that, the Earth Army. In the early days the writer for "Star Trek" was involved in the creation of that system where they would use these massive armies, Earth armies, now called greenies, and a whole bunch of different organizations. And they would push it all together with the whole Space Agenda, etcetera.
So it's all tied together. Whatever they give you, and they give us everything by the way unless you are thinking for yourself, and you are being suckered into something else for some other agenda.
Thomas: And the aliens...
Neil: Sorry Thomas, just a quick one. I've spoken to people who believed in all of this garbage and you know you kind of out of the corner of your eye you kind of take a set of keys out of your pocket and you throw it in the air, and you go did you see that? And they go, what? And I said that was an unidentified flying object, you know. You can put lights on a Frisbee and throw it out the window, that's an unidentified flying object, you know.
Thomas: Yeah precisely. I'm not doubting, you know, I know lots of people who are sincere people who have told me that they have seen these things. And I believe them. And I do believe there are as yet unknown weather or consciousness or psychedelic experiences that probably do generate or are a result of these very

strange experiences involving lights maybe plasma or something like that. And I have no doubt that there probably are intelligent beings out in the far reaches of the universe. It's just, mathematically alone it's possible. But that is a completely different thing than them ever coming here. And that's the assumption now that aliens are not out there, they are on this planet. And they have been very, very useful to disrupt any kind of critical analysis of government or globalism by attaching aliens to you know serious topics like what we are talking to tonight. And I'll give you an example of that.

Prior to the 1980's, academics, educated people, anyone used to sit down and talk about conspiracy. It was not considered a wacky or a screwball kind of thing. People spoke about conspiracies in relation to everything from the JFK thing to you know Agent Orange and you know DDT. They were always speaking about corporate conspiracies, globalist conspiracies, it was just a topic of conversation generally indoors, and often you can find it in magazines of the day such as "Mother Jones" and "Utne Reader" and "The Wire". These were not weird things. It was just like historical what if.

Along comes this TV series called "The X Files", and you have this guy who is an FBI agent. And he's the nut case. And you have this other one who is the women and she's the voice of sanity. And she's the rationalist. And every single topic in the conspiracy theory world, you know I hate to call it that, the alternative movement world, that myself and Alan talk about, was covered inside at one level from GMOs to you know to bio-warfare, to back to you know kind of weird objects being put into injections and given to people, to everything. Everything was covered in "The X Files" and then it was coupled to alien spaceships and little green men. So therefore if you bring up a serious topic today such as if I want to talk about I don't know anything, anything, like we talked about the Fabian Society, anything, there is an automatic assumption that's put out there that somehow it's connected to UFOs and aliens visiting Earth. And this has been you know..., for instance I got a message from a guy last week who was saying to me like oh... I don't know, I forget what I was talking about. I was talking about I think it was the psychopathic traits of Tony Blair. And the guy comes back with, and I bet you believe that Elvis is living on the moon and got there on a spaceship. That's the PSYOP, that's how it's been programmed to take anything that's serious, the ordinary non-expert people in this scientific system, such as us guys here can talk about it, then they deliberately throw in the alien little green men bomb to destroy it and take away any credibility from it. And that has worked so well for them. And like you said these people are into that stuff. I have no problems with people believing anything. I don't have no problems with people believing anything, in any kind of people believing in aliens and alien abductions and those things, but do not connect them to very important topics in terms of our incarceration as lab rats on this planet. And that has worked. So the alien thing has worked as well for them as the religion has.

Alan: Uh huh. Yeah and plus too you have so many, again you do have the CIA involved heavily. All mind control things including all the different so called progressive types of behaviorism techniques that they pushed back in the 50's and 60's, the Rolfing Methods, all these different things where they scream and tear pillows apart, these were all part of, these were all run by the CIA, all of these things to do with behavior modification and getting absolute idiots to just fall for it all. Go into the bottom of swimming pools that were all empty and all lie down and pretend they were fish. I mean things like that. It's amazing what they can do and then they coupled it with the alien agendas and everything else too. It makes you more... Each time you fall for something you become more gullible for the next one.

And I also had a strange occurrence. A woman who was in touch with me for a while by email, a Christian women in the States, did all the standard Christian stuff, mentioned all the standard Christian stuff and seemed very orthodox, and then one time she wanted to call me and I answered the phone and she said that did you know that Jesus is on Zeta Reticuli with all of his angels, they have been training the army for millennia and they were going to come back to Earth. Come back to Earth from this planet you see and save us all.

I mean so they infiltrated everything including, and what they always do is use existing structures of belief, which is religion, and they mix it with that too. And that rampaged across a lot of the Christian Societies for a long time too that idea. So nothing has been missed out. But no wonder because you have thousands of think tanks working on every part, every facet of society. They have missed nothing here.

Neil: Do you think that... Sorry just somebody in the chat box was talking about one show you did and mentioned GMOs, and you know and somebody mentions maybe they'll do something in a lab and we'll see some little green men somewhere. Do you think that's a possibility that they will genetically modify something along the lines of "The Island of Dr. Moreau" I suppose and create an alien?

Alan: It's more than that. There's actually scientific journals out there that have been working on this for a long time and I have some of them here in fact where they talk about the old Platonic idea, Plato idea, that they would use eventually in "The Republic", his book "The Republic", that they would eventually breed folk for specific tasks. Tall folk for picking apples off trees, short folk for mining, things like that. And genetics has been working towards creating new kinds of humans all along. And then there are scientific books put out for the universities, they have men with gills, and so on and so on, all bread for specific tasks of welding oil rigs under water or things like that. So they are well ahead in all of this.

And it ties in again with this, it's a form of misogyny to an extent, it's also using the homosexual agenda, all the different agendas out there, they call it gay now, but to get you used to, and all the in-betweens of transgender so nothing is normal. Once you get to a stage where nothing is normal or standard or classed as normal then they can gradually bring in new types of all the in-betweens. That's why they are pushing that whole agenda. It's not because they care about gay folk. It's because they can use all of this to disrupt what is considered as normal amongst the general populations. And aliens goes along with it too.
Thomas: Yeah if you read Zecharia Sitchin's, "The 12th Planet", I recently read it for the first time lately about a few weeks back and this guy basically took Babylonian demon worship, just this is basically the religion of Babylon, and wrapped this whole imaginary idea of the Anunnaki and space race around it. And the whole idea was to put this... This guy, if Zecharia Sitchin wasn't one of them, he, I mean I cannot believe, if you read his book it's almost like a masonic tone.
Alan: It is. He belonged to the Ashmolean Society. That's right, he was way up there, yep.
Thomas: Yeah, there you go because it basically says it at the end of the book and the whole purpose of that book is to indoctrinate people who read it into believing that human beings are a slave race. A slave race who serve a higher race. I mean this is exactly how the agenda goes on.
Alan: Actually it is very Calvinistic when you look at it because you are born into the lower cast therefore accept it. There is nothing you can do, your intelligence is set at birth, before birth in fact, and the upper cast that rule you are the lawful rulers. You can't fight them in any way possible. That's how it is, yeah, absolutely. That's what they tell you in the book, yeah.
Thomas: And that's why so many of them come out of the Calvinist parts of Switzerland. Von Daniken and people like that. There is so much of that down there as well. And also they have created these cults.
Alan: Von Daniken before you miss him, they revived him again you know. And Daniken remember back then in the 70's was declared a fraud by reporters that went to Mexico and they found the so called, these space carvings of men on space motorbikes, etcetera. How you'd ride a motorbike in space I don't know. But they found the guy who was making these so called steles for him. And he was getting under a dollar per stele that he was making, Daniken was putting in his book, a total fraud.
Thomas: Well now Daniken basically has a gigantic cathedral now build to himself in Switzerland.
Alan: Oh yeah.
Thomas: It's essentially like the Vatican of alien history on Earth and it's incredible.
Alan: Switzerland is also very high up there. They've got the headquarters of the Rosicrucian society there. They are very high level Rosicrucian for very elite people over there too. It all comes together there in Switzerland, yeah.
Thomas: Switzerland is a particularly sinister nation. It looks to portray itself to the world as you know as clocks, cuckoo clocks and chocolates but behind that is some very, very sinister movements and organizations. And the alien stuff through Von Daniken is definitely one of them. There is no doubt about that. The fact that in such an expensive country like Switzerland that he could build basically this Vatican to himself. It's you know in the scale that it's built it means that there is some serious globalist money behind him.
Alan: Absolutely.
Thomas: You don't get that kind of cash from writing books about aliens. Someone somewhere...
Alan: No you don't. You don't. And also I mean I've talked to some people who have attended the ones in Switzerland at the high level functions and it is extraordinary how they can manipulate the members that are coming in too with all their very, very advanced equipment that can project you know basically holographic stuff, very big, large, holographic stuff and so on. Giant crosses for instance that aren't even there and things like that. So, I've talked to them personally, people who've..., and some folk even in the Canadian government who have been over and seen this too.
So, yeah Switzerland is very big in that. It's also and don't forget one of the highest, the biggest Knights Templar countries. You'll see their logo all over the buildings all over Bern and all the different big cities in Switzerland.
Thomas: And lots of Nazi gold and technology ended up down there, tax relieved. You know this is, it's a great way for cleaning dirty money and bringing it in to these kinds of ideas such as Von Daniken's little empire there. Again, back to the cults. This is another great way of scooping up intelligent minds that should be challenging. You know in Jacques Vallee's book "Messengers of Deception", which I believe is the only book written about the UFO phenomenon worth reading. He is basically a guy who started to research the phenomenon, basically came to the idea that yeah there are some strange lights in the sky, but ultimately the whole UFO thing has been run by the intelligence services who are creating these cults in order to take intelligent people who should be you know, they should be the next Malcom X's, or the next kind of people who should be taking our societies to the next level, and then scooping them off into these alien channeling cults. That's what's so remarkable about these cults, they are filled with people with PhDs and Masters Degrees. If you look at the Heaven's Gate cult, if you look at the Solar Temple cult, again Switzerland Templars, it's all filled with highly educated people

who should be the next generation of should we say game changers in society but instead they are huddled around a device waiting for the leader or the master or the guru to give them the latest messages from the aliens who are going to arrive some day in comets or spaceships. And this has been another wonderful beneficial spin-off for the intelligence services too with this whole alien nonsense. They've basically stopped any, between that and the celebrity obsession stuff, have stopped any kind of future, shall we say social, or consciousness revolution taking off because anyone who has a brain seems to end up in, you know seems to be diverted into either one or the other.
Alan: Oh yeah. As I say, you must disable people who can think. You disable them and put them into an avenue that leads them nowhere basically and they are effectively disabled from taking any action or being social leaders and so on, etcetera. And then they find themselves being leaders in fact and working towards an agenda that they think they understand but they don't understand it whatsoever where it's supposed to go. They are all being used.
Neil: In terms of the technique used like you've mentioned, the aliens or the cults and stuff, I mean that all comes from presumably what we talked about earlier with the Fabians and the think tanks coming out with programs.
Alan: The Fabians said through Annie Besant as I say one of the co-founders that was to set up the feminist movement and also push for the abortion industry early on, and her dad was a lord, the British House of Lords, and she said that they would use the existing institutions of religion and eventually, it's exactly what you'll find that the marketers always use, don't go out and create a society use existing institutions where you have masses of followers. And she said we'll use existing religions and eventually win through the churches because they have the biggest amount of followers than anyone else. So what we're looking at is a religious institution, Ufology is a religion. And actually it permeates all through even the orthodox religions until you have folk believing all things within churches themselves. All working toward a so called greater future and better future. It's all the same agenda. It's quite fascinating to see that no one's been unaffected because the entertainment industry is one of the biggest mind control industries out there. You can take any action whatsoever, any human action or behavior or emotion, and twist it into reverse until you are feeling sorry for people that you perhaps shouldn't feel sorry for and you have a completely opposing opinion from reality, things like that. It's all been used against you. They can turn on your tears when they want to, they can make you angry at something when they want to. It's the greatest tool because your defenses are down when you think you are being entertained. If you are getting a lecture on something you'll sit back, or you should do your critical thinking and say well I believe that but I'm not accepting this part. With a movie for instance you swallow the whole lot including the programming and conditioning that's built inside the movie. So it's a perfect way, you've got whole generations now who are addicted to the movies, they are brainwashed with them, and they are all politically correct.
Even all your politically correct things to do with sexuality and behaviorism are put initially through comedies and then filtered into the mainstream serious movies and that's how they put it across. It works very, very simply. And again back to H.G. Wells, he talked about using this technique way back in the early 1900's.
Neil: Somebody just put a quote in the chat box, it's from Mark Twain, "It's easier to fool people than to convince them that they've been fooled." And that certainly pertains to the more intelligent people like you were saying Thomas, the PhDs and all of them. They won't be told that they are wrong, or they won't admit that they are wrong. That's for sure.
Alan: Here's the key to that though. Never forget, never forget that those who are intelligent and are going to a university or they think they are intelligent. In the university your ego is built up above everything else believe it or not. That's how you end up being a professor. I've had professors visit me and they admit, and I know this and I've talked about it, that most professors talk a lot of literary nonsense. It's a lot of verbiage if you like, lots of words, but pretty well meaningless in the end, but it's very impressive.
So it's the same with any science that you are taught in the university. It's like medicine, the first thing you are taught is to always dominate your patient. Make sure they believe in you, that's the first thing you must get them to do is believe in you so they will trust you and do what you tell them. That goes across the board now in all universities with all so called professions that they churn them out to dictate to.
So you think you are being taught, that you are one of the elite, one of the chosen, and because of that once you swallow the alien agenda along with it there is no going back. They will never have insight into themselves because they are already believing that they are elite and they are intelligent. And once you start to lose that, that you are just you, and you actually believe the propaganda that you are the intelligent one, you are done for. Always hold on to humility, if you lose it you have lost yourself and someone else is using you.
Thomas: Well by the time you've got yourself your PhD all you've really done is shown absolute devotion and servitude to authority. You've done everything you were told, you've followed every single order.
Alan: Yeah.
Thomas: And then the tenured college professor who guided you towards this, he's then replaced by the cult

leader.
Alan: Uh huh.
Thomas: And that's why they are so easy to move, highly educated people with advanced degrees into flying saucer and alien channeling cults because they have already been conditioned to seek the absolute approval and devotion to an authority figure.
I just want to get back to the cultural thing there again. Another aspect that has been used to sell the alien idea as well has been rock music. You have the bands like theBlue Oyster Cultand Hawkwind and all these kind of like spacewalk, frog walk, kind of ideas coupled with the LSD idea or like aliens and spaceships and space travel. And I was reading there recently, not only did you have like people like Carlos Santana saying that he had been given orders from an alien you also have, I was reading recently that David Bowie had spent the early 70's on the roof of his apartment in London holding a television aerial towards Mars getting signals. You wonder who was sending the signals don't you.
Alan: Oh yeah, yeah, but again David Bowie is a product of the entertainment industry. And I'll tell you another thing too, a lot of the entertainment industry churns out a lot of rubbish actually about these stars to build up the personality cult. I mean I've been with bands before that were getting up there or were up there in fact some of them and big magazines would phone them and ask for interviews and they couldn't do it, they were either too stoned or burned out or whatever, and what they would do is say okay we'll write a good write up about you and put some really bad boy stuff in there and we'll let you see it before we publish it. And they make it up actually, they would make it all up to boost the personality cult and image. And that's what happens with a lot of that stuff. David Bowie first came on the stage in Britain because it was part of the destruction of the culture industry actually, that's what they put him out for. They create stars, they create the image, they create the person. In fact the image and the drawings that they put up of the person, the costumes, and so on, their poses, are all drawn up before they pick the person, the actor if you like. And David Bowie came on the stage with his travelling road show, massive, about 6 massive 10-ton trucks hauling equipment, lighting, the whole bit, and he came on wearing nothing but a jock strap, back in the 60's in Britain. Nothing but a jock strap and painted face and all the rest of it. Again to destroy your whole image of men, manhood, decency and so on. It worked awfully, awfully well. It was to get to the youth and teach them rebellion as they were teaching them in school at the time, to rebel against their parents who were old fashioned, don't trust anybody over 30 they said. And that's when they fired a lot of the older teachers and brought in younger ones so the children would identify with them more with the new radical indoctrinations. Everything has been covered here, every part of society and culture has been covered completely.
Thomas: Even in Bowie's early days you had like these dissociative personality ideas like Aladdin Sane. Aladdin Sane the guy with his face painted two different colors. You had the cracked actor and the man who sold the world. These were all kinds of, they bought into the idea that Bowie was more than just a singer, he was a changer of consciousness. And again it was too good.
Alan: And he was in space, the spaceman, yeah.
Thomas: Yep, Space Oddity, yep. And there was even a very interesting film of his tour of America in the mid 70's and they are interviewing his fans outside the concert hall. And these people, some of them look stoned, but a lot of them look like they are on psych medications, like some kind of heavy Thorazine or something like that. And this one girl who could barely articulate says that David Bowie is the space commander and I'm his space cadet, which was funny at the time but when you think back on it now you realize how beautifully cleaver. These people, their scams are so devious. At times you almost have to admire them for them.
Alan: Oh absolutely. But don't forget though it's nothing to do with the talent or skill of the person you see, it's the massive machinery that creates that person in the first place. It's a massive, massive machinery to create a star. They can make anybody a star and they have actually. {Laughs} But they can make anybody a star with the machinery.
And again it came out of Hollywood, early Hollywood, where Hollywood came up with the idea of the star image. Before that actors were ten a penny. They were not really a reputable type of a person if you were an actor or an actress. You basically sang for your supper to the elite afterwards, after a show. And then they created the star image. And they would create the star before you'd even see them in their first movie. They'd build it up, this new women or whoever it happened to be, and they were instantly a success because they told the public that they were great. And the public will believe what they are told when that build up is professionally done. That's what they do with all star image making.
I've met guys who had number 1's in Britain and I've been there doing session work for them for their albums and things and I've worked on some of their songs and literally they were picked out of lineups. And I'm talking about drawings and I've seen the drawings before they picked the guy with the right height that would fit this suit that was already made for him, it was made of leather and stuff like that, how they'd hold the microphone, his gimmicks, his shtick as they called it. And they were told they'd have three hits in a row and then he'd fade away. And that's the sort of thing, that's how it's run. There is nothing out there that's real as it is presented to

the general public.
Neil: Of course you've got the song "Star Man" that's Bowie did as well and the movie "The Man Who Fell to Earth" where he was breeding with human women you know.
Thomas: That's right, yeah.
Alan: And that tied in with a whole bunch of Sci-Fi movies on the same theory basically. Yeah.
Neil: And he had all this technology where he could basically afford to buy up the world and he was buying all these resources and supposedly to take them back to his own planet and all that stuff.
Thomas: Yeah, he could replicate gold. If you look at Bowie's entire early 70's, or mid-70's and early 70's, career there was nothing more than the alien agenda. Another band was ELO, the Electric Light Orchestra, they came out of a gigantic UFO when they played their gigs. And even the term ELO, Electric Light Orchestra that sounds very masonic, Electric Light Orchestra. Electric Lucifer Orchestra. You know you really have to look into these people and see who they are, who they are related too and who their parents are, what families, and what you know public school they went to.
Alan: It's also the old God, EL is the name of the God. And "O" is zero, it's the eternal circle. Yeah, it's masonic too.
Neil: Okay we've got about four minutes left. So do you want to wrap up and basically your final thoughts on either the Fabians, what we just discussed, or a mixture of both.
Alan: Well we are the end product of basically of an agenda. And most of the folk around you are too because as I say the Fabians are one massive think tank with many branches across the world. And they interact with the other think tanks that work on other aspects of human nature, behavior, etcetera, all working to program the next generation into the future. And here's how perfect it is, if you go back to the 1930's, Comintern, the young international young communists of which Pierre Trudeau who became the prime minister of Canada was one of them, they had a meeting with Beria who was the top honcho there, a massive, a monster of a man for slaughtering people. But Beria in charge of the police for Russia, the NKVD, he talked about the conditioning of children. And he said it used to take us seventy years, at that time that was about a generation, seventy years to create the changes through persuasion and through education to change anything in society even a little bit because the parents were always contaminated with their old ideas and they would pass them on to the children. But he says now with the perfect indoctrination he said we can update and alter their changes every two to three years.
And so that the kindergarten children going in one year were getting programmed from the start for the whole curriculum, all the years ahead in education, for all the changes that would be put forth on a timetable plan as they grew up. The ones who came in a year later would be slightly ahead into the further exploitation of this plan and they would be prepared for it as they grew up. So that you were already programmed for the changes that are going to hit you in your lifetime from the early, early age and you'll think it's all quite natural. You are prepared for it. The program has been put in your head already. That's how perfect it was. And it's way beyond that today because the culture industry gets massive grants from the governments. Every United Nations member has a department of culture in their federal government that dishes out the grants to writers, movie makers, everything, and novelists, and they must incorporate all the politically correct things in their novels and books and even cartoons. And the children are getting brainwashed before they even learn what the meaning of words are actually because a lot of it is visual as well.
So this is a perfect indoctrination of society and to be honest with you you'd have to be a hermit living with your family way up in some mountain somewhere to escape it all because with the electronic pervasion of media today it's everywhere you can't escape it. Even if you tried to shield your children from it, it's pretty well impossible because their friends have all got the electric gadgets and so on. You can't keep them living separate anymore, it's pretty well done for from that aspect.
Again, Bertrand Russell went even further about this, he said we used to think that we'd have to separate the children from their parents so that they'd never know who their parents were, again going back to Plato's idea, he said but now again with scientific indoctrination, he said, the parental input would be impossible, they won't be able to pass on contaminated ideas to their children and the state would be giving them their new moralities. It's all been done, yeah.
Neil: Thomas.
Thomas: Well the agenda goes on and there is no great awakening and there is no salvation, it's not going to happen. The hundredth monkey does not exist in terms of these people that are controlling us. Just because that's going down doesn't mean that you have to go down with it. And this is where I would say to people as long as they are not inside your head you are free. And that's the important thing. It doesn't matter what happens around you as long as they are not inside your head you are free. So at all costs do not let them in there. Do not be a lab rat anymore.
Alan: Yep. You have to reclaim. You have got to reclaim your mind. I mean it's your birthright it's your mind. Without that you are nothing. So your mind is all you have, yeah.

Thomas: Yeah personal liberation and you've got to stop waiting for external salvation and start liberating yourself and that's the, you know I mean I don't know how I would say it, I mean stop being Pavlov's dog and you know become an acute fox and run wild and don't let them know about it.
Alan: I'd say even better, you know bite Pavlov. {Laughs}
Thomas: Bite Pavlov, yeah. {Laughs} And don't be expecting miracles or people like Russell Brown or some other celebrity or Bono or anyone else to save you or Gods or anything. You're a sovereign human being and get off the chess board.
Alan: That's awfully important. You have got to understand there is something called, again getting back to the creation of stars, it's called the creation of the personality cult. The big boys will always give you leaders they create. The whole machinery of personality cult gets behind them to create the personality cult and you are always looking for the one all through the movies it's the one, you're the one that's going to save the world. No, no folks that's fake, it's a red herring. You have to do it yourself. You have got to reclaim your own brain, that's all you have. Get your own mind and it's up to you where you want to let it go but you keep your own mind under control as well. Don't be fooled over and over and over again.
Thomas: And the star thing goes back to the Dionysian cults of Ancient Greece which Plato would have been familiar with. These ideas of the hermaphrodite you know rock star God who was a sexual being that people fawned over, who had no particular talent, he was just Dionysus. And Plato knew this and they have all known this. That's still, you know Dionysus is still going on to this day. And you know again don't be fooled, don't be fooled.
Neil: Sorry to interrupt. We have to kind of end. The next host is ready to come on. Thanks very much for your time lads and can you just stay on the line just for a second after the show?
Alan: Sure. Yeah.
Neil: Okay. Thanks very much for listening folks and I'll hand it over to Patty now.

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

{Closing Music ♫}

Dec. 18, 2014 (#1478)
ALAN WATT
"CUTTING THROUGH THE MATRIX"
(GUEST ON REALITY BYTES RADIO WITH NEIL FOSTER)
realitybytesradio.com

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca
alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up:
alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Neil: Welcome to Reality Bytes Radio on the 18th of December, 2014. It's soon to be Christmas, this time next week, and people will be spending lots of money they don't have again and paying for it for the rest of the year no doubt. We've got Alan Watt on the line our regular monthly guest and this week we are going to talk about an article in "Cosmopolitan" magazine written by Lord Birkenhead in 1929. It's interesting that this article appeared in a women's magazine when he's talking about test tube babies and artificial wombs and things like that. I don't know how it went down with women of 1929 but it's interesting on the front cover there is a picture of a lady reading a book and the title of the book is called "This Madness". Welcome to the show again Alan.
Alan: Yes, it's a pleasure to be on.
Neil: I suppose we'd better start off with exactly who Lord Birkenhead was, what his background was and who his family was. Can you enlighten us on that?
Alan: His name I think was Smith actually. It's actually Smith but they were well connected and of course he eventually was made Lord and he became really the crown prosecutor for Britain for the establishment you might say against big cases, etcetera. So he was a big, big player. He also became a member of parliament and then the House of Lords as well. And he actually was involved in an awful lot of things that were happening at that particular era that had to do with not just the sciences and promoting different sciences and the early Royal Society but he was also involved in setting up the separation of part of Ireland for the independent free state of Southern Ireland and so on. So he worked with Collins, Michael Collins on that and because of that at least there was a partial independence there.
So he was involved in a lot of big world affairs on behalf of say the crown you might say or the establishment of Britain of his day. He knew the top scientists of his day. He also knew the different agencies or societies that were helping run Britain or actually ran Britain anyway on behalf of the establishment. He knew the Rhodes Group for instance. He knew the Milner Group. And he was well aware of all the plans that they had for bringing in a form of world government based initially on the British system. It had to do with free trade plus the adoption of the British system of supposedly parliamentary systems, massive bureaucracies and eventually they'd amalgamate all this into a kind of planetary system. So he was well aware of the big agendas back in his day.
But back then too you have got to remember too that he was born in the late, in the 1800's, and in the 1800's were the advances of science during that time. We can't imagine today really how, it was like a blitz of science all at once from the steam engine that could take you across continents, etcetera, that were

getting, engines were getting put into ships for the first time, all of this kind of thing. Mass production like never before on a massive scale and they thought this would never end. They could see with this optimism that science would push on, and push on, and push on. But they also had, apart from this idea of a global type system, they never thought about equality for the people really, they wanted an obedient population, well trained. Training was a big, big part of it too. And early psychologists were involved and tried to find ways of creating the perfect culture. Basically having obedient, hardworking peoples, etcetera, etcetera.
And when he wrote that in Cosmopolitan, and if you go through Harper Magazines and so on you'll find a lot more articles very similar to this from other big players around the same period. But they wanted this division of labor that even Karl Marx talked about and so on and how to, they discussed in their big meetings how they could breed people for the particular jobs that they would have to do and therefore for mundane repetitive jobs you wouldn't want someone who would get driven crazy because their intellect wasn't being used. You'd literally breed them for the purpose. And in 1929 remember that was just about three to four years before Aldous Huxley brought out his book, "Brave New World". So he was in touch with all of these people who were all pushing this futuristic society including H.G. Wells.
So these weren't just the little closet type meetings they had or after dinner meetings, these were big club establishment meetings they had with all the top players being brought together to run society in the way that they thought it should be run, not just talk about it, but how to implement it and how to use money to finance the different sciences that would be necessary, including genetics in fact, to make all of this happen. Plus the psychologists, the early behaviorists, all of these people which we have today of course.
Neil: Yeah, we'll start off with the introduction to this.
It says:
"This is a startling, prophetic look into the future. Its author is not a dreamer or a harebrained fanatic. Lord Birkenhead is one of the foremost statesmen in England today. Save this issue of Cosmopolitan. You won't be alive in 2029, but your children's children will be, and it will be interesting for them to check the accuracy of the predictions here made by Lord Birkenhead."
Neil: And the first one right off the bat is...
- "Babies will be produced by chemists in laboratories."

Neil: Well we are well down the road to that.
Then it says:
- "The entire institution of marriage will be changed."

Neil: Well that's been done.
- "We will all live to be 150."

Neil: Now I don't know where we are with that one.
But:
- "No one will need to work more than two hours a day."

Alan: Well the thing is too what they did, you'll also find that they had already worked out the problems if they allowed people to live to that age, and be pretty virile and so on, they'd be overpopulated in no time at all. And so at the same time they were discussing all of this back in the late 1920's. They were talking about ways of contraception, etcetera, and finding ways of contraception. Now but the thing is too before they'd even discovered the gene, they talked about genes quite openly amongst themselves and in their own writings. And it was another book from another person he knew, that Birkenhead knew, and that was Rutherford. Rutherford was a top mathematician of his day with the Royal Society. And in his own memoirs you'll find that Rutherford talks about, for the last few years before he died, he wrote his book. But he said I've been working on genetics. This is before they could actually see in the genes and so on, on the chromosomes, etcetera.
And yet which means that really, yeah they had already discovered all this stuff a long time ago because you wouldn't need a mathematician unless you had actually discovered where they are and so on and could use a mathematician in the process. It would be pointless otherwise. So these guys already knew that they could alter life itself way back then. And there were high secret establishments obviously working on these projects.
Neil: Yeah well I was going to come on to that later on, the beneficial aspects of the technology which obviously we are not going to get. We will only get the technology that is going to be used to put the change on us basically. And but again if there was good technology this prediction would probably come true that no one would need to work more than two hours a day and they would be allowed to get on and do the stuff that they really wanted to do. But again we are not going to get the technology to allow that to happen.
But the next one is:

• "Agriculture will be abolished – except as a hobby – and all foodstuffs will be produced synthetically."
Neil: Well we are nearly there already with that one as well.
And:
• "Man will be able to alter the geography or climate of the world."
Neil: Now they must have been trying this out back then. They must have had all of this stuff back then because you couldn't have possibly predicted this kind of thing without trying it.
Alan: Well you can go back further, this is, the odd part of it too is that coincidence or not but the fact is and if you read "The New Atlantis" by Francis Bacon you'll find that in that book he talks about a machine underground which could give off the light of the sun and control the weather. I mean this has always been a fascination with these guys all down through the centuries is total control of the environment and everything in the environment including us. And so you find that yeah he revived that same idea simply because of the scientific elite he was mixing with, Birkenhead. And sure they always, they were putting so much of our tax money, this is the beauty of it too, they can use as much tax money as they want for all their quiet, secretive research, and actually start using it and so on. So I think it's been that way for an awful, awful long time. If it can be done, and he said the same thing, it will be done. If it can be done, it will be done. No matter what it is you couldn't stop science, he said, therefore they thought about the ways they could use these things for their own benefit. Not for the general public necessarily but for their own benefit.
But the odd thing was, back in the 1960's, there was a big spate again from this hurrah, hurrah thing from science and the BBC in England where they were putting all these articles out on the future, back in the early 60's. When they wanted the public to have their old system destroyed you might say to rebuild the new one, the hippie era, etcetera. And in the 60's they said the same things that eventually it would be a privilege to get a job. You won't need a job. You would be well looked after your whole life. But leisure would be your biggest problem, how would you pass your time? No one would be poor; no one would be starving, etcetera. So they keep giving you these carrots all the time to get the public onboard with this hurrah, hurrah, hurrah for science and the constant massive taxations for research and development for a future which you are never going to get yourself. Life extension, I have no doubt whatsoever, actually exists.
Neil: Yeah I was going to say that in the film from H.G. Wells' book called "The Time Machine", you see all the people just lazing about all day and doing nothing whatsoever and that's supposed to be the utopia we are all promised. And I remember the scene where the lady falls into the river and nobody can even be bothered to get up and save her. You know that's it, she fell in the river, she was so stupid and so that's that.
The life extension I mean you know we never see the likes of the Kissinger's of this world or the Rockefeller's ever getting ill or getting cancer or anything of that sort. The royals they all live to you know over a hundred. And I mean the basic human life is well capable of reaching a hundred years old if they get the right foods, you know nutrition, and they just stay healthy you know. So in terms of life extension and technology how do you, I mean do you have any information on how that's been done?
Alan: Yeah. I'm sure they are well into... Disease itself you know is not a one-on-one thing per bacterium or per virus, etcetera. What you have to see, what they did a long time ago was to see what all viruses have in common and what all bacterium will have in common with each other. And they can simply eliminate the process as it divides and so on and multiplies, they can simply hit all bacteria at the same stage and kill it off immediately. I'm sure there are real inoculations there that were developed a long, long time ago. But Kissinger as you say, the Brzezinski's are still trotting across the whole planet. You've got Maurice Strong who is a good age now still running around the planet giving his speeches and given the royal carpet. None of them suffer from what you call aging diseases such as Alzheimer's, arthritis, any of those things, old David Rockefeller the same thing.
So you just cannot have that in a person, they have to have something wrong with them. But these guys are literally, they are full of energy. The energy they have could put a modern twenty-year-old to shame at times. And the food they eat is the richest, best food, it's not GM food. It's not soaked in pesticides and so on. I'm sure they've never had any inoculations that we get and yet they go on and on. So I'm sure that they are given life extension to do with literally what David Suzuki, another big top lefty world government character, David Suzuki said quite a few years ago on the CBC on national television in Canada, he said, and he's a geneticist by profession actually and he's the guy who also said to his students, it's up there on YouTube, that people are just maggots. You know there are different levels of maggots; some of the richest maggots simply poop on the ones beneath it and they live off the poop from the ones above. That's David Suzuki's arrogant stance on these things. But he said that we at present have the capability to stop the aging in your genetic make-up and make a person live to five hundred if we want to, you know. And no one,

there was no stir about that at all.
Neil: Yeah. Okay, now moving on to, well we've done the controlling the weather.
• "Coal-mining will be an extinct industry."
Neil: Well that's basically happened you know for all intents and purposes. In the UK it's completely gone regardless of the fact I think that we've got an estimated 300 years worth of the stuff still under the ground.
• "A forty-eight hour day will come into being by retarding the rotations of the Earth."
Neil: Now I don't know why they would want to do that but as you say these guys are, you can't stop science, you've just got to try it out if you can do it just to try it you know. It doesn't matter how dangerous it is, let's just do it to see what happens.
But one of the ones that everybody should recognize is...
• "Sitting in our homes we will see and hear events the world over."
Neil: I mean this is before television was supposedly invented. But here we are, we can at the click of a mouse, we can watch any news channel all over the world and be told apparently what's going on in those countries.
I mean these, I don't know how far back the invention of television goes but we are told it only happened you know around about the time of during the 1st World War, the 2nd World War. How far back do you think this kind of technology goes?
Alan: Oh they were experimenting with television in the early 1900's on small scales as far as we know, it could be on much bigger scales, but on small scales for sure. And you'll find from, depending in which country you are born into you get a different version of who invented what and when. Every country claims to be the inventor of the TV, even Russia did that. And things like that but we also saw about Marconi and his radio transmission, etcetera, other ones supposedly did it before him. You'll find there is so much conflicting data that you have to stand back and wonder how old the technologies actually are. Don't forget that in even the days of Benjamin Franklin everybody was experimenting with the Leyden jars, which they called batteries at the time, and electricity.
So scientific institutes have been experimenting quietly with this stuff for an awful, awful long time. And it's a quite natural progression when you look at the purpose of how government sees itself or the establishment that owns it all. And how they see themselves is they rule, they must be protected, they want to take over resources, etcetera, in other countries under different guises. It doesn't matter what guise it is and you are always going in to liberate or free up or tame the wild savages kind of stuff as you plunder. But the fact is, and warfare is the biggest, biggest power that they have therefore it would be fantastic to see what an enemy is doing. And they speculated about having cameras in the sky back in his day, that kind of thing. Now we have drones as well all over the place. So it was a natural thing to put a lot of money in it, an investigation. And unlimited funding from taxes, that's the whole thing, into hiring lots of scientists to work incessantly on a problem which they'd eventually have to solve. It would just simply happen throwing money at it, putting the minds behind it, lots of experimentation eventually you would get your product.
So what you are seeing is directed science. The present society we live has actually been directed to be this way. Not because they could have gone off in a thousand other directions, but because the establishment wanted these particular things for control purposes.
Neil: Yeah that's what I was going to go on to. Basically the technology we are given is to fit in with the overall agenda.
Alan: That's right.
Neil: We are never going to get technology which is going to benefit us and anybody looking around can see that. The only thing they have given us that's supposedly to benefit us is the TV and the computer and the mobile phone.
Alan: Well the TV is the biggest brainwashing tool there is. {Laughs}
Neil: Yeah of course. {Laughs} And that will convince people that all the technology that's coming out is beneficial to them. But I've heard you talk before, well you've mentioned the behaviorists involved in these kind of meetings as well. And I mean back then were they studying the effects of bringing in this kind of surveillance society. And did they know exactly that it could change people's attitudes or behavior?
Alan: They had experimental hospitals actually on the go in Russia, Soviet Russia, early Soviet Russia. The Bolsheviks immediately went into experimenting on the human brain by really crude nasty methods of taking skulls off, wards, whole wards of patients. You can actually see a little video of them with the skulls removed and doing the test probes of different parts of the brain. They were also sharing the data with Tavistock in England and the Tavistock institution is where Aldous Huxley was in and out of all the time. He was fascinated by the ability to insert basic electrodes or stimulate by simple electricity parts of the brain and have people turn right and left and be obedient and things like that.

So they were wired at the time, so we are told, they didn't have the wireless we are told, but I don't see why they couldn't have had with the early remotes, etcetera, have eventually put in wireless remotes into the brain like Delgado did in the U.S. And he worked with the CIA and FBI. So this has always been a thing with them, is to map the whole human brain.

Pavlov was way into that thing, it wasn't just dogs he was working on, it was humans too. They had a captive population. They could simply round up a thousand people overnight under any guise at all under 'counterrevolutionary' and bring them in and simply experiment on them and that was the last people ever saw of them. They were used as human guinea pigs; so they had literally enclosed, little enclosed societies of experimentation. In the West we know that Tavistock was heavily involved in this with patients again that no one would ever see again, the relatives either wouldn't visit, or there were no relatives, they were even better to do all the electrical stimulation of the brain, etcetera.

But they also had experimental schools. That was a big part of it too and Lord Bertrand Russell also, who also knew Birkenhead, Lord Bertrand Russell was also given permission to set up an experimental school in England for quite a few years, heavily, heavily funded, enclosed from the rest of society to bring children up under a completely different reality, all a very closed system. And he said, if we want to we can tell them that snow is white and the sky during the day is black and things like that and they'd believe it because that's their whole reality, the Plato's cave kind of thing.

And he also tried to promote pre-pubertal sex. He said the idea being that if we can hypersexualize children before puberty they would really be hypersexualized afterwards and they will never want to have a partner for the rest of their lives, so that would finish the institution of marriage for the general population. So these things were all done way back in the 20's and the 30's, the 1920's and 30's, massive experiments.

Neil: Yeah that was in one of his predictions, that marriage will be changed forever, so unfortunately it is nonexistent these days to any great extent. He talks about in the first page of this about alternative energy and admits that the cheapness of this energy supposedly will destroy the lives of millions of people. And then he talks about the cheap energy creating all kinds of acute social problems but he doesn't actually state what they are apart from the fact that it is going to destroy the livelihoods of millions and millions of people. But would you have any kind of opinion on that, what exactly he means by that?

Alan: Well the livelihood really is that things will become computerized down the road. Mechanical robots we could say would do most of the heavy work, etcetera. You wouldn't need the workforce as we know it today and remember too that Julian Huxley and Aldous Huxley, the two brothers, both talked about the same thing, about the same time, when they said that there's a different strata of society that rules this system. And he said at the top you have a dominant minority that have the power, the money, and so on. That's why they will never give up their hold on what we think of as money, that we think is normal, but it's all a rigged system. And to the elite they don't need the money, it's a system for control. But they said under that they have a scientific elite. He said we, I mean the Huxley's, they belong to the scientific elite and along with their kind of sciences you had the social sciences coming in big time then, psychology, behaviorism, and so on. And you also had the chemists coming in and so on and biologists, etcetera, that give you the inoculations, all of these kinds of things.

So they talked about the total control of society to try and alleviate the problems they'd have when they had a massive population which they wouldn't need anymore. But they didn't want them to rebel either so they'd give them lots and lots of entertainment but at the same time they'd have to sterilize them quietly along the way. And they mean quietly, in other words they wouldn't come out and admit it. The symptoms would obviously be there as they are today but they won't come out and admit it that they've been doing it deliberately. And eventually the worker type who hadn't evolved, because evolution is a big part of this with their belief system, the working force that did the mundane jobs wouldn't be needed, they'd be obsolete and they hadn't evolved any higher and never could evolve any higher. If they could evolve higher they would have done it already. That was their whole stance on all of this class system at that particular time. It hasn't really changed by the way.

But so eventually if you go from book to book from the characters who all knew each other and discussed this in their big meetings you'll find that H.G. Wells had in his book called, "A Modern Utopia", where he projected how the future would be, he said that we used to think we'd simply have to kill the excess population which were irrelevant nowadays for the future, he said, but we took the kinder way and simply sterilized those people so they couldn't reproduce. They could live their lives and then die off and there would be no other offspring to cause any problems. So these, every part of the topics of how all of society works had been gone over by different little think tanks in his particular day. It's much, much, much bigger today of course naturally.

Neil: Yeah getting back to the advent of television as he predicts. He even actually uses the word television

before television was invented.
It says here:
"During the next hundred years, applied physics will certainly develop wireless telephony and television beyond our present most imaginative expectations. By 2029 it should be possible for any person sitting at home to be “present”..."
Neil: He’s got that in inverted commas so it’s almost like a virtual reality he is talking about here.
"...at no matter what distant event. Stereoscopic television in full natural colors, and perfected wireless telephony will enable him to see and hear any event which is broadcast as effectively as if he stood beside the transmitting apparatus."
Neil: And then he goes on to say, you talked about it, propaganda and uses...
"Such developments must influence the future of politics; for by their aid it will be feasible once more to revive that form of democracy which flourished in the city-states of Ancient Greece."
Neil: So there’s the plan laid out. That’s where they want to go with it all, back to Ancient Greece where they could sit in their ivory towers and just throw bread and stuff to the peasants basically.
Alan: That’s right and also that is what the think tank for the British military came up with back in 2008 I think it was. It was the big 90-page report, "The DCDC Global Strategic Trends Programme", which I put up on my website, cuttingthroughthematrix.com. And remember this particular think tank is the Department of Defence’s think tank for Britain and NATO, all NATO countries, and they said that eventually by about 2050 or whatever they think that countries will simply be like Karl Marx said, they would wither away. You can see why they both work together, these two systems, the dialectic. And nation-states would survive, certain nation-states or city-states would survive. And they actually mention some of the city-states that they wanted to keep and other ones which may or may not be allowed to go in. The rest of the countries, what used to be countries, would become barbaric and wastelands as folk died off, etcetera. And they would be privately run by the way and privately owned.
Neil: Yeah so he carries on, on the political argument to advancing television.
And:
"By 2029 the chosen spokesman of each political party will be able to address every voter as effectively as he now can address the House of Commons. And so the electorate itself, rather than its representatives, may decide each vital political issue. After the spokesman of each party had had his (or her) say, the votes of the entire country could be recorded and counted by mechanism installed in the telephone exchanges. Within twenty minutes from the end of the last speech, the will of a national jury on any subject could be ascertained and announced."
Neil: Now that’s obviously pertaining to electronic voting from home, you don’t have to go out and put your X in the box anymore. You just have to listen to this talking head on the television. And it’s interesting he actually says "his (or her)". I mean this was in the day when women were still in the kitchen and you know kind of weren’t really in the workforce as such. But he’s clearly stated that there will be, the heads of parties will be women in the future as well. And here we are. You know it’s all been done. And it is as you say it’s the most effective propaganda tool on the planet. And when we see these guys getting up there pontificating on one issue or another people just buy it.
Alan: They buy it and again what Birkenhead had said, he said that big decisions could then be more egalitarian. In other words the people would decide. That was the carrot that the writers of his particular era were putting out there, this wonderful future utopia. They had to get the people to go along with all of this so you offer them the carrot, it’s going to be a wonderful life for you, etcetera. Making them think that you are all going to be one of the chosen. And even Julian Huxley said the same thing, he said, lots of the people who are working for our agenda think they are going to be chosen to come through and they are sadly mistaken.
So they must always use the masses to go along with their own demise. That’s the technique that’s always used because they had no intention of ever letting the public decide anything. And on the other hand too they also knew how decision making is made by the general public, it’s all to do with information. They knew they could always guide the public into making the so-called correct decision or correct vote by putting the things across in the right manner to the pubic. So they can count on the public to vote the way they want them to vote. {Laughs}
Neil: Yeah. Well he goes from physics to chemistry.
And he starts off by saying:
"Applied chemistry has not affected human life in a manner comparable with the changes produced in physical research. So far as the ordinary man is concerned, chemistry is only useful to him when it discovers new and desirable substances;"

Neil: In other words drugs.
"...or discovers a means of synthesizing a material more cheaply that it is produced in nature. In the past chemists have enriched the resources of humanity with new metals, dyes, drugs, explosives, and other substances useful in industry or in private life. By 2029 thousands more such new substances will be available; aluminum will be cheaper than pig iron is today; malleable, unbreakable glass will be a commonplace of domestic life."
Neil: And what he is talking about that is we hear a lot in the U.S. about, I'm sure it's the same in Canada, about this drive to legalize cannabis. And the young people I've spoken to here are all for it. They are going to vote for this guy because he's all for legalizing cannabis. And I'm trying to say to them but it won't be this cannabis you are buying now, it's going to be the stuff that they produce for you. And it isn't going to be organic; it's going to be synthetic and possibly genetically modified and you won't know what you are smoking. I mean is that....
Alan: The thing is too, yeah you will find that even Aldous Huxley came up with the same idea in his book, "Brave New World", from 1933 you know. He came up with Soma. Soma was given to the general public to keep them quiet and passive and in a state of ecstasy basically no matter what they had to work at or the miserable conditions they lived in. And of course we know that the military for instance, some of the strongest marijuana they have out there was created by the military, genetically modified, etcetera. And it's no secret either for those who are working in the medical profession on post-mortem or autopsy, it's no secret whatsoever that it does go in and it does start to enlarge the ventricles inside the brain, which destroys systems around that particular ventricle area, you produce less L-DOPA, things like that.
So it can make you very, very passive down the road regardless if you stop taking it or not. It has a permanent effect eventually.
Neil: Yeah.
He goes on:
"It has been suggested that chemical research will turn to the discovery of new physiologically pleasant substances."
Neil: Again there is your Soma for you.
"At present civilized mankind has discovered and adopted only three such substances: tobacco, alcohol and caffeine. These certainly have added enormously to the amenities of existence; and Doctor J.B.S. Haldane has proposed that chemists should seriously consider a search for many more such additions to human enjoyment."
Neil: Of course Haldane was a eugenicist I believe.
And:
"Most chemical substances are either disagreeable or dangerous in their physiological effects, though a small number, not more than a few thousands, are valuable to medicine. Should chemistry in the next hundred years be able to discover a dozen substances as pleasant and harmless as tobacco, yet each producing a different effect on the consumer, it will have earned the thanks of every hard-worked man and woman in the world."
Neil: {Laughs} In other words you go to work, you have a really bad day, and then you come home and take your dose of drugs to make yourself feel better. Like I suppose many people do with alcohol today.
Alan: They do today with drugs and alcohol, yeah. And don't forget too a lot of the drugs today are prescribed by the doctors for all their depressions and so on and so on. And there is nothing about getting to the bottom of depression or why you are depressed. It might be the rotten job that you are doing and this monotonous existence that you are in.
So pharmacology has no intention of course of finding the problems. Their whole point is to get you drugged so you don't think about the problems and you drift through life in a kind of fog you know, a happy fog. And most medications out there are prescribed by doctors. Also even the prescription medications in some areas in the states especially, maybe elsewhere too, but there have been documentaries about it in the states in some areas they are just prescribing OxyContin for anything, any problem at all. It doesn't matter what it happens to be; until they've got whole parts of U.S. states hooked on this stuff. And these are all prescribed by doctors and they are getting away with prescribing this stuff which means it is part of an agenda, it has to be.
Neil: Yeah, Paula was talking to somebody earlier today or yesterday about a medication she is on and now this lady needs to go for a liver biopsy. And Paula said afterwards the drugs that they are on are causing the liver problems, you need to get off them to start with. I mean not just because the doctors told her that. But this, it's just one thing after another. One side effect has got to be cured by another pill which will cause another side effect, and on and on it goes.

He moves on to, he starts talking about painkillers and anesthetics and immediately he relates it to painless childbirth.
And then he goes on to say:
"Biologists by 2029 will have learned the secrets of the living chemistry of the human body – or a least enough of it to achieve startling results. Rejuvenation will be an ordinary and well-recognized matter of a few injections at appropriate intervals.
The desire to keep old age at bay has ever been one of the dreams of humanity; at last we can predict that it will be achieved. "This mortal must put on immortality" by extending the length of his days of earth."
Neil: He's got that phrase there "This mortal must put on immortality", is that a direct quote from somebody? I don't know if you know who that is but anyway...
"The attractions of such an idea, especially to women, who will no longer grow old quickly, is too clear to require emphasis."
Neil: Now that we have seen, particularly in the likes of Cosmopolitan magazine itself, the promotion of you know women having to stay young. They have to stay beautiful to get on in the world or to get the best jobs and all the rest of it. Again we are talking about propaganda, like Stalin and Hitler, they knew that you had to target the women. So here we are, we've got the women pressurized into doing this, to stay young, look young and all the rest of it.
And then he goes on to say:
"But the universal practice of rejuvenation will be accompanied by grave social problems, the least of which would be the immense increase in population."
Neil: So he brings in population.
"Suppose it possible to guarantee one hundred and fifty years of life to every healthy child, how will youths of twenty be able to compete in the professions or in business against vigorous men, still in their prime at one hundred and twenty, with a century of experience on which to draw? The benefits to humanity which will accrue if the lives of men of genius are so prolonged is obvious."
Neil: So he is talking about themselves there. We will all benefit if these guys are allowed to live to a hundred and fifty and their wealth of experience, but we are not going to get life extension because we are just not worthy enough.
Alan: That's right and also too the whole point was to create a person with a genius level through manipulation prior to again ectogenetics, etcetera. And they would do it before, outside the womb basically. They could literally piece a person together with different genes, etcetera, which they are doing by the way on the quiet and they have been doing it for years for women who are childless, where they get their ovum, etcetera, extracted, a whole bunch of them, and that's the last the women will see of what's actually happening. But it isn't simply matching it up with a spermatozoon somewhere, it's actually, they are actually taking genes out and putting genes in and following the children who actually get born from these experiments, they are following them down through their lives to see how they react, behave, etcetera. And they are being well studied without their knowledge actually. So this has been going on for a long time.
Neil: Yeah, he actually goes on to that in the next paragraph.
He says:
"Most probably by 2029 a clever young man will consider his fiancée's hereditary complexion before proposing marriage; and the young woman of that day will refuse him because he has inherited a gene from his father which will predispose their children to quarrelsomeness. By intelligent combinations of suitable genes, it will be possible to predict with reasonable certainty that truly brilliant children shall be born of a marriage.
It is possible however, that by 2029 the whole question of human heredity and eugenics will be swallowed up by the prospect of ectogenetic birth.
By this is meant the development of a child from a fertilized cell outside its mother's body – in a glass vessel filled with serum on a laboratory bench."
Neil: I was talking to someone a couple of weeks ago and there is a group called, I think it's "23andMe", who will do a genetic test on you and they match you up with somebody to marry based on your genetic traits and your compatibility. It's nothing to do with attraction, nothing to do with emotion. It's just your genetics and to make sure you have the right kind of children. So that's happened. And now we've got private agencies coming out and advertising their wares.
And it goes on to say:
"Such a proceeding is neither incredible nor, indeed, impossibly remote. The results of possibly much research show that the connection between a mother and her growing child are purely chemical; there is no valid reason why one day biologists should not be able perfectly to imitate that chemical connection in the

laboratory."
Neil: So again we are not humans with emotional attachments or physical attachments to the children we have, it's just all chemical. We shouldn't really bother getting emotionally attached to the children because they are just a bunch of chemicals and that's it.
"The possibility of ectogenetic children will naturally arouse the fiercest antagonism. Religious bodies of many different creeds will rally their adherents to fight such a fundamental biological invention."
Neil: He just said it is all chemical, but now he is saying it's biological, so there is a contradiction there surely.
"In fact the mere mention of its possibility here may strike many readers as gratuitously disgusting."
Neil: It is.
"Nevertheless the thing is possible;"
Alan: What's interesting there is that you'll find that..., remember the elite themselves have really many psychopathic traits developed over centuries of inbreeding with powerful people. People who seek power are pretty ruthless, etcetera. And they marry the daughters of successful ruthless other people who are very wealthy and so on. So they have psychopathic traits and they send their own children off at a very early age. I mean first of all a nanny will raise them. And so the bonding doesn't take place for the elite between the parent and the offspring. They have more in common with their nanny actually for the rest of their lives than they do with the mother for instance. Then they are sent off to boarding school, etcetera, etcetera. And so they don't develop what the normal folk have who raise their own families, etcetera. But they do understand that normal folk do raise their own families so they are talking about themselves and projecting their own view of it onto everyone else at the same time. But at the same time they do understand how the ordinary folk literally have their families grow up in their own households with them.
So you have to understand their point of view and the fact that what they did was the norm for their whole class, to send their children off and a stiff upper lip, don't show emotion, etcetera, to your own family members. So that's why they were all for this idea it was chemically based, etcetera, rather than the fact that it was their actual class system and psychopathy that couldn't let them bond even with their own children at times.
Neil: Yeah and I mean it goes on again...
"Nevertheless the thing is possible;"
Neil: Talking about ectogenetics.
"...and since it is possible, it is certain that scientists will be deterred by no persecution from straining after it."
Neil: In other words no kind of morality or ethics is going to come into this. They are just going to do it anyway regardless of any public outcry.
And he says:
"Should ectogenesis ever become an established part of human society, its effects will be shattering. Primarily it will separate reproduction from marriage, and the latter institution will become wholly changed. Further, the character of the future inhabitants of any state could be determined by the government which happened temporarily to enjoy power. By regulating the choice of the ectogenetic parents of the next generation, the Cabinet of the future could breed a nation of industrious dullards, or leaven the population with fifty thousand charmingly irresponsible mural painters.
A further immediate consequence of ectogenesis would be a plea that society should be allowed to produce the human types it most needs, instead of being forced to absorb all the unsuitable types which happen to be born."
Neil: So again we are right back to eugenics again. The whole thing is about eugenics and nothing to do with bettering society. Well not for the vast majority of society but certainly it is to benefit these guys. And that's all it's about.
Alan: That is all it's about.
Neil: It's not about promoting science here.
"Many of the arguments brought against slavery would be powerless..."
Neil: Sorry, he is talking about breeding intelligent creatures...
"...to perform intricate drudgery yet lacking all ambition, what ruling class would resist the temptation?"
Neil: So again there is no morality. They are just going to go for it.
And it says:
"Many of the arguments brought against slavery would be powerless in such a case; for the ectogenetic slave of the future would not feel his bonds."
Neil: And I think that's where we are nowadays. We are just going along day to day.

Alan: Absolutely, we are. You can make slavery as long as you don't use the terms. You can live in a communist society where you have all the trappings of communism but not call it communism. You can call it the liberal party or the social party or whatever but it's still the same thing. As long as you don't use actual terms the average person won't relate it, that's rather strange that. But they won't relate it to what actually exists. And he's talking about the same thing there. Slavery can be made very enticing if you don't call it slavery. You simply say you have to get so much data to use this program or app or whatever it happens to be, but look at all the benefits you'll have. So you entice them into it, giving up voluntarily piece by piece until they are an actual slave to the whole system, including their cell phones. In fact they are having breakdowns when they lose them now, a lot of young folk. So it's working very, very well.
People don't realize you give up your freedoms for some other reward. It's very simplistic you see, they did it all with rats and other animals, which was nothing to do with to see if they could train an army of rats. It was all to see if they can be used, all these experiments could be used eventually on humans. Would you give up your own ability to survive independently or think independently for all of these rewards and unfortunately the answer seems to be yes.
Neil: Yeah and he goes on talking about slavery.
"Every impulse which makes slavery degrading and irksome to ordinary humanity would be removed from his mental equipment. His only happiness would be in his task; he would be the exact human counterpart of the worker bee. Only the arguments of religion could be used to prevent his evolution."
Neil: Again that's why we are seeing the destruction of religion.
"His emancipation could never be considered, for in freedom he would find only crushing boredom and misery."
Neil: Well I think I'd rather have the crushing boredom and misery than be a slave to be honest. I don't see how slavery can be enjoyable but obviously again going back to "Brave New World" when they issued out the Soma. These people were just mindless automatons and just did a specific task all day, they got their Soma, came back and did the same thing day after day after day, and they were all dressed the same in the uniformity of the uniform, the brown overalls or the dungarees.
And it carries on:
"It seems improbable, however, that the future developments of industry will call for such a being to tend its wheels. Production will become so cheap, and, barring political or international upheavals, wealth will accumulate to such an extent, that the ectogenetic Robot will never be needed. It is far more likely that men will work as machine-minders for one or two hours a day and be free to devote the rest of their energies to whatever form of activity they enjoy."
Neil: So there's the carrot that's being put out to people. Oh yeah we'll only have to do work a couple of hours a day and then you'll get to do what you want after that. But if you already have been given all of these drugs to keep you happy, this is kind of a contradiction to that, to say if you had to work a forty-hour week you wouldn't be happy.
Alan: That's right.
Neil: So which is it?
Alan: Again they said in the 60's when they revived all this idea to get us to accept science as your new God you might say, a wonderful Santa Claus, that eventually you'd have this utopia and they even had huge displays in all the top magazines and the newspapers across Britain and the rest of the world of people walking about, drawings of folks walking about in togas, Roman togas for goodness sake all with smiles on their face. That was going to be the future that they depicted in the 1960's. And they got it all from previous agendas, actually the same agenda which had never stopped from even before Birkenhead's time. Now Birkenhead also knew Charles Galton Darwin and the Darwin family. And remember too in, "The Next Million Years", written by Charles Galton Darwin, he says, he said, we are now in the process of creating a more sophisticated form of slavery. And that was back in the 1950's. So you are simply living through an agenda. I have always known this. And all of these things that keep coming up in your own lifetime simply show you, that you are living to a time table in fact.
As I say science, all the sciences are directed by funding. The funder tells them what they want them to look for or how to do something and they have to achieve that goal. It's not willy-nilly just finding out this for the purpose of inquiry and finding things out, they are actually all directed along this one agenda and we are living through it today.
Neil: Just in the last few paragraphs of this thing it gives kind of a brief conclusion, very, very brief.
"I have assumed, therefore, that the rate of progress in applied physics, chemistry and biology during the next hundred years will be maintained approximately at its present level. It may even be greatly accelerated by the ever increasing interest in scientific research on the part of industrialists and governments."

Neil: So you have your corporations and your governments working together to do all this.
"Nevertheless, unless science is able to change our ideas no less rapidly than our environment, some of the developments at which I have hinted may not come to pass. Unless for example, the ideas of Asiatic peoples are drastically changed, it will be impossible to stamp out epidemic disease from the world."
Neil: So I don't know what he is... Is he hinting at the fact that the Asiatic peoples had their own kind of medicines and things like that and kind of went down the natural medicine route or is he just being racist?
Alan: Well I think it was a hidden thing really in some ways because you see at that time the Western world was all under one kind of system starting from the Alfred Milner Group, Rhodes Society and the Royal Institute of International Affairs, CFR basically it's called across the whole planet. But it was the Royal Institute of International Affairs that was to run this global system but by that time it didn't have China in on it. And so unless you get all the vaccinations, that are once again there to help you, they couldn't make all this happen because the vaccinations I'm pretty well certain are really not there to help you at all. People are becoming incredibly sterile. With every generation that passes it gets worse and worse. If it isn't the vaccinations, it's also the food system they give you too. They know all of this too of course and it's well documented at the very, very top. You can't study their graphs as to population and demographics of people who are becoming more sterile in certain areas without looking at all the inoculations they've been given, what batches of inoculations and so on.
So it is working as they have said because one of their big problems was that they didn't want too many folk around in a post-industrial society, which they have been talking about by the way since the beginning of the 1900's. They knew that they would eventually be a post-industrial society and you wouldn't need all the labor and workers, etcetera. So the best way to do it, like H.G. Wells said in "A Modern Utopia", is to quietly sterilize them and they will simply die off and they won't procreate. They might enjoy life to an extent and then they'll die off. So that's actually happening today.
That's also the excuse for the mass immigration into Britain for instance that Margaret Thatcher used; she said we must bring in mass immigration because the people aren't having enough children. It wasn't just because they weren't having enough children it's because so many of them were becoming sterile. And all the studies have shown that, in the males especially the sperm count is almost zilch today, active sperm. And it's the same in the U.S. This is with eighteen-year-olds by the way. They do these surveys every single year. They all show an increasing sterilization. And apparently it's not a crisis. Why isn't it a crisis? Because it is meant to be that way.
Neil: Yeah absolutely. It finishes off basically what we talked about earlier that science can be used for good or bad.
"But it is not self-evident that all applications of scientific discovery deserve the support of intelligent men and women."
Neil: So in other words if it is something that's going to benefit the masses, they are not going to support it.
"Because science has benefited humanity in the past, there is no reason why it always should do so in the future."
Neil: And then he goes on to say but this might all change if somebody sets off an atomic bomb and just wipes us all out. So his prediction would be meaningless. But he probably knew that wasn't going to happen either. {Laughs}
But yeah he is clearly stating that the only science that is going to be supported is the science that they want that's going to benefit them. It's not going to benefit humanity in the wider sense; it's only going to benefit this smaller group at the top who is controlling it.
Alan: Yes. It's always been that way really, you know. It's always been that way. Yeah you will get some entertainment value, you'll get entertainment value from the television and so on, but you are also being indoctrinated all the time with the TV as well with the new ideas and how to behave, what your marriage is or should be, or could be, or what promiscuity should be, etcetera, etcetera. Or how correct the state should be and how much power the state should have with all their SWAT teams and dramas and so on.
Everything you see on television to do with police or the medical establishment done in a dramatic form, a drama form, a series or movie, is all propaganda as Jacques Ellul said you know, and he was a great philosopher. It's there for propaganda reasons to give you a false idea so that you will obey these what used to be services; police services were services. You could also literally cancel the contract with them. The same with the healthcare could be cancelled. But Lenin said, and he was in on the same agenda too by the way using the Soviet System as a laboratory, that's why the West set it up, the Soviet System. Lenin said that they would win through slogans which would be put across, just repetitive slogans or phrases, etcetera. Repetition works on the human mind and they would win that way. The best way to do it of course is through radio, television, movies and so on. And it's all pretty well happened since these guys were on the

go.
But Lenin also said that services, the West will proliferate with services, childcare services, police services, healthcare services, and these services which are voluntary if you wanted it or not you can say no, I don't want that. Now you can't. Now they are authorities. And that's what they said, their job is to turn and train the public that they are the authorities. That's what you have today. So you are run by authorities from birth to death you see.
Neil: Yeah. Okay we've got a couple of minutes left. That was Birkenhead predicting what was going to happen in 2029. A quick prediction of what you think is going to be a hundred years down the line?
Alan: I think they will pretty well achieve it because the public adapt all the time. The whole theory as it came out of Darwinism with adaptation, etcetera, is very true and they are heavily sunk into the whole scientific revolutions and the funding to do with people managing, managing people. This is the big, big job today through managing people, world managers, people managers, social managers, all of these managers. And now you have managers basically appointed by the government and GIRFEC for Scotland appointed to every child that's born basically to make sure they can tweak you before you get any self thoughts or own thoughts, thought from yourself. No, they are going to make sure you will get the state's authorized thoughts.
So, it's all here. Management from birth to death is already underway. The GIRFEC program is called other names in other countries, all coming from the United Nations by the way. It's a treaty that all began in the United Nations under the Rights of the Child, who just had their big meeting there once again, the Rights of the Child, and all they talked about were the rights of children to be indoctrinated in the LGBT agenda, etcetera.
So the whole idea is to destroy all that was to bring in the new. You must always destroy the old to bring in the new; that's the thing I always repeat. And that's been awfully successful. The think tank for the British Department of Defence also said the same thing that by 2050 they said the population would drastically, they show a drastic decrease in population. And that included China. It was already well underway in the West until people say in some, say the native Scots and so on, etcetera, were already under the numbers for reproduction and sustainability for themselves so they were assuming they'd just fade off the map actually, things like that. This all came out from the top think tanks for the Department of Defence and NATO. It's well underway what they want plus their city-state idea. The same thing as Birkenhead talked about for a manageable society of the proper kind of people in their city-state, all the specially-bred ones to serve the elite at the top. And they said that future wars would be limited and more very high, high tech wars between occasional city-states until they all agree to be one again. You know one governmental system worldwide. So they are on their way to it.
Neil: Yeah. That was the way they've done it in China.
Alan: Also, and I should mention too, I should mention too that the United Nations publishes every year too the IQ tests for the same countries over and over. We have dropped about 5 to 7 points IQ in the last ten years or so. That doesn't happen by itself.
Neil: Not at all. Just to mention, I mean the whole point of China is they brought in the one-child policy. They have a culture where infanticide is fine if it is a girl you are going to kill. And it's no wonder that the population is going to get down if there are hardly any women around. It's going to take its toll isn't it?
Alan: Yes. But it has more of an effect too. That's also the reason they promote so much homosexuality to alleviate the actual sexual drives amongst just the men that are left you see, things like that. So they have taken care of everything, they have thought of everything that they'd have to do you know.
Neil: Okay. We'll leave it on that cheery note. {Laughs}
Alan: Yeah. {Laughs}
Neil: And we'll talk again next month is it. I believe I have January 15th for next month.
Alan: Okay. Take care.
Neil: Okay. Thanks very much Alan. Cheers.
Alan: Cheers.
{♫ - Closing Music}

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"

Jan. 4, 2015 (#1482)
"Cutting Through the Matrix" with Alan Watt
(Blurb, i.e. Educational Talk)

"Allay the Fear for This New Year"
© Alan Watt Jan. 4, 2015

Title & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Jan. 4, 2015 (Exempting Music and Literary Quotes)

---

cuttingthroughthematrix.com alternate sites: cuttingthroughthematrix.net , .us , .ca alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca mirror site: cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European languages for print up: alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

---

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS: Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks & for the US, INTERNATIONAL postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal money orders (America: Postal Money orders - Stress the INTERNATIONAL pink one, not the green internal one.) Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram (Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check – in Canadian dollars: mail via the postal services worldwide.) PayPal Orders:USE THE DONATE BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE – AND – Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address) Click the link below for your location (ordering info): USACanadaEurope/ScandinavianAll Other Countries

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on January 4, 2015. I hope you all are recovering from your celebrations and you're get over the rush of buying presents and receiving presents and all of that commercial stuff which everyone goes through and you're getting back to the fact that you're into the new year. The New Year, as always, is full of predictions from all the gurus out there who follow memes and themes and so on, which is quite easy for them to do nowadays, especially the psychic ones that get on certain shows. Because the memes and the themes put it all out there for you, over the last few things that have happened over the last few years and what's likely to happen, all comes from understanding and correlating them and so on so it gets awfully easy to be a sort of foreteller of the future today.

What I do of course is different. I go into the big boys agendas themselves from their own websites, etc. and it's so easy to find the information of where the world is to go because it's planned that way. All the big changes which will affect you are planned that way by big committees, big think tanks, the global meetings and they have, hundreds of meetings every year, all different sections of the United Nations and so on, along with the think tanks, the Brookings Institute and all the other ones. They help plan the future for society, for the big global society as they call it, eventually calling it the Great Society, etc.

But those who have been listening to the show for a long time are well aware of what's really going on, why things happen the way they do. I often try to fill in any news articles I read by putting in the bits that are omitted. They love to omit things in the media and that's when you're lead up the garden path. If you only get part of a story you'll never understand why it's happening, or perhaps even how urgent it happens to be or important it happens to be, because they soften it by omission and so on and they guide you to your conclusions and all that kind of thing.

Well, I can tell you here in Canada where I live in northern Ontario it's been awfully, awfully cold and down

to about, in the centigrades, down to about 30 below quite a few different nights in the past week alone, and the next week is going to be much the same. It doesn't really go above the freezing mark all day, so it's certainly here all right. I'm quite happy with it because it's supposed to be that way. All this nonsense and talk about global warming, it really tends to tick you off because it's a big, big social agenda for controlling the world and the way that you live, right down to what you even eat.

Because it's all down to carbon taxes and the amount of carbon taxes, and energy taxes they call it as well, and how much energy was used to make that particular milk chocolate with the wrapper, how much did the wrapper cost in energy to make it and so on, and that gets all tacked onto the price of product which you purchase. So the boys who traditionally make money on it, and even manage the money system, that's how they make money out of nothing and basically are in control of this, the really big, big boys at the top. And this is a beauty, this one, because now you're getting taxed, really, on your very existence, just for existing and needing to eat and so on or warm yourself. You're going to get taxed on it, taxed, taxed, taxed, taxed, taxed, which all leads to control. So we're well underway to all of this of course as you well know.

As I say, I must thank a lot of folk who have been in touch with me too, to keep me updated on how they're doing with their health and their families and all the rest of it because it's good to have an idea. People aren't just nameless faces to me, they're people and it's important to hear how they're getting on and what life is dealing out to them and if they're getting by or having good little moments and so on along with the bad little moments. Because that's what life is all about really isn't it. So it's good to hear how people are doing, I thank people who are getting in touch and so on.

Now, the carbon taxes are awfully important because they keep playing up this whole agenda, every scientist is on board, on the panel on climate change at the United Nations, and is on an awfully, awfully high salary. The United Nations already gave them blanket immunity if they're caught lying, because they have been caught before, you see. And why should scientists be lying, for instance? A lie is different from a mistake or a false assumption. A lie is when you know you're putting out a false, and contrived, assumption, a big difference there, to get a political end to it, and maybe an increase in their salary as well. Because they're paid awfully good money for sitting on boards, and they've got nice clean hands, there's no calluses on them because they don't do any work, they do computer modeling all the time and so on. They're on board with all the socialistic agendas for supposedly the redistribution of wealth, which is nothing to do about giving wealth to the poor folk. It's about putting your tax money of your country into Third World countries, to put the international factories into their countries for them to get cheap labor, we built the factories, and that's what they mean by foreign aid. Lots of things like this are going on all the time.

Most of life, in fact, to do from government today, via its big arm the media, the regular media, is to con you. It's a con job to get you to go along with things. They give you kind of idea that the world is being run by great people, awfully honest people, who are better than you in their honesty and decency and so on, and they have your best interests at heart. And nothing is further from the truth. There's no litmus test to get into these positions of power, except by observation from outside and that is to do with science, the science of studying the personalities for psychopathy. If there was a litmus test for that I guarantee you every government would have lots of vacant seats, probably all of them, in fact all of the seats as well. Because the psychopaths gravitate towards powerful positions. And there are degrees of psychopathy, remember. Don't forget that scientists depend on grants getting dished out to them to keep them going in a nice lifestyle, and again, the clean hands with no calluses. All they have to do is turn out a paper maybe once or twice a year, they can even repeat it over and over, the same one, and the money keeps rolling into them. So they are all on board with this great moneymaker of climate change, as they call it now rather than just global warming. It's much better to call climate change since it covers everything and the warming hasn't been really going along with their agenda. They actually wish in fact that they had actually picked their original one that they had which was global cooling and an Ice Age coming. But they picked the wrong one, the wrong horse, and now we're back on to global warming. But that doesn't matter if you are under 10 feet of snow, they'll still say it's global warming that's causing the 10 feet of snow. It's quite an amazing world we live in.

And that's how the world is really run, folks. Everyone is taught to be free, that you are free, etc. But you really aren't free at all. There's always been slavery in some form or another, as Charles Galton Darwin said and he was part of the big global society of scientists who helped work on creating a new more sophisticated

form of slavery, as he called it. Well, that means that you're going into austerity. You won't know you're going into austerity by force, you'll think it's all for the good of the planet, or the good of this or the good of that. But really it's just to tax everything off of you, as I say, your very existence is taxed so that they can force you to do everything, including what you eat and wear and everything else and so on and so on.

Now, here's an article from RT News and it says:

'Winter hell': Snowstorm paralyzes major Russian highway (PHOTOS)
rt.com / December 28, 2014

Russia has seen many harsh winters – but even here, drivers don't welcome wintry roads. Heavy snow and hail turned part of a highway running from Moscow to Rostov into a parking lot. Cars were reportedly buried under snow upon stopping for just a minute.

And it goes on about what happened there. Then you go further on and they say that, in Britain, you have other things happening too, about motorways getting close down and so on. It's quite, this is... I guess it's like an Ice Age we're going into, isn't it, especially an Ice Age we're heading into, there's no doubt about it. Then we go into this one:

Britain unprepared for severe blackouts,
secret Government report reveals
telegraph.co.uk / Emily Gosden / 28 Dec 2014

Britain is unprepared for prolonged blackouts, with increased death rates, rising public disorder and high-risk criminals on the loose among the likely consequences if major energy networks are seriously damaged, a secret (Alan: I love how they always say 'a secret'.) Government security assessment has found. (A: Secret. It's in the newspaper, the Telegraph here. Now, they're always doing contingency plans for everything. See, government's first order of the day is its own survival, that's number one. They're always doing assessments on what could go wrong and them losing their power, that's standard, that's always been that way. But this says:)

The UK's contingency plans for severe power cuts are based on numerous flawed or untested assumptions and need to be revised, according to documents obtained by the Telegraph.

The assessment, codenamed Exercise Hopkinson, examined what would happen if a severe storm knocked out crucial energy infrastructure in southwest England, plunging two million homes into darkness for up to two weeks.

Transport networks would be paralysed and emergency services would struggle to cope, fuel to run backup generators may be inaccessible and the dead may not be buried, it found.

The assessment, which involved officials from all key departments and major industries, took place this summer following 12 months of preparation.

As I say, they do this all the time, this kind of thing. Back in the 80s when they did it when Thatcher was in, to do with even a nuclear war, what would happen, and out of that came the documentary and a movie called Threads and so on. But they do look upon break down in society, panic, gangs arising and going out on the pillage for food and so on, and all of the things that could happen, all the disaster things that could happen. This article here's from the Independent and it says:

UK weather: 'Coldest night of the year' tonight as freezing temperatures plummet to -10C
independent.co.uk / Lamiat Sabin / 28 December 2014

Temperatures are set to plunge over the next 24 hours with tonight forecast to be the coldest of the year so far with lows down to -10C and the likelihood of ice.

The freezing climate caused by high-pressure sweeping over from Iceland will hold the country in a bitterly-

cold snap until at least Wednesday with clear and crisp nights expected.

(A: Then they warn drivers for dangerous icy roads and so on.)
Drivers are warned of icy dangerous travel conditions for the whole country with nighttime lows of -3C expected in the south, -8C in the northern parts and -10C in the Scottish valleys.

Tonight is expected to beat the record of the current coldest night of the year, which was two days ago on 26 December in Braemar, Scotland, where the mercury hit -8.5C. The rest of the UK is also likely to experience the lowest temperatures on record for 2014.

(A: It's balmy to me, that, when I look at that kind of weather over there because I can get to -30°C to -40°C, and worse.)

Met Office forecaster Simon Partridge said: “The very low temperatures in Scotland indicate that it will definitely be the coldest night of the year so far.”

So, it's global warming, you see. Then we have this article here to talking about what I was mentioning earlier, carbon credits. It's also important to follow this carbon credits thing because it's to bring all your spending money, all your spending money is eventually to go to taxes and fees and so on for energy taxes and carbon taxes and so on. You won't see big bags of carbon getting collected or anything like that, it's all to be in your imagination and computer models. It goes to the guys who own the right to take this carbon in, at least they are allowed to take all the money in from you, like the Rothschilds' private bank in Switzerland and the guys that are associated with Al Gore and other ones too, who helped push all this thing because they want of the loot for, really, doing nothing. Isn't that wonderful, it will all come into you. It says here that:

Carbon credit exchange program final plan
announced before Jan. 12 opening
hani.co.kr / Dec.10,2014 / Bang Jun-ho

The final plan for running the carbon credit exchange that will open in Jan. 2015 has been announced. The credit market will be open from 10 am until noon, with bids limited to a range of 10% more to 10% less of the price at the close of trading the previous day.

(A: So the big corporations can trade their credits too. It's wonderful for corporations, eh, isn't it?)

On Dec. 9, Korea Exchange announced that it had finalized operating regulations and other aspects of the system for the carbon credit exchange. The market will officially open on Jan. 12, 2015.

525 companies that are being allocated carbon credits will participate in the credit exchange market, along with the Korea Development Bank, the Industrial Bank of Korea, and Korea EximBank.

From 9 am, one hour before the market opens, until it closes at 12 pm, participants in the market will use a bid input program, which is similar to a home trading system (HTS), to submit bids that are -10% to +10% of the base price.

(A: Isn't it amazing. It's just like all the other derivatives and so on getting gambled on this big pie-in-the-sky, this big abstract thing that just gets juggled in the sky, and we pay up and pay up and pay up as the big corporations can buy and sell their credits. Not the carbon, mind you, after all, they can't collect carbon so you've got money credits that represent carbon. Isn't that beautiful. I mean, it's better having on the dollar In God We Trust, at least you can trust in something, you can imagine a God, even when you're not supposed to you can try and picture God, they say it's supposed to be prohibited. But you can't imagine a bag of nothing, carbon, I mean, you just can't do it, can you. But you're supposed to. Because these are, you know, professional people who dreamed all this chicanery up. It's quite something.)

A carbon credit exchange is a method of allowing companies to buy and sell credits corresponding to the total amount of a country’s carbon emissions. Currently, such exchanges are believed to be the most

effective way to achieve goals for reducing carbon emissions. (A: What a lie that is! What a lie that is, isn't it?)

The goal of the South Korean government is to reduce emissions to 70% of predicted greenhouse gas emissions levels for 2020, with market operations divided into three phases.

The system of credit allocation and penalties (A: See, you get penalties as well.) has already been adjusted after industrial interests drew attention to problems with the carbon credit market. Allocations and penalties are the key to creating an active credit market since these provide an incentive for companies to participate in the market, but these rules were relaxed under pressure from big business.

And remember too, all these corporations who are gambling with the carbon credits, were given the credits for free from all the governments, millions and millions of dollars worth to get it started. You won't be getting that for you, because you see, they're going to bring in personal carbon credits now, that's where it's all to go, get you used to this idea, then it's your turn, you see. That's how train animals, bit by bit by bit by bit, you see, then you put the harness on. Now, how do these carbon credits work? At least, how is it put across as actually working? And this is from Carbon Planet. It says here, this article is all about, all about it, you see.

Carbopedia
carbonplanet.com

Types of Carbon Credits (A: You know, for dummies.)

Essentially, the types of carbon credits can be split into two forms, those within the voluntary market and those within the compliance market. Each type of carbon credit adheres to a particular standard or certification. (A: How can gas adhere to anything, eh?)

Compliance Carbon Credits

More information on the compliance market can be found here. (A: It gives you a link on where to find that; it's probably written by lawyers, to totally bamboozle you.)

Certified Emission Reduction (CER) units

The most common type of compliance credit is a CER (Certified Emission Reduction unit) which originates from projects in developing counties. Certification and overall approval of these abatement projects and their credits is known as the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM). (A: So, the developing countries are the dirtiest countries, but you in another country can pay for the clean country, even though it's not clean it all, but you paid for the carbon that they're putting out. Isn't that nice, you can share the pain.)

Emission Reduction Unit (ERU)

Like CER in developing nations, within developed nations, a mechanism known as Joint Implementation or JI, produces compliance credits referred to as Emission Reduction Units or ERUs.

New South Wales Greenhouse Gas Abatement Certificate (NGAC) (A: For Australia.)

The New South Wales Greenhouse Abatement Certificate (NGAC) certification process is comprehensive. It includes Kyoto Protocol measures (A: Again, all these... You never get an invitation to go to the Kyoto protocols, or any of these protocols, where they go and sign deeper into, and deeper and deeper into the mire.), but goes beyond these. In summary the NGAC certification process ensures the following:

*That each NGAC represents one tonne of carbon dioxide stored for at least 100 years. (A: But where are they storing it? Or, who's grabbing it? Eh? It isn't, you see.)

*That the trees have been planted since 1990.

*That the trees weren't planted on old growth forest cleared land (the land must have been clear prior to 1990).

*That should the tree from which your carbon credit came come to any harm within 100 years of your purchase e.g. fire, disease, logging; that carbon credit will be replaced immediately from another source. (A: So, if you have to wait for 100 years for it and so on, for any returns on this thing, good luck to you, folks, eh, they've got you coming and going.)

Voluntary Carbon Credits

More information on the voluntary market can be found here. (A: And they give you the link.) The credit types below are just a sample of the most commonly used products in Australia and globally. Many more types exist overseas and if you want more information on these, please contact us.

Voluntary Carbon Unit (VCU) or Voluntary Carbon Standard (VCS) credit

The VCS Programme provides a robust (A: love these words.), global standard for approval of credible voluntary carbon credits.

VCS credits or Voluntary Carbon Units (VCU) must be real (A: Unlike the other stuff, which isn't real I suppose, eh.), the abatement must have occurred, they must be additional by going beyond business-as-usual activities (A: I guess everything's dead.), be measurable, permanent, not temporarily displace emissions, the findings need to be independently verified
(A: Oh big government bureaucracies are just expanding like cancers across the planet here, and lawyers, eh.) and unique so they cannot be used more than once to offset emissions. The VCS is the most widely known and chosen standards in the voluntary market due to its Kyoto compatibility as well as its ability to manage a wide range of project types and methodologies. (A: What a lot of gobbledygook, eh. Now, what's the next one?)

Verified (or Voluntary) Emissions Reduction (VER) and Gold Standard VER (A: I guess we've got bronze and all the rest of it, maybe down to tin at the bottom, the personal ones, you'll have tin standards.)

The most popular type of carbon credit used to offset emissions around the world voluntarily is a VER, a Verified or Voluntary Emission Reduction unit and there are many different types. Before CDM or JI projects deliver credits used for Compliance purposes such as CERs and ERUs (A: [Alan laughing.] I can't even keep a straight face with this rubbish, eh.) they can produce VERs. These credits can be verified to a number of specific standards, including the Gold Standard. Not all projects go on to register within the CDM or JI, often due to the size of the project and the inhibitive costs associated with compliance registration (A: That's just to register the thing, they bankrupt you.), so their choice of one or more of these voluntary standards is made based on its overall viability and compatibility to them.

Renewable Energy Certificate (REC)

A REC is not a carbon credit that represents one tonne of CO2 emissions but rather a unit that relates to how much CO2e is saved by the adoption of renewable energy (A: I guess you can adopt it now, eh, and give it names maybe.) and how efficiently one mega watt hour (MWh) of electricity can be produced. This can vary from as little as a 500 kilos of CO2e, to as much as almost two tonnes from older, less efficient power stations. Like carbon credits, in an attempt to faze out and replace traditional, emission intensive activities, RECs provide financial subsidies for the power sector to help renewable energy projects become more viable around the world.

Isn't this wonderful, eh. You get a bunch of dictionary... See, every department and everything we hear now, you need a whole dictionary for itself, and a set of them maybe. Because it's all, you know. I can remember the movie The Devil's Advocate, and Al Pacino played the devil. He says to this guy he is apprenticing, how do you think we're taking this world over? He says, every year the universities across the world are churning out lawyers, thousands, millions of lawyers, he says, that's how we're taking the planet

over, armies of them. And this is the rubbish they put out there, the way that they word everything, you see. Wonderful, isn't it. Jobs for the boys, the little devils. In fact you know, I think there's a little devil born every minute. I really do. There's never been such gainful employment for them. Because they can put their imaginations to work dreaming up this kind of stuff all the time, and how to scam you, and get rich and powerful over you by doing all the scamming. Not bad, eh. Not bad at all.

Then you have this one here:

UN Carbon Credit Supply to Drop on Climate Vows: GDF Suez
businessweek.com / Mathew Carr / December 19, 2014

Emission-cutting projects overseen by the United Nations will probably reduce supply of credits into international markets as developing nations set up alternative programs at home, according to GDF Suez SA. (A: South Australia.)

China's preliminary plan for a national greenhouse-gas market published last week means projects will now be more inclined to use programs within their own country, said Philipp Hauser, vice president, carbon markets at GDF Suez Energy Latin America, a unit of the world's biggest utility. China's move may be followed by others and credits changing hands this week for 50 euro cents ($0.61) a metric ton (A: A metric ton [Alan laughing.]) may rise to a "few euros" by 2020, he said yesterday from Rio de Janeiro.

An increased tendency to hold rather than sell carbon credits is underpinned by developing nations joining richer countries in accepting emission limits from 2020, under the UN process of tabling climate contributions through July next year.

This is the scam at the United Nations, you know, it's not a country, remember, it's a Corporation, and a private one at that. And no citizen in the world, outside the political leaders that all committed treason by signing on to it, no citizen in the world has a chance to vote on anything, and it's supposed to run your lives, for all the scam artists that really run it all, together, above the United Nations. It's quite something, isn't it? Quite something.

And here's the next topic. See, everything is coming down to units. See, you're a unit, you're a production unit. Remember that. You're certified at birth that you actually are, you know, you're there, and that gives you the given name, etc. that you need in the law to start taxing you and everything else, and taking you off to school and brainwash you, things like that. But you are a unit, a production unit. The idea is that you end up going through the system, working for as many years as you possibly can, paying taxes for government for all their big scam projects and things, and huge paychecks, servants love to live better than their masters, always, and then hopefully, they hope at the end you'll die quietly and quickly. Rather than the money that you've put into all the different medical insurances, getting used on you, therefore... See, your productive life is over, they want you to simply die. Your purpose, see, you're a productive unit, that's what your job is, not to be happy and enjoy yourself by getting married or whatever. No, you're supposed to be a productive unit, that's coming down to the brass tacks now, you see.

For years and years... The socialists began in the early 1900s, that went into the system such as George Bernard Shaw who said, when we rule, meaning the socialists system, the real socialist system of what they think of as elite intelligentsia running the world, then you'll have to come to us, he said, and tell us why we should allow you to live. Because your whole purpose is to serve the system, contribute to the system, right up to your last breath. And it's all here. They always blame Hitler for it all. No, no, no, Hitler copied it from Britain and elsewhere, the eugenics program, you see. And I say Britain but I should really say London. London is the capital where everything, all the cancers start in Britain, all down through history, and the international bankers and everything else. Everything from the money system and the bankers is corrupt, which means the whole system is, which is your whole reality, folks.

And as you start to get ill of course they're prattling on about the cost of, oh keeping you in the hospital and my God, you know. Because you see, emotions go out the window in this age of moral relativity, etc., and it's down to brass tacks, the cost per unit, the cost, that's you, per unit. What's your status in the community? What your status in society? Why should they keep you alive? You, little old you, you see.

When they started off euthanasia it was in Holland, never mind Switzerland where you can go and get bumped off, but you can go to Holland, you see, and Holland is starting to introduce it for people there. And they always come out with things, you can say, well I guess maybe you know, somebody who is really bad with cancer or whatever... And that's how they get all these things on the books, it doesn't matter what it is, and once it's on the books they expand it and expand it. And I said at the time years ago, on the air literally, that eventually if you get a bit depressed they'll be bumping you off for that. Or just, oh I'm getting fed up, I'm going through a blues phase... oh don't be afraid, we'll kill you, how is that. It's cheaper than treating you.

Number of mentally ill patients killed by euthanasia in Holland trebles in a year as doctors warn assisted suicide is 'out of control'
dailymail.co.uk / Simon Caldwell /3 October 2014

(A: Now, under the racial hygiene bill, and the mental hygiene bill, it's true, the Nazis did go in and start killing everyone off who had a mental illness, like a long-term mental illness, in the hospitals, and those who were considered to be mentally subnormal as they put it, and they got that term from Britain as well. But anyway, here is Holland moved into there now of mentally ill patients getting bumped off now.)

-42 people with mental illnesses killed by lethal injection in Holland last year
-This is three times more than the number who were euthanized in 2012 (A: See, everything expands.)
-Overall figures for assisted deaths show a 15 per cent surge in a year
-Doctors warn that the Dutch euthanasia experiment is 'out of control'
-UK Assisted Dying Bill (A: Which they keep pushing in Britain.) to be discussed again in Parliament next month

The latest official figures also revealed a 15 per cent surge in the number of euthanasia deaths from 4,188 cases in 2012 to 4,829 cases last year. (A: And it gives you a little video and so on.)

The incremental rise is consistent with a 13 per cent increase in 2012, an 18 per cent rise in 2011, 19 per cent in 2010 and 13 per cent in 2009.

The rise is also likely to confirm the fears of Dutch regulator Theo Boer who told the Daily Mail that he expected to see euthanasia cases smash the 6,000 barrier in 2014.

Overall, deaths by euthanasia, which officially account for three per cent of all deaths in the Netherlands, have increased by 151 per cent in just seven years. (A: I wonder how much the doctors get paid for doing that too.)

Most cases - some 3,600 people – involved cancer sufferers but there were also 97 people who died at the hands of their doctors because they were suffering from dementia, the figures show. (A: Now, once you get into dementia and mental illness, that's just, it will go across the board, oh, you've got schizophrenia, we can bump you off now, and so on.)

The figures, however, do not include cases of so-called terminal sedation, where patients are given a cocktail of sedatives and narcotics before food and fluids are withdrawn.

Studies suggest that if such deaths were added to the figure then euthanasia would account for one in eight – about 12.3 per cent – of all deaths in the Netherlands.

Dr Peter Saunders of the Christian Medical Fellowship said the Dutch experiment proved that doctor-assisted death was impossible to effectively regulate.

‘Euthanasia in the Netherlands is way out of control,’ he said.

‘The House of Lords calculated in 2005 that with a Dutch-type law in Britain we would be seeing over 13,000 cases of euthanasia per year,’ he continued. (A: Mind you, they never continue, they never mention how

many thousands of families and old folk are dying in their homes in Britain every winter, I could go into that as well.)

‘On the basis of how Dutch euthanasia deaths have risen since this may prove to be a gross underestimate.

‘What we are seeing in the Netherlands is “incremental extension”, the steady intentional escalation of numbers with a gradual widening of the categories of patients to be included.’

He said there was a similar pattern of increasing numbers of assisted suicide and euthanasia in the US state of Oregon, Switzerland, and Belgium.

Dr Saunders said: ‘The lessons are clear. Once you relax the law on euthanasia or assisted suicide steady extension will follow as night follows day.’ (A: So there ya go.)

Now, a lot of the other NGOs that are pushing for it all, again, they did nice little stories and so on. But remember too, they also get the grants, some from different governmental departments, and big Pharma too, and some of the big medical insurance industries, if you really dig into things, folks. Nothing out there is what it claims it is or what it appears to be, especially when it's there to help you, you see. Then this one from the mail as well and it says:

The country where death is now just a lifestyle choice:
A mum with ringing ears.
Babies whose parents don't want them to suffer.
They've all been allowed to die by assisted suicide in Holland
dailymail.co.uk / Sue Reid / 1 January 2015

-Andre Verhoeven planned to retire at 65 to travel the world with wife Dora
-He was diagnosed with acute leukemia and was told there was no cure
-He chose to end his life at 64 and died in January last year

-Gaby Olthuis suffered ‘24-hour noise’ in her head, ‘like a train screeching'
-To end her suffering, she was given a lethal potion to drink at her home
-She left behind two teenage children, a boy of 13 and a girl aged 15 (A: By the way, they just came out with new medication for that too, it's supposed to really change it dramatically. That's what happens, eh.)

No one would have predicted that such a devoted husband and family man would one day choose to die by a lethal injection administered by his own GP.

(A: Now, when your doctors are in the business of killing you, folks, you'd better get your hackles in your hair standing up. You'd really better. I mean, already... It's like Ontario here, if you go into hospital in Ontario you’re automatically put down as a donor, whether you know it or not. And there are people making such money off of organ transplants, and I know some surgeons by the way who do this stuff, they are sizing you up when you go into those hospitals for how much money you are worth, dead. And your GP is in the business now, getting paid by the state or whatever, to bump you off as well. Then what do they do with your body? A lot of them, again, will whisk it off to, you know, bits and pieces, massive money involved in that.)

Andre Verhoeven had planned to retire at 65 to travel the world with his wife, Dora. Instead, he was diagnosed with acute leukemia, a cancer of the blood, for which he was told there was no cure. (A: And so on and so on, and they say:)

‘His end was so peaceful,’ recalls his daughter Bregje, 37, a writer. ‘Once my father had decided on euthanasia, he was relieved. He was looking forward to the date he would die. In the last few days he was able to say goodbye to his family, his friends, to talk about old times.’

(A: And this is the sort of thing they give you, to get you, well, well, you know, oh well. Do you understand, with the medications they have, which they freely distributed amongst all the people on the

streets for street drugs, they can give you it in the hospitals. And all this nonsense about they are afraid they'll get the patient addicted, if you've got a week to live, folks, who cares if they get addicted in the last week as they are dying? At least they'll be pain-free. But that's the excuse they give. But as I say, the government is making sure, you know, that all the heroine, which is processed, and the different stuff that ends up on the street in your country, that's why we protect the poppy fields in Afghanistan, by our militaries. Anyway it goes on to say:)

You might be entitled to think that what people do in Holland is their business and nothing to do with us in Britain. But you could not be more wrong.

If campaigners have their way, the law will be changed here, too, to allow those who wish to end their life to do so at a time of their choosing. For opponents of euthanasia, this raises grave moral questions, as well as concerns that unscrupulous relatives might take advantage of elderly family members — whose estates (A: ...the cash part of course...) they might covet — by encouraging them to end their lives.

One of the most vociferous and courageous voices in the campaign to legalise assisted dying was Debbie Purdy, who passed away last week at the age of 51 after refusing food for a year. She had said her hunger strike was painful and difficult, but that her life with progressive multiple sclerosis was 'unacceptable'. (A: ... and they go on and on and on.)

So they always get massive emotion into these things when there's much bigger, bigger things behind it all. Believe you me, there's big things behind this all. And believe you me, the UN too would love to see the amount of people, not just not getting born but also dying earlier, they've already said that. They've even had sci-fi movies out that when your time came up for your productive life then you just walked into a little booth and got zapped, that was you, gone. And it was put across as being very practical, you know, it was very logical and practical and...

Mobile death squads to kill sick and elderly in their own homes leads to surge in suicide rates in the Netherlands - dailymail.co.uk / 24 September 2013

You are all dollars and cents, folks, that's what you are. I can also remember too, that in Holland they've already had mistakes made. Don't forget, hospitals are notorious for mistakes, you know, you go in to get one arm operated on, they cut the left one off or something and things like that. It does happen, folks. And there was a nun in Holland, nuns couldn't put themselves down to be terminated, it's against their religion, their vows and all the rest of it, but they've done it in Holland.

Now, I've always said government would be into every facet of your life. All you're doing your whole life long is being retrained and upgraded and retrained, you see. For those who own you and master you, you see. But this says:

New diet guidelines might reflect environment cost
wral.com / January 2, 2015 / MARY CLARE JALONICK

WASHINGTON — For years, the government has been issuing guidelines about healthy eating choices. Now, a panel that advises the Agriculture Department is ready to recommend that you be told not only what foods are better for your own health, but for the environment as well. (A: See how they start tying it all in together.)

That means that when the latest version of the government's dietary guidelines comes out, it may push even harder than it has in recent years for people to choose more fruits, vegetables, nuts, whole grains and other plant-based foods — at the expense of meat. (A: Now, they've already come out on radio, I've heard it on the radio, which means they're obviously on TV doing the same thing.)

The beef and agriculture industries are crying foul, saying an environmental agenda has no place in what has always been a practical blueprint for a healthy lifestyle.

(A: This article goes on to talk about what's good to eat and what isn't good to eat and so on, for you, you

see, the big agenda, the social/environmental agenda is all part of it too. It says here...)

Once the recommendations are made, the Agriculture and Health and Human Services departments will craft the final dietary guidelines, expected about a year from now. Published every five years, the guidelines are the basis for USDA's "My Plate" (A: Well, eventually with 'my plate' it will have nothing on it when they're finished, eh.) icon that replaced the well-known food pyramid in 2010 and is designed to help Americans with healthy eating. Guidelines will also be integrated into school lunch meal patterns and other federal eating programs.

But that's not what the feds themselves will be eating, as always, you know. That's how it goes. Then of course the meat industry is hammering back at them, etc. etc. etc. So it's a big social agenda underway, and it encompasses everything you can touch, environment, pollution, you name it, every excuse they've got, climate change, yada yada yada, sustainability, sustainability and so on, all one big agenda, you see, and every part of it IS part of it as far as they are concerned. Anything they haven't thought of yet they will shortly, I'm sure.

This article here about raw milk and it says:

Raw milk producers to be forced to make their product unpalatable under new Victorian regulations
abc.net.au / 27 Dec 2014

Raw milk producers will be subject to tough new restrictions, making it harder to sell the product for human consumption, the Victorian Government has said. (A: In Australia.)

Under the new regulations, dairy farmers producing milk must either make it safe for human consumption or make it unpalatable by adding a bittering agent.

"Raw milk producers will have to either treat the milk with a pasteurization process to make sure that any harmful bacteria are killed before there is a risk that consumers will drink it," Victorian Minister for Consumer Affairs Jane Garrett said.

"If they don't wish to go through this pasteurization process, they will be required to add a very small drop of an agent that makes the milk entirely unpalatable. (A: Entirely. In other words, you'd better just pour it in the sewer.)

"This means that the smallest amount will make the individual recoil in horror, which will prevent absolutely the deliberate or accidental consumption." (A: Isn't that just disgusting! We're hear from the government and we're here to help you... Argh. So there it goes and so on and so on. So even the ways that folk got around it before to try and get good healthy, you know, whole milk will be, they can't use it. They were getting around by saying it was for bath milk. It says:)

Raw milk is sold in Victoria as bath milk and labelled "not safe for human consumption".

But on December 11, Victoria's Chief Health Officer Dr Rosemary Lester said she was concerned that cosmetic milk was being sold next to drinking milk, and had written to Victorian Consumer Affairs about the issue.

Oh, these busybodies with nothing to do, eh. Maybe, I do know, she's married, maybe she should get, who knows. And here's another one:

School teachers forced to wear scarlet letter flu masks,
gloves when they refuse flu shots that don't even work
naturalnews.com / December 29, 2014 / Ethan A. Huff

(A: Do you understand, this is all behavior modification, folks, where you train the animals step-by-step, what you eat and all the rest of it, how you're going to live, by your public servants. And they'll say, well lots of the public want this. No, they're talking about the NGOs that work for the big corporations that run the

foundations that pay these NGOs. It says here that:)

The vaccine mafia is upping the stakes in its endless war against freethinking and personal choice. A shocking new report indicates that some daycare workers in Texas are now being forced to wear face masks and gloves throughout the duration of "flu season" if they refuse to be injected with live viruses and mercury. (A: Why not put a star on their tunic or something like they did in the concentration camps? Why not do that? It's the same thing, folks, the same techniques.)

Citing a letter received from a concerned daycare worker in the College Station area of central Texas, VacTruth.com reports that at least one daycare center in the region, Covenant Presbyterian Children's Center (CPCC), has implemented the egregious policy, which is akin to forcibly labeling all resisters with a giant scarlet letter in order to coerce compliance.

The board of directors at the CPCC reportedly voted recently to require that all unvaccinated teachers and staff members wear facemasks and gloves through the end of February, supposedly to prevent the spread of flu. But such a requirement is both unscientific and discriminatory, eliminating any option for dignified free choice.

"Employers should promote a stigma-free workplace, and making employees wear a mask and gloves while teaching is discriminatory," maintains VacTruth.com.

CDC: Flu masks don't prevent influenza spread.

Many hospitals across the nation have instituted similar unconstitutional policies, including hospitals in nearby Houston. And the funny thing about this is the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has stated that flu masks don't even work to prevent the spread of flu. (A: It's nothing to do with that. See, it's not even to do with the topic. It's conditioning you to do what you're told, prodding the animals, prodding the animals, you see, coerce, coerce, coerce.)

"No recommendation can be made at this time for mask use in the community by asymptomatic persons, including those at high risk for complications, to prevent exposure to influenza," states the CDC on its website.

"No studies have definitively shown that mask use by either infectious patients or health-care personnel prevents influenza transmission."

So again, why are the animals taking it like this? Isn't it like Animals Farm, you should all kind of rebel? Huh? George Orwell's Animal Farm, shouldn't you start rebelling, folks, and say that's it, get off our backs. Of this pseudo-psychology socialism, it's way past the point of no return. And again, they admitted this year that the three primary flues that they picked for the shots were the wrong ones. But you'd better get it anyway, you should get it anyway. Why? If it's not going to work what's the point? Well, they actually have stated, they want you to get it anyway to keep you in training to keep getting it, that's why. [Alan chuckles.]

And then this article too, which is awfully interesting, about the chip, you know, the chip in the skin, implants and so on. We know they started with the usual thing, oh it's to help Parkinson's patients or folk with Alzheimer's that may wander off and so on, to track them and trace them and so on. But again, here's the other thing, the pill, the contraceptive pill. It says:

Skin implant replaces the Pill: New chip lasts for 16 years and can be turned off by remote control when you want to conceive
dailymail.co.uk / Fiona Macrae / 30 December 2014

But scientists have invented an electronic chip that when slipped under the skin releases daily doses of contraceptive, freeing a woman from the need to remember to take the pill.

Once in place, the postage stamp-sized device works for up to 16 years – roughly half a woman's

reproductive life.

In contrast, the various contraceptive implants that are already on the market only last for up to five years.

Finally, in a development that sounds like science fiction, the chip comes with a remote control that allows the woman to simply turn it off if she decides to try for a family.

(A: Well, guess who else will have the remote control who can turn it on or off? If they want to do it across the whole region just turn them on and no one conceives. Thinks about the power of all of this, folks. We're here to help you, eh.)

In contrast, existing devices such as coils cannot be deactivated. Instead, they have to be removed – a process that can be painful and is only done at a clinic.

The device, which could be on the market by 2018, consists of a case contains the chip, a battery and electronics for drug release and for wireless communication to the remote control.

(A: So, there you go, folks eh. What else could they put in that if they wanted to, eh? Think about it. Look at the power you're handing over. But it's so convenient. You give up all freedoms for convenience, don't you, you've already done a lot of it. It goes on to talk about all the scientific things of it to do with, you know, how it's made and so on, the technology, which we're supposed to be amazed by that. Personally it bores me to death, technology these days, you get so fed up with it all, to be honest with you, you know, where it's all going. It says:)

The chip contains a series of tiny wells, each packed with a daily dose of levonorgestrel, a hormone widely used in existing contraceptives.

Each well is covered with an ultra-thin titanium and platinum seal that prevents the drug from being released until needed.

At a pre-programmed release time, a small electrical current melts the metal cap on a single well, releasing the contraceptive into the bloodstream.

The remote control can be used to over-ride the programme when needed.

(A: And here's an interesting little thing right here, right.)
The system's co-inventor is Robert Langer, one of the world's top scientists. His other achievements range from growing an ear on the back of a mouse (A: ...that's awfully helpful, that.) to creating a spray that keeps frizzy hair at bay. (A: There you go. I wonder what this chip is going to do to you, eh.)

The chip can be adapted to dispense other medicines (A: See, there ya go.) and has already been trialled in osteoporosis patients. (A: They can trial it, it doesn't mean it works.)

In trials on elderly women, it worked just as well as regular injections of the bone-building drug teriparatide.

Crucially, many said the device was so comfortable that they often forgot it was there. (A: I wonder if they often forget where the remote is.)

However, much work remains to be done. It will have to be shown to be an effective contraceptive and, crucially, the company must find a way of stopping hackers from taking control of the chip.

(A: [Alan laughing.] Everything used to be so easy, wasn't it? It really was, which is common sense, and it was also incredibly easy. And I'll tell you another thing too you see, these chips were initially developed for, were to inject into people who are convicts. Now, in today's society anyone can be labeled a convict for 1000 different reasons with all the new laws they are always throwing out there, or even antisocial behavior which can just mean talking back and just things like that. This is how they're going to create the whole section of the future. I say section because after that section they'll just simply clone the populations that they need,

for the workers they actually will need, this is just a step-by-step process as we go along.)

The chip is designed implanted just below the skin of the buttocks, upper arm or stomach.

This could be done in a 30-minute operation at a GP's surgery.

Existing contraceptive implants are quicker to insert but don't last as long.

It isn't know how much the chip will cost but the implants already in use cost £80 to £90 (A: That's Britain.) and last three to five years.

So, there's progress for you, folks, there's progress, with the remote control and everything, that can get hacked, and so of course the authorities can hack it too. Quite interesting isn't it. Quite interesting what they're really up to. It just goes on and on, and you get so fed up with it, don't you? You get fed up with all the gizmos and technologies. And we've been trained to really go, wow, oooooh, aaaaah, you know, and just be in such awe of the state with all of its technology. And unfortunately a lot of folk are, it's rather sad, it's rather sad indeed. It makes you wonder, eh.

And just to finish off a couple of stories, this article, they always put these things out at the New Year, you see, the memes for the year. It says:

Stop wasting billions trying to cure cancer...
It's the best way to die, says top doctor
dailymail.co.uk / Jenny Hope / 1 January 2015

A former editor of the British Medical Journal has claimed that we should 'stop wasting billions trying to cure cancer' – because it is the best way to die. (A: For all of you, I guess, you know.)

Dr Richard Smith says it may be a 'romantic view', but cancer gives people a chance to say goodbye to their loved ones, which is denied to many who die from other conditions.

Indeed, he argues that the country is wasting billions (A: Again, down to economics and economic units.) trying to cure cancer because curing people of the disease means they are likely to die of worse things, such as dementia.

But cancer specialists and charities disputed his incendiary comments. They said many cancer patients suffered terribly and would not agree that it was 'the best way to die'.

(A: So he prattles on and on. But again, the media does just put this out, right in there, like handouts, to get the thought into your head, you see. Remember, the depopulation agenda and how we're economic units, and they want to take everything that you earn basically, eventually everything you earn and used for the big projects for themselves at the top.)

They also accused the doctor of being 'nihilistic' about a disease which 'takes far too many people far too young'. Dr Smith, 62, who was editor of the BMJ (A: British Medical Journal.) for 13 years until 2004 and is now chairman of the board of directors of medical smartphone app Patients Know Best, airs his views on the nature of dying in a BMJ blog.

Leaving aside suicide, he says there are four ways to die: sudden death; the long, slow death of dementia; the up and down death of organ failure and death from cancer where you 'go down usually in weeks'.

He says most people tell him they would prefer a sudden death, but he thinks that is very hard on the families of the deceased. (A: So he's only got the thoughts of those who are going to survive in mind here, you see, he's concerned about them.)

'The long, slow death from dementia may be the most awful as you are slowly erased, but then again when death comes it may be just a light kiss,' he says.

Then he goes through the death by other reasons and so on and so on. But it's all to do with economics, you see, economic units, again, according to your status and your social standing in the new society, that's really what it's all about. We're already there.

Tonight I'll also put up a PDF and it's quite an interesting little PDF in fact. They've done studies on you. See, they're always studying you and this one here is to do with attitudes, attitudes on guess what?

Influences on attitudes to a personal carbon trading system
epubs.scu.edu.au

(A: Down to you the individual, that's the whole idea of it eventually. It's to completely alter the way that you live, why you live in fact, because they keep even saying why you must live and so on. This is them from North Southwest Australia. But it goes into all the different studies they've done.)

There are mixed attitudes to the affect Personal Carbon Trading (PCT) can have on global warming and carbon emissions. The NICHE (Norfolk Island Carbon Health Evaluation) (A: See, they test it in a small areas first, everything is always done in a small area, for all of you, to get done later on.) project has been developed to explore attitudes towards PCT. The researchers have designed the project to investigate links between health, obesity and an individual's carbon footprint. The first stages of the project undertaken in 2012 involve development of point-of-sale applications, personal carbon consumption web site and collection of data to establish a baseline measuring key health indicators and attitudes to climate change and PCT. (A: See how they are wrapping it all up into one, you see, obesity, climate change, sustainability, carbon.) This paper reports the findings from the correlation analysis of the key variables from the baseline survey. Correlation analysis was used to examine relationships among the variables. The significant relationships identified from the baseline survey will be reexamined in the latter stages of the project during 2014.

And they give you a rundown on the survey of these residents that they're using on this particular Norfolk Island. They will also find out what they object to and that will go to think tanks to find the ways to get around the objections and how to con you in a better way to go along with it all. That's what it's all about, all this stuff, a whole new way of living but nothing to do with the reasons they're giving you, folks. Nothing. And the last thing they think of is your health, believe you me.

Well, that's the start of the New Year. It doesn't bother me because nothing surprises me since you expect at all, if you follow them, and you know how they have to put it across to you, step by step by step and through gradual brainwashing and so on. So you always know it's coming because you understand what they're really getting at from the very get-go.

And that's it, from Hamish and myself in Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

'Winter hell': Snowstorm paralyzes major Russian highway

Britain unprepared for severe blackouts, secret Government report reveals

UK weather: 'Coldest night of the year' tonight as freezing temperatures plummet to -10C

Carbon credit exchange program final plan announced before Jan. 12 opening

Types of Carbon Credits

UN Carbon Credit Supply to Drop on Climate Vows: GDF Suez

Number of mentally ill patients killed by euthanasia in Holland trebles in a year as doctors warn assisted suicide is 'out of control'

Euthanasia in Holland - the country where death is now just a lifestyle choice

Mobile death squads to kill sick and elderly in their own homes leads to surge in suicide rates in the Netherlands

New diet guidelines might reflect environment cost

Raw milk producers to be forced to make their product unpalatable under new Victorian regulations

School teachers forced to wear scarlet letter flu masks, gloves when they refuse flu shots that don't even work

Skin implant replaces the Pill - New chip lasts for 16 years and can be turned off by remote control when you want to conceive

Stop wasting billions trying to cure cancer... It's the best way to die, says top doctor

Influences on attitudes to a personal carbon trading system

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

BOOKS "Cutting Through" Volumes 1, 2, 3 & "Waiting for the Miracle....." Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation: "Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português)

CDs Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs: Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)

DVDs "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME"